



Get With The Guidelines-Heart Failure

Gregg C. Fonarow, MD

Eliot Corday Professor of Cardiovascular Medicine UCLA Division of Cardiology Director, Ahmanson-UCLA Cardiomyopathy Center Associate Chief, UCLA Division of Cardiology Los Angeles, California

Presenter and Program Disclosure Information



Gregg C. Fonarow, MD AHA GWTG HF Program Presentation

FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE: Research, Consultant, Honorarium: GSK, Pfizer, Merck, Sanofi, Medtronic, Scios, AZ

UNLABELED/UNAPPROVED USES DISCLOSURE: None



GWTG-HF is sponsored by an unrestricted educational grant from GlaxoSmithKline

Opportunities to Improve Care for Patients With HF



- Despite overwhelming clinical trial evidence, expert opinion, national guidelines, and a vast array of educational conferences, evidencebased, life-saving therapies continue to be underutilized
- New approaches to improving the use of proven, guideline-recommended, lifeprolonging therapies are clearly needed

Why a Hospital-based System for HF Management?

Patients

- Patient capture point
- Have patient's/family's attention: "teachable moment"
- Predictor of care in community
- Hospital structure
 - Standardized processes/protocols/ orders/teams
 - Accrediting bodies for standards of care
 - Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services—peer review organizations
 - HEDIS (post-discharge)

Fonarow GC. Rev Cardiovasc Med. 2002;3:S2–S10.



What is GWTG-HF?

 The American Heart Association's inhospital quality improvement program aimed at ensuring every heart failure patient receives the best care possible.

GWTG-HF Program Objectives



- Improve medical care and education of patients hospitalized with heart failure
- Accelerate initiation of the HF evidencebased, guideline-recommended therapies by starting these life-saving therapies before hospital discharge in appropriate patients without contraindications
- Increase understanding of barriers to uptake of evidence-based therapies in this patient population

Methods: GWTG-HF

GWTG employs a collaborative model of care involving organizational stakeholders, AHA, physician/nurse champions, hospital teams

Web-based PMT providing decision support at he point of care, on-demand reporting, and patient education features

Hospital toolkit: Order sets, critical pathways, pocket cards, discharge checklists, patient educational materials

Ongoing real-time feedback of hospital data to support rapid cycle improvement

Learning sessions, Post meeting follow-up, teleconference and Internet based conferencing, Email community, and Hospital site visits

GWTG-HF Data Collection



- Relevant medical history
- Smoking within the last 12 months
- HF History
- Symptoms (closest to admission)
- Vital Signs
- Exam (closest to admission)
- Labs (closest to admission; peak to troponin)
- Admission medications (taken prior to admission)
- Parenteral therapies
- Procedures during this hospital stay
- Ejection Fraction

- Discharge Status
- If patient expired, primary cause of death
- Symptoms (closest to discharge)
- Vital Signs (closest to discharge)
- Exam (closest to discharge)
- Labs (closest to discharge)
- Discharge medications
- Smoking cessation counseling
- Discharge instructions
- Date of discharge
- Process of care improvement
- Highlighted items are optional

GWTG-HF Recognition Program Performance Measures

- 1. HF Discharge instructions provided to all eligible patients
- 2. Measurement of LV function in all eligible patients
- 3. ACE inhibitor and/or ARB at discharge provided to eligible patients with LVEF < or = 0.40, in absence of documented contraindications or intolerance
- 4. Beta blocker at discharge provided to eligible patients with LVEF < or = 0.40, in absence of documented contraindications or intolerance
- 5. Smoking cessation counseling provided to all eligible patients (current or recent smokers)

Emerging Performance Measures

 Anticoagulation in eligible patients with current or paroxysmal atrial fibrillation and no documented contraindications, intolerance, or other reason

 Aldosterone antagonists in eligible patients with LVSD and no contraindications, intolerance, or other reason

 Hydralazine/Nitrates in eligible Black patients with LVSD and no contraindications, intolerance, or other reason

 Evidence based beta blocker use (carvedilol, bisoprolol or metoprolol succinate) in eligible patients with LVSD

 ICD in eligible patients with LVEF <30 and no contraindication or other reason documented

| ğ | Data En | try Review Data | Contact Us Logout GWTG-HF | | | | |
|-----------|-------------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| A | dmission | Payment Source | Medicare (Title 18) Medicaid (Title 19) Other No Insurance/Not Documented/UTD | | | | |
| <u>Ir</u> | Information | Primary Cause of Admission: | ⊙ Heart failure 🔿 Other 🔿 Unknown | | | | |
| | | Characterization of HF at admission or when first recognized: | Acute pulmonary edema V If Other, specify: | | | | |
| | | Other Conditions Contributing to HF Exacerbation: <i>(check all that apply)</i> | Ischemia/ACS Noncompliance-dietary Uncontrolled HTN Noncompliance-medication Pneumonia/resp process Other (specify): Worsening renal failure Arrhythmia | | | | |
| | <u>Demography</u> | Date of Birth | 12 / 23 / 1941 🔊 MM DD YYYY | | | | |
| D | | Gender | 🔿 Male 💿 Female 🔿 Unknown | | | | |
| | | Race/Ethnicity: | select one | | | | |
| | | Hispanic Origin | | | | | |
| | | Acute renal failure ICD Anemia Atrial arrhythmia | American Indian/Alaska Native Asian Caucasian Native Hawaiian Pacific Islander Other (i.e. mixed race, Hispanic) UTD | | | | |

| ğ | Data Er | try Review I | Data | Contact Us | Logo | GWTG-HF PMT Spec | ial | |
|-------------------------|--|--|------------|--|---|---|-----|--|
| (close disch | est to arge) | Unchanged | ~ | | | Features:Guidelines | | |
| Vital | Signs | Weight | 200 | 💿 lbs 🔿 kg 🤹 🔲 N | ot well docum | nented | | |
| (close | est to | Heart rate | 60 b | bpm | | | | |
| uiacii | nargej | BP - Supine | 190 / | 100 mmHg (syste | olic/diastolic) | | | |
| Exan | am isest to charge) | | | https://gol.med BP Guidelines: | pad.com - G\ | WTG-HF BP Guidelines: - Microsoft Int | | |
| (close disch | | Lo | wer extrem | Initiate lifestyle mod moderate sodium res products). Add bloo and characteristics (; | ification (weig striction, and e d pressure me ie, age, race, no | ht control, physical activity, alcohol moderation, mphasis on fruits, vegetables, and low-fat dairy dication, individualized to other patient requirements eed for drugs with specific benefits. | | |
| | <mark>os</mark> osest to charge) | | | BP Goal: <140/90 mm mmHg if diabetes. | Hg, <130/85 m | mHg if heart failure or renal insufficiency, <130/80 | | |
| Labs (close disch | | SCr | | | | OK Print | | |
| | | к 🗌 🗖 | | | | | ~ | |
| | | Beta Blocker: | | ど Done | 0.00 | 🔒 🤮 Internet | .; | |
| | | Contraindication(s) to Beta Blocker at discharge: 🔘 Yes 🔘 No 💿 | | | | | | |
| | | —select one— 🗸 | | | Dosage: | mg | | |



ACE and ARB Usage:% patients on ACE inhibitors or ARBs at discharge Beta Blocker Usage:% patients on Beta blockers at discharge, or with a documented plan to initiate on an outpatient basis Time Period: Baseline + Q2 2003 - Q3 2004



GWTG-HF Cycle of Quality Improvement

Find and Support a Champion

Assess HF Treatment Rates

Measure current treatment rates and process-of-care indicators

Implement Refined Protocols

Hospital team coordinates implementation of refined protocols

Evaluate Assessment

Hospital team reviews summary reports and current protocols

Refine Protocols

Hospital team identifies areas for improvement



GWTG-HF Implementation Recognition

GWTG-HF Quality Improvement Award Levels include:

- Initial GWTG-HF Performance Achievement Award
- Annual GWTG-HF Performance Achievement Award
- Sustaining GWTG-HF Performance Achievement Award

GWTG-HF Initial Results

 Data analyzed from the first 97 hospitals participating in GWTG-HF and utilizing the web-based Patient Management Tool[™] for data collection and decision support (Outcome, Cambridge, MA).

 Patient cohort: patients hospitalized with a primary or secondary heart failure diagnosis.

• The first 30 pre-GWTG implementation "baseline" patient records were compared to post 4 quarters of patients entered immediately after the start of GWTG implementation to determine if guideline-driven care improved over time for 5 performance measures (PM).

Results: Patient Characteristics

18,516 hospitalized HF patients from January 2005 to March 2006

| Characteristic | Level | Total Cohort (N=18,516) | | |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|--|--|
| Age | Mean (STD) | 72.9 <u>+</u> 14.2 years | | |
| Gender | Male | 50.0% | | |
| Race/ Ethnicity | Caucasian African American | 71.6% 16.3% | | |
| CAD-Ischemic | Yes | 49.8% | | |
| Diabetes | Yes | 43.7% | | |
| Atrial Fibrillation History | Yes | 31.1% | | |
| Hypertension History | Yes | 72.6% | | |

Results: Patient Characteristics

| Characteristic | Level | Total Cohort (N=18,516) | | |
|------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------|--|--|
| Systolic Blood Pressure | Mean (STD) | 140.5 <u>+</u> 31.2 mmHg | | |
| Heart Rate | Mean (STD) | 85.6 <u>+</u> 21.0 bpm | | |
| LVEF | Mean (STD) LVEF < 40% | 38.7 <u>+</u> 17.1% 49.8% | | |
| Sodium | Mean (STD) | 137.1 <u>+</u> 9.5 mEq/L | | |
| Potassium | Mean (STD) | 4.1 <u>+</u> 0.7 mEq/L | | |
| Blood urea nitrogen (BUN) | Mean (STD) | 31.6 <u>+</u> 20.3 mg/dL | | |
| Creatinine | Mean (STD) | 1.8 <u>+</u> 1.9 mg/dL | | |
| BNP (n=11,844) | Median (IQR) | 873 (451-1701) pg/mL | | |

Results: Performance Measures



Data from 97 GWTG-HF hospitals and 18,516 HF patients were collected from 1/05-3/06 Fonarow GC, et al. *J Card Fail*. 2006;12:S130.

Results: Performance Measures

| Performance Measure | Baseline | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 | P value, time trend |
|----------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------|
| Ν | 2670 | 6477 | 4869 | 3172 | 1328 | |
| Discharge Instructions | 69.6% (1371/ 1970) | 73.7% (3459/ 4696) | 74.4% (2613 /3512) | 75.4% (1773/ 2352) | 78.7% (799/ 1015) | <0.0001 |
| LV Function Measurement | 90.6% (2129/ 2350) | 91.7% (5319 /5803) | 92.3% (4042/ 4381) | 92.3% (2644/ 2864) | 91.3% (1119/ 1226) | 0.127 |
| ACEI/ARB Use | 83.3% (811/ 974) | 80.8% (1884 /2333) | 83.3% (1428/ 1715) | 81.6% (913/ 1129) | 84.5% (415/ 491) | 0.036 |
| Beta Blocker Use | 86.5% (733/ 847) | 87.3% (1800/ 2061) | 85.5% (1299/ 1519) | 84.1% (849/ 1009) | 89.5% (417/ 466) | 0.046 |
| Smoking Cessation | 74.3% (277/373) | 80.7% (710/880) | 82.4% (588/714) | 80.9% (355/439) | 88.5% (162/183) | <0.0001 |

Results: Composite and Defect Free Measures Over Time



GWTG-HF Results: Emerging **Performance Measures**



Data from 97 GWTG-HF hospitals and 18,516 HF patients were collected from 1/05-3/06

GWTG Findings

• The AHA GWTG-HF Program is associated with significant improvements in the quality of care for patients hospitalized with heart failure as indexed by specific performance measures and composites.

• After initial increases from baseline, successive improvements over time in certain performance measures were observed.

 Hospitals participating in GWTG-HF significantly improved evidence-based care of HF patients over time as reflected by the composite and defect free care performance measures.

Gender-Related Disparity in Use of Evidence-Based HF Therapy at Discharge



ACEI/ARB, β -blocker, and aldosterone antagonist use in eligible patients with LVSD; statin in CAD, PVD, CVD, and/or diabetes; and warfarin use in patients with atrial fibrillation.

Fonarow GC, et al. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2005;45:339A (Updated July 2005). The OPTIMIZE-HF Registry [database]. Final Data Report. Duke Clinical Research Institute. July 2005.

Impact of Evidence-Based HF Therapy Use at Hospital Discharge on F/U Use: OPTIMIZE-HF

60 to 90 Day Post-Discharge Follow-up



34,057 HF patients hospitalized at 236 US hospitals participating in OPTMIZE-HF, f/u on 2500 with LVD. Fonarow GC. Paper presented at: Heart Failure Society of America Annual Meeting; September 12-15, 2004; Toronto Canada.

In-Hospital and Follow-Up Outcomes by Process of Care Improvement Tool Use



PrCI tool use (admission order set or discharge checklist) was reported during hospitalization in 45.3% of patients (n=22,017/48,612).

Fonarow GC, et al. Arch Intern Med. 2007;167:1493–1502.

Conclusions

- Large number of heart failure patients are having events that could be prevented with improved care
- Hospital-based HF quality improvement is feasible on a national scale
- GWTG-HF can help hospital teams to ensure use of evidence-based therapies in their eligible HF patients prior to hospital discharge
- Recent studies provide additional scientific evidence in support of the American Heart Association's efforts through GWTG to improve the quality of cardiovascular care in the nation's hospitals.