

TABLE OF CONTENTS

VOLUME 1

1.0 INTRODUCTION.....	1
1.1 Introduction.....	1
1.2 The Tennessee Valley Authority	1
1.3 History of the TVA Power System.....	2
1.4 Purpose and Need for Integrated Resource Planning	5
1.5 The Integrated Resource Planning Process	5
1.6 The TVA Strategic Plan and Vision.....	6
1.7 The TVA Environmental Policy	8
1.8 Scoping and Public Involvement.....	9
1.8.1 Scoping	9
1.8.2 Public Briefings	12
1.8.3 Stakeholder Review Group.....	12
1.8.4 Public Review of the Draft IRP and EIS	12
1.9 Statutory Overview	13
1.10 Relationship with Other NEPA Reviews.....	14
1.11 EIS Overview.....	16
2.0 TVA'S RESOURCE PLANNING PROCESS.....	19
2.1. Introduction.....	19
2.2. Need for Power Analysis.....	19
2.2.1. Load Forecasting Methodology	19
2.2.2. Forecast Accuracy	21
2.2.3. Peak Load and Net System Energy Forecasts.....	21
2.2.4. Power Supply Resources	23
2.2.5. Capacity and Energy	24
2.2.6. 2010 Resource Mix.....	24
2.2.7. Assessment of Need for Power	25
2.3. Scenario Development.....	28
2.4. Planning Strategies	33
2.5. Portfolio Development.....	35
2.6. Portfolio and Strategy Evaluation Metrics	36
3.0 THE TVA POWER SYSTEM	39
3.1. Introduction.....	39
3.2. TVA Customers, Sales, and Power Exchanges.....	39
3.3. TVA-Owned Generating Facilities.....	40
3.4. Purchased Power.....	47
3.5. Demand-Side Management Programs.....	49
3.6. Transmission System	54
4.0 AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT	57
4.1. Introduction.....	57
4.2. Climate	57
4.3. Air Quality.....	69
4.4. Regional Geology.....	90
4.5. Groundwater.....	96

4.6. Water Quality	99
4.7. Water Supply.....	101
4.8. Aquatic Life	108
4.9. Vegetation and Wildlife	110
4.10. Endangered and Threatened Species	114
4.11. Wetlands	115
4.12. Parks, Managed Areas, and Ecologically Significant Sites.....	116
4.13. Land Use.....	117
4.14. Cultural Resources.....	118
4.15. Socioeconomics	120
4.16. Solid and Hazardous Waste	126
4.17. Availability of Renewable Resources.....	130
4.17.1. Wind Energy Potential	131
4.17.2. Solar Energy Potential.....	132
4.17.3. Hydroelectric Energy Potential	134
4.17.4. Biomass Fuels Potential	135
5.0 ENERGY RESOURCE OPTIONS	139
5.1. Introduction	139
5.2. Options Evaluation Criteria	139
5.3. Options Excluded from Further Evaluation	139
5.4. Options Included in IRP Evaluation	142
5.4.1. Fossil-Fueled Generation	142
5.4.2. Nuclear Generation	146
5.4.3. Renewable Generation.....	147
5.4.4. Energy Storage.....	151
5.4.5. Energy Efficiency and Demand Response Options	154
6.0 ALTERNATIVES.....	157
6.1. Introduction	157
6.2. Strategies and Associated Resource Plans.....	157
6.2.1. Strategy B – Baseline Plan Resource Portfolio	157
6.2.2. Strategy A - Limited Change in Current Resource Portfolio.....	158
6.2.3. Strategy C - Diversity Focused Resource Portfolio	158
6.2.4. Strategy D - Nuclear Focused Resource Portfolio	159
6.2.5. Strategy E - EEDR and Renewables Focused Resource Portfolio	159
6.2.6. Strategy R - Recommended Planning Direction.....	160
6.3. Strategy and Portfolio Evaluation.....	161
6.4. Strategies and Alternatives	164
6.5. Preferred Alternative	164
6.6. Comparison of Environmental Impacts of the Alternatives	168
7.0 ANTICIPATED ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS	171
7.1. Introduction	171
7.2. Facility Siting and Review Processes	171
7.3. Environmental Impacts of Supply-Side Resource Options	172
7.3.1. Fossil-Fueled Generation	178
7.3.2. Nuclear Generation	180
7.3.3. Renewable Generation.....	180
7.3.4. Energy Storage.....	185
7.4. Environmental Impacts of Energy Efficiency and Demand Response Programs.....	185

7.5.	Environmental Impacts of Transmission Facility Construction and Operation.....	186
7.6.	Environmental Impacts of Alternative Resource Strategies and Portfolios.....	188
7.6.1.	Air Quality	188
7.6.2.	Greenhouse Gas Emissions and Climate Change	189
7.6.3.	Water Resources	197
7.6.4.	Fuel Consumption.....	200
7.6.5.	Solid Waste.....	204
7.6.6.	Land Requirements	207
7.6.7.	Socioeconomics.....	210
7.7.	Potential Mitigation Measures	211
7.8.	Unavoidable Adverse Environmental Impacts	211
7.9.	Relationship Between Short-Term Uses and Long-Term Productivity of the Human Environment.....	212
7.10.	Irreversible and Irretrievable Commitments of Resources.....	212
8.0	LITERATURE CITED.....	215
9.0	LIST OF PREPARERS	231
10.0	LIST OF AGENCIES, ORGANIZATIONS, AND PERSONS TO WHOM COPIES ARE SENT.....	237
11.0	GLOSSARY, ACRONYMS, AND ABBREVIATIONS	243
12.0	INDEX	253

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1-1.	IRP 2009 Public Scoping Meetings.....	9
Table 1-2.	Public Meetings Held in 2010 Following Release of Draft IRP and EIS.	13
Table 1-3.	Laws and executive orders relevant to the environmental effects of power system planning, construction, and operation.....	15
Table 2-1.	Attributes of the eight scenarios.....	30
Table 2-2.	Attributes of planning strategies.....	33
Table 2-3.	Attributes of the five planning strategies.....	34
Table 3-1.	TVA customers and power sales for fiscal years 2006-2010.....	40
Table 3-2.	Fiscal Year 2006-2010 TVA-Owned Generation by Type.....	41
Table 3-3.	Characteristics of TVA coal-fired generating facilities.....	42
Table 3-4.	TVA coal purchase contracts for 2011, in millions of tons, by mining region and mining method.....	44
Table 3-5.	Characteristics of TVA nuclear generating units.....	44
Table 3-6.	Characteristics of TVA natural gas-fueled plants.....	46
Table 3-7.	Major power purchase agreement contracts/facilities.....	48
Table 3-8.	Pending power purchase agreements resulting from the 2008 RFP for the delivery of renewable energy.	49
Table 4-1.	Monthly, seasonal, and annual temperature averages for six NWS stations in the TVA region for 1971-2000.....	58
Table 4-3.	Monthly, seasonal, and annual wind speed averages for nine sites in the TVA region for 1973-2000.....	61

Table 4-4.	Monthly, seasonal, and annual cloud cover averages for nine sites in the TVA region for 1973-2000.....	64
Table 4-5.	The major man-made greenhouse gases and their global warming potentials. Source: Forster et al. (2007).....	67
Table 4-6.	2008 global, United States, and TVA region CO ₂ emissions. Source: USEIA (2009, 2010).....	68
Table 4-7.	National Ambient Air Quality Standards.....	70
Table 4-8.	Aquifer, well, and water quality characteristics in the TVA region. Source: Webbers (2003).....	98
Table 4-9.	TVA reservoir ecological health ratings, major water quality concerns, and fish consumption advisories. Source: TVA Data at http://www.tva.com/environment/ecohealth/index.htm and state water quality reports.....	102
Table 4-10.	2005 water use for TVA coal-fired and nuclear generating plants. Source: Bohac and McCall (2008).....	106
Table 4-11.	Projected Browns Ferry and Watts Bar Nuclear Plant water use. Source: TVA data.....	107
Table 4-12.	TVA combined-cycle generating plant water use.....	108
Table 4-13.	Regional non-TVA power generation and thermoelectric water use.....	108
Table 4-14.	TVA region metropolitan areas (Source: Bureau of Census 2000a, 2010).....	122
Table 4-15.	Quantities (in kilograms) of hazardous wastes and other wastes requiring special handling produced by TVA generating facilities, 2006-2009. See text for descriptions of the waste classifications.....	127
Table 4-16.	2006 - 2009 coal combustion solid waste production and utilization.....	129
Table 4-17.	Quantity (in lbs.) and rate (in lbs/GWh) of low level waste generated at TVA nuclear plants, 2006-2009. Source: TVA data.....	130
Table 5-1.	Energy resource options identified during IRP scoping but excluded from further evaluation.....	140
Table 5-2.	Renewable generation capacity (in cumulative MW) expansion portfolio associated with Strategies C, D, and R.....	152
Table 5-3.	Renewable generation capacity expansion portfolio associated with Strategy E.....	153
Table 6-1.	Levels of EEDR, renewable additions, and coal capacity idled tested in the development of Strategy R.....	157
Table 6-2.	Cost and financial metrics for the 35 resource portfolios and averages for each Strategies A-E.....	161
Table 6-3.	Environmental and economic development metrics for the 35 resource portfolios and averages for Strategies A-E.....	162
Table 6-4.	Original planning strategies ranked by their total ranking metric scores for cost and financial risk factors.....	163
Table 6-5.	Planning Strategies B, C, E, and R ranked by their total ranking metric scores for cost and financial risk factors.....	164
Table 6-6.	The No Action Alternative - Strategy B - Baseline Plan Resource Portfolio. All listed capacities are in MW.....	165
Table 6-7.	Action Alternative - Strategy C - Diversity Focused Resource Portfolio. All listed capacities are in MW.....	166
Table 6-8.	Action Alternative - Strategy E - EEDR and Renewables Focused Resource Portfolio. All listed capacities are in MW.....	167

Table 6-9.	Action Alternative Strategy R - Recommended Planning Direction. All listed capacities are in MW.....	168
Table 7-1.	Environmental characteristics of current and committed supply-side options included in alternative strategies.....	174
Table 7-3.	Generic impacts of transmission system construction activities.....	187
Table 7-4.	Average percent reductions in CO ₂ emissions by strategy.....	194
Table 7-5.	Average percent reductions in CO ₂ intensity by strategy.....	194
Table 7-6.	Comparison of socioeconomic impacts of alternative strategies based on the percent difference from the no-action Strategy B/Scenario 7.....	211

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1-1.	The TVA region.....	2
Figure 2-1.	Peak load (top) and net system energy (bottom) forecasts for the baseline Scenario 7 - Reference Case: Spring 2010 and high- and low-growth scenarios.....	22
Figure 2-2.	Representative summer day load shape and use of peaking, intermediate, and base load generation.....	24
Figure 2-3.	2010 baseline portfolio firm capacity (left) and generation (right).....	25
Figure 2-4.	2010 - 2029 firm capacity under the 2010 baseline portfolio.....	26
Figure 2-5.	Capacity (top) and generation (bottom) gaps for the baseline Scenario 7 - Reference Case: Spring 2010 and lowest and highest scenarios.....	27
Figure 3-1.	Fiscal Year 2010 TVA-Owned Summer Generating Capacity by Type of Generation.....	41
Figure 3-2.	Fiscal year 2006-2010 coal purchases by mining region.....	43
Figure 3-3.	Cumulative demand reduction of TVA EEDR programs, fiscal years 1995-2008.....	50
Figure 3-4.	Fiscal year 2008-2012 demand reduction goals and achieved demand reduction.....	51
Figure 4-1.	1971-2000 TVA region annual average temperature (°F) based on data from six NWS stations.....	59
Figure 4-2.	Annual average precipitation (inches) for the Tennessee River basin. The straight line represents the mean change in annual precipitation for the period. Source: TVA rain gauge network data.....	60
Figure 4-3.	Prevailing wind direction for surface winds at nine regional airports, 1973-2000.....	62
Figure 4-4.	Annual median wind surface wind speeds for the TVA region, 1973-2008.....	63
Figure 4-5.	Observed annual observations and fitted trend lines for (a) cloud cover at the Chattanooga airport, (b) cloud cover at the Huntsville airport, (c) solar radiation at Sequoyah Nuclear Plant, and (d) solar radiation at the Browns Ferry Nuclear Plant for 1976/1977-2008.....	64
Figure 4-6.	Trends in cloud cover at nine sites in the TVA region for (a) 1973-2008, (b) 1973-1995, and (c) 1995-2008.....	66
Figure 4-7.	CO ₂ emissions from TVA power plants and other plants with long-term TVA power purchase agreements, 2000 - 2009.....	69
Figure 4-8.	Non-attainment areas for fine particles (PM _{2.5}).....	71

Figure 4-9.	Sulfur dioxide (SO_2) emissions in the TVA region in tons and percent by source. Source: VISTAS (2009).	72
Figure 4-10.	TVA sulfur dioxide (SO_2) emissions, 1974 - 2008. Source: TVA data.	73
Figure 4-11.	Regional average annual sulfur dioxide (SO_2) concentrations, 1979-2008. Source: EPA AQS Database.	73
Figure 4-12.	Nitrogen oxides (NO_x) emissions in the TVA region in tons and percent by source. Source: VISTAS (2009).	74
Figure 4-13.	Trends in TVA nitrogen oxides (NO_x) emissions, 1974 – 2008. Source: TVA data.	75
Figure 4-14.	Regional average annual nitrogen dioxide (NO_2) concentrations, 1979-2008. Source: EPA AQS Database.	76
Figure 4-15.	Volatile organic compounds emissions in the TVA region in tons and percent by source. Source: VISTAS (2009).	76
Figure 4-16.	Regional average annual ozone concentrations, 1979 – 2008. Source: EPA AQS Database.	77
Figure 4-17.	Fine particle ($\text{PM}_{2.5}$) primary emissions in the TVA region in tons and percent by source. Source: VISTAS (2009).	78
Figure 4-18.	Regional average annual particle concentrations, 1979 – 2008. Source: EPA AQS Database.	79
Figure 4-19.	Regional average annual fine particle ($\text{PM}_{2.5}$) concentrations, 1999 – 2008. Source: EPA AQS Database.	80
Figure 4-20.	Regional average annual carbon monoxide concentrations, 1979 – 2008. Source: EPA AQS Database.	81
Figure 4-21.	Regional average annual lead concentrations, 1979 – 2008. Source: EPA AQS Database.	82
Figure 4-22.	TVA Toxic Release Inventory (TRI) air emissions, 1999 – 2008. Source: TVA Form R Submittal to EPA TRI Database.	83
Figure 4-23.	TVA mercury air emissions, 2000 – 2008. Source: TVA Form R Submittal to EPA TRI Database.	84
Figure 4-24.	Total mercury wet deposition, 2007. Source: National Atmospheric Deposition Program / Mercury Deposition Network.	85
Figure 4-25.	Average mercury wet deposition in the TVA region, 2001 – 2007. Source: National Atmospheric Deposition Program / Mercury Deposition Network.	85
Figure 4-26.	Acid deposition trends in the TVA region, 1979 – 2008. Source: National Atmospheric Deposition Program.	86
Figure 4-27.	United States sulfate (SO_4) deposition in 1994 (top) and 2007 (bottom). Source: National Atmospheric Deposition Program / National Trends Network.	87
Figure 4-28.	Composition of visibility extinction at Great Smoky Mountains National Park on the best 20% days (top) and the worst 20% days (bottom). Source: IMPROVE 2007.	89
Figure 4-29.	Class I areas in and near the TVA region.	90
Figure 4-30.	Average annual visibility extinction in and near the TVA region on the worst 20% days and the best 20% days, 1990-2007. Source: IMPROVE Program.	91
Figure 4-31.	Physiographic areas of the TVA region. Adapted from Fenneman (1938).	92
Figure 4-32.	Saline formations in the southeastern United States potentially suitable for CO_2 storage. Source: NETL (2008).	95

Figure 4-33. Unmineable coal seams in the southeastern United States potentially suitable for CO ₂ storage. Source: NETL (2008).....	96
Figure 4-34. Oil and gas fields in the southeastern United States potentially suitable for CO ₂ storage. Source: NETL (2008).....	97
Figure 4-35. 2005 water withdrawals in the TVA region by source and type of use. Source: Kenny (2009).	104
Figure 4-36. Groundwater and surface water withdrawals by public supply systems in Tennessee, 1950 to 2005. Source: Adapted from Webbers (2003).	105
Figure 4-37. TVA region estimated 2009 population by county. Source: Bureau of Census (2010).....	121
Figure 4-38. Manufacturing employment as proportion of total employment in 2008. Source: USBEA (2010).	123
Figure 4-39. Agricultural employment as proportion of total employment in 2008. Source: USBEA (2010).	124
Figure 4-40. Per capita personal income in 2008. Source: USBEA (2010).....	125
Figure 4-41. Percent minority population of TVA region counties in 2008. Source: Bureau of Census (2009).	127
Figure 4-42. Percent of population below the poverty level in 2008. Source: Bureau of Census (2009).	128
Figure 4-43. Wind resource potential of the eastern and central U.S. at 50 m above ground. Areas unlikely to be available for wind power development due to land use or environmental issues are not mapped. Source: Adapted from NREL (2009b).....	132
Figure 4-44. Solar photovoltaic generation potential (left) and concentrating solar generating potential (right) in the TVA region. Source: Adapted from NREL (2009a)	133
Figure 4-45. Solar electric footprint of southeastern states (2003-2005) Source: Adapted from Denholm and Margolis (2007).....	134
Figure 4-46. 2015 region rooftop PV technical potential for states in the TVA region. Source: Adapted from Paidipati et al. (2008).....	135
Figure 4-47. Total biomass resources potentially available in the TVA region by county (top) and per square kilometer by county (bottom). Source: Adapted from Milbrandt (2005).	137
Figure 4-48. TVA region potential biomass resource supply (left) and generation (right). Source: Adapted from Milbrandt (2005 and NREL (2009c).....	138
Figure 7-1. Generation (and avoided generation) by source, strategy, and scenario for the 20-year planning period. Generation by other renewable sources (hydroelectric modernization, new hydrogeneration, landfill gas, solar) is not shown because of the small quantities.	189
Figure 7-2. Trends in SO ₂ emissions by scenario for (top to bottom) Strategies B, C, E, and R.....	190
Figure 7-3. Trends in NOx emissions by scenario for (top to bottom) Strategies B, C, E, and R.....	191
Figure 7-4. Trends in mercury (Hg) emissions by scenario for (top to bottom) Strategies B, C, E, and R.	192
Figure 7-5. 2010-2028 trends in direct CO ₂ emissions for (top to bottom) Strategies B, C, E, and R.	193
Figure 7-6. 2010-2028 trends in direct CO ₂ emissions for (top to bottom) Strategies B, C, E, and R.	195

Figure 7-7.	Trends in water use by coal, nuclear, and natural gas generating facilities by scenario for (top to bottom) Strategies B, C, E, and R.....	198
Figure 7-8.	Trends in water consumption by coal, nuclear, and natural gas generating facilities by scenario for (top to bottom) Strategies B, C, E, and R.....	199
Figure 7-9.	Trends in coal consumption by scenario for (top to bottom) Strategies B, C, E, and R	201
Figure 7-10.	Trends in nuclear fuel consumption by scenario for (top to bottom) Strategies B, C, E, and R.....	202
Figure 7-11.	Trends in natural gas consumption by scenario for (top to bottom) Strategies B, C, E, and R. The volume is based on the heat content of 1,025 Btu/cubic foot of natural gas used by the electric power sector in 2009 (USEIA 2010b).	203
Figure 7-12.	Trends in coal ash production by scenario for (top to bottom) Strategies B, C, E, and R.....	205
Figure 7-13.	Trends in scrubber waste production by scenario for (top to bottom) Strategies B, C, E, and R.....	206
Figure 7-14.	Trends in production of high and low level waste by scenario for (top to bottom) Strategies B, C, E, and R.....	208
Figure 7-15.	Land requirements for new generating facilities by type of generation, strategy, and scenario.....	209
Figure 7-16.	Life-cycle land requirements for generating facilities by type of generation, strategy, and scenario.....	210

VOLUME 2

1.0	INTRODUCTION AND OVERVIEW
2.0	RESPONSES TO COMMENTS
3.0	COMENTER INDEX
4.0.	AGENCY COMMENT LETTERS