APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM **U.S. Army Corps of Engineers**

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

SEG A.	CTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): September 20, 2011
B.	DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: Charleston, Giant Cement, SAC 2011-00595-2JY
C.	PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION: State: South Carolina County/parish/borough: Dorchester City: Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 33.23982 °N, Long80.45602° E. Universal Transverse Mercator: Name of nearest waterbody: Mill Branch Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) into which the aquatic resource flows: Edisto River Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): 3050206 Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request. Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.
D.	REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): Office (Desk) Determination. Date: Field Determination. Date(s): July 19, 2011
	CTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
revi	ere Are no "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the iew area. [Required] Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide. Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce Explain: CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
The	ere Are "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area. [Required]
	1. Waters of the U.S. a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply): TNWs, including territorial seas Wetlands adjacent to TNWs Relatively permanent waters² (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Impoundments of jurisdictional waters Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands
	b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area: Non-wetland waters: linear feet: width (ft) and/or acres. Wetlands: 6.72 acres.
	c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction based on: 1987 Delineation Manual Elevation of established OHWM (if known):
	2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable): [Including potentially jurisdictional features that upon
	assessment are NOT waters or wetlands Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional Explain: Explain:

Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.
 For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).
 Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS

A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over TNWs and wetlands adjacent to TNWs. If the aquatic resource is a TNW, complete Section III.A.1 and Section III.D.1. only; if the aquatic resource is a wetland adjacent to a TNW, complete Sections III.A.1 and 2 and Section III.D.1.; otherwise, see Section III.B below.

1. TNW

Identify TNW:

Summarize rationale supporting determination:

2. Wetland adjacent to TNW

Summarize rationale supporting conclusion that wetland is "adjacent":

B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):

This section summarizes information regarding characteristics of the tributary and its adjacent wetlands, if any, and it helps determine whether or not the standards for jurisdiction established under *Rapanos* have been met.

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over non-navigable tributaries of TNWs where the tributaries are "relatively permanent waters" (RPWs), i.e. tributaries that typically flow year-round or have continuous flow at least seasonally (e.g., typically 3 months). A wetland that directly abuts an RPW is also jurisdictional. If the aquatic resource is not a TNW, but has year-round (perennial) flow, skip to Section III.D.2. If the aquatic resource is a wetland directly abutting a tributary with perennial flow, skip to Section III.D.4.

A wetland that is adjacent to but that does not directly abut an RPW requires a significant nexus evaluation. Corps districts and EPA regions will include in the record any available information that documents the existence of a significant nexus between a relatively permanent tributary that is not perennial (and its adjacent wetlands if any) and a traditional navigable water, even though a significant nexus finding is not required as a matter of law.

If the waterbody is not an RPW, or a wetland directly abutting an RPW, a JD will require additional data to determine if the waterbody has a significant nexus with a TNW. If the tributary has adjacent wetlands, the significant nexus evaluation must consider the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands. This significant nexus evaluation that combines, for analytical purposes, the tributary and all of its adjacent wetlands is used whether the review area identified in the JD request is the tributary, or its adjacent wetlands, or both. If the JD covers a tributary with adjacent wetlands, complete Section III.B.1 for the tributary, Section III.B.2 for any onsite wetlands, and Section III.B.3 for all wetlands adjacent to that tributary, both onsite and offsite. The determination whether a significant nexus exists is determined in Section III.C below.

1. Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

(i) General Area Conditions:

Watershed size: 66,545 acres
Drainage area: 151 acres
Average annual rainfall: 52 inches

Average annual rainfall: 52 inches Average annual snowfall: 0 inches

(ii) Physical Characteristics:

(a) Relationship with TNW:

Tributary flows directly into TNW.

Tributary flows through 2 tributaries before entering TNW.

Project waters are 20-25 river miles from TNW.

Project waters are 2-5 river miles from RPW.

Project waters are 10-15 aerial (straight) miles from TNW.

Project waters are 1-2 aerial (straight) miles from RPW.

Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain:

Identify flow route to TNW⁵: Mill Branch to Four Hole Swamp to Edisto River (TNW).

Tributary stream order, if known: .

⁴ Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid West.

⁵ Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW.

	(b)	General Tributary	Characteristics (check all that apply	<u>/):</u>	
		Tributary is:			
		-	Artificial (man-made). Explain	n:	
			☐ Manipulated (man-altered). E	Expla	n: .
			ies with respect to top of bank (estir	mate)	:
		Average widt			
		Average dept			
		Average side	slopes: 4:1 (or greater).		
			substrate composition (check all that	t app	
		Silts	Sands		Concrete
		Cobbles	Gravel		☐ Muck
		Bedrock	☐ Vegetation. Type/%	cove	er:
		Other. Exp	plain: .		
		Tributary condition	n/stability [a.g. bighly aroding slov	ahin	a hankal Evalain
			n/stability [e.g., highly eroding, slou fle/pool complexes. Explain:	ugiiii	g vanksj. Explani.
		Tributary geometry		•	
			(approximate average slope): <1 %		
		Tributary gradient	(approximate average stope). <1 %		
	(c)	Flow:			
	(0)		for: Seasonal flow		
			number of flow events in review area	a/vea	r: 2-5
		Describe flow		a yee	
			on duration and volume:		
		Surface flow is: Di	iscrete. Characteristics: .		
		_			
			U nknown . Explain findings:		
		Dye (or of	ther) test performed: .		
		5 1 / 1			
		Tributary has (che			
		Bed and b			
			(check all indicators that apply):		4 61% 1.1.1.3
			natural line impressed on the bank	\vdash	the presence of litter and debris
			ges in the character of soil	님	destruction of terrestrial vegetation
		shelvi		님	the presence of wrack line
			ation matted down, bent, or absent	H	sediment sorting
			tter disturbed or washed away	H	scour
			nent deposition	H	multiple observed or predicted flow events abrupt change in plant community
		□ other	staining (list):	Ш	abrupt change in plant community
			uous OHWM. ⁷ Explain:		
			ious OHWM. Explain:	•	
		If factors other tha	n the OHWM were used to determine	na lai	eral extent of CWA jurisdiction (check all that apply):
			le Line indicated by:		n High Water Mark indicated by:
			scum line along shore objects		survey to available datum;
			hell or debris deposits (foreshore)		physical markings;
			cal markings/characteristics		regetation lines/changes in vegetation types.
			gauges	ш	regetation integrendinges in vegetation types.
		other			
		52161	X 7		
(iii)	Che	emical Characteris	tics:		
	Cha	racterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored	, oily	film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.)
		Explain: Water ger		•	
	Ider	ntify specific polluta	nts, if known:		

⁶A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody's flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break.

⁷Ibid.

(iv	Biol	logical Characteristics. Channel supports (check all that apply): Riparian corridor. Characteristics (type, average width):
		Wetland fringe. Characteristics: .
	\boxtimes	Habitat for: Federally Listed species. Explain findings:
		Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings:
		Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings:
		Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings: Contiguous swamp and forested floodplain wetlands are transitional
feed and spar support large	wn in numl	errestrial and aquatic habitats, and as such generally have high wildlife abundance and diversity. Numerous fish species floodplain swamps, and the area serves as foraging habitat for wading birds and mammals. Broad -leafed evergreens ors of insects, which attract insectivorous birds, amphibians, reptiles and mammals. Common Southeastern herptiles were as were several neotropical migrant birds.
_		eristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW
(i)	Phy	vsical Characteristics:
		General Wetland Characteristics:
		Properties:
		Wetland size: 6.72 acres
		Wetland type. Explain: Palustrine Forested. Wetland quality. Explain: Undisturbed secondary growth abutting a seasonal RPW.
		Project wetlands cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain:
	(b)	General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW:
	(0)	Flow is: Intermittent flow . Explain: Mill Branch appears to be a seasonal RPW, site was dry at time of site visit, but
evidenc	e of re	ecent past flow was noted.
		Surface flow is: Discrete
		Characteristics: .
		Subsurface flow: Unknown. Explain findings: .
		Dye (or other) test performed:
	(c)	Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW:
		Directly abutting
		Not directly abutting
		☐ Discrete wetland hydrologic connection. Explain: ☐ Ecological connection. Explain:
		Separated by berm/barrier. Explain:
	(L)	Description (Deletion skin) to TNW
	(a)	Proximity (Relationship) to TNW Project wetlands are 20-25 river miles from TNW.
		Project waters are 10-15 aerial (straight) miles from TNW.
		Flow is from: Wetland to navigable waters.
		Estimate approximate location of wetland as within the 100 - 500-year floodplain.
(ii)	Che	emical Characteristics:
	Cha	aracterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed
	Ide	characteristics; etc.). Explain: Water found downstream off site was generally clear. ntify specific pollutants, if known:
(ii	i) Rio	logical Characteristics. Wetland supports (check all that apply):
(11		Riparian buffer. Characteristics (type, average width):
		Vegetation type/percent cover. Explain: .
		Habitat for:
		☐ Federally Listed species. Explain findings: ☐ Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings:
		Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings:
		Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings: Contiguous swamp and forested floodplain wetlands are transitional
		errestrial and aquatic habitats, and as such generally have high wildlife abundance and diversity. Numerous fish species
		floodplain swamps, and the area serves as foraging habitat for wading birds and mammals. Broad -leafed evergreens
		ors of insects, which attract insectivorous birds, amphibians, reptiles and mammals. Common Southeastern herptiles were
noted using t	ms ar	ea as were several neotropical migrant birds .

3. Characteristics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any) All wetland(s) being considered in the cumulative analysis: 2

Approximately (146.72) acres in total are being considered in the cumulative analysis.

For each wetland, specify the following:

Directly abuts? (Y/N)	Size (in acres)	Directly abuts? (Y/N)	Size (in acres)
On site wetlands Y	6.72 acres	Off site wetlands Y	140 acres

Summarize overall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed: The forested palustrine wetlands which are similarly situated and abutting the seasonal RPW are collectively performing functions consistent with the following:

Biological – wetlands adjacent to the seasonal RPW include bottomland swamp and depressional wetlands. As such a broad variety of biological functions are being performed which include providing breeding grounds and shelter for aquatic species, foraging areas for wetland dependent mammal, bird and herptile species, and important spawning areas for fish species that inhabit the main channel as adults. These wetlands are essential in providing organic carbon in the form of their collective primary productivity to downstream waters, resulting in the nourishment of the downstream food web. Chemical - Wetlands in the review area are providing the important collective functions of removal of excess nutrients which are contributed by runoff from the surrounding uplands, reducing nitrogen and phosphorus loading downstream, and effectively preventing oxygen depletion that can result from eutrophication. Some of the adjacent wetlands in this review area have been ditched which likely has reduced the effectiveness of some of the wetlands' nutrient removal function. Physical – Wetlands in the review area are collectively performing flow maintenance functions including retaining runoff inflow and temporary flood water storage. Flow maintenance results in the reduction of downstream peak flows (discharge and volume), helping to maintain seasonal flow volumes.

C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Draw connections between the features documented and the effects on the TNW, as identified in the *Rapanos* Guidance and discussed in the Instructional Guidebook. Factors to consider include, for example:

- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to carry pollutants or flood waters to TNWs, or to reduce the amount of pollutants or flood waters reaching a TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), provide habitat and lifecycle support functions for fish and other species, such as feeding, nesting, spawning, or rearing young for species that are present in the TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to transfer nutrients and organic carbon that support downstream foodwebs?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have other relationships to the physical, chemical, or biological integrity of the TNW?

Note: the above list of considerations is not inclusive and other functions observed or known to occur should be documented below:

- 1. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW that has no adjacent wetlands and flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary itself, then go to Section III.D:
- 2. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW and its adjacent wetlands, where the non-RPW flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:
- 3. Significant nexus findings for wetlands adjacent to an RPW but that do not directly abut the RPW. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:
- 4. "Documentation for the Record Only: Significant Nexus Findings for Seasonal RPW and/or Wetlands Abutting Seasonal RPWs" A significant nexus determination was conducted on the subject property since the jurisdictional wetlands are abutting the seasonal RPW (Mill Branch) which conveys runoff from the subject property to the Edisto River (TNW). The subject property is part of the Edisto River Basin which encompasses 29 watersheds and two million square miles. The Dorchester County soil survey, USGS

quad maps, and aerial infra-red photos were reviewed to determine how the subject property fits into the context of its relevant reach, Mill Branch, Four Hole Swamp and the Edisto River. The relevant reach for this property includes approximately 146 acres of wetlands draining into Mill Branch. These wetlands represent 99% of the wetlands in the 151 acre drainage area. The subject relevant reach is less than 10 miles from the receiving Edisto River, a TNW. Jurisdictional wetlands on site total 6.72 acresare addressed on this JD sheet. Mill Branch is a named blue line stream on the USGS quad map, approximately 2.6 miles long and visible on aerial photos as a distinct dark band of bottomland hardwoods leading into Four Hole Swamp. Dry at the time of the site visit, Mill Branch is considered a seasonal RPW draining northwest where it joins Four Hole Swamp, a RPW. Four Hole Swamp then flows south to the Edisto River which is a TNW which drains into St. Helena Sound and the Atlantic Ocean. The forested palustrine wetlands which are similarly situated and adjacent to the seasonal RPW are collectively performing functions consistent with the following: Biological – wetlands adjacent to the RPW include bottomland swamp and depressional wetlands. As such a broad variety of biological functions are being performed which include providing breeding grounds and shelter for aquatic species, foraging areas for wetland dependent bird, mammal and herptile species, and important spawning areas for fish species that inhabit the main channel as adults. These wetlands are essential in providing organic carbon in the form of their collective primary productivity to downstream waters, resulting in the nourishment of the downstream food web. Chemical - Wetlands in the review area are providing the important collective functions of removal of excess nutrients which are contributed by runoff from the surrounding uplands, reducing nitrogen and phosphorus loading downstream, and effectively preventing oxygen depletion that can result from eutrophication. Some of the adjacent wetlands in this review area have been ditched which likely has reduced the effectiveness of some of the wetlands' nutrient removal function. Physical – Wetlands in the review area are collectively performing flow maintenance functions including retaining runoff inflow and temporary flood water storage. Flow maintenance results in the reduction of downstream peak flows (discharge and volume), helping to maintain seasonal flow volumes. Based on the collective functions described above and their importance to the biological, chemical, and physical integrity of the traditional navigable waters of the Edisto River, this office has determined that there is a Significant Nexus between the review area Relevant Reach and its adjacent wetlands and the downstream TNW.

D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): **TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands.** Check all that apply and provide size estimates in review area: TNWs: linear feet
Wetlands adjacent to TNWs: width (ft), Or, acres. acres. RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Tributaries of TNWs where tributaries typically flow year-round are jurisdictional. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial: Tributaries of TNW where tributaries have continuous flow "seasonally" (e.g., typically three months each year) are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.B. Provide rationale indicating that tributary flows seasonally: Tributary is a named stream, Mill Branch, that is shown on the USGS Quad map as a blue line feature. Mill Branch is located off the subject property approximately 100 to 150 feet south of the property boundary. Mill Branch and its associated wetlands parallel I-26 and drain to the northwest. Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply): Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft). Other non-wetland waters: acres. Identify type(s) of waters: Non-RPWs⁸ that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Waterbody that is not a TNW or an RPW, but flows directly or indirectly into a TNW, and it has a significant nexus with a TNW is jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C. Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters within the review area (check all that apply): Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft). Other non-wetland waters: Identify type(s) of waters: Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Wetlands directly abut RPW and thus are jurisdictional as adjacent wetlands. Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow year-round. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:

7

⁸See Footnote # 3.

		Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: 6.72 acres.		
	5.	Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Wetlands that do not directly abut an RPW, but when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisidictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.		
		Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.		
	6.	Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Wetlands adjacent to such waters, and have when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.		
		Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.		
	7.	As a general rule, the impoundment of a jurisdictional tributary remains jurisdictional. Demonstrate that impoundment was created from "waters of the U.S.," or Demonstrate that water meets the criteria for one of the categories presented above (1-6), or Demonstrate that water is isolated with a nexus to commerce (see E below).		
Е.	ISOLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): 10 which are or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes. from which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce. which are or could be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce. Interstate isolated waters. Explain: Other factors. Explain:			
	Ide	ntify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination:		
		wide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply): Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft). Other non-wetland waters: acres. Identify type(s) of waters: Wetlands: acres.		
F.	NO	N-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements. Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce. Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based solely on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR). Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain: Other: (explain, if not covered above):		

Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow "seasonally." Provide data indicating that tributary is seasonal in Section III.B and rationale in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW: Jurisdictional wetlands on the subject property are part of a broad band of wetlands that are associated with Mill Branch (seasonal RPW). This fact is evident from the aerial photos which show Mill Branch off site to the south approximately 100 to 150 feet. Mill Branch and its associated wetlands paralell I-26 off site and drain to the

northwest of the subject property.

⁹ To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.

¹⁰ Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.

	vide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the <u>sole</u> potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR ors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional				
	ement (check all that apply):				
յսևչ	Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet width (ft).				
H	Lakes/ponds: acres.				
H	•				
片	•				
	Wetlands: acres.				
Pro	vide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such				
	nding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply):				
	Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet, width (ft).				
	Lakes/ponds: acres.				
Ħ	Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: .				
Ħ	Wetlands: acres.				
_					
SECTIO	ON IV: DATA SOURCES.				
A. SUP	PORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked				
and	requested, appropriately reference sources below):				
\boxtimes	Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: Bret Davis/S&ME, Inc.				
\boxtimes	Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant. Bret Davis/S&ME, Inc.				
☐ Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.					
Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.					
Data sheets prepared by the Corps:					
	Corps navigable waters' study: .				
	U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: .				
	USGS NHD data.				
	USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.				
\boxtimes	U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: Harleyville.				
\boxtimes	USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: Dorchester County Soil Survey, page 9.				
\boxtimes	National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: Harleyville 11205:86.				
	State/Local wetland inventory map(s): .				
	FEMA/FIRM maps: .				
	100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929)				
\boxtimes	Photographs: Aerial (Name & Date):99:11205:86.				
	or 🗌 Other (Name & Date): .				
	Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter: .				
	Applicable/supporting case law:				
	Applicable/supporting scientific literature: .				
	Other information (please specify):				

B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD: All jurisdictional wetlands on the subject property are associated with Mill Branch, a blue line feature on the USGS Quad map. Mill Branch is offsite approximately 100 to 150 feet to the south. Aerial photos show a broad band of wetlands associated with Mill Branch draining to the northwest which in turn drains into Four Hole Swamp and then into the Edisto River (TNW). This JD form documents the jurisdictional status of 6.72 acres of wetlands on the subject property as well as 140 acres off site. The jurisdictional wetlands drain via Mill Branch (seasonal RPW) to Four Hole Swamp (RPW) then to the Edisto River(TNW). All of the jurisdictional wetlands are abutting the seasonal RPW, therefore a Significant Nexus Determination was performed. This SND includes wetlands on site as well as those in the Mill Branch drainage outside of the project boundary. Based on the documentation provided in Section III, C of this form, the nexus between Mill Branch and adjacent wetlands and the Edisto River, the downstream TNW and a Section 10 water, is a Significant Nexus and on this basis all wetlands documented on this form are within the jurisdiction of the Clean Water Act.