National Marine Sanctuaries National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration



Comprehensive Regulatory Review Status Update and Next Steps

A Presentation to the Sanctuary Advisory Council

Steve Thur, Ph.D. October 25, 2011





FLORIDA KEYS

Purpose of Today

1. Provide the SAC information on actions taken in the past two months concerning the comprehensive regulatory review.

2. Discuss with the SAC next steps to implement the Regulatory and Marine Zoning Action Plans

Recap of August SAC Meeting

- Drivers for undertaking the CRR
- Existing Sanctuary-wide regulations
- Types of marine zones
- Existing zone-specific regulations
- 2008 SAC Marine Zoning Workshop

- 6 overarching zoning principles

- 8 determinations on current marine zones

Unanimously adopted a resolution to undertake CRR

What Will the Review Likely Entail?

- 1. Evaluate the FKNMS Boundaries
- 2. Assess current Sanctuary-wide regulations
- 3. Review types, locations, size, shape, and number of marine zones
- 4. Assess current zone-specific regulations







What Will the Review Entail?

Partner Participation

- State of Florida DEP and FWC
- Fishery Management Councils
- USFWS, NPS, USEPA, Monroe County, towns

Public Participation

- Sanctuary Advisory Council process
- Public Scoping Meetings
- Solicitation of comments on potential alternatives
- Meetings with stakeholder constituencies

September Meetings

St. Petersburg

- 1. Gil McRae, Director, FWRI
- 2. Henry Norris, Section Leader, FWRI David Pallandro, Research Scientist, FWRI
- 3. Roy Crabtree, Regional Administrator, NMFS Miles Croom, Deputy Regional Administrator, NMFS
- 4. Karen Raine, Office of General Counsel, NOAA
- 5. Tracy Dunn, Act. Special Agent in Charge, NOAA OLE

September Meetings

Tallahassee

- 1. Larry Nall, Acting Director, CAMA, FDEP
- 2. Bob Ballard, Deputy Secretary, FDEP*
- 3. Lt. Col. Calvin Adams, FWC*

September Meetings

Silver Spring

- 1. Holly Bamford, Dep. Assistant Administrator, NOS
- 2. Pat Montanio, Act. Dep. Assistant Administrator, NMFS and Director, NMFS Office of Habitat Conservation
- **3. Jennifer Lukens,** Director, NOAA Coastal and Marine Spatial Planning Program
- 4. John Christensen, Director, NOAA Coral Reef Conservation Program
- **5. Emily Menashes,** Act. Director, NMFS Office of Sustainable Fisheries

Recommendations

- Dedicate time each meeting to contribute to the CRR
- Establish a CRR topic-specific schedule so FKNMS can arrange presentations
- Limit CRR discussion each meeting to the scheduled topic
- Engage in robust discussion, but seek to develop <u>clear</u>, <u>approved advice</u> during each meeting

Recommendations

December

February

April & June What Will the Review Likely Entail?

- 1. Evaluate the FKNMS Boundaries
- 2. Assess current Sanctuarywide regulations
- 3. Review types, locations, size, shape, and number of marine zones
- 4. Assess current zonespecific regulations



Steven.Thur@noaa.gov

2008 Marine Zoning Workshop

- Attended by 11 SAC members, 6 alternates, numerous gov't staff, public
- Five questions were posed
 - 1. Do the <u>zone types</u> address the FKNMS purpose?
 - 2. Are the zones <u>sized appropriately</u>?
 - 3. Are the zones located & arranged to meet the purposes?
 - 4. Are there <u>unintended or undesirable</u> consequences?
 - 5. Does research detect <u>effectiveness</u> of the zones?

Synthesis: Zoning Principles

- 1. Recognize bordering and overlapping marine management regimes
- 2. Create a "general use" or "multiple use" zone
- 3. Each of 9 habitat types should be represented in a non-extractive zone in each of 4 subregions
- 4. Take into account resilient reef areas
- 5. Consider temporal zoning
- 6. Individual size, total area, and spatial relationship of zones matter greatly for resource protection

Workshop Determinations

- 1. Current cumulative size of non-extractive zones is insufficient for resource protection
- 2. New large SPAs/ERs and expansion of existing SPAs are warranted
- 3. Special Use Area Designation not being fully utilized (Restoration & Recovery)
- 4. Temporal zoning is absent

Workshop Determinations

- 5. Gulf/Bay habitats unrepresented in zones
- 6. Connectivity inshore to offshore not realized in Marquesas, Middle, and Upper
- 7. Connectivity from Gulf/Bay to Atlantic not realized anywhere in FKNMS
- 8. Research & monitoring results not getting to public

NOAA's Florida Keys National Marine Sanctuary

