## Abstract

This page intentionally left blank.

## SUPPLEMENTAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT (SEIS) FOR THE DISPOSAL AND REUSE OF HUNTERS POINT NAVAL SHIPYARD SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

## March 2012

Lead Agency for the SEIS: Title of Proposed Action: Affected Jurisdiction: Designation: U.S. Department of the Navy Disposal and Reuse of Hunters Point Naval Shipyard City and County of San Francisco Final Supplemental EIS Submitted Pursuant to 42 U.S.C. 4332(C)

## ABSTRACT

In 1991, the Defense Base Realignment and Closure (BRAC) Commission, pursuant to the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990 (Pub. L. 101-510, Title XXIX, 10 U.S.C. 2687 note), recommended closure and leasing of the Hunters Point Annex to the Naval Station Treasure Island (NSTI). This recommendation was modified in 1993 to include disposal and conveyance of Hunters Point Naval Shipyard (HPS) to the City of San Francisco or an approved reuse organization. A Final EIS (FEIS) and Record of Decision (ROD) were completed in 2000 for disposal of HPS to the City of San Francisco and reuse set forth in the HPS Redevelopment Plan. Subsequently, the city has updated the development plan, which constitutes a substantial change from the proposed action as documented in the 2000 FEIS, thereby requiring the preparation of a Supplemental EIS (SEIS) to supplement the information in the 2000 FEIS with information related to the current disposal and reuse plans. This SEIS has been prepared in accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) (Pub. L. 91-190, 42 U.S.C. 4321-4370f), the implementing regulations of the Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) (40 CFR 1500-1508), and the U.S. Department of the Navy (DoN) regulations and guidelines to evaluate the environmental consequences of the proposed disposal of federal property at HPS and the subsequent reuse of that property.

This SEIS evaluates six reuse alternatives: Alternative 1 (Stadium Plan Alternative), Alternative 1A (Stadium Plan/No-Bridge Alternative), Alternative 2 (Non-Stadium Plan/Additional R&D Alternative), Alternative 2A (Non-Stadium Plan/Housing and R&D Alternative), Alternative 3 (Non-Stadium Plan/Additional Housing Alternative), and Alternative 4 (Non-Stadium Plan/Reduced Development Alternative). Also evaluated is the No Action Alternative, in which the DoN would retain ownership of HPS property under caretaker status. This SEIS analyzes potential environmental impacts relating to land use and recreation; visual resources and aesthetics; socioeconomics; cultural resources; transportation, traffic, and circulation; air quality and greenhouse gases (GHGs); noise; biological resources; geology and soils; water resources; utilities; public services; hazards and hazardous substances; and environmental justice. Alternatives 1, 1A, 2, 2A, 3, and 4 would each have one or more potentially significant and unavoidable impacts related to transportation, traffic, and circulation; air quality and greenhouse for the form reuse of HPS.

For further information contact:

U.S. Naval Facilities Engineering Command - Headquarters Base Realignment and Closure Program Management Office 1455 Frazee Road, Suite 900 San Diego, California 92108 Attn: Mr. Ronald Bochenek Phone: (619) 532-0906 Email: <u>ronald.bochenek.ctr@navy.mil</u> This page intentionally left blank.