APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM **U.S. Army Corps of Engineers**

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION

A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): October 26, 2012

B. DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: CESAC-RD-NE; SAC# 2007-01809-3B 711 Partners, LLC. 3.13 Acre Tract, JD Form 1 of 1

c.	PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION: State: South Carolina County/parish/borough: Georgetown City: Pawleys Island Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 33.45632° N, Long79.11626° W. Universal Transverse Mercator: Name of nearest waterbody: Clubhouse Creek Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) into which the aquatic resource flows: Clubhouse Creek Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): Coastal S.C. Drainage HUC: 3040207 Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request. Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.
D.	REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): ☐ Office (Desk) Determination. Date: ☐ Field Determination. Date(s): 9-5-12
	CTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
	Are no "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the lew area. [Required] Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide. Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce. Explain:
В.	CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
The	ere Are "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area. [Required]
	1. Waters of the U.S. a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply): TNWs, including territorial seas Wetlands adjacent to TNWs Relatively permanent waters² (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Impoundments of jurisdictional waters Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands
	b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area: Non-wetland waters: 47.94 linear feet: 2width (ft) and/or acres. Wetlands: acres.
	c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction based on: Established by OHWM., Pick List, Pick List Elevation of established OHWM (if known):
	 Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable):³ Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain: .

¹ Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.
² For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).

³ Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS

A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over TNWs and wetlands adjacent to TNWs. If the aquatic resource is a TNW, complete Section III.A.1 and Section III.D.1. only; if the aquatic resource is a wetland adjacent to a TNW, complete Sections III.A.1 and 2 and Section III.D.1.; otherwise, see Section III.B below.

Identify TNW: .

Summarize rationale supporting determination:

2. Wetland adjacent to TNW

Summarize rationale supporting conclusion that wetland is "adjacent":

B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):

This section summarizes information regarding characteristics of the tributary and its adjacent wetlands, if any, and it helps determine whether or not the standards for jurisdiction established under *Rapanos* have been met.

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over non-navigable tributaries of TNWs where the tributaries are "relatively permanent waters" (RPWs), i.e. tributaries that typically flow year-round or have continuous flow at least seasonally (e.g., typically 3 months). A wetland that directly abuts an RPW is also jurisdictional. If the aquatic resource is not a TNW, but has year-round (perennial) flow, skip to Section III.D.2. If the aquatic resource is a wetland directly abutting a tributary with perennial flow, skip to Section III.D.4.

A wetland that is adjacent to but that does not directly abut an RPW requires a significant nexus evaluation. Corps districts and EPA regions will include in the record any available information that documents the existence of a significant nexus between a relatively permanent tributary that is not perennial (and its adjacent wetlands if any) and a traditional navigable water, even though a significant nexus finding is not required as a matter of law.

If the waterbody⁴ is not an RPW, or a wetland directly abutting an RPW, a JD will require additional data to determine if the waterbody has a significant nexus with a TNW. If the tributary has adjacent wetlands, the significant nexus evaluation must consider the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands. This significant nexus evaluation that combines, for analytical purposes, the tributary and all of its adjacent wetlands is used whether the review area identified in the JD request is the tributary, or its adjacent wetlands, or both. If the JD covers a tributary with adjacent wetlands, complete Section III.B.1 for the tributary, Section III.B.2 for any onsite wetlands, and Section III.B.3 for all wetlands adjacent to that tributary, both onsite and offsite. The determination whether a significant nexus exists is determined in Section III.C below.

1. Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

(i) General Area Conditions:

Watershed size: 175,584 acres;

Drainage area: 1,200 acres

Average annual rainfall: **49.31** inches Average annual snowfall: **0.9** inches

(ii) Physical Characteristics:

(a) Relationship with TNW:

Tributary flows directly into TNW.

☐ Tributary flows through 1 tributaries before entering TNW.

Project waters are **1** (or less) river miles from TNW.

Project waters are 1 (or less) river miles from RPW.

Project waters are 1 (or less) aerial (straight) miles from TNW.

Project waters are 1 (or less) aerial (straight) miles from RPW.

Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain:

Identify flow route to TNW^5 : The tributary flows off the property into a roadside ditch and then is piped under and along the east side of Hwy 17 until it turns back into an open, natural (possibly man-altered) tributary that flows directly into a TNW (unnamed tributary to Clubhouse Creek).

⁴ Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid West.

⁵ Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW.

	Tributary stream order, if known: 1.
	General Tributary Characteristics (check all that apply): Tributary is: Natural Artificial (man-made). Explain: Manipulated (man-altered). Explain: The upstream portion of the tributary may be natural. portion of the tributary that traverses the subject property has been mechanically straightened and is maintained.
	Tributary properties with respect to top of bank (estimate): Average width: feet Average depth: feet Average side slopes: Vertical (1:1 or less).
	Primary tributary substrate composition (check all that apply): Silts Sands Concrete Cobbles Gravel Muck Bedrock Vegetation. Type/% cover: Cother. Explain:
However, wit	Tributary condition/stability [e.g., highly eroding, sloughing banks]. Explain: The banks did not exhibit major erosion. h seasonal flow, you could expect some eroding and sloughing along the banks. Presence of run/riffle/pool complexes. Explain: Tributary geometry: Relatively straight. Tributary gradient (approximate average slope): <1 %
each year un	Flow: Tributary provides for: Seasonal flow Estimate average number of flow events in review area/year: 11-20 Describe flow regime: Seasonal flow is defined as tributaries that have continuous flow typically three months der normal climatic conditions. The tributary is not depicted on topographic maps. However, it is surrounded by a rainage area. The tributary flows east until it empties into an unnamed tidal tributary to Clubhouse Creek. Other information on duration and volume:
normal clima	Surface flow is: Discrete and confined. Characteristics: Flows within channelized bed and banks of tributary under atic conditions.
	Subsurface flow: Unknown. Explain findings: Dye (or other) test performed: .
	Tributary has (check all that apply): Low-gradient seasonal tributaries of this nature in semi-rural settings with as of this size (~1,200 acres), predictably have readily-observed OHWM indicators such as a line impressed on the vegetation, litter washed away, water staining and sediment deposition (see Section III.D. for seasonal n).
	If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction (check all that apply): High Tide Line indicated by:

⁶A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody's flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break.

⁷Ibid.

(iii) Chemical Characteristics:

Characterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.). Explain: Water color was clear during time of site visit. Drainage area is approximately 40% residential and commercial development and 60% forested land. There is the potential for runoff associated with urban development to be introduced into the tributary.

Identify specific pollutants, if known: Unknown.

	/har	⊠ dwod f befo □	logical Characteristics. Channel supports (check all that apply): Riparian corridor. Characteristics (type, average width): The riparian corridor consists mainly of mixed of forested uplands and wetlands. The wetland forested areas shade and buffer the tributary and provide filtering ore it enters the tributary. Wetland fringe. Characteristics: Habitat for:
			 ☐ Federally Listed species. Explain findings: ☐ Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings: Small minnows were observed in the tributary during the site visit. ☐ Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings: ☐ Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings:
2.	Cha	ract	eristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW
pho		(a)	Sical Characteristics: General Wetland Characteristics: Properties: Wetland size: 5 acres Wetland type. Explain: Site visit was not conducted for the offsite wetland. However, NWI maps and aerial the wetland as a palustrine forested wetland.
=		_	Wetland quality. Explain: After reviewing aerial photos, it was determined that the wetland is fully functioning the headwaters for the seasonal RPW assessed onsite.
anu	SCI V	cs as	Project wetlands cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain:
		(b)	General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW: Flow is: Ephemeral flow. Explain: Flows after heavy rainfall events and during the wetter months of the year.
			Surface flow is: Overland sheetflow Characteristics:
			Subsurface flow: Unknown. Explain findings:
		(c)	Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW: ☐ Directly abutting ☐ Not directly abutting ☐ Discrete wetland hydrologic connection. Explain: ☐ Ecological connection. Explain: ☐ Separated by berm/barrier. Explain:
		(d)	Proximity (Relationship) to TNW Project wetlands are 1 (or less) river miles from TNW. Project waters are 1 (or less) aerial (straight) miles from TNW. Flow is from: Wetland to navigable waters. Estimate approximate location of wetland as within the 100 - 500-year floodplain.
	(ii)		emical Characteristics: N/A racterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed characteristics; etc.). Explain: The wetland was not observed in the field. However, surrounding development could cause the introduction of pollutants by way of runoff. The watershed occupies 175,584 acres of the Coastal Zone region of South Carolina. Land use/land cover in the watershed includes: 57.5% water, 18.0% urban land, 7.6% forested wetland, 6.6% forested land, 4.1% agricultural land, 3.6% scrub/shrub land, 1.4% nonforested wetland, and 1.2% barren land.

(iii) Biological Characteristics. Wetland supports (check all that apply):N/A

Identify specific pollutants, if known: None known.

Riparian buffer. Characteristics (type, average width): While the wetland is not truly a riparian, it does act as a buffer to the adjacent tributary which is a direct conduit to the downstream TNW. The wetland also retains and filters runoff before it enters the tributary.

palustrine forested wetlands in the covirginiana, Osmunda cinnamomea. Habitat for: Federally Listed speels Fish/spawn areas. Expending the covironmental Aquatic/wildlife diversity.	cies. Explain findings: xplain findings:	.cer rubrum, Liquidambar xplain findings: s: This wetland system enha	the field. However, typical vegetation in styricaflua, Quercus nigra, Magnolia ances a variety of wildlife species by
providing diversity through timber type of	changes and where an	aquatic system adjoins an i	ipland system.
3. Characteristics of all wetlands a All wetland(s) being conside Approximately (5) acres in	red in the cumulative a	nalysis: 1	i.
For each wetland, specify the following	g:		
Directly abuts? (Y/N)	Size (in acres)	Directly abuts? (Y/N)	Size (in acres)
Y	5		

Summarize overall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed: The 5 acre wetland evaluated in this significant nexus determination (SND) in combination with the tributary are collectively performing biological, chemical, and physical functions. The wetland adjacent to the tributary is a mixed pine/hardwood depressional wetland that provides breeding grounds and shelter for aquatic species, foraging areas for wetland dependent species, and spawning areas for species that inhabit the main channel as adults. The wetland also provides organic carbon to the tributary and then Clubhouse Creek (TNW), resulting in the nourishment of the downstream food web. The wetland and tributary evaluated in this SND provide the important collective functions of removal of excess nutrients which are contributed by runoff from the surrounding residential and commercial development. The wetland reduces nitrogen and phosphorus loading downstream, and effectively prevents oxygen depletion that can result from eutrophication. The wetland also performs flow maintenance functions, including retaining runoff inflow and storing flood water temporarily.

C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Draw connections between the features documented and the effects on the TNW, as identified in the *Rapanos* Guidance and discussed in the Instructional Guidebook. Factors to consider include, for example:

- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to carry pollutants or flood waters to TNWs, or to reduce the amount of pollutants or flood waters reaching a TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), provide habitat and lifecycle support functions for fish and other species, such as feeding, nesting, spawning, or rearing young for species that are present in the TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to transfer nutrients and organic carbon that support downstream foodwebs?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have other relationships to the physical, chemical, or biological integrity of the TNW?

Note: the above list of considerations is not inclusive and other functions observed or known to occur should be documented below:

1. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW that has no adjacent wetlands and flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary itself, then go to Section III.D:

- 2. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW and its adjacent wetlands, where the non-RPW flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:
- 3. Significant nexus findings for wetlands adjacent to an RPW but that do not directly abut the RPW. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:

Documentation for the Record only: Significant nexus findings for seasonal RPWs and/or wetlands abutting seasonal RPWs: The wetland evaluated in this significant nexus determination (SND) which directly abuts the tributary offsite is performing biological, chemical, and physical functions. The wetland adjacent to the tributary is a mixed pine/hardwood depressional wetland that provides breeding grounds and shelter for aquatic species, foraging areas for wetland dependent species, and spawning areas for species that inhabit the main channel as adults. The wetland also provides organic carbon to Clubhouse Creek (TNW), resulting in the nourishment of the downstream food web. The wetland and tributary evaluated in this SND provide the important collective functions of removal of excess nutrients which are contributed by runoff from the surrounding residential and commercial development. The wetland reduces nitrogen and phosphorus loading downstream, and effectively prevents oxygen depletion that can result from eutrophication. The wetland also performs flow maintenance functions, including retaining runnoff inflow and storing flood water temporarily. Based on the collective functions described above and their importance to the biological, chemical, and physical integrity of the traditional navigable waters, this office has determined that there is a Significant Nexus between the tributary in combination with its adjacent wetland and the downstream TNW.

	TERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL AT APPLY):
1.	TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands. Check all that apply and provide size estimates in review area: ☐ TNWs: linear feet width (ft), Or, acres. ☐ Wetlands adjacent to TNWs: acres.
2.	RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Tributaries of TNWs where tributaries typically flow year-round are jurisdictional. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial:
	Tributaries of TNW where tributaries have continuous flow "seasonally" (e.g., typically three months each year) are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.B. Provide rationale indicating that tributary flows seasonally: See Section III.B .
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply): Tributary waters: 47.94 linear feet 2 width (ft). Other non-wetland waters: acres. Identify type(s) of waters: .
3.	Non-RPWs ⁸ that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Waterbody that is not a TNW or an RPW, but flows directly or indirectly into a TNW, and it has a significant nexus with a TNW is jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters within the review area (check all that apply): Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft). Other non-wetland waters: acres. Identify type(s) of waters: .
4.	Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Wetlands directly abut RPW and thus are jurisdictional as adjacent wetlands. Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow year-round. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW: .
	Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow "seasonally." Provide data indicating that tributary is seasonal in Section III.B and rationale in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:
	Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.

Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.

8See Footnote # 3.

D.

		Wetlands that do not directly abut an RPW, but when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisidictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
		Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.
	6.	Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Wetlands adjacent to such waters, and have when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
		Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.
	7.	Impoundments of jurisdictional waters. As a general rule, the impoundment of a jurisdictional tributary remains jurisdictional. Demonstrate that impoundment was created from "waters of the U.S.," or Demonstrate that water meets the criteria for one of the categories presented above (1-6), or Demonstrate that water is isolated with a nexus to commerce (see E below). Explain:
E.	SUC	LATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, GRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY CH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): 10 which are or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes. from which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce. which are or could be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce. Interstate isolated waters. Explain: Other factors. Explain:
	Idei	ntify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination:
		ride estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply): Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft). Other non-wetland waters: acres. Identify type(s) of waters: Wetlands: acres.
F.	Prov	N-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements. Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce. Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based solely on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR). Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain: Other: (explain, if not covered above): A vide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the sole potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR
		ors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional ment (check all that apply): Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet width (ft). Lakes/ponds: acres. Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: . Wetlands: acres.
		ride acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such ding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply): Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet, width (ft). Lakes/ponds: acres. Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: . Wetlands: acres.

⁹ To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.
¹⁰ Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.

SECTION IV: DATA SOURCES.

A.		PORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked
	and	requested, appropriately reference sources below):
	\boxtimes	Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: Survey plat submitted by Nelson L. Hardwick
		Associates and prepared by Powers Land Surveying dated October 2, 2012 and entitled "WETLANDS DELINEATION MAF
		F THE SEASONAL RPW CROSSING A 3.13 ACRE TRACT ON WACCAMAW NECK, / PREPARED FOR / BRITT
		ARE.".
	\boxtimes	Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.
		☐ Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report. The exact location where the data points were collected was not visited;
ho	wever	, in general the data form represents the typical soils and vegetation throughout the project area.
		Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.
		Data sheets prepared by the Corps: .
		Corps navigable waters' study: .
	\boxtimes	U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: .
		USGS NHD data.
		☐ USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps. 3040207
	\boxtimes	U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: Magnolia Beach.
		USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: p. 33, Leon (Hydric), Chipley (non-hydric).
	\boxtimes	National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: PFO1/4B (palustrine forested wetland), U11 (upland).
		State/Local wetland inventory map(s): .
		FEMA/FIRM maps: .
		100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929)
	\boxtimes	Photographs: Aerial (Name & Date): 99-11222:119, SCDNR 2006.
		or 🔀 Other (Name & Date): Photos taken during site visit 9-5-12 .
	\boxtimes	Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter: 2007-01809 Letter dated 8-23-07.
		Applicable/supporting case law: .
		Applicable/supporting scientific literature: .
		Other information (please specify):

B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD: This site consists of 3.13 acres of which 48 sq. ft. (0.001 acre) was determined to be a jurisdictional tributary (seasonal RPW). The wetland evaluated in this significant nexus determination (SND) which directly abuts the tributary offsite is performing biological, chemical, and physical functions. The wetland adjacent to the tributary is a mixed pine/hardwood depressional wetland that provides breeding grounds and shelter for aquatic species, foraging areas for wetland dependent species, and spawning areas for species that inhabit the main channel as adults. The wetland also provides organic carbon to Clubhouse Creek (TNW), resulting in the nourishment of the downstream food webs. The wetland and tributary evaluated in this SND provide the important collective functions of removal of excess nutrients which are contributed by runoff from the surrounding residential and commercial development. The wetland reduces nitrogen and phosphorus loading downstream, and effectively prevents oxygen depletion that can result from eutrophication. The wetland also performs flow maintenance functions, including retaining runnoff inflow and storing flood water temporarily. Based on the collective functions described above and their importance to the biological, chemical, and physical integrity of the traditional navigable waters, this office has determined that there is a Significant Nexus between the tributary in combination with its adjacent wetland and the downstream TNW.