

1	APPEARANCES:
2	Patrick Field, Facilitator
3	Meghan Conklin, Department of the Interior
4	Kallie Hanley, Department of the Interior
5	Dion Killsback, Bureau of Indian Affairs
6	Ray Joseph, Office of the Special Trustee
7	James Ferguson, Solicitor's Office
8	Bill Walker, Bureau of Indian Affairs
9	Eldred Lesansee, Office of the Special Trustee
10	Pat Girard, Office of the Special Trustee
11	Paul Rosetta, Santo Domingo Pueblo
12	Steve K. Boone, Zuni Pueblo
13	Shirley Bellson, Ramah Navajo
14	Ron Suppah, Warm Springs Oregon
15	Dixie Begay, Ramah Navajo
16	Frank E. Paul, Ramah Navajo
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1 PROCEEDINGS 2 Whereupon, the Trust Land Consolidation Program 3 4 Regional Tribal Consultation was commenced at 5 8:39 a.m. as follows: * * * 6 MR. PATRICK FIELD: Good morning, everybody. 7 8 We just wanted to check in this morning. In the 9 morning, the way the agenda is scheduled is the tribal leaders in government-to-government conversation will be 10 11 talking with the Bureau and Department folks. So we 12 want to make sure that if there's any tribal leaders 13 here who aren't at the table already, we want to make sure you are there. And what we do need to do is if you 14 are a tribal leader and are representing your tribe or 15 government, just go out to Regina and she will do a 16 placard for you and we make sure that you are at the 17 table. We want to make sure that we have full 18 government tribal representation at the table. So if 19 that's the case, just let Regina know, and she'll do a 20 plaque for you and we'll get you set. 21 * * * 22 MR. PATRICK FIELD: Good morning, everybody. 23 Thank you for coming out this morning. We really 24 appreciate you being here. It's very important to hear 25

1	everyone's voices on this important matter. My name is
2	Patrick Field, and I'm an independent facilitator in
3	helping with the consultations, including this one this
4	morning.
5	We want to start with a few folks giving us
6	opening prayers. So I'd like to turn to Mr. Yiyuk to
7	start and if you wouldn't mind coming up to the mike,
8	and we'll have Mr. Tribio do the second.
9	(All present stood for prayer by Yiyuk
10	Henry.)
11	MR. FLOYD TRIBIO: Good morning. I'd like
12	to welcome each and every one of you here to the
13	National Indian Programs Training Center. My name is
14	Floyd Tribio. I'm the deputy director of the training
15	center. I'm also an enrolled member of the Pueblo of
16	Zia. I'm very honored to present to you this morning
17	with an opening prayer in my native tongue, the Keres
18	language.
19	(All present stood for prayer by Floyd
20	Tribio.)
21	Thank you and have a blessed day.
22	MS. MEGHAN CONKLIN: Good morning, everyone.
23	My name is Megan Conklin, and I'm associate deputy
24	secretary at the Department of the Interior. My
25	colleague, Jodi Gillette whom I know many of you

know -- and I are co-directors of the Cobell 1 implementation for Secretary Salazar at the Department 2 of the Interior. There are others who are an integral 3 4 part of the Interior team working on Cobell 5 implementation that I would like to point out. First, we were joined by Kallie Hanley, who is back here with 6 7 the Office of the Secretary, who has been a key person 8 in bringing today's event together. We're also joined 9 by Ray Joseph, who is the principal deputy special trustee for the Office of the Special Trustee and Dion 10 11 Killsback with the office of the assistant secretary for 12 Indian affairs. We're also joined by James Ferguson, who 13 works for the office of the solicitor. I know there are 14 others in the room who work for BIA and OST, so forgive 15 me if I don't point everyone out, but I know we've got 16 Bill Walker over here, who is the regional director for 17 the Bureau of Indian Affairs. We're also joined by 18 Eldred Lesansee -- is that correct? Okay. I got it 19 right -- who works in appraisal services for the Office 20 of Special Trustee and also Pat Girard is here, who 21 22 works in public affairs for OST. I know there are others in the audience, so please forgive me if me if 23 I've left anyone out. 24 I'm very honored to be here with you to get 25

to spend some time with you today to receive your
comments about how you think the department should
implement the trust land consolidation component of the
Cobell Settlement. Our main objective and our main goal
today is to hear from you and to receive all you have
your comments so that we can use them as the Department
goes about pulling together a plan for how to implement
this very important program.
We're very early in this process, and your
input today is going to be really critical to helping us
figure out our plan moving forward. And as you know,
this settlement is a very key priority for President
Obama as well as for my boss, Secretary Salazar. A
little bit later I'm going to be running through some of
the background of the Cobell Settlement and the trust
land consolidation program. And you should have
received some material in a packet when you checked in
this morning.
I again just want to thank all of you for
taking the time to come spend the day here with us.
Again, it's a really a tremendous honor to be here
with all of you today. This is a very historic moment
as we begin one of our other consultations on the Cobell
trust land consolidation program. Again, our main goal
today is to hear from you, and our goal is to make this

1	a meaningful consultation and to use all the valuable
2	comments that you offer us today as we move forward and
3	develop plans to implement the trust lands consolidation
4	program.
5	I'm very much looking forward to hearing all
6	of your comments today. Thank you very much.
7	MR. PATRICK FIELD: Megan, can we have the
8	tribal leaders introduce themselves.
9	MS. MEGHAN CONKLIN: Yes, please.
10	MR. PAUL ROSETTA: Good morning everyone.
11	My name is Paul Rosetta. I'm with the Santo Domingo
12	tribe. It's about 40 miles north of here.
13	MR. STEVE BOONE: Good morning. I'm
14	lieutenant governor of the pueblo of Zuni.
15	MS. DIXIE BEGAY: Good morning, everyone.
16	My name is Dixie Begay with the Ramah Chapter of Navajo.
17	MS. MEGHAN CONKLIN: Thank you. Mr. Paul.
18	MR. FRANK PAUL: Good morning, everybody.
19	My name is Frank E. Paul. I'm from Ramah, New Mexico,
20	vice president of that chapter, 110 chapters. And I
21	mention that to say that we like to be labeled as Ramah
22	Band of Navajo. We're still Navajo, but Ramah Band.
23	And that's who I represent here today. Thank you very
24	much.

MS. MEGHAN CONKLIN: Thank you. So I'm

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

going to begin with a short presentation that's going to offer some background on the Cobell Settlement and the trust land consolidation program that I think will be helpful as we begins today's consultation. Let's go to the next slide. As you know, or may have heard, the Cobell Settlement was approved by Congress on November 30th, 2010. The Act was called the Claims Resolution Act of 2010, and signed by President Barack Obama on December 8th, 2010. This was after almost a year of work by the Department. Many of you here, people on Capitol Hill, trying to get this settlement enacted. There are two main components to the Cobell Settlement, which come to a total of \$3.4 billion. The first is a fund for 1.5 billion for class members to compensate them for their historical accounting trust fund and asset mismanagement claims. This is a portion of the settlement that we are not consulting on today. This is handled by the plaintiffs. They do have a 1-800 number and a website set up that I can give to anyone who has specific questions about that component. But the main component we're here to talk to you about today is the \$1.9 billion fund for the voluntary buyback and consolidation of fractionated land interest. And this

is something that is going to be managed by the

further background.

25

Department of the Interior, the component that we're 1 2 going to discuss at today's consultation. So some quick background on the land 3 4 consolidation component of the settlement. It's a 5 \$1.9 billion fund, as I mentioned. Per the settlement, up to 15 percent, but no more -- or what comes to 285 6 7 million can be used by the Department of the Interior for administrative costs. An additional component is, 8 9 as an additional incentive for land consolidation, up to 60 million will be set aside to provide scholarships for 10 higher education for American Indian and Alaska Native 11 12 students. You add all those numbers together, what 13 comes to \$1.615 billion will be used for land purchase and the scholarship fund in total as we move forward 14 with this settlement. Next slide. 15 When the settlement agreement was agreed to, 16 there was a number of specific purposes that were 17 outlined that were required for the Department of the 18 19 Interior to follow as we go about implementing this program. And for any of you who would like to see a 20 copy of the settlement or any of these materials, for 21 22 that matter, you can go to our website. It's doi.gov/cobell, and we have a copy of the settlement and 23 all of these accompanying materials in case you want 24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

But here are some of the specific requirements that we're required to follow for the land consolidation program. The first is acquiring fractional interest in trust or restricted lands, including administrative costs related to such acquisitions. And one important point I want to point out there -- because a number of tribal leaders have raised this to us at some of the other consultations that we've attended is that, unfortunately, these funds cannot be used for the purchase of fee lands. Second, for every land sale, a portion of the sale will be set aside in a scholarship fund for Native American and Alaska Native students, as I mentioned. It will be up to \$60 million. And the third is the cost associated with supporting the work on the Trust Reform Commission. And this is a separate issue, but just to mention here, around the time the settlement was agreed to, Secretary Salazar issued a secretarial order about a secretarial commission on Indian trust administration and reform. Just a few months ago we published a charter for this secretarial commission, which, again, is available on our website at doi.gov/cobell. This will be a five-member commission that will not be

dealing with the land consolidation program, but it will

be looking at trust reform generally and about the 1 Department's handling of their trust assets and offer 2 recommendations on moving forward. We did have a public 3 4 comment period to receive nominations for people to sit 5 on this commission, and we have received those nominations and are in the process of evaluating them. 6 7 But the commission is a separate matter. But I just 8 wanted to mention that in case people had some questions 9 about it. Here's some quick background on where we are 10 11 in the court process and about the Cobell Settlement 12 itself. On December 21st of 2010, U.S. Senior District Judge Tom Hogan granted preliminary approval of the 13 Cobell Settlement. And on June 20th of this year, Judge 14 Hogan approved the settlement after holding a hearing on 15 the subject. On August 4th, Judge Hogan entered his 16 final approval, trimming a 60-day appeals window. One 17 really important point there is that the Cobell 18 19 Settlement does not become final until any and all 20 appeals have been settled by Judge Hogan. We did learn 21 a couple weeks ago the D.C. court of appeals agreed on 22 an expedited appeal process for the Cobell Settlement, 23 which was good news, but I wanted to make sure that everyone knows, because this has been a question we've 24 got that the settlement does not go final and these are 25

not made available until the appeals process has been 1 2 completed. Next slide. A few things about the time line for the 3 4 land consolidation program. The settlement sets out a 5 ten-year time frame for the use of funds, so that would be ten years starting from whenever we get the final 6 7 approval of the Cobell Settlement and these funds are 8 available. After -- according to the settlement, after 9 ten years, any unused funds will be returned to the treasury. I just want to point this out, because a 10 11 number of people have asked about this time line. For 12 any of you who may know Secretary Salazar and seen how 13 he's worked as secretary -- as my boss, David Hayes, has said a number of times -- he doesn't like moss to grow 14 under his feet. So I know there's been a lot of 15 concerns about the Department of the Interior not being 16 able to complete this promise within ten years. And I 17 want you to know Secretary Salazar wants to be very 18 19 ambitious with this program once we are able to start and do our best to have achievements in this program 20 during the tenure of this administration. Next slide, 21 22 please. 23 Another couple of key points here about the land consolidation program is that it tracks all the 24

existing statutory authority that we have that are set

forth in ILCA, or the Indian Land Consolidation Act. 1 2 The purpose of ILCA is described in the law as follows: To acquire as many fractionated interests as 3 4 economically feasible and to consolidate these land 5 interests into tribal ownership to promote and enhance tribal self-determination, economic, social, and 6 7 cultural development needs. Next slide. 8 One of the things that we mainly wanted to 9 talk to you about today is internally we have identified some potential goals for this program and some potential 10 11 guiding principles, and we really would like to get your 12 input from tribal leaders and from others today on 13 whether or not you think that these are a good way for us to go about implementing this program. So next 14 slide. 15 So here are some of the goals that we've 16 identified preliminarily that we wanted to talk to you 17 about at today's consultation. And I want to point out 18 19 that these goals are not listed in order of importance. 20 Our first goal as required in the Cobell Settlement agreement is to reduce land fractionation in highly 21 22 fractionated areas. Our second potential goal is to 23 implement a plan that is time and cost efficient. What I mean by "here" is recognizing that the program needs 24 to be completed in ten years or ideally, achievements 25

are made earlier on. And then cost efficient, as I 1 mentioned to you earlier, the Department can only use up 2 to 15 percent of 1.9 billion for administrative costs. 3 That comes to about 285 million. So we need to be very 4 5 cognizant of keeping those administrative costs low so there's as much money as possible going out into this 6 7 land purchase program. And the third goal and, you know, the big 8 9 piece that we want to talk to you about today is to consolidate land in areas of tribal preference. And 10 11 this is what we're here to learn about from all of you 12 today in this consultation is how you think we can best 13 implement that goal. Let's go to the next. So if we're looking at the first potential 14 goal of reducing land fractionation in highly 15 fractionated areas, we've identified a few potential 16 strategies that we would love your feedback on. The 17 first would be to prioritize highly fractionated land. 18 19 The second would be to target IM account owners. The third would be to target landowners having the most 20 number of purchasable interests, and the fourth would be 21 22 to target landowners having the most number of tracts. Next slide. 23 If we're looking at the goal that I 24 mentioned earlier, the potential goal of implementing a 25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

plan that is time and cost efficient, one potential strategy would be to target lands requiring minimal prep work prior to offers being made. Another potential strategy would be target tracts which have landowner consent, and these would be landowners who have approached the Department of the Interior and have let us know that they're interested in selling their interests. And a seventh potential strategy would be to target tracts with the largest interests per owner. Last slide. And, again, the goal of consolidating land in areas of tribal preferences, some of which we want to learn about some more today from you -- potential strategies are to target tracts that have been identified by tribes as being important. And strategy nine would be to target tracts that would involve economic opportunity for tribes. So our next steps in terms of our process internally at the Department of the Interior is to -we're going across the country and doing these different tribal consultations so we have the opportunity to meet with tribes from different regions. We've been -- we were in Billings in July and August. We were in

Minneapolis, and just recently were in Seattle, and on

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Thursday, we're going to be in Phoenix. So we're going through this process of meeting with tribes and tribal leaders. We're going to incorporate all of the valuable input that you offer to us into developing a plan, a draft plan, on how to implement the land consolidation program. And one question that has come up, and I want to make sure you know this is coming out of this process, we will, again, use your input to develop a plan. We will have a process for allowing Indian Country to comment on any draft plan that is created out of this. We aren't certain if there's going to be another round of face-to-face consultations or if it's going to be through a Federal Register notice, but I want to make sure that commitment is out there, that you will have the opportunity to comment on any draft plan that is developed by the Department coming out of this process. Again, we may conduct additional outreach or additional consultations. And then once we do receive final approval of the Cobell Settlement, we will then proceed with implementation. So that's a little bit of the background I wanted to offer you to today. Hopefully that was helpful. And, again, I just want to

remind you if you want to see any of these additional

materials, they are available on our website, and I'm 1 looking forward to hearing your comments today. 2 MR. PATRICK FIELD: I'm just going to 3 briefly cover the process for today and turn it to the 4 5 tribal leaders for comment, reflection, and input and thought. 6 7 Just so you know, as I think Megan already 8 said, here is the consultations that have occurred or 9 will occur. There's two remaining after today, one in Phoenix in just a few days and one a week later in 10 11 Oklahoma City. So you can see that there's been that 12 many so far. If you do have written comments -- and 13 many people want to, if they extended written comments, given the complex issue, makes perfect sense. So the 14 written comments were originally due October 15th, 2011. 15 It's highly likely that deadline will be extended to 16 November 1, 2011. And comments can be submitted to 17 Michelle Singer, who is based here in Albuquerque, and 18 19 this is her address here. Regina, who helped you 20 register, works with Michelle, and we can put that up towards the end so people can have time to write that 21 22 down. 23 MS. MAGGIE FREEMAN: I have a question. How often is the website updated, and is this information 24 now available on the website? 25

1	MS. MEGHAN CONKLIN: Yes. This information
2	is on the website about where the additional
3	consultations are and how to submit written comments.
4	Yes, that's up there.
5	MS. MAGGIE FREEMAN: And how often is it
6	updated?
7	MS. MEGHAN CONKLIN: We update it pretty
8	regularly. I'd say every few weeks, we're adding new
9	information up there. Again, it's doi.gov/cobell.
10	MS. MAGGIE FREEMAN: The reason why I'm
11	asking is at the first meeting that was conducted, there
12	was a transcript of that meeting available for Billings.
13	MS. MEGHAN CONKLIN: That's right.
14	MS. MAGGIE FREEMAN: I saw other transcripts
15	for other meetings, but that wasn't available. Will
16	that be available?
17	MS. MEGHAN CONKLIN: We will be making the
18	transcripts from all these meetings available, and we
19	are working on getting the transcripts from Seattle and
20	Minneapolis up on the website. I'm sorry that they
21	weren't up there for you to read today. But we will be
22	posting them for all these consultations. They'll be
23	available on the website.
24	MS. MAGGIE FREEMAN: One other question: Is
25	it okay to videotape these meetings by individual

landowners? Is there a restriction? 1 MR. PATRICK FIELD: These are public 2 meetings. That would be a legal question. 3 4 MS. MAGGIE FREEMAN: Public meetings, but is 5 it okay to videotape? MS. MEGHAN CONKLIN: We haven't had that 6 7 come up yet. You're asking a first question. I don't really know. 8 9 MR. PATRICK FIELD: If you'll turn to -there are recording laws, which usually are determined 10 11 often by State, not by the Federal government and there 12 are different restrictions for whether or not you have 13 to let people know, et cetera. I don't know whether the solicitor's office can offer any direction on that 14 question. 15 MR. JAMES FERGUSON: Ma'am, I don't know, 16 but I will find out. 17 MS. MAGGIE FREEMAN: I'm asking only that I 18 19 have a brother that's in the hospital and my other 20 brother will be attending the meeting in Phoenix. He expressed an interest in videotaping it for him. And, 21 22 also, just for his own records. MS. MEGHAN CONKLIN: Well, during Phoenix. 23 MS. MAGGIE FREEMAN: Yes. 24 MS. MEGHAN CONKLIN: So maybe we can find 25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

out today and we'll get back to you hopefully before the end of this consultation so your brother knows if he can videotape in Phoenix. Is that okay? MS. MAGGIE FREEMAN: Thanks. MR. PATRICK FIELD: We'll make sure if we don't know the answer by the end of today, we might want to make sure we have your contact information to be able to let you know. So for today, again, after our opening remarks, as noted, we really turn the morning over to the tribal leaders to discuss government-to-government with the Bureau and the Department your views, issues, concerns, about this issue. And we will let that conversation run as long as the tribal leaders deem fit to be able to express their needs and interests. Once we are completed with that process -- which may or may not be before lunch, we'll see -- we will then turn to comments from landowners, the public, organizations, officer from different tribes, whatever it may be, all of you in the audience and again provide you the time to address issues, concerns and the like as well. And we may -- given that we have only four tribal leaders at the table, we might actually start that before lunch. In the afternoon, when we do turn to public

comment, we do ask people, as best they can, to try to

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

focus on different areas where they might give comment at a certain time, although people will comment as they set fit. So on the goals, on the question of appraisals, which has certainly come up, the ILCP administration and anything else regarding land consolidation, or things that you have thoughts, ideas, concerns about. Basic meeting guidelines, what we like to do is obviously we ask people to -- we want you to express all your concerns and issues, but be as concise as possible so everyone has a chance to speak and raise their issues. Obviously, we ask that people be respectful of one another, though we certainly can have strong views and emotions and opinions about this. Simply be respectful of people as people. We ask people to speak one at a time so we can hear the issues and concerns of that individual as they speak. One of the things that I know the Department and the Bureau have said to me as an independent facilitator is insomuch as you can be prescriptive and say, "I would really like it done this way and here's why. Here's the best way to do it." Give prescription advice. That's very helpful. If you give some very concrete ideas for how they might proceed with implementation. Insomuch as you can do that, that is

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

very, very helpful. As noted before, there is a court reporter here, and she will be taking detailed transcripts of the entire proceedings so that this will be recorded and so you have all of that. The Department will have that, and as noted, that will be posted on the website as well so that's public information. Megan, anything else on the process before we turn it over to the tribal leaders? MS. MEGHAN CONKLIN: No. I don't have anything else. I'm just looking forward to the comments. MR. PATRICK FIELD: With that, I turn it over to the tribal leaders. We really thank you for coming today and we look forward to your comments and advice. MS. MEGHAN CONKLIN: Are there any tribal leaders who want to start? MR. JAMES FERGUSON: While folks are gathering their thoughts, my name is James Ferguson. I'm an attorney with the solicitor's office. And one point I'd like to reemphasize that Megan made is that because the appeals process is still ongoing, that means that we are still bound by the orders that were issued

by the Court. And the administration went to the Court

to ask permission to have these consultations, and
unfortunately, the Court granted it but does put very
strict limits on what the folks here at the table can
speak about. So wherein they cannot speak about the
settlement generally, they can speak about, and they are
here to consult on, the land implementation portion of
the settlement. So we just ask if you would restrict
your questions or comments to that. And that would be
very helpful for them to give information and hear your
thoughts and to implement this process in a way that
hopefully is helpful to everyone.
MS. DIXIE BEGAY: I guess I'll start off
here.
MS. MEGHAN CONKLIN: Okay, great. Thank you
very much.
MS. DIXIE BEGAY: Going to your goal number
one that you have on your preliminary goals that you
have? Number one? It speaks about the prioritizing the
highly fractionated land. And we with the Ramah Navajos
Tribe Chapter, we are under Southwest Regional Office
here in Albuquerque with BIA.
And most of our land out in the Ramah area,
we have about 47,582 allotted lands in our area. So
speaking on behalf of the people, and if you're going to
go through fractionated land, we would like to request

to consider that for the Ramah Navajo Tribe we have 1 Ramah Band land which is recognized by the Bureau, the 2 government, that we have land out there -- that these 3 4 fractionated lands be given back and be transferred over 5 onto the Ramah Band lands. I think, if my understanding is correct, the 6 7 land will be -- the fractionated land will go back under 8 the Navajo Tribe, bought back or consolidated lands --9 it's -- what my understanding is that will go back to -but we're requesting that the Ramah portion of the 10 11 allotted land that we have there be redirected under the 12 Ramah Band lands. And that's our request from the 13 Chapter. And since we are under the Southwest Regional Office here in Albuquerque. That pertains to your 14 strategy number one. 15 And I know Ramah has a lot of allotted lands 16 out there and we also have Ramah Band land that is 17 recognized by the government, also. So speaking on 18 19 behalf of that for the Ramah Chapter. I don't know if Mr. Paul has any 20 additional comment. 21 MR. FRANK PAUL: Hi. I'm Chapter vice 22 23 president. I just want to add that what Ms. Begay has said -- she's the Chapter secretary -- that is correct. 24

And I just want to add that the Ramah Band Navajo is not

1	a number two Navajo as far as Regional well, as far
2	as the Washington office is concerned. We're just one
3	of three isolated communities of the Navajo. And Ramah
4	is one geographically isolated.
5	Secondly, we are under Southwest, wheres the
6	Navajo, the big Navajo "big," is what we call it
7	is located in Window Rock and has the majority of the
8	Ramah Navajo Communities or Chapters. The three
9	communities are geographically separate and secondly, as
10	I started to say, we have our own agency, which is Ramah
11	Navajo agency under the Bureau of Indian Affairs,
12	Southwest Region Office.
13	Also, historically it's a long story
14	but the Ramah Navajo is separately established or has
15	its own self-governing body and will, which is respected
16	by the Navajo Nation, and we do respect the Navajo
17	Nation. We also respect the area for certain programs
18	and also Southwest Regional Office. We are understood
19	in that way.
20	Another change is that with regards to land
21	is recently, in fact, today this day if it hasn't
22	already happened or is about to happen, is that the
23	Navajo Nation president is signing off the last piece of
24	paper, bill, authorizing a recent purchase, which is
25	less than a month ago, of a new 16.3 quarter section of

deeded and trust land and private lands in the area of 1 Ramah, New Mexico. 2 As I said, we have a long history, but this 3 one is recent in a way. But just a word, that this very 4 5 land was taken away from the Ramah Navajo by school lands, cattle growers and so forth. But now that was 6 labeled as a land settlement. Settlement meant that the 7 Navajo Nation accepted the money for the land because 8 9 land has been used for ranching and land use and so forth. But we are back at where, by federal 10 11 regulations, and it is not Cobell funding, but under 12 another land consolidation act, that we are getting some 13 land back only by buying it back, if you will. Quote, buying, land back that was settled in the settlement. 14 But the ranchers are no longer around and they can't 15 keep up with the land because of drought and so forth. 16 So we are adding -- in summary -- adding 17 additional lands of Ramah Navajo Band land. And that we 18 19 are going to continue to do it with the various acts and laws that are being passed in our favor. So it appears 20 that in the name of Indian self-development and also 21 22 growth and determination, that we will be able to get a sufficient amount of land to provide for self-sustaining 23 community. Not necessarily a reservation separate from 24 big Navajo, but working with Navajo. They're helping us 25

1	purchase back like this land in the case of that
2	16.3 quarter section that I mentioned.
3	So with that understanding, we are
4	convincing the big nation and also hopefully not
5	hopefully but Southwest Regional Office has already
6	assisted us by establishing, letting us keep the rest of
7	the the agency status with the superintendent. We
8	also are getting some federal lands for improvements.
9	So if people who every day may be today, and at the
10	Department of the Interior staff that are here would
11	understand is that that would be our position.
12	Now, if sometime in the future this becomes
13	difficult that we cannot really label ourselves and
14	develop ourselves as Ramah Band of Navajo and
15	certainly we'll have to work with that with our
16	government and the Federal government as well and the
17	regional office. Up until such time that we can do
18	things for ourselves, we would like to be allowed that
19	if we could.
20	And I just want to say this to be
21	understood, and in representing the Ramah Navajo people.
22	Thank you.
23	MS. MEGHAN CONKLIN: Do we have any other
24	comments from the tribal leaders.
25	MR. PAUL ROSETTA: I just want to ask this

1	question. As far as purchasing or acquisition of lands,
2	does that include any prior impairment of land claims
3	that was lost through a decree by the Court? Like
4	mining operations in the Cerillos Hills. And this was
5	said in the pueblo that it was under the original land
6	claims, but we lost, you know, because of the prior
7	Congressional actions of the different laws that have
8	applied to the landowners. Is that open to purchase or
9	just strictly individual landowners?
10	MS. MEGHAN CONKLIN: I'm sorry. I'm not
11	entirely certain of the answer to that, but I will look
12	into it and get back to you. I don't have the answer
13	for you right now.
14	MR. PAUL ROSETTA: Okay. And the next
15	question is, does it also include purchasing land back
16	from Bureau of Land Management in National Forest, that
17	has to go through the regular process through
18	Congressional action?
19	MS. MEGHAN CONKLIN: No. That would not be
20	a part of this program. Sorry. This would be working
21	with IM account holders who want to sell back their
22	fractionated interest as part of this program. It
23	doesn't include lands that are under Federal ownership.
24	MR. PAUL ROSETTA: The other question I have
25	is some of our current areas that are I mean, it's a

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

checkerboard area. There are some landowners that are non-Indians, thus -- I mean, that's part of what needs to be purchased, if there's an interest in it. MS. MEGHAN CONKLIN: Are you talking about fee lands? Is that why you're mentioning it. MR. PAUL ROSETTA: No, it's private owned. It's a checkerboard area. It's mixed in with tribal-owned fee land and other private owners and non-Indian. MS. MEGHAN CONKLIN: I don't believe so. I think, again, we're talking about lands that are held by IM account holders who are interested in selling back their fractional interest. And the issue of fee lands has come up, as I mentioned, in a number of these consultations. And I should say the BIA has a really active fee-to-trust program that's been very successful under this administration. I'm sure Bill and others from the BIA can tell you more about it. But as I mentioned, we're not going to be working with fee interests as part of this program. It's more of the sort of, you know, lands that are held by fractionated interests or by IM accounts that we're looking to be bought back by the -- through this process. I'm sorry that was not a very coherent answer.

But the answer is, no, unfortunately. I'm sorry.

MR. PAUL ROSETTA: Okay. Thanks. 1 MR. STEVE BOONE: Good morning, again. 2 MS. MEGHAN CONKLIN: Good morning. 3 MR. STEVE BOONE: There are about four main 4 5 topics the Zuni Indians would probably have to address. A good step to stop further fractionizing of land for 6 7 effective management and Zuni will be getting their lands back. Most of the Zuni allotments are located 8 9 where it is hard to gain access for development, and the main one is a -- some funding set aside to implement the 10 goals, all the goals. 11 12 That's it. 13 MS. MEGHAN CONKLIN: Okay. Sure. MS. DIXIE BEGAY: I have another question 14 here on your goal number 3(b), the tracts and the 15 opportunity for tribes. Are you talking about the 16 fractionated lands that is going to be bought back or 17 the tribe's going to get that portion back and that 18 19 money can be used for economic development? Is that what I'm -- I mean, am I understanding that wrong? 20 MS. MEGHAN CONKLIN: I think one of the 21 22 things we would love to hear more about today, if there are specific fractionated interests that tribes have 23 identified that they think should be part of this 24 program that can represent, you know, lands that could 25

1	have economic potential for a tribe. That's sort of
2	what we meant by that potential strategy there. So
3	there is tribes have identified these specific lands
4	could be important to the tribe economically.
5	MS. DIXIE BEGAY: That would be to the
6	tribal plans, or do you already have those plans from
7	the tribes?
8	MS. MEGHAN CONKLIN: We don't. That's
9	something that we would love from feedback on from the
10	tribes, yes.
11	MS. DIXIE BEGAY: But this money can be used
12	to repurchase fee land and things like that?
13	MS. MEGHAN CONKLIN: No, it cannot.
14	MR. STEVE BOONE: I have a question. Will
15	we be getting any access or funds or monies that would
16	help us redevelop?
17	MS. MEGHAN CONKLIN: Can you kind of
18	elaborate more on your question there.
19	MR. STEVE BOONE: I guess the road
20	structures and to gain access to the lands that are
21	being talked about. These areas, they are mostly, I
22	guess, the outskirts of our main reservation and our
23	main roads.
24	MS. MEGHAN CONKLIN: So the question is
25	whether or not the funds can be used for infrastructure

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

regional office here with our regional director,

to access some of these lands. Is that right? I just want to make sure I'm getting the question correct. MR. STEVE BOONE: Yes. MR. DION KILLSBACK: Good morning. My name is Don Killsback. To Mr. Boone, the question that was raised and I pose it for everybody, is whether or not tribes will be able to use Cobell money for roads, essentially for reservation roads. Unfortunately, that's kind of a separate issue that the Department of the Interior handles through the BIA roads program. And it's part of the funding allocation and budgeting process that every reservation has issues with. And your concern about the roads is very important, and thank you for bringing that to us. Because we at the Interior take roads very seriously. It's a part, like you said, very important for tribal members to gain access to and from businesses, schools, housing, education. All of that is very important. But I believe that the Cobell Settlement will not be able to use those funds. But those do come from a different aspect of the Interior which would be from the Bureau of Indian Affairs. And I believe our

	Mr. Walker, he would be able to address that. Even our
2	roads people here from regional office will be able to
3	address more roads questions.
ı	So if that answers your question.
5	MR. STEVE BOONE: Thank you.
5	MS. MEGHAN CONKLIN: Thank you, Dion.
,	MR. PATRICK FIELD: Tribal leaders, your
3	choice. Do you prefer to continue the conversation
,	here, or would you like to hear from members of the
)	public now, or what would be your preference.
	MR. PAUL ROSETTA: There's only a few of us
2	here, so.
3	MR. PATRICK FIELD: There's a number in the
۱	audience.
5	MR. STEVE BOONE: That's fine.
5	MR. PATRICK FIELD: Okay.
,	MS. DIXIE BEGAY: Just one more thing. I
3	did mention Ramah Band lands that are under the
,	Southwest Regional office here in Albuquerque BIA. I
)	would like to request the BIA to make available to the
	Ramah Navajo Chapter the number of highly fractionated
2	lands that we have in the Ramah area. How many acres do
3	we have, locations. And so that based on the numbers
۱ <u> </u>	that we receive. And like I stated earlier, that each
5 [tribe is going to have a plan so we can begin to work on

our plans for these lands that are there, these 1 fractionated lands that we have on Ramah in 2 consolidating some of these lands. That we would 3 4 request that of BIA here in the Southwest Region. 5 MS. MEGHAN CONKLIN: Thank you very much for the comment. That's very helpful. And a number of 6 7 people have brought that up in consultations that we've 8 attended previously, wanting some more specific data 9 from the Department of the Interior on fractionated interests. And that is something that we are working 10 on. We should have that available soon. And when we 11 12 do, we'll make sure to send that out to tribal leaders 13 and make that available on our website. So thank you very much for that comment. It's very helpful. 14 If tribal leaders are okay, I think we're 15 going to open it up now for some comments from the rest 16 of the audience. But we can always go back to you if 17 you do have additional comments. Just signal to us and 18 19 we'll be happy to do that. Thank you. MR. PATRICK FIELD: Any time during the 20 public comment a tribal leader has an additional comment 21 22 or remark, if you will just tip your name placard up on its end and we'll make sure that you are recognized. 23 MS. MEGHAN CONKLIN: Great. Thank you. 24 MR. PATRICK FIELD: So for everyone, as 25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

people come up, come up as you're comfortable. Just clearly state your name and any affiliation that you have to by tribal organization. And if you just say it twice just to have the transcriber -- so that she gets your name and affiliation. And then feel welcome to make your remarks as you will. There's a mic here and a mic over there as well. So whatever order you see fit, please come to the mic. MS. MEGHAN CONKLIN: We don't bite. I promise. Okay. Great. MS. VICKIE ABEYTA: I'm Vickie Abeyta. I work at the Bureau of Indian Affairs. And I think what's lacking here is education. We have no information going out to these allottees in regards to Cobell, what their options are, no estate planning, nothing for them. I see that, yeah, the tribe is going to be able to benefit, but the allottees aren't. Years ago, 2004, our right to do wills was taken away. I think that it's something that's very important. Rather than taking the land from the allottees, let them plan for the future. Let them give it -- you know, for their generations to come. But we need to educate, and we're not doing that. So I think that's one thing that needs to be out there is what Cobell is about.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Yeah, everybody's gotten the letters, but what is it about? What is it? You know, they see that money, they see that carrot. But they don't have any type of estate planning. They don't have -- they don't know what's going on. MS. MEGHAN CONKLIN: Thank you for your comments. The issue of estate planning has been raised to us before as well, and I think a number of people have pointed out that, you know, there should be a way to provide more assistance in estate planning going forward so that we prevent these lands from being fractionated in the future. That's something that we are exploring. We're not certain that we're able to use the Cobell Settlement funds for estate planning, but I do agree with you that it's really important and it's something that we're going to explore more coming out of these consultations what sort of options might be there to better assist in those programs. So thank you very much for those comments. MR. ROBERT CASIAS: Hello, everyone. My name is Robert Casias. I'm with the Bureau of Land Management, Department of the Interior out of the Santa Fe office. My office is responsible for performing

boundary work and surveys in Indian Country as well as

all the other Federal lands.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And I guess I would like just to make the pitch that during the trust reform, during the FTM when we crafted that address to what the Department needed to do in terms of their trust responsibilities, survey and boundary came up as one of those things that was of high importance. Accounting, of course, was one, and boundaries and ownership were another. And for the last three to four years, the BIA has been funding a very large boundary program across Indian Country, and it has been very successful. We've been able to address some of these very weak boundaries that haven't had attention for over 150 years. And I think in many of the tribal situations, it's benefitted because some of the trespass that's been identified and being able to allow actual deed-to-trust transactions have been effected because of our work.

And I would suggest that there's a big role to play -- and I'm sure the Department recognizes this -- for BLM when it comes to identifying these boundaries and assuring that there are accurate measurements for those boundaries. Because especially in the case where you have to subdivide sections, many of the private people who have done that for tribes have not taken the length of time it would take for the BLM

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

to do a survey correctly. So I would just put a footnote in here that BLM is more than ready to take up this charge, and certainly we have four regions under our jurisdiction here in the Southwest, because Oklahoma and Kansas and Texas are also under our charge. So we think that there's probably a large role to play for BLM, and I would hope that as we move forward that the Department makes some kind of listening session when it comes to the boundaries and what the Department is able to do via the BLM. I guess I would just offer that. MS. MEGHAN CONKLIN: That's a very good suggestion. Thank you very much. MS. SHIRLEY BELLSON: Everybody, I would like to address my comments. I just sit in here. My name is Shirley Bellson, and I'm the tribal realty officer for the Zuni Tribe, and I would like to express a concern that there is really not talk about how funding will be provided, if any, to implement the goals and objectives that you folks have identified. I think that they are good goals and objectives, but where is the money going to be coming from to implement these goals in order to be effectively carried out? That's one area that we always neglect to acknowledge or provide when we think about doing certain

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

things. And that's one problem that we have is we don't have funding to do that at our level, and I'm sure if it was a program that was administered by BIA, that they will have the staffing and the funding to get it done. But when it comes to the tribal level, we're contracting fee programs from the Bureau, and we usually don't get any additional funding for special initiatives that come through, such as this thing that you're talking about. So I would like to encourage that you think about how this will be funded to implement the goals and objectives. And the other thing is that this person from BLM was talking about surveying. If the tribe is going to be acquiring interests from those individuals, there needs to be some mechanism to identify, to survey these tracts that they will be acquiring. And many times we don't get no money either for surveying. Fractionated interest, how is that going to be carried out as well? And so it would help us to look at these issues a little bit further if you can identify what specifically -what things that the money will specifically be used for. Not just generally to acquire funds, but if that -- if you can identify what exactly can be done with this money, it would help us do our planning at our level.

Thank you.

MS. MEGHAN CONKLIN: Thank you very much for those comments. I was writing them all down. It's very helpful. I can answer a few questions about the funding issue.

As I mentioned in some of my slides earlier,

specific authorization from Congress was achieved for the Cobell Settlement for \$3.4 billion. And of that, 1.9 billion will be available for the land consolidation program. That was a special authorization we got from Congress. There's money available out of the judgment fund for it. The monies will be made available; however, as I mentioned earlier also, we are still in the process of going through the Court's time line. And so until all of the appeals have been settled through the court process, the funding will not be made available until that point. Does that sort of make sense to you?

And the question about whether or not tribes
can have a more active role in managing the program is
something that has been a resounding comment that we've
heard through all these consultations. And I know
everyone in Indian Country is very interested in this.

Because we are required to follow their requirements of
Indian Land Consolidation Act, ILCA, we aren't able to

1	638 this program. But one suggestion we've gotten from
2	a number of the other tribal leaders is for the
3	Department to better explore our ability to use
4	cooperative agreements, compacts, MOUs, or MOAs to allow
5	tribes to have more of an active role in how this
6	program is carried out. And that is something that
7	we're doing some more research on and planning to
8	explore coming out of these consultations.
9	So I appreciate those comments very much.
10	Thank you.
11	MR. PATRICK FIELD: Comments, questions?
12	Issues that anyone wants to raise?
13	MS. MARTHA GARCIA: Good morning, everybody.
14	Can you hear me now? There.
15	MS. MEGHAN CONKLIN: Yes.
16	MS. GARCIA: My name is Martha Garcia. I'm
17	a member of the Ramah Band of Navajo. I came here this
18	morning as a landowner and also as a staff that's
19	working with the Ramah Navajo Chapter. I'm serving as a
20	development officer and work closely with the realty
21	program.
22	I'm a landowner through the ownership of
23	what my dad used to have, which is under the Navajo
24	Nation. And then I'm also a landowner. My mother is
25	going through the process of doing estate planning, so

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

in that way, I will be gaining additional land as a landowner. And my concern here as well as the concerns of many of the people that are landowners in the Ramah Navajo area is probably the same. And for your information, I also have been elected and have been in various positions as an elected leader for my community. The real estate office out at Ramah Navajo community is contracted under the Public Law 93638. We have staff members that are here with us today. Our probate officer is Michelle that's working on our probate. And then I also have Joanne Peno, who does our real estate work. And they are closely involved, and they know what it takes to work with individuals on a day-to-day basis. And those are some of the concerns that I have seen that I will express here today. The Ramah land base out at Ramah is very complex. We have about maybe seven different land titles that are within the area where we live. We have three trust lands; the Navajo Tribe trust lands, and we have the Ramah Band trust lands, and then we have the allottees. And we have a number of allottees. I think it's 246 original allottees that are out there that owns a quarter section, and that's what we're talking about here today. We have State land. We have BLM land and we have a lot of private lands that are checkerboard

across the area, so that's our land base. And when you 1 hear about consolidation, you want to consolidate and 2 make that just one trust land or ownership under the 3 4 Ramah Navajo community or Chapter. 5 Today I want to echo what my leaders here have expressed, that the Ramah Navajo community with its 6 7 unique status that has been evolved over the many years, we would like to see -- if any of our highly 8 9 fractionated land is going to be bought so it becomes part of a tribe, then we would like to see that placed 10 under the Ramah Band land. We have more control over it 11 12 than over the Navajo Tribal trust lands. We're able 13 to -- the process of establishing and planning for any infrastructure, from home site leases, any economic 14 development, it takes maybe only a fourth of the time it 15 takes to place -- get all the leases, right-of-ways, 16 whatever we need. It's so much easier to do it under 17 Ramah Band land than it is under Navajo Tribal trust 18 19 lands. And, therefore, that's one of the reasons 20 why we would like to see it back under the Ramah Band 21 22 land. We have always been very independent. Navajo Nation has no involvement in our allottees' interests or 23 whatever they want to do with their lands. Those come 24 directly to the Southwest Region Office, and it has been 25

like that for a number of years. 1 Historically. We have been working with the 2 Federal government through the BIA since the 1920s. And 3 only very briefly -- sometimes maybe in the '30s --4 5 Ramah was placed under Navajo Nation at Eastern Agency. But I don't know, they said it was just too far for them 6 7 to work with us. And they gave us back -- back then it was called United Pueblo, and we have been under the --8 9 in this region. And there were three of us that Mr. Paul had mentioned, the To'hajiilee Band of Navajo 10 11 and then the Alamo Band of Navajo. But the two opted to 12 go back to Navajo Nation, and we're still here with the 13 Southwest Region, and our people have always stated that, "This is where you stay. This is where you 14 belong. This is where we have been placed, so we will 15 continue to operate that way." 16 And through the 638, the Ramah contract that 17 we have made, there were a number of Bureau-operated 18 19 programs. We have taken over, and we have established 20 our own Ramah Navajo agency in 1972. And then using all 21 available processes that we could gain, we worked with 22 Congress back in the '80s, early '80s -- even the '70s -- to obtain what was called government land and 23 place that under Ramah Band of Navajo. So that's 24 officially done, and it's an Act that has been done in 25

the early '80s. And I think there's over 30 sections of 1 land that now belongs to the Ramah Band of Navajo. 2 But I do have some questions. And as we are 3 4 doing land consolidation, as a landowner I know that I 5 have -- in one portion it's very highly fractionated. Somehow through the probate, we had said that our 6 7 portion that my father had owned would belong to one of 8 my siblings. Well, another portion of that area became 9 available. We didn't have a probate. Instead, we had requested at the first probate any other land that's in 10 this particular tract that it would now also belong to 11 12 that one sibling. Lo and behold, it didn't come out 13 that way and, therefore, it's very highly fractionated. There's 13 of us as siblings, so you can imagine for 14 that small tract of area. I don't think we can even 15 stand on it. 16 And thinking back, if I was interested in 17 purchasing the rest of the highly fractionated land, 18 19 would I be able to dip into the land consolidation funds, or is that just reserved for the tribe? If I 20 21 wanted to use that to buy the rest of the highly 22 fractionated and put it as one tract for a portion under my name, would that be available? 23 MS. MEGHAN CONKLIN: I think one of the 24 things that we would be seeking to do in this program is 25

1	to work with all those individual owners of the
2	fractionated lands to sell back all their interest or a
3	majority of their interest so then the land is available
4	to the tribe. That's sort of the process that we're
5	looking at here.
6	MS. MARTHA GARCIA: So the individuals will
7	not be able to get buy out any shares of the rest of
8	the highly fractionated. Instead, you'll be working to
9	have the tribe purchase that so it would go back under
10	the tribe. Is that what I'm hearing?
11	MS. MEGHAN CONKLIN: Yeah. I believe so. I
12	think that's the sort of system that we're looking at
13	here, unless others have
14	MS. MARTHA GARCIA: I think that kind of
15	defeats the purpose as a landowner, that, you know, I
16	have an interest.
17	MS. MEGHAN CONKLIN: Right.
18	MS. MARTHA GARCIA: But who's looking out
19	for my interests? You're looking out for the interests
20	of the tribe.
21	MS. MEGHAN CONKLIN: Right. That's one of
22	the concerns that has been raised here. So I understand
23	that, yes. Thank you.
24	MS. MARTHA GARCIA: So where do I file? But
25	that is a really concern that I have, and probably

shared, like you said, across the nation, what about my 1 interests as an individual? 2 MS. MEGHAN CONKLIN: Right. 3 4 MS. MARTHA GARCIA: How is that going to be 5 addressed? The other portion, working as a staff, you're now placing a lot of responsibility on our realty 6 7 office. And within the Bureau, you're placing a lot of 8 responsibility of finding out how many of these lands 9 are highly fractionated, identify those, and work with the individuals to get this under the tribe. And yet, 10 do dollars come with it? 11 12 I saw up there that a certain portion of 13 this settlement that's going to the land consolidation is going to be provided for administration of these 14 lands. Therefore, I would like to request that those 15 funds also be coming down to our level. It's where the 16 real work begins. It's not in Washington. It's not 17 where somebody just signs off on whatever decision that 18 19 has been made. The legwork is really at the local 20 level. I work with the probate office, and I've 21 22 seen them work, how much responsibility that has been placed upon them. And it's not just going to one person 23 and saying, "We need your address. We need all this." 24 You have to get all kind of information and being 25

Navajo, some of us -- like I said, I have 13 siblings. 1 2 We have to go to each one of those. And some of them might not be in the area. Some of them have passed away 3 4 and they have children scattered all over the place. 5 You have to go visit and get all the information. And it has taken years just so establish those. And now 6 7 we're back to doing that in these highly fractionated 8 areas to get their consent and to see how this can be 9 done. So all the legwork that I see, it's not 10 11 going to be a separate office. You're going to rely on 12 the realty office to do this. So my request is put the 13 money where the work is going to be done. We need money down there to do it. Don't just place more 14 responsibility on us to do the work for you. We want 15 money to do that. We just have two staff right now. 16 And we're the highly -- in the Southwest area, we have 17 the highest allottees that are in our area. And, 18 19 therefore, I request that -- that you provide the funding to those areas. 20 And then the other one is -- I think I went 21 through what I needed to say about what it takes to get 22 23 our landowners to understand what's going -- what it's going to take, and it's done by the staff. But also 24 we're sitting here -- I don't see our elders in this 25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

room. They don't know about it. Vickie just talked to it -- Abeyta -- that there needs to be more education done. How is that going to be done? Our sole landowners that still have the quarter section, they have no understanding of what the Cobell case is all about. They have no understanding of -- they know that they owned this quarter section and it's going to go to their children. Or if they have an interest, they will not understand how this whole process is going to work. So that's where you need to start putting your efforts to get to those people that are the landowners. We can just speak as tribal leaders for those people, but they're the one that needs to know. They're the one that needs to understand. So you're going to have to come down to their level and work with them, and how do we do that? How do we get this information? My mother's 84. She wanted to come here, but she says, "I can't be away from the house too long. I have to be at home." And we can't bring them here. So somehow somebody needs to go out there and work with them, and that's the request that I have with all of you that work -- that are going to be working in the land consolidation settlement portion. That we can just be the voice, but the people that's going to make those

decisions and give you the consent and to give it back 1 to a tribe or to have the tribe buy it would -- the 2 education would have to be made to them. 3 4 Otherwise, they're very -- they don't trust 5 the Bureau or anyone in the Federal government because they're the ones that still remembers what happened. 6 7 When they had large flocks of livestock and they came in 8 and made those reductions against their will. And 9 that's how they're going to feel about this. You're going to make them -- Navajo Nation or Ramah Chapter is 10 going to come in and say, "Well, you know, you just 11 12 barely have a little interest. I'm taking this land 13 back." That's not how they see it. They think it's theirs, and rightfully so, it is. But whoever made 14 these decisions was without the landowners' involvement, 15 just tribal leaders, and we don't see that many of them 16 here today. We have the Bureau that makes those 17 decisions, but they distrust the decision of people 18 19 coming in and taking what they feel like, you know, that's rightfully theirs. 20 So that's my comment, thank you. 21 MS. MEGHAN CONKLIN: Thank you. That was a 22 23 very helpful comment, and I think you're absolutely right that we have our work cut out for us to educate, 24 you know, owners of these fractional interests about 25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

this program and why participate. And I really would love to hear additional comments from others in the audience if they have thoughts on the best way for us to go about doing that. Because it's going to be very important as we would go about implementing this program. So thank you very much for all your thoughts there. I appreciate them. MS. DORI ALLEN DURAN: Hi. I'm Dori Allen Duran. I'm an employee of the Southwest Regional Office, and one of our questions would be on the allocation of the administrative monies, how is that going to be divided? Ramah Navajo just brought up, you know, it needs to come down to the lower levels. We are one of the smaller regions when it comes to allotted land, but we have quite a bit of tribal lands. So when you do your allocations, is it going to be broken down by land base and allottees, or is it going to be broken down evenly and dispersed evenly down to the lower levels? The second question is, is that I understand that there's ILCA offices there are being created and they will be used for the buyback purposes. If the ILCA offices are being created, are they being created for all regions, are they only being created for those that

1	have highly fractionated interests? If we should get an
2	ILCA office or an individual to assist in the buyback
3	procedures, are they going to be located in an area
4	where the landowners can come to them easily, or are
5	they going to have to travel into the regional offices?
6	Have you guys thought about that, where to locate these
7	offices and where the best opportunity for the
8	landowners would be? That's a concern of mine.
9	So that's something that I think the
10	tribes because here in our region, a lot of those
11	programs are contractor compacted by the tribal
12	individuals, and the question that we had in the back
13	row back there is how is that money going to come down?
14	Central office, yes, I see money up there, but that's
15	not where the work is being done. It's being brought
16	down into different levels, and as a regional employee,
17	I would like to see that even brought down lower.
18	So that's my comments.
19	MS. MEGHAN CONKLIN: Thanks for your
20	comments, and thanks for your service in the BIA. We
21	haven't made any final decisions on how we're going to
22	parcel out the administrative funds that are available
23	under the settlement or final decisions on the
24	infrastructure and where offices may be located, but I
25	can tell you the BIA is very involved in our thinking

here. Mike Black, your director, has been very 1 2 involved. So I would just encourage you, if you have ideas, get them up through your regional director, 3 Director Black, and we'd be happy to take a look at them 4 5 moving forward. So thanks for those comments. 6 MR. PATRICK FIELD: Other comments? 7 Questions. 8 9 MR. PAUL HICKEY: My name is Paul Hickey. I'm a BLM surveyor stationed here at the BIA Southwest 10 11 Regional Office and my boss just got up and talked a 12 while ago. But if you haven't figured out how you're 13 going to distribute the administrative funds, what I would suggest is that a part of it be set aside to do 14 surveys, have BLM either do the surveys in-house or 15 contract out the surveys. Because you can't really buy 16 land unless you know where it's located on the ground. 17 And I think surveys are a real important part of that, 18 19 and my job already here is liaison between the BIA and 20 the BLM concerning survey issues. I would be willing to 21 work with coordinating the effort of getting these areas 22 surveyed in conjunction with the Cobell Settlement here. 23 Anyway, I just want to put that on the table for something to consider about when you're spending the 24 administrative funds. And we have 12 -- we're in 12 25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

different regions of the BIA. We have a surveyor like me. They call it the BLM Indian Land surveyors, and I'm sure all the other ones would be willing to work on that effort too and would suggest the same thing. That surveys will probably be needed in this effort. Thanks. MS. MEGHAN CONKLIN: Thank you. It's good to see the BLM here as well. And I can assure you we've got a number of different bureaus that we've talked to in our sort of initial thoughts on implementing this program. And I think that there's a definite need for more engagement with the BLM. I had a brief conversation with Mike Ned, who works on land issues for BLM in D.C., but I think you raise a good point, and I look forward to talking more about it. MR. ROBERT CASIAS: This is about your question about what might be done to educate some of the people that are down at the landowner level. And I think once the Department comes up with their strategy about how they're going to approach, is it going to be these large tracts? Is it going to be areas where people are more willing to do the selling? When you get the criteria, I think it would be very well-advised to go to those agency levels. And they have these occasional meetings that the agencies have quarterly and

what have you, and I think it would be very well-advised

1	to go there and let those people know that the
2	Department is coming to talk about this and to educate
3	the people that might be interested.
4	Because I think there is a lot of confusion.
5	You hear "fractionated," and I think you heard it today,
6	our checkerboard situation in New Mexico is such that
7	it's a big problem when you have fee and trust, fee and
8	trust, all over the place. It's hard for the tribe or
9	the BIA to manage those kind of boundary issues. So
10	when you when they hear "fractionation," I think a
11	lot of people think, "Oh, we're going to be able to get
12	rid of all these fee lands that are in the middle of the
13	reservation."
14	MS. MEGHAN CONKLIN: Right.
15	MR. ROBERT CASIAS: So I think there is a
16	lot of education that can go forward in terms of what is
17	the program about, and how might we get to the
18	landowners who might benefit from it? So I would say
19	that maybe getting down to the agency level when you-all
20	get to a point where you have the criteria settled would
21	be a very good place to start. I would offer that.
22	MS. MEGHAN CONKLIN: Thanks for that
23	suggestion.
24	Hello. Yes, please. Thank you.
25	MR DAVID HARRISON: And thank you guys for

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

being here and for what you're doing, and I don't think there's -- you may face some attitudes that you don't make them feel particularly encouraged or appreciated. But one that you're not going to feel, I don't think, is nobody is going to envy what you've got in front of you and you what you've got to do. So I think we appreciate what you're taking on here. With that said, let me start, I guess, with some of your strategies, for instance, that you put up there. And I would like to suggest that as you go back to your deliberations, that you ask yourself, "What's our real objective here?" One of them you've told us is to get almost \$2 billion spent within ten years. That's an objective that you've made clear. Another one is to try to help tribes with economic development and to buy land and blah, blah, blah. But I think it might be useful to ask, "What are we really starting out to do?" For instance, one of the things that we looked at in the strategy is to focus on the highly fractionated lands. And I ask, "Why?" If the objective is to try to put together economically useful pieces, buying up a few dozen or a few scores or even a few hundred of the few thousand undivided interests in

several scattered tracts of land isn't going to be an

economic -- create any economic opportunity or incentive 1 for anybody to do anything with. 2 If the objective is to reduce the number of 3 4 those individual interests that the Department has to 5 keep track of, then perhaps it makes some sense. But if that's the objective -- I think our secretary's two 6 7 favorite words seems to be transparency and 8 accountability. But if the objective is to reduce that 9 number of 4 million undivided interests, then I think it would be useful for the people to understand that. And 10 it might make some difference in the suggestions that 11 12 you get and the ways that you go about trying to entice 13 those people to the table. If you're going to try to put economically 14 useful pieces of land together, then surveys 15 undoubtedly, critically, are going to be important. If 16 you're just trying to reduce that number of 4 million 17 undivided interests in land, you're trying to reduce 18 paperwork. And the people that own lots of those 19 interests don't know where it is now. You're not going 20 to know where it is when you bought it. So why spend a 21 22 lot of money surveying it if what you want to do is give 23 somebody some money to give up that interest that you now have to keep track of. 24

I think to try to put a little -- think

25

about what our objective is first might help us focus on 1 2 ways to get there. With respect to the actual purchases, I'd 3 4 like to suggest that we rethink a couple of pretty 5 recent decisions that the Department seems to have made. One of them is a Federal Register publication earlier 6 7 this year that says it is the Department's view that 8 improvements to trust land are not considered trust 9 property. Personally, I think that's a dumb thing to 10 11 have said. I think it is -- I can understand why it was 12 said, I think. In light of the government had just 13 spent this \$3.5 million or is trying like heck to spend this \$3.5 million because of some, at least alleged 14 liability. So I presume you don't want slip-and-fall 15 liability or caving-in-a-roof liabilities or other kinds 16 of possible liabilities associated with improvements out 17 there. I don't know. But if you're going to be buying 18 19 this land and individual Indians who own it have put 20 homes on it, have put barns on it and fenced pastures, 21 have dug stock ponds, and all you can buy is the trust 22 interest, and you don't consider any of those interests 23 trust property, I can almost promise you that you're going to be accused of ripping off the Indians. 24 Because anywhere else in the world not only 25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

is that barn considered a part of the real estate, but we spent most of our time arguing over whether the fixtures attached to the improvements are part of the real estate. Is that propane tank? Is that furnace? Are those things part of the real estate? But nobody ever suggests that the improvement, that the barn itself, is not part of the real estate, except we just did in the Federal Register. So I'd really suggest that you think about or ask your people to revisit that. Because it's going to cause -you're going to underprice and under-offer the value if you cannot consider a fenced pasture more valuable than an unfenced pasture. If you can't consider a grazing area with a stock pond on it more valuable than one without access to water. So I'd really suggest that we rethink that improvements are not trust property issues. And in that regard, I don't know how you're going to deal with this one, but it has been the Department's view since the last D administration, that allotted lands, when allotments were conveyed, a portion of the tribal water right was conveyed also. Under the same theory that the reserve water rights applied to the reservations. Without water, the reservation would have been worthless. By the same token, the theory has gone that without water, the allotments would have been

worthless, and we don't acknowledge that we purposefully 1 2 put these people out on worthless land. So how are we going to treat the water 3 4 rights associated with the land that we intend to buy. 5 And in most places at least we have to have some legal description that these guys can go out and survey. And 6 7 most places the water rights are as yet unquantified. 8 But anyway, I ask you to give that some thought and at 9 least be prepared to address the landowners who ask the questions. And somebody is going to say, "Is this offer 10 that you're making to me, does that include the water 11 12 rights that I have with this allotment?" 13 If you're going to buy whole tracts like the quarter sections that are still intact, original 14 allotment, that's going to be a very important question. 15 Again, if you're going to buy a 1/2,656th undivided 16 interest in a 60-acre tract, it's probably not going to 17 be an issue. But where you want to look at buying lands 18 that have economic value, part of that value is very 19 likely to be the water rights associated with it. So I 20 suggest that we give that some consideration. 21 I presume we have asked ourselves is 22 23 every -- it cannot be that -- or maybe it is -- is everything that is not price paid for land going to be 24 considered the administrative cost, or do we even know? 25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We've got 285 million bucks apparently for administrative costs, but have we concluded just what those costs are? Is the surveying really an administrative cost, or is that part of the direct cost of the acquisition or is anything other than the purchase price considered administrative cost? I suggest you're going to unnecessarily be tying your hands if you take the view that everything other than purchase price is by definition an administrative cost. Because there is certainly going to be other pretty direct costs associated with spending that kind of money to buy that much land. I think -- the question about whether that boundary survey work is really an administrative cost or part of the direct cost of acquiring the land I think is likely to be far more important to you down the road than it is to anybody else, especially as you can tell here, the concern about unfunded mandates trickling down. That's one part of trickle economics that absolutely works, and that's the unfunded mandate part. Because that will trickle down and it will cause logiams and problems along the way if you don't think about giving yourself flexibility to deal with that. And I've offered these in the spirit of trying to be prescriptive, not rock-throwing. Like I

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

say, none us of envies what you got in front of you, but we do appreciate what you're out here doing and taking the time to listen to some of these questions and concerns. MR. PATRICK FIELD: May I get your name and affiliation. MR. DAVID HARRISON: My name is David Harrison, and I am a gadfly in the Indian trust business, and I guess today I'm -- since you're in our territory, I guess I say I'm here for the Inter-Tribal Monitoring Association, Indian trust matters. And I also represent a few tribes as a lawyer that have significant allotted lands. And you're going to be in a situation -- and I'm sure you know this -- as the phrase that you -- those of you that went to -- you're going to have to be able to deal with adverse economics. We sound like we're all here to be partners in this enterprise that we hope we feel like we have been partners when we're through. But we're going to be dealing with adverse economic interests. You want to buy as much as you can for as little as you can pay for it, because you can buy more. The landowners want as much as they can get for the land that we have either been blessed with or saddled with, depending on how they look at it. But they certainly want the value for the

1	sweat and blood and toil and improvements they have put
2	into it.
3	So I hope you find a way to consider those
4	improvements as part of the trust estate that you're
5	going to be buying. So David Harrison, ITMA. And thank
6	you, too.
7	MS. MEGHAN CONKLIN: David, thanks for all
8	those comments. You clearly have a great deal of
9	technical expertise, and I thank you for flagging all
10	those issues in your speech. These are all issues that
11	you've raised that need some further thought as we go
12	forward in the program. So I look forward to talking
13	more, and thanks for offering all those to us today.
14	MS. DORI ALLEN DURAN: I'm back again. I'm
15	the non-shy one.
16	MS. MEGHAN CONKLIN: Okay.
17	MS. DORI ALLEN DURAN: My name, again, is
18	Dori Allen Duran.
19	MS. MEGHAN CONKLIN: Okay. Well, that's
20	good.
21	MS. DORI ALLEN DURAN: Back in the back row
22	here, we were just having a conversation
23	MS. MEGHAN CONKLIN: Who is in the back row.
24	MS. DORI ALLEN DURAN: The shy ones.
25	MS. MEGHAN CONKLIN: Is this all the BIA

staff in the back row there? 1 MS. DORI ALLEN DURAN: Kind of. There's 2 some landowners back there. 3 4 MS. MEGHAN CONKLIN: Okay. MS. DORI ALLEN DURAN: The question came up 5 when Cobell started, it started with the fund, the 6 7 money, the accountability of the money. When did it go 8 from the accountability of the money to purchasing 9 people's interest in their land? That's the part that I kind of got lost on. We are now going from 10 accountability of income to taking income away from 11 12 Indian landowners. 13 And I know we only have 3 percent of the land base of allotted, but when you take someone's 14 interest away, that takes their income away, that 15 affects their SSI payments. So has anybody taken that 16 into accountability? When you lose income, you lose --17 it affects the services that an individual gets. 18 The other thing is that we have ILCA. ILCA 19 allows you to consolidate during probate within the 20 allotments. Why aren't we doing that with landowners? 21 22 Why aren't we consolidating with landowners first, 23 allowing them to purchase interest within their own allotment, and then if there's no interest within those 24 allotments to purchase, then the tribe comes in. But 25

we're totally bypassing the landowners. We're going 1 straight to the tribe. Where is that going to get us? 2 Nowhere. 3 4 We all make good money here. Most of us are 5 Federal employees and we make decent money. But when you're looking at a landowner that may only have \$100 6 7 income coming in off an allotment, that's a \$100 they 8 didn't have. So if our goal here is to account for the 9 money going through our trust systems, we're taking the money away that should be going through the trust 10 11 systems. So that's just something to think about. 12 Has anybody gone back to look if landowners 13 start selling their interest, is that the going to affect their Social Security income coming in or other 14 Federal monies that assist them to make it 15 month-to-month? I would like for somebody to do a study 16 on that and then get back with us. 17 Thank you. 18 MS. MEGHAN CONKLIN: We just want to sort of 19 respond here. We've got a lot of DOI employees I see in 20 the audience, which I'm glad that you've all taken the 21 22 time to come out here today. I know this is very 23 important to all of you too, and I'm glad to see so many of you here. 24 As James mentioned earlier -- and I think 25

1	you're all aware we are still bound by an order that
2	prohibits communications with class members between the
3	Department and class members about the Cobell
4	Settlement. So we're on a little bit of that ground
5	right now with some of this discussion. So I just want
6	to be careful in answering.
7	Maybe we could go back to the slide that
8	describes the two main components of the Cobell
9	Settlement just so that that can sort of clarify.
10	Because your question about what's in the settlement
11	there it is. This one here.
12	So there were two big components. The one
13	was the one that you mentioned, the \$1.5 billion fund
14	for the class members. This is something that is being
15	handled by the plaintiffs, and it's not something that
16	we can discuss today. But there is a website and a
17	1-800 number that the plaintiffs do have set up that you
18	can direct people to if you get these questions in your
19	BIA offices, or there are additional questions today
20	about this issue.
21	And the second component that was added in
22	was this \$1.9 billion fund for the voluntary buyback of
23	fractionated interest. And I emphasize that. This is
24	voluntary. We're not going to be taking anyone's land.
25	That's not something that's going to be done in this

program. So maybe you and I can talk a little bit 1 off-line. Would that be better? 2 MS. DORI ALLEN DURAN: Sure. 3 4 MR. PATRICK FIELD: Maybe after this 5 gentleman speaks, we'll take a break. MS. MEGHAN CONKLIN: Oh, sure. 6 MR. YIYUK HENRY: My name is Yiyuk. I'm 7 8 from Kotzebue, Alaska, and all the comments that I have 9 are my own personal comments and not reflected on my tribe, but I'm not here representing my tribe. 10 MS. MEGHAN CONKLIN: Okay. Thank you. 11 12 MR. YIYUK HENRY: And I would like to go to 13 the \$1.9 billion fund for voluntary buyback. Like she says, she has 13 siblings. And I think that the 14 individual should have the right, you know, the owners. 15 But if she could buy back her land from her siblings 16 that she should be able to do it and not have to go 17 through this system here. If you can afford to buy the 18 land from your siblings, then you should be able to do 19 that. And they have the priority to do that. Instead 20 of selling it to the tribe, because who knows what the 21 22 tribe is going to do with it? 23 And also that -- another thing they should consider is not to sell the land to the tribe, but to 24 lease it. Because once you sell it, it's gone forever 25

to the tribe. They will do with it what they want to. 1 And it's not going to be in your interest if you sell 2 it. It's going to be in the tribe's interest. And if 3 4 the tribe really wants that land, they will lease it. 5 They will lease that land, if they really want it. So those are things tribal members not only 6 7 here, but all across the country should consider leasing 8 that land and not to sell it. If they can't -- if an 9 individual can't buy that land, the fractionated land from their siblings, then they should try and consider, 10 see if they can lease it, and each individual would get 11 12 some of that money. That's what I'm going to do with my 13 land. I'm not going to sell it. I'm going to see if my tribe wants to lease it. And I'll get it, and then when 14 I leave, my daughters will get it, and it'll just 15 continue to be leased to the tribe. See, this way it's 16 not only today that we'll be getting this money. We'll 17 be getting it tomorrow. 18 Because if you sell that land, it's gone 19 forever. But if you lease it, your family will always 20 21 have that income. And I wanted to comment on this while 22 we're here, because I have other comments, too. But that can wait until later. Thanks. 23 MS. MEGHAN CONKLIN: Okay. Thank you. We 24 wanted to hear from Dixie first and then we'll go to the 25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

consider that.

break. Is that okay. MS. DIXIE BEGAY: Thank you. Just talking about the boundary issues here this morning. The surveys. I support the need for surveys in our area because we too have land surveyed back in the 1880s, and lot of those markers have been removed. And not too -a few years ago, they came back in for a small section of land where I do own one of the acres there. And they did a survey, a cadastral survey, on that land. And what happened was from the natural markers to the cadastral survey, took off 100 feet or more. And that has been an issue within our reservation also, on the Ramah Navajo. So I support the survey. That needs to be done and we need to get the true marks or cadastral survey on that to have that. It does relate to the lands that are going to be allotted lands that we have there in Ramah too. So we do support that. And if they could, you know, trickle down some money for that in our community on our reservation. We would really need that. And just like my case, we did have one and it did move 100 or less yards of the land from the old markers to the cadastral survey. So there is a difference today. We would like the BIA to

MS. MEGHAN CONKLIN: Great. Thank you. So 1 how long is our break going to be. 2 MR. PATRICK FIELD: I think in the agenda we 3 had it for 10:00, but we're at 10:30, so if we shoot for 4 5 a 15-minute break. MS. MEGHAN CONKLIN: Okay. Great. 6 MR. PATRICK FIELD: If we return at quarter 7 of 11 to continue the conversation. 8 9 MS. MEGHAN CONKLIN: Thank you, everyone. (Recess taken from 10:33 a.m. to 11:12 a.m.) 10 MR. PATRICK FIELD: We can get started 11 12 again. I think we wanted to just, I think, start. And 13 I think, James, you wanted to go over the parameters of the consultation, so I'll just turn it over to you. 14 MR. JAMES FERGUSON: I should have done a 15 better job this morning of explaining the boundaries of 16 which we're working in with this the particular 17 consultation. Because the settlement is not final and 18 19 that is so, so very important, we are limited in what we can speak about. So the administration went to the 20 Court to ask permission to have these consultations. 21 22 That request was opposed by the counsel for the Cobell 23 plaintiffs. And so the permission that was granted to the administration was very, very narrow. The only 24 things that we can speak about is implementation of the 25

land consolidation. Anything else would be in violation
of the court order. And, of course, if that is done, it
would subject people at this table to those
consequences, and I know not only do nobody up here
wants that, but I'm sure nobody in the audience wants
that either.
So I will do a better job of when the
questions range too close to the line of reiterating
what our boundaries are. So I thank you for your
patience, and I apologize for not making that clearer
this morning. And with that, I will turn it back over
to Megan. Thank you.
MS. MEGHAN CONKLIN: Do we have additional
comments? I know we have another tribal leader from
Oregon that's just arrived. Thank you very much for
coming. If you have any comments you want to offer, we
will be happy to turn to you now or we can see if there
are additional comments from the audience, your choice.
MR. RON SUPPAH: (Speaking in native
language.)
Good morning. I'll keep my comments brief,
and understand that you have an ex-BIA realty guy that
came out of the field for about 15 years, and my memory
is kind of foggy.
First of all, I guess the first concern is

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the ten-year expenditure plan and making sure that there are a couple things that are honored by the government in the advancement and evolution of that plan. First of all, I request periodic review and reporting to the tribes as far as what is the status and where are we at on that plan during that ten years. Secondly, I believe that the tribes should concur with the plan before it advances because that's critical on a government-to-government level. Number two, I think that the confederated tribes of Warm Springs thinks it would be beneficial if there was a report or a map identifying the fractionated lands on the Warm Springs Indian reservation, whether that's in TSRs or a map, but something that would help us identify what the problem is and then figure that out as far as how we would attempt to approach that. And maybe just a little historical background from Warm Springs. Warm Springs does have the Warm Springs Inheritance Code and Act since about 1973. And, basically, the tribe has chosen to put approximately \$650,000 a year in our annual tribal budget to acquire interest from willing sellers. And that's been a very successful program, and kind of put us a little bit ahead of the curve on a lot of this fractionation problem. So I think now Warm Springs

owes -- the tribe owns a little bit over the 99 percent 1 of our reservation in trust. 2 I guess thirdly, there are many moving 3 pieces to this great puzzle. And one of them that has 4 5 been very beneficial to Warm Springs was an OST grant for probate planning. And it really helped us as far as 6 7 will writing and incorporating that facet into our member's minds so that they did a little bit more about 8 9 estate planning, and it helped us with reducing the number of owners on some of our tracts. And it would be 10 very beneficial if some of these moving pieces could be 11 12 kept in place. Because I understand in talking about 13 the some of the OST staff that the problem is that the OST fund is very limited, and with that, we have to 14 think about other options. And one of the things that 15 was mentioned was to talk to Mike Black and discuss this 16 with him more fully. 17 The fourth thing is has the secretarial 18 19 commission on trust reform been appointed? Who are 20 they? And if they're active, how do we connect with 21 them to input into this. Because over the past 22 20 years, we've been struggling with trust reform. And this is about the third time I think that we have gone 23 through this exercise. And it would be more beneficial 24 if we could do it right this time. 25

I'm just going off of my notes, so excuse me
if everything is kind of fragmented. I guess in order
for the tribes and the government to deal with this
fairly and honestly, I think that the tribes are going
to have to have accurate TSRs. How accurate are those?
And I guess basically what it boils down to is a program
review of the realty division in the particular tribe.
Because from what I understand, TABS is still struggling
and fledgling to get its feet on the ground. So I think
that we're concerned about the accuracy of the TSRs.
UTL, unable to locate, it would be good to
see a comprehensive list per reservation about who are
the people you can't locate and you can't find, because
that will play a role in the eventual outcome and
solution to this fractionated issue.
I think basically I hate to mix politics
with business, but I would like to, at this point, put a
plug in for ITMA with Mr. Joseph. Because our
understanding is that the funded grant which funded ITMA
for the past 13 years is not going to be awarded
starting in '12, and Warm Springs is one of the tribes
that utilizes ITMA, Inter-Tribal Monitoring Association
quite a bit. In fact, David and Jim Harris and Mary and
a lot of the people connected to that arm have been very
useful. And getting us down here to tour OST was

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

probably a key point in that relationship we had with ITMA because it allowed us to go into the archival records and et cetera and look in the call-in system and blah, blah, et cetera, et cetera. So I think ITMA is a very useful tool. There are 65 tribes nationally that are members of that association, and we feel like that in order for these solutions to be fully and better developed, we're going to have to start recognizing and utilizing the tribal expertise that we have at our disposal. A lot of those people that work there are individual Indians from somewhere. And there's a lot more trust there. So I think that I would like to put that out there as a sidebar to Ray. And Ray has been very receptive to possibly meeting with us on the matter, and I appreciate his efforts and being newly appointed and, et cetera. I think just in our general discussions, we tripped over only three times. I'm kidding. I was telling him about a word that I heard that OST was going to get restructured and reorganized and he said that that was not true. So I guess the information we get out in the field is different from what's actually happening in D.C. So it would be beneficial to have a face-to-face discussion with the

appropriate level with Washington, D.C. personnel.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

With that, I'd like to apologize to the Southwest tribes for interrupting their consultation. I missed the one in Seattle. Out of respect, I don't want to infringe on yours. Thank you. MS. MEGAN CONKLIN: Thank you for coming all the way down here from Oregon. I appreciate all of the comments, and I just have a few responses I wanted to offer to see if they might be helpful. First, you asked about the secretarial commission on Indian trust administration and reform. A few months ago we did publish the draft charter for the secretarial commission, and a point about, we also put out and published in the Federal Register a notice asking for nominations for members for this commission. As I mentioned earlier, this will be a commission of five members and one chair that will be taking a broad look at the Department's handling of trust management generally and offer representations to Secretary Salazar moving forward. We have received a number of nominations. and we're in the process of evaluating them, but we -no, we have not announced the commission. The commission's work has not commenced. So I wanted to let you know that.

The second -- and this has been brought up a

1	number of times by a number of other tribes, so I
2	appreciate you raising it too, wanting more specific
3	data about fractionated interests and where they are
4	across the country. And that is something that we're
5	working on and we're hoping to have some answers for you
6	on that shortly, to be able to get back to tribes. Once
7	we do have that, we'll sent that out to tribal leaders,
8	follow up with everyone to make that information
9	available. So that's the second.
10	The third is wanting to make sure that
11	tribes are able to give input on any draft plan for
12	implementing this program the Department puts together.
13	And I can tell you we absolutely will do that. We
14	haven't decided going forward whether or not we have
15	additional consultations. There's still a lot to decide
16	there. But regardless, we will make any draft plan
17	available to Indian Country, at least through Federal
18	Register so people have the opportunity to provide
19	comment and input going forward. I can't definitely
20	commit that is something that we will do.
21	Those are my comments. Thank you very much
22	for being here today. Did any other sure.
23	MR. RAY JOSEPH: I wanted to thank you for
24	your comments and making the trip down from Oregon. And
25	the very kind offer you've made to me to attend AT&I and

a number of other opportunities that we've had some 1 discussions on. 2 I appreciate your commentary about the 3 4 grants and the will-writing programs. Those are things 5 that we are looking at, but those are outside the scope of this consultation program today. It's something I 6 7 want you to be sure you understand we are still looking 8 at those things. It just doesn't fall into this 9 discussion here. That's sort of outside the boundaries here. 10 And as it relates to the ITMA grant, I'm not 11 12 sure how that fits into the particular funding structure 13 here, but the funding for that program falls into the number of grants that the organization deals with. And 14 how that's put together is run through an organization 15 within OST. And it looks at the budgets for all these 16 grant programs. It's not like it's looked at 17 one-by-one. It's the entire budget. And as the Federal 18 19 budget is being evaluated, that's given back to us. It's not deciding one-by-one. It's the entire budget. 20 So when those are reduced, it happens across 21 22 the board. It's not something we can look at and parcel off pieces. It's just, here's the budget for 23 grant-making and if it's reduced, it's reduced. I 24

haven't looked at this in its entirety, but I know

that's the generic way we always apply the funding for 1 2 these. It's also very important with grants that we 3 4 look to schedule meetings and communications through the 5 government GOTAR, if you will. Those communications are very critical to make sure we're in compliance with all 6 7 the rules and procurement laws. So if we're going to 8 have a meeting, it's important that we follow the proper 9 procedures to protect both the tribes, the organization, 10 as well as the Federal government. MR. RON SUPPAH: Madam chair? I have a 11 12 legal question, maybe for your solicitor. I guess he's the solicitor. 13 MR. JAMES FERGUSON: Sure. 14 MR. RON SUPPAH: A few of the tribes have 15 taken a different legal route in their endeavor to 16 resolve the trust fund natural resources mismanagement, 17 and Warm Springs was one of them. And I guess my 18 question is now we've settled our trust fund 19 mismanagement to natural resources mismanagement case in 20 two phases. But I guess the issue from Warm Springs' 21 22 perspective is albeit the tribe settled their portion of 23 the case, the forgotten element is the individual tribal members. And I guess now that this pot of money is 24 coming out, how will the tribes that have settled 25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

otherwise the Cobell be considered? MR. JAMES FERGUSON: That's a very good question. And I appreciate you taking the time not only to come down but you have such well thought-out questions. Unfortunately, because of the limits that we're under to conduct these consolidations, I can't respond to that. We cannot speak about this settlement generally. And your question clearly goes outside the land implementation portion. However, the counsel for the Cobell plaintiff has an obligation to respond specifically to those type of questions, and I can only encourage you to follow up with them. MR. RON SUPPAH: Thank you. MS. MEGHAN CONKLIN: Yes? MS. SHIRLEY BELLSON: I'm Shirley Bellson again from Zuni. As we talk about these land issues, the tribes that have been lucky to purchase these interests from individuals, are we thinking about not allowing individuals to save certain interests, reserve certain rights, because if we do allow individuals to reserve certain rights when tribes purchase these tracts of land, then we will not be resolving the fractionated interests, because we still have to manage the rights

that may be reserved by individual tribal members, like

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

mineral rights, water rights. We still have to probate those estates, you know, and we won't be resolving the fractionated problem. We'll still have to maintain some sort of accountability on that as well. So do we allow individuals to reserve certain rights when we allow tribes to purchase these tracts of land, or what would happened in these situations? MS. MEGHAN CONKLIN: So this is the same comment that you had made earlier, David, about how are we're going to deal with water rights and improvements on allotments. I think that's a good question. I don't have an answer for you yet, but clearly it's something that we need to spend some more time thinking about as we go about implementing the program. So I've definitely flagged those and I think we've got a number of these issues that we're talking about internally as we move forward. So another good comment to raise. Thank you. MS. SHIRLEY BELLSON: And that would also kind of pose kind of like a conflict of interest between like a staffer. Do we represent the tribe's interest at this point and advise them certain things, or how do we

go about advising individual landowners? Do we advise

1	them not to reserve certain rights? You know, those are
2	some of the conflicting roles we will be looking at as
3	we look at implementing this task or whatever is coming
4	up.
5	MS. MEGHAN CONKLIN: Right. Thank you.
6	MR. PATRICK FIELD: Are there tribal leader
7	comments or other tribal member comments, other
8	landowners who want to make a comment? Suggestion? I
9	mean, there's a few topics we haven't covered in that
10	much detail. Great. Thank you.
11	MR. PAUL ROSETTA: I have a question.
12	Earlier I had said the tribe interest is in an area with
13	two sections, although the other sections are at least
14	in the Bureau of Land Management. And I was wondering
15	up to what amount would be eligible, or to be eligible,
16	or how will that be determined? Because currently we
17	know what those three sections will the asking price
18	from a private
19	MS. MEGHAN CONKLIN: I'm sorry. I'm not
20	sure that I got your question. It was wanting to
21	know
22	MR. PAUL ROSETTA: Well, currently there's
23	three sections there for sale, a private individual, and
24	we know what it costs. What amount of that that's
25	allocated are we entitled to?

1	MS. MEGHAN CONKLIN: I'm sorry. I'm so not
2	getting the question. Is it how the appraisal
3	MR. PAUL ROSETTA: Well, you said there's a
4	certain percentage available to acquire land. So I want
5	to know specific to Santo Domingo or how is it
6	calculated, what amount will be eligible.
7	MS. MEGHAN CONKLIN: Oh, you want to know
8	that. We haven't decided that yet. I think your
9	question about and this has come up how we're
10	going to allocate the \$1.9 billion amount to different
11	tribes or different regions. Clearly, the settlement
12	has certain requirements about, you know, looking at the
13	most highly fractionated areas, so we've given you some
14	data in the packet that you got about where the most
15	fractionated regions are. But the question here about
16	exactly how much is going to be allocated to different
17	tribes, this hasn't been decided.
18	MR. PAUL ROSETTA: In the area the other
19	question is, the area that we're interested in, would
20	that be appraised? What if it comes in appraised at a
21	lower value?
22	MS. MEGHAN CONKLIN: Yeah. The appraisal
23	process is something that is going to be really critical
24	here. That is Eldridge there sitting in the back taking
25	notes, who handled appraisals for OST.

I think we have certain standards that we
are required to follow when we go about the appraisal
process. But clearly, we need to make sure we've got a
system in line here so that it's defensible and it's
fair, and people feel that it's a good process going
forward. So I guess we welcome comments from people and
how they think would be the most effective way for the
Department to go about the appraisal process.
But, again, that's one of those things that
has not yet been determined.
MR. PATRICK FIELD: Other questions or
comments from tribal leaders, tribal members or others
about appraisals? We've talked some about surveying,
administration; other comments from people about any of
those issues from tribes, tribal leaders, tribal
organizations, landowners?
MR. STEVE BOONE: I have a concern that we
won't have enough time for that first October 15th
deadline. I think if it's extended to November 1st will
be more I guess it might be or it might not be. But
we have at least about 500 landowners that we need to
address this issue. So given that we have to meet with
them and collaborate with them, too, we may not be able
to even meet that deadline of November 1st either.
MS. MEGHAN CONKLIN: Okay. Well, I

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

appreciate that. We're looking into extending the comment period to November 1st. We haven't officially gotten a register notice associated with it, but I think we can accommodate that and make sure that people have ample time to submit written comments. And I should say for anyone here today who doesn't feel comfortable getting up in front of the microphone -- I don't so much like it myself sometimes -- you're more than welcome to send in written comments to the Department. And you've got the information there of how you can submit them to Michelle Singer. So I appreciate that. Thank you. Yes? MS. MARTHA GARCIA: Along that same line, the November 15th, which is only, what --MS. MEGHAN CONKLIN: October 15th. MS. MARTHA GARCIA: -- three weeks away? Then November 1st is just a month and -- I don't know if we would be able to get together and let the landowners know they have the opportunity to submit written comments. But we would have to do the education. Somebody has to come out and do the education of what the land consolidation portion of the Cobell Settlement is all about and for them to respond. On top of that, like I stated, many of our landowners are elders, and they would have to have somebody sit down and help them

write the comments. So you would understand what that 1 is going to be all about. 2 So take a good look at the November 1st 3 deadline, or to extend it further than that, we would 4 5 appreciate that. We are planning on our annual basis, we host an allottees education on various issues. And 6 7 last year it was mostly on probate. And since the 8 settlement happened, that's the one that we would like 9 to work with them on. But it's just the fact that we have to get people there that understand and be able to 10 explain both in English and in Navajo what the 11 12 settlement is all about, and we're looking at November 15 and 16 do to that. That seems like that's 13 the only date that we have available. So it's not --14 we're in a situation where we want them to know, but 15 they won't be able to provide a written comment on it. 16 The other one is that in the Southwest 17 Region, it shows that we are the smallest. Point 18 19 3 percent of our people in the Southwest are allottees. 20 And based on that, don't forget us just because our number is small. It still impacts us just the same as 21 22 everybody else, that 1.306 that's showing there, we have that same -- we're at the same level of how we are -- as 23 landowners, we have the same interests as the other 24 allottees across the nation. But our number is really, 25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

really small here in the Southwest. But don't forget us and just, you know -- you can just see a tiny sliver of it right here. And just because of that, don't let it melt into the other colors for us. Keep us up there at the forefront, and that you treat our landowners and that they participate and that they understand and know what is going on all the way down to their level. And again, what I'm stressing. And then the other one, it would be really good to see an organizational chart to see where you are situated on that chart. Are you part of the BIA? What does it look like when you look at the Department of the Interior? There are so many divisions and programs and whatever that's within Department of the Interior. Where are you situated on that chart so we can say, okay. These are the -- this is how the relationship is established between the various groups, and this is who we would be working on -- we would be working with on the land consolidation portion of the settlement, and then the other settlement. And, you know, where do we go? Is it still under the Department of the Interior and who is responsible? So these are the things that we can explain to the people so they would understand. Otherwise, they'll probably think, "Okay, it's at the agency level,

so I'll go there." But right now they don't have that 1 responsibility yet. 2 MS. MEGHAN CONKLIN: Thank you. You know, I 3 4 should point out that beyond this public comment period, 5 as I mentioned, there's going to be future opportunity for tribes and tribal leaders to be engaged in this 6 7 process. As I mentioned, at the time when the 8 Department does have a draft plan for this program 9 available, we'll make it available to all of you for providing feedback. So I don't want you to think that 10 11 because people don't make the comment period deadline, 12 that's it. MR. PATRICK FIELD: I'm aware it's about 15, 13 20 minutes before lunch. We will absolutely reconvene 14 after lunch if people have more comments to make. If 15 not, we will probably conclude by lunch. So we do have 16 20 minutes or so left, so I want to see if anyone else 17 from tribal, tribal leaders, landowner, has additional 18 19 issues that they want to raise, ideas that they have 20 specifically that they want to bring up in the consultation. 21 22 Tribal leaders at the table, are there additional points? Issues? Concerns? Ideas that we 23 haven't talked about that you would like to raise in 24 addition? 25

Mr. Rosetta, anything else that you want 1 2 to --Mr. Boone, anything else in addition that 3 you want to bring up? 4 5 Well, maybe at this point, Megan, I will turn it back to you and your team and you can think 6 7 about perhaps some closing remarks and the like in terms of where we're at. And then I think if we don't have 8 9 other comments, what I would suggest is that we would --I want to check with you -- but we would, then, probably 10 11 conclude at lunchtime and then not reconvene after lunch 12 because people have had their chance to make their 13 comments. 14 MS. MEGHAN CONKLIN: That sounds okay to me as long as everyone feels like they have had the 15 opportunity to say something today. If that's the case, 16 then I think it would be okay to conclude at this point 17 and allow everyone to go to lunch and enjoy the rest of 18 19 their day, but remind everyone that you can still provide written comments to the Department, and there 20 are going to be additional opportunities to be engaged 21 22 in this process going out. And, you know, I do thank all of you for having come here and spent the time, and 23 for you, sir, for traveling down from Oregon to be here 24 with us today. I know a number of you came from further 25

away and have your own responsibilities that you're 1 dealing with, so I appreciate you taking the time here 2 to talk to us. 3 You know, as I said from the outset, our 4 5 goal with these consultations is to make them meaningful. We will be using the input that you've 6 7 given us today and other tribes and tribal leaders have 8 given us throughout this consultation process to help us 9 develop our plan moving forward. As I mentioned to you today, any plan will be made available to Indian Country 10 11 for feedback. It's just the beginning of the process, 12 so to say. And I want to thank the rest of my team here 13 from the Department of the Interior. Thank you, James and Dion and Ray and Jodi -- Kallie. I'm sorry. 14 Kallie's just reminded me, for those who 15 have specific questions about the settlement itself, as 16 James mentioned, we need to direct all those comments to 17 the plaintiffs. And I want to tell you now where you 18 19 can reach them, if you don't know. They have a website set up that's called Indiantrust.com, and they have a 20 1-800 number that is 1-800-961-6109. That's where you 21 22 can direct specific questions about the settlement and the \$1.5 billion fund that I mentioned, the class action 23 piece here that we're not able to address today. 24 So, again, I just want to thank everyone for 25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

being here today. Dixie, you have a question? MS. DIXIE BEGAY: I don't have a question, but I would just like to make a final comment. This tribal consultation, it's all recorded and written, that it be taken seriously into consideration on our requests and our wants that we need. And so really focus on individual landowners and their decision and how they want their lands to be either fractionated or they want to -- whatever they need. But they need to be involved in this. And for our people there at the chapter there in Ramah, a lot of them have a lot of lands and they should be given the time to decide on how they want their land to be, you know, either given back to Ramah Band lands or the tribe itself there, or if they want to keep it or whatever. They need to be in the loop of all this and that's very important for the individual landowners and then with the Bureau on that. MS. MEGHAN CONKLIN: Great. MS. DIXIE BEGAY: Don't forget our comments, and we would like to thank you for being here and providing this consultation today. And, again, to consider our requests and our needs in the community. MS. MEGHAN CONKLIN: Thank you. Did anyone else have any closing comments before we end today.

MR. YIYUK HENRY: My name is Yiyuk from

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Kotzebue, Alaska. And I was also wondering, too, here about economic development for tribes. You've got that here down as economic development of tribes. Why don't you have it for tribal members? Because you have a lot of tribal members who are entrepreneurs out there who want to go out and start their own businesses, but the thing is they don't have the monies to go out there and start these businesses. And you're not focusing on the tribal members. Your main focus is on tribes. And also another thing is on the \$60 million for scholarships for Native Americans, how is that going to be allocated? Is it going to be -- let me use an example here. I've gone to college before and most recently and before we had tribal members from -- let's see. A tribe that came to -- went to college and they got scholarships from the tribe, and you have these individuals -- let's say you have one person who got dropped off by a family member or came in on the bus or by plane, because they couldn't -- that's the only way they could get here.

Then you have another member of the same tribe who drove here and went to college in their own private vehicle. But then you have the same person who could not afford to come here on their own who's waiting for a scholarship. And they did not get the

1	scholarship, but the person who has the personal vehicle
2	that came down here who doesn't need the money, got it.
3	And how is it going how is this money going to be
4	allocated? Are they going to look at everybody the
5	same, or are they going to say, "Okay, you need it more
6	than this person, so you get first priority."
7	What I've seen in some of the tribes where
8	the one who needs it the most is most unlikely to get
9	it. And that's what we have to think about also.
10	Because it's not personally, to me, it's not fair
11	that the person who really doesn't need to get that
12	money gets it from the tribe. And how is that is it
13	money going directly to the tribe or okay, let's say,
14	for example, is it going to Window Rock, Arizona or is
15	it going to the chapters, the different chapters of the
16	reservation or any other reservation, is it going to the
17	main tribal office, or is it going to go to the
18	different chapters of the tribe?
19	MS. MEGHAN CONKLIN: Well, I have just one
20	thing I wanted to respond to your question about the
21	scholarship fund, and kind of how that will work before
22	we close here. The scholarship fund will make up the
23	\$60 million available for scholarships for American
24	Indian youth, as I mentioned. And one of the specific
25	requirements is that the plaintiffs, actually, had to

send a recommendation to Secretary Salazar for two 1 nonprofit entities to manage the scholarship, which they 2 have done. 3 So we've received recommendations from 4 5 plaintiffs, so Cobell and others, and they have recommended two organizations. The first is the 6 7 American Indian College Fund, and the second is the American Indian Graduate Center, which I understand is 8 9 based here in Albuquerque. So what we're doing right now is we're evaluating these two organizations. And 10 11 eventually the Secretary has an obligation to make a 12 choice between these two entities as one of them to be 13 to be the organization that will manage the scholarship fund. 14 There will also be additional oversight 15 there. There will be sort of a board that will sort of 16 provide input for the organization on how they manage 17 the program. We're required to consult with Indian 18 19 Country on a few of the members on that board. That's sort of how the general process will be. I know of a 20 number of tribes have weighed in about one or both of 21 22 these different groups, and we've appreciated those comments. But I just wanted to walk you through that 23 specific process there. 24 And thank you for coming down. Did you come 25

all the way from Alaska today? 1 MR. YIYUK HENRY: No. Actually I'm here 2 for -- I don't know how long I'll be here. 3 4 MS. MEGHAN CONKLIN: Thank you for being 5 here. I think that's it, then, for our comments today. Again, thanks, everyone, for being here, and we look 6 7 forward to talking to you more. MR. RON SUPPAH: When will the final 8 9 consultation report be out and available? MS. MEGHAN CONKLIN: I don't have a specific 10 date for you yet. We need to complete the consultation 11 12 process. We still have a few more consultations that we 13 need to do. We have at least two more, and we need to get through the public comment period. And then the 14 Department will go through a process of evaluating all 15 the comments and working on the plan, so I don't know 16 when that will be. But we will stay in touch with 17 tribal leaders and make information available on our 18 website to provide you more updates on what's going to 19 happen. 20 MR. RON SUPPAH: Will that come through on 21 22 the Federal Register or how will that be? MS. MEGHAN CONKLIN: It likely will. 23 MR. RON SUPPAH: Thank you. 24

MS. MEGHAN CONKLIN: Thank you. For the DOI

1	employees, I just thought maybe we could get together at
2	1 o'clock. Let's just meet back here and we'll figure
3	out what room we're going to meet in. Thank you,
4	everybody. Have a good day.
5	(The meeting was concluded at 11:57 a.m.)
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
2	
3	I, JOYCE D. CALVERT, New Mexico Provisional
4	Reporter, License #P-403, DO HEREBY CERTIFY that on
5	Tuesday, September 27, 2011, the Proceedings in the
6	above-captioned matter were taken before me, that I did
7	report in stenographic shorthand the Proceedings set
8	forth herein, and the foregoing pages are a true and
9	correct transcription to the best of my ability.
10	I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither employed by
11	nor related to nor contracted with (unless excepted by
12	the rules) any of the parties or attorneys in this case,
13	and that I have no interest whatsoever in the final
14	disposition of this case in any court.
15	
16	JOYCE D. CALVERT, #P-403
17	License Expires: 2/1/12
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	