## HANFORD ADVISORY BOARD

A Site Specific Advisory Board, Chartered under the Federal Advisory Committee Act

Advising:

US Dept of Energy **US** Environmental Protection Agency Washington State Dept of Ecology

February 10, 2012

CHAIR:

Susan Leckband

**VICE CHAIR:** Bob Suyama

**BOARD MEMBERS:** 

Local Business Harold Heacock

Labor/Work Force David Davis

Thomas Carpenter Jeff Luke Rebecca Holland

Local Environment Gene Van Liew

Local Government

Maynard Plahuta Pam Larsen Rick Jansons Rob Davis Jerry Peltier Bob Adler

**Bob Parks Tribal Government** Russell Jim John Stanfill

> Public Health Tony Brooks Howard Putter

University Doug Mercer Richard Stout

Public-at-Large Norma Jean Germond Keith Smith Bob Parazin Bob Suyama

Regional Environment/Citizen

Dan Serres Paige Knight Gerald Pollet Susan Leckband

State of Oregon Lyle Smith Ken Niles

Ex-Officio Confederated Tribes of the Umatilla

Washington State Department of Health

**Envirolssues Hanford Project Office** 713 Jadwin, Suite 3 Richland, WA 99352 Phone: (509) 942-1906 Fax: (509) 942-1926

Matt McCormick, Manager U.S. Department of Energy, Richland Operations

P.O. Box 550 (A7-50) Richland, WA 99352

Dennis Faulk, Manager U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 10 309 Bradley Blvd,, Suite 115

Richland WA 99352

Jane Hedges, Program Manager

Washington State Department of Ecology

3100 Port of Benton Blvd. Richland, WA 99354

Re: PW 1,3,6 and CW-5 Record of Decision

Dear Messrs. McCormick, Faulk and Ms. Hedges,

The Hanford Advisory Board (HAB or Board) is a Federal Advisory Committee Act (FACA) board chartered by the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE), and representing multiple constituencies within the northwest. The Board membership represents many sectors of the public, and it is universally understood that the Board provides a specific, direct, and vital Tri-Party Agreement (TPA) agencies advisory board function.

In the 18 years of its existence, the Board has developed and delivered consensus advice to DOE, the Washington State Department of Ecology (Ecology), and the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) on a wide breadth of issues concerning the cleanup of the Hanford Nuclear Reservation. This includes advice on proposed plans that define final records of decisions (RODs). The Board expects to receive point-by-point response to all future policy concerns expressed in its advice from the agencies to whom the advice is directed (responses to HAB Advice 246 are a good example of this). This system improves our communication with the agencies, clarifies intent, and provides historical documentation.

In light of this expectation, we were taken aback at the December 7, 2011 River and Plateau (RAP) Committee meeting, to learn that HAB Advice 247 (Proposed Plan for PW- 1,3,6 and CW-5 Operable Units) had been identified by the TPA agencies as a public comment letter on the Proposed Plan and that, contrary to past responses to HAB policy advice, the Board was not going to receive a direct response from any agency to HAB Advice 247 on a point-by-point basis. During a discussion of this subject at the January 10, 2012 RAP Committee meeting, we were gratified to hear that the TPA agencies would respond (and did), point-by-point, to our advice with excerpts from the Responsiveness Summary (ROD, Part III), suitable for posting on the HAB website. This action alleviates our concern that without posting agency responses directly to the HAB website it is difficult for anyone to track agency response to the Board's advice. In the future, we request the TPA agencies craft a direct response to our advice points to ensure the Board and the public can easily follow HAB advice and responses.

Additionally, whenever referencing Board advice, the Board would appreciate that the TPA agencies identify all relevant HAB advice, and do so by number. The PW-1,3,6 and CW-5 ROD mentioned HAB Advice 132 (2002), and HAB Advice 207 (2008), but failed to mention or reference HAB Advice 247 (2011), which directly addressed this ROD. We reiterate the goal of having Board advice posted on the HAB website, along with documentation of direct agency responses to the points within our advice. The Board's goal is clear communication with no misrepresentation of intent.

The Board believes that public and Board values and advice points within HAB Advice 247 were not addressed with sufficient specificity in the Responsiveness Summary. Our level of dissatisfaction, disappointment and concern is heightened by the fact that the sites addressed by this ROD contain very large volumes of plutonium-contaminated soil and the final ROD fails to reflect Board advice and public sentiment concerning its disposition. The volume of plutonium-contaminated soils, other radionuclides, and chemicals within these waste sites are inadequately defined. HAB Advice 247 advises DOE and EPA to "get as much plutonium out of these waste sites as possible." HAB Advice 247 further states: "DOE policy should opt to ship eligible plutonium-contaminated soil to WIPP [Waste Isolation Pilot Plant] for geologic disposal, permanently removing it from Hanford." The majority of people who spoke at the public meetings expressed this same sentiment. Therefore, we cannot, in good conscience, remain silent. The Board will be weighing in with further concerns during the development process of the Work Plan for this ROD.

Susan Likhand

Susan Leckband, Chair Hanford Advisory Board

This letter represents Board consensus for this specific topic. It should not be taken out of context to extrapolate Board agreement on other subject matters.

cc: Stacy Charboneau, Deputy Designated Official, U.S. Department of Energy Dennis Faulk, U. S. Environmental Protection Agency Jane Hedges, Washington State Department of Ecology Catherine Brennan, U.S. Department of Energy, Headquarters The Oregon and Washington Delegations