APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM **U.S. Army Corps of Engineers**

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION

A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): August 3, 2012

В.	DISTRICT OFFICE	FILE NAME	. AND NUMBER: JD	Form 1 of 3:	: SAC 2011-01083-4F	E Molltrak Property
₽.	DISTRICT OFFICE	,	,	I OI III I OI O	, 5110 2011 01000 11	2 Intomunation of

в.	DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: JD FORM 1 01 3; SAC 2011-01085-4E MOINTAK Property
C.	PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION: State: South Carolina County/parish/borough: Sumter City: Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 33.930032° N, Long80.317820° W. Universal Transverse Mercator: Name of nearest waterbody: Unnamed tributary of Brunson Branch Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) into which the aquatic resource flows: Black River Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): 03040205-01 (Scape Ore Swamp Watershed) Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request. Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.
D.	REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): ☐ Office (Desk) Determination. Date: ☐ Field Determination. Date(s): February 22, 2012; May 7, 2012
	CTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
	we are a. [Required] Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide. Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce. Explain:
B.	CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
The	ere Are "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area. [Required]
	1. Waters of the U.S. a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply): TNWs, including territorial seas Wetlands adjacent to TNWs Relatively permanent waters ² (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Impoundments of jurisdictional waters Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands
	b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area: Non-wetland waters: 920 linear feet: 5width (ft) and/or 0.11 acres. Wetlands: acres.
	c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction based on: Established by OHWM., Pick List, Pick List Elevation of established OHWM (if known):
	2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable): Solution

present.

¹ Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.

² For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).

³ Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS

A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over TNWs and wetlands adjacent to TNWs. If the aquatic resource is a TNW, complete Section III.A.1 and Section III.D.1. only; if the aquatic resource is a wetland adjacent to a TNW, complete Sections III.A.1 and 2 and Section III.D.1.; otherwise, see Section III.B below.

ı.	TNW
	Identify TNW:

Summarize rationale supporting determination:

2. Wetland adjacent to TNW

Summarize rationale supporting conclusion that wetland is "adjacent":

B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):

This section summarizes information regarding characteristics of the tributary and its adjacent wetlands, if any, and it helps determine whether or not the standards for jurisdiction established under *Rapanos* have been met.

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over non-navigable tributaries of TNWs where the tributaries are "relatively permanent waters" (RPWs), i.e. tributaries that typically flow year-round or have continuous flow at least seasonally (e.g., typically 3 months). A wetland that directly abuts an RPW is also jurisdictional. If the aquatic resource is not a TNW, but has year-round (perennial) flow, skip to Section III.D.2. If the aquatic resource is a wetland directly abutting a tributary with perennial flow, skip to Section III.D.4.

A wetland that is adjacent to but that does not directly abut an RPW requires a significant nexus evaluation. Corps districts and EPA regions will include in the record any available information that documents the existence of a significant nexus between a relatively permanent tributary that is not perennial (and its adjacent wetlands if any) and a traditional navigable water, even though a significant nexus finding is not required as a matter of law.

If the waterbody⁴ is not an RPW, or a wetland directly abutting an RPW, a JD will require additional data to determine if the waterbody has a significant nexus with a TNW. If the tributary has adjacent wetlands, the significant nexus evaluation must consider the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands. This significant nexus evaluation that combines, for analytical purposes, the tributary and all of its adjacent wetlands is used whether the review area identified in the JD request is the tributary, or its adjacent wetlands, or both. If the JD covers a tributary with adjacent wetlands, complete Section III.B.1 for the tributary, Section III.B.2 for any onsite wetlands, and Section III.B.3 for all wetlands adjacent to that tributary, both onsite and offsite. The determination whether a significant nexus exists is determined in Section III.C below.

1. Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

(i) General Area Conditions:

Watershed size: 178,979 acres; HUC 03040205-01

Drainage area: **70** acres

Average annual rainfall: **50** inches Average annual snowfall: **0** inches

(ii) Physical Characteristics:

(a) Relationship with TNW:

☐ Tributary flows directly into TNW.

Tributary flows through 3 tributaries before entering TNW.

Project waters are 10-15 river miles from TNW.

Project waters are 1 (or less) river miles from RPW.

Project waters are 5-10 aerial (straight) miles from TNW.

Project waters are 1 (or less) aerial (straight) miles from RPW.

Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain: The project waters originate within Sumter County and do not cross or serve as state boundaries.

⁴ Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid West.

Tributary stream order, if known: **The sRPW is a 1**st **order braided stream**. General Tributary Characteristics (check all that apply): Natural

☐ Natural

☐ Artificial (man-made). Explain: The sRPW is a man-made tributary that comprises the Tributary is: northwestern property boundary of the site. Manipulated (man-altered). Explain: **Tributary** properties with respect to top of bank (estimate): Average width: 5 feet Average depth: 3 feet Average side slopes: Vertical (1:1 or less). Primary tributary substrate composition (check all that apply): ⊠ Silts Sands
 ☐ Concrete Cobbles ☐ Gravel Muck ☐ Vegetation. Type/% cover: Bedrock Other. Explain: Tributary condition/stability [e.g., highly eroding, sloughing banks]. Explain: The tributary appears relatively stable with no erosion or sloughing banks observed. Presence of run/riffle/pool complexes. Explain: No run/riffle/pool complexes were observed. Tributary geometry: Relatively straight. Tributary gradient (approximate average slope): 0-1 % (c) Flow: Tributary provides for: Seasonal flow Estimate average number of flow events in review area/year: 11-20 Describe flow regime: The tributary flows continually at least three months per year during normal rainfall. Other information on duration and volume: The tributary is a portion of a braided stream system located off site and previously determined jurisdictional under SAC 2011-00301-4M. This tributary connects to four other man-made ditches which directly abut wetlands immediately north of the project area. Surface flow is: **Discrete and confined.** Characteristics: Subsurface flow: Unknown. Explain findings: Dye (or other) test performed: Tributary has (check all that apply): Bed and banks OHWM⁶ (check all indicators that apply): ☐ clear, natural line impressed on the bank the presence of litter and debris \times changes in the character of soil \boxtimes destruction of terrestrial vegetation Shelving the presence of wrack line vegetation matted down, bent, or absent sediment sorting leaf litter disturbed or washed away \boxtimes scour sediment deposition multiple observed or predicted flow events water staining abrupt change in plant community other (list): ☐ Discontinuous OHWM. Explain: If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction (check all that apply): High Tide Line indicated by: Mean High Water Mark indicated by: oil or scum line along shore objects survey to available datum; physical markings; fine shell or debris deposits (foreshore) physical markings/characteristics vegetation lines/changes in vegetation types. tidal gauges other (list):

Identify flow route to TNW⁵: The sRPW on site flows into a perennial RPW named Brunson Branch. Brunson Branch flows into Rocky Bluff Swamp (pRPW), which flows into Scape Ore Swamp (pRPW) and then into the

Black River (TNW).

⁷Ibid.

⁵ Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW. ⁶A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where

^oA natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody's flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break.

(iii) Chemical Characteristics:

Characterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.). Explain: No water was present within the tributary during the site visits in February and May, 2012. This watershed is characterized as a blackwater system and consists of over 46% agricultural land, 24% forested land, 21% forested wetlands, and 5% urban land. Other land uses include scrub/shrub land, water, non-forested wetlands and barren land. This site is located east and immediately adjacent to the City of Sumter and the northeastern property borders US Highway 378/76. According to the SCDHEC Watershed report, this area has a moderate to high potential for growth.

Identify specific pollutants, if known: This tributary receives overland sheetflow from the adjacent fallow ag. fields and forested uplands and wetlands, which have been previously clear-cut. It is also located near urban land that is highly developed. Potential pollutants include herbicides, pesticides, and pollutants found in stormwater runoff. According to SCDHEC, the downstream monitoring station located on Rocky Bluff Swamp (PD-357) indicates that aquatic life and recreational uses are fully supported and that, although dissolved oxygen excursions occurred, the dissolved oxygen levels are typical of a blackwater system and are not considered standards violations. A decreasing trend in fecal coliform bacteria concentrations indicates improving conditions for this parameter.

species		Biological Characteristics. Channel supports (check all that apply): Riparian corridor. Characteristics (type, average width): Wetland fringe. Characteristics: The sRPW abuts off-site wetlands. Habitat for: Federally Listed species. Explain findings: Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings: Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings: Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings: The sRPW provides seasonally flooded habitat for water-dependent corridor underneath US Hwy. 378/76 to the downstream TNW.
2.	Cha	aracteristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW
	(i)	Physical Characteristics: (a) General Wetland Characteristics: Properties: Wetland size: acres Wetland type. Explain: Wetland quality. Explain: Project wetlands cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain:
		(b) General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW: Flow is: Pick List. Explain: Surface flow is: Pick List Characteristics: Subsurface flow: Pick List. Explain findings:
		□ Dye (or other) test performed: (c) Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW: □ Directly abutting □ Not directly abutting □ Discrete wetland hydrologic connection. Explain: □ Ecological connection. Explain: □ Separated by berm/barrier. Explain:
		(d) Proximity (Relationship) to TNW Project wetlands are Pick List river miles from TNW. Project waters are Pick List aerial (straight) miles from TNW. Flow is from: Pick List. Estimate approximate location of wetland as within the Pick List floodplain.
	(ii)	Chemical Characteristics: Characterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed characteristics; etc.). Explain: Identify specific pollutants, if known:
	(iii)	Biological Characteristics. Wetland supports (check all that apply): Riparian buffer. Characteristics (type, average width): Vegetation type/percent cover. Explain:

	Habitat for:			
	Federally Listed species.	Explain findings:		
	Fish/spawn areas. Explain	1		
	Other environmentally-se	0	plain findings:	
	Aquatic/wildlife diversity			
	Aquatic/wilding diversity	. Explain initings.	•	
			40	
3.				
	All wetland(s) being considered in		,	
	Approximately () acres in	total are being cons	sidered in the cumulative analy	ysis.
	For each wetland, specify the follo	owing:		
	<u>Directly abuts? (Y/N)</u>	Size (in acres)	Directly abuts? (Y/N)	Size (in acres)

Summarize overall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed:

C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Draw connections between the features documented and the effects on the TNW, as identified in the *Rapanos* Guidance and discussed in the Instructional Guidebook. Factors to consider include, for example:

- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to carry pollutants or flood waters to TNWs, or to reduce the amount of pollutants or flood waters reaching a TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), provide habitat and lifecycle support functions for fish and other species, such as feeding, nesting, spawning, or rearing young for species that are present in the TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to transfer nutrients and organic carbon that support downstream foodwebs?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have other relationships to the physical, chemical, or biological integrity of the TNW?

Note: the above list of considerations is not inclusive and other functions observed or known to occur should be documented below:

- 1. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW that has no adjacent wetlands and flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary itself, then go to Section III.D:
- 2. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW and its adjacent wetlands, where the non-RPW flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:
- 3. Significant nexus findings for wetlands adjacent to an RPW but that do not directly abut the RPW. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:

Documentation for the Record only: Significant nexus findings for seasonal RPWs and/or wetlands abutting seasonal RPWs: The sPRW on site, which is an unnamed tributary of Brunson Branch, is contributing vital biological, chemical, and physical functions to the downstream TNW. This tributary provides seasonally flooded habitat for water-dependant species and a corridor underneath US Hwy. 378/76 to the downstream TNW. This tributary also acts as a filter for pollutants received from

the adjacent ag. fields and forested wetlands and uplands as well as stormwater runoff from the nearby highly developed urban land. This seasonal RPW receives overland sheetflow and flow from the remaining offsite portion of the braided stream system and acts as a catch basin to reduce the downstream peak flows. Based on the above information and a previous significant nexus determination of this tributary (SAC 2011-00301-4M), the seasonal RPW was determined to have a significant nexus to the Black River.

D.		TERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL AT APPLY):
	1.	TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands. Check all that apply and provide size estimates in review area: TNWs: linear feet width (ft), Or, acres. Wetlands adjacent to TNWs: acres.
	2.	RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Tributaries of TNWs where tributaries typically flow year-round are jurisdictional. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial:
		Tributaries of TNW where tributaries have continuous flow "seasonally" (e.g., typically three months each year) are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.B. Provide rationale indicating that tributary flows seasonally: The sRPW located on the northern property boundary was determined to have seasonal flow based on a review of the aerials, soil survey, NWIs, as well as site visits and a previous determination. This tributary is depicted on the aerial as a linear feature located along the northwestern property line and is surrounded by wetlands (PSS1Ad and PF04/1Ad) according to the NWIs and Coxville (a hydric soil) according to the soil survey. This feature is not depicted on the topographic map but is depicted as a dashed drainage feature on the Sumter Co. Soil Survey (pg. 70). A previous determination on the adjacent property located northwest of this site determined that this tributary has seasonal flow. This tributary was observed during both site visits and has an OHWM and bed and banks. No water was observed in the channel. This tributary continues northeast off of the property and under US Hwy. 378/76 via a culvert before it flows into a perennial RPW named Brunson Branch. Brunson Branch flows into Rocky Bluff Swam (pRPW), which flows into Scape Ore Swamp (pRPW) and then into the Black River (TNW).
		Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply): Tributary waters: 920 linear feet 5 width (ft). Other non-wetland waters: acres. Identify type(s) of waters: .
	3.	Non-RPWs ⁸ that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Waterbody that is not a TNW or an RPW, but flows directly or indirectly into a TNW, and it has a significant nexus with a TNW is jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
		Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters within the review area (check all that apply): Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft). Other non-wetland waters: acres. Identify type(s) of waters: .
	4.	Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Wetlands directly abut RPW and thus are jurisdictional as adjacent wetlands. Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow year-round. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:
		Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow "seasonally." Provide data indicating that tributary is seasonal in Section III.B and rationale in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:
		Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.
	5.	Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Wetlands that do not directly abut an RPW, but when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacen and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisidictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
		Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.
	6.	Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.

⁸See Footnote # 3.

		Wetlands adjacent to such waters, and have when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
	Pro	ovide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.
	As	a general rule, the impoundment of a jurisdictional tributary remains jurisdictional. Demonstrate that impoundment was created from "waters of the U.S.," or Demonstrate that water meets the criteria for one of the categories presented above (1-6), or Demonstrate that water is isolated with a nexus to commerce (see E below).
E.	DEGRASUCH Which	TED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, ADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): 10 ch are or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes. In which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce. In characteristic commerce is could be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce. In the state isolated waters. Explain: In the state isolated waters. Explain:
	Identify	water body and summarize rationale supporting determination:
	Trib Oth	estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply): outary waters: linear feet width (ft). er non-wetland waters: acres. Identify type(s) of waters: clands: acres.
F.	□ If p We □ Rev □	URISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers etland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements. Wiew area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce. Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based solely on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR). aters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain: her: (explain, if not covered above):
	factors (judgmer No Lal Oth	acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the <u>sole</u> potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional nt (check all that apply): n-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet width (ft). kes/ponds: acres. her non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: etlands: acres.
	a finding No Lal	acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such g is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply): n-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet, width (ft). kes/ponds: acres. her non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: etlands: acres.
SE	CTION I	V: DATA SOURCES.
A.		RTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked uested appropriately reference sources below):

Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: **Report by S&ME, Plat by Cox Surveyors**.

⁹ To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.
¹⁰ Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.

\boxtimes	Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.
	Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.
	Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.
	Data sheets prepared by the Corps: .
	Corps navigable waters' study:
	U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:
	USGS NHD data.
	USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.
\boxtimes	U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Ĉite scale & quad name: Sumter East.
\boxtimes	USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: pg. 70.
\boxtimes	National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: U42P , PF01Ad .
	State/Local wetland inventory map(s): .
	FEMA/FIRM maps: .
	100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929)
\boxtimes	Photographs: Aerial (Name & Date): 99:11204:98; SC DNR 2006.
	or \square Other (Name & Date):
	Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter:
	Applicable/supporting case law: .
	Applicable/supporting scientific literature: .
\boxtimes	Other information (please specify): Previous determination on adjacent property which includes sRPW (SAC 2011-00301-
4M:	letter dated June 30, 2011).

B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD: The sRPW located on the northwestern property boundary was determined to have seasonal flow based on a review of the aerials, soil survey, NWIs, as well as site visits and a previous determination. This tributary is depicted on the aerial as a linear feature located along the northwestern property line and is surrounded by wetlands (PSS1Ad and PF04/1Ad) according to the NWIs and Coxville (a hydric soil) according to the soil survey. This feature is not depicted on the topographic map but is depicted as a dashed drainage feature on the Sumter Co. Soil Survey (pg. 70). A previous determination on the adjacent property (SAC 2011-00301-4M) located northwest of this site determined that this tributary has seasonal flow. This tributary was observed during both site visits and has an OHWM and bed and banks. No water was observed in the channel. This tributary continues northeast off of the property and under US Hwy. 378/76 via a culvert before it flows into a perennial RPW named Brunson Branch. Brunson Branch flows into Rocky Bluff Swamp (pRPW), which flows into Scape Ore Swamp (pRPW) and then into the Black River (TNW).