APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

Form 6 of 7 Relevant Reach Mill Branch 1

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION

A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): July 28, 2011

B.	DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: Charleston, Mead Westvaco, SAC 2008-00860-2JY
C.	PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION: State: South Carolina County/parish/borough: Berkeley City: Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 33.16242 °N, Long80.21858°E. Universal Transverse Mercator: Name of nearest waterbody: Mill Branch Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) into which the aquatic resource flows: Ashley River Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): 3050206
	Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request. Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.
D.	REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):
	☐ Office (Desk) Determination. Date: ☐ Field Determination. Date(s): 4-7-2009, 11-30-2009, 1-19-2011, 1-26-2011, 1-28-2011, 5-6-2011, 5-19-2011 Chamberlain/Crosby/Darden/Green/Socha
	CTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
	re Are no "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the ew area. [Required] Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide. Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce Explain:
В. (CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
The	re Are "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area. [Required]
	1. Waters of the U.S. a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply): TNWs, including territorial seas Wetlands adjacent to TNWs Relatively permanent waters ² (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Impoundments of jurisdictional waters Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands
	b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area: Non-wetland waters: linear feet: width (ft) and/or acres. Wetlands: 40.6548 acres.
	c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction based on: 1987 Delineation Manual Elevation of established OHWM (if known):
	2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable): ³ [Including potentially jurisdictional features that upon
	a garagest and and NI VI makens are making day

drainage. These non-jurisdictional features are addressed on Jurisdictional Determination Sheet 7 of 7.

Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain: One isolated, depressional wetland was noted on the portion of the subject property associated with the Mill Branch

¹ Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.

² For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).

Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS

A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over TNWs and wetlands adjacent to TNWs. If the aquatic resource is a TNW, complete Section III.A.1 and Section III.D.1. only; if the aquatic resource is a wetland adjacent to a TNW, complete Sections III.A.1 and 2 and Section III.D.1.; otherwise, see Section III.B below.

1.	TNW Identify TNW:	
	Summarize rationale supporting determination: .	
2.	Wetland adjacent to TNW Summarize rationale supporting conclusion that wetland is "adjacent":	

B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):

This section summarizes information regarding characteristics of the tributary and its adjacent wetlands, if any, and it helps determine whether or not the standards for jurisdiction established under *Rapanos* have been met.

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over non-navigable tributaries of TNWs where the tributaries are "relatively permanent waters" (RPWs), i.e. tributaries that typically flow year-round or have continuous flow at least seasonally (e.g., typically 3 months). A wetland that directly abuts an RPW is also jurisdictional. If the aquatic resource is not a TNW, but has year-round (perennial) flow, skip to Section III.D.2. If the aquatic resource is a wetland directly abutting a tributary with perennial flow, skip to Section III.D.4.

A wetland that is adjacent to but that does not directly abut an RPW requires a significant nexus evaluation. Corps districts and EPA regions will include in the record any available information that documents the existence of a significant nexus between a relatively permanent tributary that is not perennial (and its adjacent wetlands if any) and a traditional navigable water, even though a significant nexus finding is not required as a matter of law.

If the waterbody is not an RPW, or a wetland directly abutting an RPW, a JD will require additional data to determine if the waterbody has a significant nexus with a TNW. If the tributary has adjacent wetlands, the significant nexus evaluation must consider the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands. This significant nexus evaluation that combines, for analytical purposes, the tributary and all of its adjacent wetlands is used whether the review area identified in the JD request is the tributary, or its adjacent wetlands, or both. If the JD covers a tributary with adjacent wetlands, complete Section III.B.1 for the tributary, Section III.B.2 for any onsite wetlands, and Section III.B.3 for all wetlands adjacent to that tributary, both onsite and offsite. The determination whether a significant nexus exists is determined in Section III.C below.

1. Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

(i) General Area Conditions:

Watershed size: 100,364 acres

Drainage area: 123.77 acres Drainage areas were approximated for all tributaries that were evaluated as part of the Significant Nexus Determinations performed for this JD. These areas were drawn based on apparent flow pathways and drainage areas associated with the subject relevant reach using USGS quad mapping, aerial photography, and observations of connectivity and direction of flow made in the field. The intended value of the drainage area maps is to document the full collection of wetlands adjacent to the relevant reach, and not to assert that the mapping represents more than approximation with respect to actual area.

Average annual rainfall: 50 inches Average annual snowfall: 0 inches

(ii) Physical Characteristics:

Relationship with TNW:
☐ Tributary flows directly into TNW.
☐ Tributary flows through 2 tributaries before entering TNW.

Project waters are Project waters are 1 (or less) river miles from RPW.

⁴ Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid West.

		Project waters are 10-15 aerial (straight) miles from TNW. Project waters are 1 (or less) aerial (straight) miles from RPW. Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain:
		Identify flow route to TNW ⁵ : Mill Branch (RPW) to Partridge Creek (RPW) to Cypress Swamp (RPW) to Ashley River (TNW). Tributary stream order, if known:
	(b)	General Tributary Characteristics (check all that apply): Tributary is: Natural Artificial (man-made). Explain: Manipulated (man-altered). Explain:
feature is off	site a	Tributary properties with respect to top of bank (estimate): Physical aspects of Mill Branch were not recorded as this and there are no public roads across this feature that would provide access. Average width: 10 feet Average depth: 1 feet Average side slopes: 4:1 (or greater).
		Primary tributary substrate composition (check all that apply): Silts Sands Concrete Cobbles Gravel Muck Bedrock Vegetation. Type/% cover: Other. Explain:
		Tributary condition/stability [e.g., highly eroding, sloughing banks]. Explain: stable. Presence of run/riffle/pool complexes. Explain: NA. Tributary geometry: Meandering Tributary gradient (approximate average slope): 1 %
	(c)	Flow: Tributary provides for: Seasonal flow Estimate average number of flow events in review area/year: 11-20 Describe flow regime: Other information on duration and volume: unknown.
		Surface flow is: Discrete. Characteristics:
		Subsurface flow: Unknown. Explain findings:
		Tributary has (check all that apply): Bed and banks OHWM ⁶ (check all indicators that apply): clear, natural line impressed on the bank changes in the character of soil destruction of terrestrial vegetation the presence of wrack line sediment sorting leaf litter disturbed or washed away sediment deposition water staining other (list): Discontinuous OHWM. Explain:
		If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction (check all that apply): High Tide Line indicated by:

⁵ Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW. ⁶A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody's flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break. ⁷Ibid.

			ther (list):
	(iii)	Cha	emical Characteristics: aracterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.). Explain: Dry at the time of site visit. httify specific pollutants, if known:Unknown
	(iv)	Bio	logical Characteristics. Channel supports (check all that apply): Riparian corridor. Characteristics (type, average width): Wetland fringe. Characteristics: Habitat for: Federally Listed species. Explain findings: Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings: Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings: Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings: Common Southeastern herptiles.
2.	Cha	aract	eristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW
	(i)		General Wetland Characteristics: Properties: Wetland size:40.6548 acres Wetland type. Explain:Palustrine forested. Wetland quality. Explain: Moderately high after cutover. Project wetlands cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain:
		(b)	General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW: Flow is: Intermittent flow. Explain: Flow is seasonal.
			Surface flow is: Discrete and confined Characteristics: .
			Subsurface flow: Unknown . Explain findings:
. Th	is of	f-site	Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW: □ Directly abutting □ Not directly abutting □ Discrete wetland hydrologic connection. Explain: Wetland Z is connected to the tributary to Mill Branch by a 30 nder Lebanon Road (S-8-47). The off-site tributary to Mill Branch is shown on the USGS Quad map as a blue-line stream system includes a larger wetland that extends approximately 2,900 feet to Mill Branch and is clearly shown on the aerial stinct band of wetland vegetation. □ Ecological connection. Explain: □ Separated by berm/barrier. Explain:
		(d)	Proximity (Relationship) to TNW Project wetlands are 25-30 river miles from TNW. Project waters are 25-30 aerial (straight) miles from TNW. Flow is from: Wetland to navigable waters. Estimate approximate location of wetland as within the 100 - 500-year floodplain.
	(ii)	Cha	emical Characteristics: aracterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed characteristics; etc.). Explain: Unknown, dry at time of site visit. attify specific pollutants, if known: Unknown .
	(iii) Bio	logical Characteristics. Wetland supports (check all that apply): Riparian buffer. Characteristics (type, average width): Vegetation type/percent cover. Explain: Habitat for: Federally Listed species. Explain findings: Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings: Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings: Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings: Common Southeastern herptile species.

3. Characteristics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any)

All wetland(s) being considered in the cumulative analysis: **1**Approximately (40.6548) acres in total are being considered in the cumulative analysis.

For each wetland, specify the following:

	Directly abuts? (Y/N)	Size (in acres)	Directly abuts? (Y/N)	Size (in acres)
Wetland Z	N	40.6548		
Offsite wetlan	ds Y	18.35		

Summarize overall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed: The forested palustrine wetlands which are similarly situated and adjacent to the seasonal RPW are collectively performing functions consistent with the following: Biological – wetlands adjacent to the RPW include bottomland swamp wetlands. As such a broad variety of biological functions are being performed which include providing breeding grounds and shelter for aquatic herptile species, foraging areas for wetland dependent bird and mammal species, and important spawning areas for fish species that inhabit the main channel as adults. These wetlands are essential in providing organic carbon in the form of their collective primary productivity to downstream waters, resulting in the nourishment of the downstream food web. Chemical - Wetlands in the review area are providing the important collective functions of removal of excess nutrients which are contributed by runoff from the surrounding uplands, reducing nitrogen and phosphorus loading downstream, and effectively preventing oxygen depletion that can result from eutrophication. Some of the wetlands in this review area have been ditched historically (rice culture) which likely has reduced the effectiveness of some of the wetlands' nutrient removal function. Physical – Wetlands in the review area are collectively performing flow maintenance functions including retaining runoff inflow and temporary flood water storage. Flow maintenance results in the reduction of downstream peak flows (discharge and volume), helping to maintain seasonal flow volumes

C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Draw connections between the features documented and the effects on the TNW, as identified in the *Rapanos* Guidance and discussed in the Instructional Guidebook. Factors to consider include, for example:

- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to carry pollutants or flood waters to TNWs, or to reduce the amount of pollutants or flood waters reaching a TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), provide habitat and lifecycle support functions for fish and other species, such as feeding, nesting, spawning, or rearing young for species that are present in the TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to transfer nutrients and organic carbon that support downstream foodwebs?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have other relationships to the physical, chemical, or biological integrity of the TNW?

Note: the above list of considerations is not inclusive and other functions observed or known to occur should be documented below:

- 1. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW that has no adjacent wetlands and flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary itself, then go to Section III.D:
- 2. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW and its adjacent wetlands, where the non-RPW flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:
- 3. Significant nexus findings for wetlands adjacent to an RPW but that do not directly abut the RPW. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D: A significant nexus was conducted on this portion of the subject property since the wetlands are adjacent to, but do not abut Mill Branch (RPW) that flows away from the project boundary. The subject property is part of the Cooper River Basin

which encompasses eight watersheds and 843 square miles. The Ashley River watershed which includes this portion of the subject property is 100,364 acres in size. Berkeley and Charleston County soil surveys, USGS quad maps, and aerial infra-red photos were reviewed to determine how the subject property fits into the context of its relevant reach, Mill Branch and the Ashley River. The relevant reach for this portion of the property includes approximately 64.1132 acres of wetlands draining into the Ashley River. These wetlands represent 95% of the wetlands in the 123.77 acre drainage area. The subject relevant reach is 25 miles from the receiving Ashley River, a TNW and a Section 10 water. Jurisdictional wetlands on site total 2,415.8758 acres, 40.6548 acres are addressed on this JD sheet. 69.0482 acres of isolated, non-jurisdictional wetlands are addressed on JD sheet 7. This JD sheet addresses wetland Z which is adjacent to and drains to Mill Branch, a named tributary to the Ashley River. Mill Branch is shown as named blue line stream on the USGS quad map. This feature, approximately 1.25 miles long and visible on aerial photos as a distinct line, was considered to be a RPW. Mill Branch flows north to Partridge Creek (RPW) east to Cypress Swamp (RPW) and then south to the Ashley River, a TNW and Section 10 water which drains into Charleston Harbor. Impacts to this relevant reach have been primarily limited to scattered residential homes and constant long term silvicultural activities in the surrounding uplands. Since the area has been under constant silviculture for so long, the water quality and biological integrity have been reduced in comparison to an undisturbed forest. The forested palustrine wetlands which are similarly situated and adjacent to the seasonal RPW are collectively performing functions consistent with the following: Biological – wetlands adjacent to the RPW include bottomland swamp and depressional wetlands. As such a broad variety of biological functions are being performed which include providing breeding grounds and shelter for aquatic species, foraging areas for wetland dependent species, and important spawning areas for fish species that inhabit the main channel as adults. These wetlands are essential in providing organic carbon in the form of their collective primary productivity to downstream waters, resulting in the nourishment of the downstream food web. Chemical - Wetlands in the review area are providing the important collective functions of removal of excess nutrients which are contributed by runoff from the surrounding uplands, reducing nitrogen and phosphorus loading downstream, and effectively preventing oxygen depletion that can result from eutrophication. Some of the adjacent wetlands in this review area have been ditched which likely has reduced the effectiveness of some of the wetlands' nutrient removal function. Physical – Wetlands in the review area are collectively performing flow maintenance functions including retaining runoff inflow and temporary flood water storage. Flow maintenance results in the reduction of downstream peak flows (discharge and volume), helping to maintain seasonal flow volumes. Based on the collective functions described above and their importance to the biological, chemical, and physical integrity of the traditional navigable waters of the Ashley River, this office has determined that there is a Signifcant Nexus between the review area Relevant Reach and its adjacent wetlands and the downstream TNW.

D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

1.	TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands. Check all that apply and provide size estimates in review area: TNWs: linear feet width (ft), Or, acres. Wetlands adjacent to TNWs: acres.
2.	 RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. (OFFSITE) □ Tributaries of TNWs where tributaries typically flow year-round are jurisdictional. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial: □ Tributaries of TNW where tributaries have continuous flow "seasonally" (e.g., typically three months each year) are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.B. Provide rationale indicating that tributary flows seasonally: Mill Branch (RPW) is off site and cannot be reached by public right-of-way. Mill Branch is shown as a named, blue-line stream on the USGS Quad map and is assumed to be seasonal based on the other streams in the general area.
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply): Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft). Other non-wetland waters: acres. Identify type(s) of waters: .
3.	Non-RPWs ⁸ that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Waterbody that is not a TNW or an RPW, but flows directly or indirectly into a TNW, and it has a significant nexus with a TNW is jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters within the review area (check all that apply): Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft). Other non-wetland waters: acres. Identify type(s) of waters: .
4.	Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Wetlands directly abut RPW and thus are jurisdictional as adjacent wetlands.

⁸See Footnote # 3.

6

	Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow year-round. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:
	Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow "seasonally." Provide data indicating that tributary is seasonal in Section III.B and rationale in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:
	Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.
5.	Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Wetlands that do not directly abut an RPW, but when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisidictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
	Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: 40.6548 acres.
6.	Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Wetlands adjacent to such waters, and have when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.
7.	As a general rule, the impoundment of a jurisdictional tributary remains jurisdictional. Demonstrate that impoundment was created from "waters of the U.S.," or Demonstrate that water meets the criteria for one of the categories presented above (1-6), or Demonstrate that water is isolated with a nexus to commerce (see E below).
DE SU	DLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, GRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY CH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): 10 which are or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes. from which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce. which are or could be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce. Interstate isolated waters. Explain: Other factors. Explain:
Ide	entify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination:
Pro	ovide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply): Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft). Other non-wetland waters: acres. Identify type(s) of waters: Wetlands: acres.
	ON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements. Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce. Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based solely on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR). Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain: Other: (explain, if not covered above):

E.

F.

⁹ To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.
¹⁰ Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.

factors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture) judgment (check all that apply): Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet width (ft).	using best professional
	, using best professionar
Lakes/ponds: acres.	
Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource:	
Wetlands: acres.	
Wettands: Refes.	
Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nex a finding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply):	cus" standard, where such
Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet, width (ft).	
Lakes/ponds: acres.	
Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: .	
Wetlands: acres.	
wetlands. acres.	
SECTION IV: DATA SOURCES.	
SECTIONIV. DATA SOURCES.	
A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case	file and where checked
and requested, appropriately reference sources below):	The and, where enceked
Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: Adam Bradshaw/Newkirk	Environmental
Inc	Environmental,
Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant. Adam Bradshaw/Newkirk Env	vironmantal Inc
☐ Data sheets prepared/submitted by of on behalf of the applicant/consultant. Adam Bradshaw/Newkirk Env	monniental, mc.
Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.	
Data sheets prepared by the Corps: .	
Corps navigable waters' study:	
U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:	
USGS NHD data.	
USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.	
	50
	s 53.
 ☑ U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: Pringletown. ☑ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: Berkeley County Soil Survey, page ☑ National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: Pringletown 11204:27 	s 53.
 ☑ U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: Pringletown. ☑ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: Berkeley County Soil Survey, page ☑ National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: Pringletown 11204:27 ☑ State/Local wetland inventory map(s): 	es 53.
 U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: Pringletown. USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: Berkeley County Soil Survey, page National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: Pringletown 11204:27 State/Local wetland inventory map(s): FEMA/FIRM maps: 	es 53.
 U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: Pringletown. USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: Berkeley County Soil Survey, page National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: Pringletown 11204:27 State/Local wetland inventory map(s): FEMA/FIRM maps: 100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929) 	es 53.
 U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: Pringletown. USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: Berkeley County Soil Survey, page National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: Pringletown 11204:27 State/Local wetland inventory map(s): FEMA/FIRM maps: 100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929) Photographs: Aerial (Name & Date): 99:11204:27. 	es 53.
 U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: Pringletown. USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: Berkeley County Soil Survey, page National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: Pringletown 11204:27 State/Local wetland inventory map(s): FEMA/FIRM maps: 100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929) Photographs: Aerial (Name & Date): 99:11204:27. or ☐ Other (Name & Date): 	es 53.
U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: Pringletown. USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: Berkeley County Soil Survey, page National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: Pringletown 11204:27 State/Local wetland inventory map(s): FEMA/FIRM maps: 100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929) Photographs: Aerial (Name & Date): 99:11204:27. or ☐ Other (Name & Date): Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter:	es 53.
U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: Pringletown. USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: Berkeley County Soil Survey, page National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: Pringletown 11204:27 State/Local wetland inventory map(s): FEMA/FIRM maps: 100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929) Photographs: Aerial (Name & Date): 99:11204:27. or ☐ Other (Name & Date): Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter: Applicable/supporting case law:	es 53.
U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: Pringletown. USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: Berkeley County Soil Survey, page National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: Pringletown 11204:27 State/Local wetland inventory map(s): FEMA/FIRM maps: 100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929) Photographs: ☑ Aerial (Name & Date): 99:11204:27. or ☐ Other (Name & Date): Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter: Applicable/supporting case law: Applicable/supporting scientific literature:	es 53.
U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: Pringletown. USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: Berkeley County Soil Survey, page National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: Pringletown 11204:27 State/Local wetland inventory map(s): FEMA/FIRM maps: 100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929) Photographs: Aerial (Name & Date): 99:11204:27. or Other (Name & Date): Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter: Applicable/supporting case law:	es 53.

B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD: This JD form documents the jurisdictional status of 40.6548 acres of wetlands on the subject property as well as 18.35 acres off site. Non-jurisdictional freshwater wetlands (69.0482 acres) are discussed on Form 7 of 7. The jurisdictional wetlands drain to Mill Branch (RPW) north to Partridge Creek (RPW) east to Cypress Swamp (RPW) and then south to the Ashley River, a TNW and a Section 10 water. All of the jurisdictional wetlands are non-abuting, therefore a Significant Nexus Determination was performed. This SND includes wetlands on site as well as those associated more closely with Mill Branch drain to the Ashley River drainage outside of the project boundary. Based on the documentation provided in Section III, C of this form, the nexus between Mill Branch and adjacent wetlands and the Ashley River, the downstream TNW, is a Significant Nexus and on this basis all wetlands documented on this form are within the jurisdiction of the Clean Water Act.