APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

Form 2 of 2

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION

A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): July 23, 2012

B. DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: Charleston Office_Showa Denko_SAC#2012-00466-2JX

C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION:

State:SC County/parish/borough: Dorchester City: Ridgeville Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 33.084294° **N**, Long. 80.348472° **W**.

Universal Transverse Mercator:

Name of nearest waterbody: unnamed Perennial RPW to Four Hole Swamp

Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) into which the aquatic resource flows: Edisto River

Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): 3050206-070

Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.

Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc...) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.

D. <u>REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):</u>

Office (Desk) Determination. Date:

Field Determination. Date(s): July 11, 2012

SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There **are no** "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area. [*Required*]

Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.

Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce. Explain:

B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There are "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area. [Required]

1. Waters of the U.S.

 \boxtimes

- a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply): ¹
 - TNWs, including territorial seas
 - Wetlands adjacent to TNWs
 - Relatively permanent waters² (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
 - Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
 - Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
 - Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
 - Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
 - Impoundments of jurisdictional waters
 - Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands
- b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area: Non-wetland waters: linear feet: width (ft) and/or acres. Wetlands: 4.61 acres.
- **c.** Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction based on: **1987 Delineation Manual** Elevation of established OHWM (if known):
- 2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable):³ [Including potentially jurisdictional features that upon assessment are NOT waters or wetlands]
 - Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain: NA.

¹ Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.

 $^{^{2}}$ For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).

³ Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS

A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over TNWs and wetlands adjacent to TNWs. If the aquatic resource is a TNW, complete Section III.A.1 and Section III.D.1. only; if the aquatic resource is a wetland adjacent to a TNW, complete Sections III.A.1 and 2 and Section III.D.1.; otherwise, see Section III.B below.

1. TNW

Identify TNW:

Summarize rationale supporting determination:

2. Wetland adjacent to TNW

Summarize rationale supporting conclusion that wetland is "adjacent":

B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):

This section summarizes information regarding characteristics of the tributary and its adjacent wetlands, if any, and it helps determine whether or not the standards for jurisdiction established under *Rapanos* have been met.

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over non-navigable tributaries of TNWs where the tributaries are "relatively permanent waters" (RPWs), i.e. tributaries that typically flow year-round or have continuous flow at least seasonally (e.g., typically 3 months). A wetland that directly abuts an RPW is also jurisdictional. If the aquatic resource is not a TNW, but has year-round (perennial) flow, skip to Section III.D.2. If the aquatic resource is a wetland directly abutting a tributary with perennial flow, skip to Section III.D.4.

A wetland that is adjacent to but that does not directly abut an RPW requires a significant nexus evaluation. **Corps districts and EPA regions will include in the record any available information that documents the existence of a significant nexus between a relatively permanent tributary that is not perennial (and its adjacent wetlands if any) and a traditional navigable water, even though a significant nexus finding is not required as a matter of law.**

If the waterbody⁴ is not an RPW, or a wetland directly abutting an RPW, a JD will require additional data to determine if the waterbody has a significant nexus with a TNW. If the tributary has adjacent wetlands, the significant nexus evaluation must consider the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands. This significant nexus evaluation that combines, for analytical purposes, the tributary and all of its adjacent wetlands is used whether the review area identified in the JD request is the tributary, or its adjacent wetlands, or both. If the JD covers a tributary with adjacent wetlands, complete Section III.B.1 for the tributary, Section III.B.2 for any onsite wetlands, and Section III.B.3 for all wetlands adjacent to that tributary, both onsite and offsite. The determination whether a significant nexus exists is determined in Section III.C below.

1. Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

- (i) General Area Conditions:
 - Watershed size: 78,723**acres** Drainage area: 53.8 **acres** Average annual rainfall: 48 inches Average annual snowfall: 0 inches

(ii) Physical Characteristics:

(a) <u>Relationship with TNW:</u>

 □ Tributary flows directly into TNW.
 □ Tributary flows through 3 tributaries before entering TNW.

Project waters are 5-10 river miles from TNW.
Project waters are 1 (or less) river miles from RPW.
Project waters are 2-5 aerial (straight) miles from TNW.
Project waters are 1 (or less) aerial (straight) miles from RPW.
Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain: NA.

f

Identify flow route to TNW⁵: Flow from Wetland to Linear Conveyance Feature to Non-RPW to Unnamed Perennial RPW to Four Hole Swamp to Edisto River.

⁴ Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid West.

⁵ Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW.

Tributary stream order, if known: Non-RPW to Unnamed Perennial RPW to Four Hole Swamp to Edisto River.

	(b)	General Tributary Characteristics (check all that apply): Tributary is: Natural Artificial (man-made). Explain: . Manipulated (man-altered). Explain: .
		Tributary properties with respect to top of bank (estimate): Average width: 4 feet Average depth: 2 feet Average side slopes: 2:1.
		Primary tributary substrate composition (check all that apply): Silts Sands Concrete Cobbles Gravel Muck Bedrock Vegetation. Type/% cover: Other. Explain:
		Tributary condition/stability [e.g., highly eroding, sloughing banks]. Explain: Stable. Presence of run/riffle/pool complexes. Explain: N/A. Tributary geometry: Meandering Tributary gradient (approximate average slope): 2 %
	(c)	<u>Flow:</u> Tributary provides for: Ephemeral Estimate average number of flow events in review area/year: 6-10 Describe flow regime: From Ridge Road towards the direction of Four Hole Swamp. Other information on duration and volume:
and bank.		Surface flow is: Discrete and Confined. Characteristics: Water current is confined within the Non-RPW's defined bed
		Subsurface flow: Unknown . Explain findings: Dye (or other) test performed: No test performed.
		Tributary has (check all that apply): Bed and banks OHWM ⁶ (check all indicators that apply): clear, natural line impressed on the bank the presence of litter and debris changes in the character of soil destruction of terrestrial vegetation shelving the presence of wrack line vegetation matted down, bent, or absent sediment sorting leaf litter disturbed or washed away scour sediment deposition multiple observed or predicted flow events water staining other (list): Discontinuous OHWM. ⁷ Explain:
		If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction (check all that apply): High Tide Line indicated by: Mean High Water Mark indicated by: oil or scum line along shore objects survey to available datum; fine shell or debris deposits (foreshore) physical markings/characteristics tidal gauges other (list):
(iii)	Che	mical Characteristics:

Characterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.). Explain: No water was sighted during the time of the field inspection. Identify specific pollutants, if known: Unknown.

⁶A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody's flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break. ⁷Ibid.

(iv) Biological Characteristics. Channel supports (check all that apply):

- Riparian corridor. Characteristics (type, average width): NA.
- Wetland fringe. Characteristics: NA.
- Habitat for: NA
 - Federally Listed species. Explain findings:
 - Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings:
 - Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings:

Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings:

2. Characteristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

(i) Physical Characteristics:

- (a) <u>General Wetland Characteristics:</u>
 - Properties:
 - Wetland size: Wetland 2: 4.61ac

Wetland type. Explain: Palustrine Broad-Leaved Deciduous Forested (PFO1C)

Wetland quality. Explain: Wetland 2 is a 4.61 acre forested wetland. The south side of the forested wetland is abutting the Ridge Road. The north and western portion of this wetland is bordered by an upland pine forest and the eastern border of this wetland is abutting a developed lot. Despite being disturbed by nearby development this wetland is still functioning as a wetland. Project wetlands cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain: N/A

(b) General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW:

Flow is: Ephemeral Flow. Explain: Flow would occur during and after storm events.

Surface flow is: Discrete

Characteristics: Water current is confined as it flows within the confines of the roadside ditch and the swale that connects Wetland 2 with the Non-RPW.

Subsurface flow: **Unknown**. Explain findings: NA. Dye (or other) test performed: NA.

- (c) Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW:
 - Directly abutting
 - Not directly abutting

Discrete wetland hydrologic connection. Explain: A hydrological connection exists between "Wetland 2" and the Non-RPW by means of a roadside ditch and a swale located outside of the project area. Corps personnel drove the length of the connection between "Wetland 2" and the Non-RPW. Wetland 2 is directly abutting the roadside ditch located just outside of the project area, which flows southwest alongside Ridge Road and connects to the Non-RPW. A culvert across Ridge Road, located approximately at coordinates 33.082136 N, 80.350256 W, provides a hydrological connection from the north ditch of Ridge Road to the south ditch of Ridge Road. The last 600 linear feet of this hydrological connection leading towards the Non-RPW is made up of a swale. This swale finishes the hydrological connection, allowing water to flow directly into the Non-RPW.

- Ecological connection. Explain: NA.
- Separated by berm/barrier. Explain: Not separated by a berm/barrier.
- (d) Proximity (Relationship) to TNW

Project wetlands are 5-10 river miles from TNW.
Project waters are 2-5 aerial (straight) miles from TNW.
Flow is from: Wetland to navigable waters.
Estimate approximate location of wetland as within the Pick List floodplain.

(ii) Chemical Characteristics:

Characterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed characteristics; etc.). Explain: No water present during field inspection.

Identify specific pollutants, if known: Unknown.

(iii) Biological Characteristics. Wetland supports (check all that apply):

- Riparian buffer. Characteristics (type, average width):
- Vegetation type/percent cover. Explain: Deciduous Forest / 100%.
- Habitat for:
 - Federally Listed species. Explain findings:
 - Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings:
 - Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings:
 - Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings: Provides habitat for local and southeastern reptiles.

3. Characteristics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any)

All wetland(s) being considered in the cumulative analysis: 7

Approximately (21.16) acres in total are being considered in the cumulative analysis.

For each wetland, specify the following:

Directly abuts:	? (Y/N)	Size	(in acres)	Directly abuts?	<u>(Y/N)</u>	Size (in acres)
Wetland 2	Ν	4.61		Wetland C	Ν	3.91 Outside Project Area
Wetland A	Ν	0.57	Outside Project Area	Wetland D	Ν	2.32 Outside Project Area
Wetland B	Ν	1.22	Outside Project Area	Wetland E	Ν	0.90 Outside Project Area
				Wetland F	Y	7.63 Outside Project Area

Summarize overall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed: The palustrine, forested wetlands, both adjacent and abutting the non-RPW, are collectively performing functions consistent with the following:

Biological: Wetlands adjacent and abutting to the non-RPW include bottomland swamp as well as depressional wetlands. A broad variety of biological functions are being performed which include providing breeding grounds and shelter for local mammalian and aquatic species, and foraging areas for wetland dependent species. These wetlands are essential in providing organic carbon to downstream waters, resulting in the nourishment of the downstream food web.

Chemical: Wetlands in the review area are providing the important collective functions of removal of excess nutrients which are contributed by runoff from the surrounding uplands, reducing nitrogen and phosphorus loading downstream, and effectively preventing oxygen depletion that results in eutrophication.

Physical: Wetlands in the review area are collectively performing flow maintenance functions, including retaining runoff inflow and storing flood water temporarily. Flow maintenance results in the reduction of downstream peak flows and helps to maintain seasonal flow volumes.

C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Draw connections between the features documented and the effects on the TNW, as identified in the *Rapanos* Guidance and discussed in the Instructional Guidebook. Factors to consider include, for example:

- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to carry pollutants or flood waters to TNWs, or to reduce the amount of pollutants or flood waters reaching a TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), provide habitat and lifecycle support functions for fish and other species, such as feeding, nesting, spawning, or rearing young for species that are present in the TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to transfer nutrients and organic carbon that support downstream foodwebs?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have other relationships to the physical, chemical, or biological integrity of the TNW?

Note: the above list of considerations is not inclusive and other functions observed or known to occur should be documented below:

- 1. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW that has no adjacent wetlands and flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary itself, then go to Section III.D:
- 2. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW and its adjacent wetlands, where the non-RPW flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D: A significant nexus determination was conducted for the non-RPW and its adjacent and abutting wetlands which drains into the unnamed Perennial RPW/ Four Hole Swamp/ Edisto River. The review area is located within the Four Hole Swamp Watershed which is 78,723 acres in size. Water quality was determined to be good based on two SCDHEC monitoring site along sections of Four Hole Swamp. At the upstream site, aquatic life uses are partially supported, whereas at the downstream site, aquatic life and recreational uses are fully supported. The 53.8 acre drainage review area is surrounded by a combination of upland forests, agricultural fields, and rural homes.

Wetlands 2, A, B, C, D, and E are all abutting ditches that runs alongside Ridge Road and act to improve water quality by filtering excess pollutants found in the water runoff caused by road traffic. The palustrine, forested wetlands, both adjacent and abutting the non-RPW, are also collectively performing functions consistent with the following: Biological: Wetlands adjacent and abutting to the non-RPW include bottomland swamp as well as depressional wetlands. A broad variety of biological functions are being performed which include providing breeding grounds and shelter for local mammalian and aquatic species, and foraging areas for wetland dependent species. These wetlands are essential in providing organic carbon to downstream waters, resulting in the nourishment of the downstream food web. Chemical: Wetlands in the review area are providing the important collective functions of removal of excess nutrients which are contributed by runoff from the surrounding uplands, reducing nitrogen and phosphorus loading downstream, and effectively preventing oxygen depletion that results in eutrophication. Physical: Wetlands in the review area are collectively performing flow maintenance functions, including retaining runoff inflow and storing flood water temporarily. Flow maintenance results in the reduction of downstream peak flows and helps to maintain seasonal flow volumes. Based on the collective functions described above and their importance to the biological, chemical, and physical integrity of the traditional navigable waters of the Edisto River, this office has determined that there is a Significant Nexus between the wetlands found in the review area of the Non-RPW near Ridge Road and the Edisto River.

3. Significant nexus findings for wetlands adjacent to an RPW but that do not directly abut the RPW. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:

D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

1.	TNWs and Adj	acent Wetlands.	Check all that apply	and provide size estimates in review area:
	TNWs:	linear feet	width (ft), Or,	acres.
	Wetlands adj	acent to TNWs:	acres.	

- 2. RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.
 - Tributaries of TNWs where tributaries typically flow year-round are jurisdictional. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial: The Unnamed Perennial RPW is north of and <u>off of the property site and is not within the review</u> <u>area or project boundary</u>. This tributary is shown as a blue line stream on the quad sheets, and is abutting wetlands which can be seen through aerial photography, soil maps, and NWI maps. This tributary is a part of a larger wetland system that is contiguous with Four Hole Swamp, a RPW which flows to the Edisto River, a TNW.
 - Tributaries of TNW where tributaries have continuous flow "seasonally" (e.g., typically three months each year) are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.B. Provide rationale indicating that tributary flows seasonally:

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply): **Perennial RPW is not within the project boundaries.**

 Tributary waters: linear feet approximate width (ft).
 Other non-wetland waters: acres. Identify type(s) of waters: .

3. Non-RPWs⁸ that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.

Waterbody that is not a TNW or an RPW, but flows directly or indirectly into a TNW, and it has a significant nexus with a TNW is jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters within the review area (check all that apply): **Non-RPW is not within the project boundaries.**

Tributary waters: width (ft). linear feet Other non-wetland waters: acres. Identify type(s) of waters:

- 4. Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.
 - Wetlands directly abut RPW and thus are jurisdictional as adjacent wetlands.
 - Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow year-round. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:
 - Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow "seasonally." Provide data indicating that tributary is seasonal in Section III.B and rationale in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:

Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area:

- 5. Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.
 - Wetlands that do not directly abut an RPW, but when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisidictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.

6. Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.

Wetlands adjacent to such waters, and have when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: Wetland 2: 4.61 acres.

7. Impoundments of jurisdictional waters.⁹

As a general rule, the impoundment of a jurisdictional tributary remains jurisdictional.

Demonstrate that impoundment was created from "waters of the U.S.," or

Demonstrate that water meets the criteria for one of the categories presented above (1-6), or

Demonstrate that water is isolated with a nexus to commerce (see E below).

E. ISOLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):¹⁰

which are or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes.

- from which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce.
- which are or could be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce.
- Interstate isolated waters. Explain:
- Other factors. Explain:

Identify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination:

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):
--

Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).

Other non-wetland waters: acres.

Identify type(s) of waters:

Wetlands: acres.

F. NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

- If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements.
- Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce.

Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based <u>solely</u> on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR).

Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain:

linear feet

Other: (explain, if not covered above):

Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the <u>sole</u> potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional judgment (check all that apply):

width (ft).

Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams):

Lakes/ponds: acres.

Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource:

Wetlands: acres.

⁹ To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.

¹⁰ Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA *Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos*.

Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply):

.

Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet, width (ft).

Lakes/ponds: acres.

- Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource:
- Wetlands: acres.

SECTION IV: DATA SOURCES.

A.		PORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked
		requested, appropriately reference sources below):
	\boxtimes	Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: Sabine & Waters, Inc.
		Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant. ∇
		Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.
	—	Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.
		Data sheets prepared by the Corps:
		Corps navigable waters' study:
		U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:
		USGS NHD data.
	_	USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.
	\bowtie	U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: Ridgeville / Dorchester.
	\boxtimes	USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: Dorchester 28.
		National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: ArcGIS10 2006.
		State/Local wetland inventory map(s):
		FEMA/FIRM maps:
		100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929)
	\boxtimes	Photographs: 🖾 Aerial (Name & Date): ArcGIS10 2006 Aerial.
	_	or Other (Name & Date): On-site photography July 11, 2012.
		Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter:
		Applicable/supporting case law:
		Applicable/supporting scientific literature:
	\square	Other information (please specify): Site inspection July 11, 2012.

B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD: An jurisdictional determination performed at the adjacent property to the east, performed April 7, 2011, SAC#2011-00037-2JR, identified the same roadside ditch as a hydrological connection between Wetland A and the Edisto River, a TNW. The hydrological connection used is the same exact hydrological connection that connects Wetland 2 with the Edisto River.