ORNL/TM-2004/251

OAK RIDGE NATIONAL LABORATORY

MANAGED BY UT-BATTELLE FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Modeling of the High Flux Isotope Reactor Cycle 400

N. Xoubi R. T. Primm III





DOCUMENT AVAILABILITY

Reports produced after January 1, 1996, are generally available free via the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) Information Bridge:

Web site: http://www.osti.gov/bridge

Reports produced before January 1, 1996, may be purchased by members of the public from the following source:

National Technical Information Service 5285 Port Royal Road Springfield, VA 22161 *Telephone:* 703-605-6000 (1-800-553-6847) *TDD:* 703-487-4639 *Fax:* 703-605-6900 *E-mail:* info@ntis.fedworld.gov *Web site:* http://www.ntis.gov/support/ordernowabout.htm

Reports are available to DOE employees, DOE contractors, Energy Technology Data Exchange (ETDE) representatives, and International Nuclear Information System (INIS) representatives from the following source:

Office of Scientific and Technical Information P.O. Box 62 Oak Ridge, TN 37831 **Telephone:** 865-576-8401 **Fax:** 865-576-5728 **E-mail:** reports@adonis.osti.gov **Web site:** http://www.osti.gov/contact.html

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

ORNL/TM-2004/251

Research Reactors Division

MODELING OF THE HIGH FLUX ISOTOPE REACTOR CYCLE 400

N. Xoubi R. T. Primm III

August 2005

Prepared by OAK RIDGE NATIONAL LABORATORY Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37831-6283 managed by UT-BATTELLE, LLC for the U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY under contract DE-AC05-00OR22725

CONTENTS

LIST OF FIGURES								
LIST	OF TABLES	vii						
ACK	NOWLEDGMENTS	ix						
ABS	TRACT	xi						
1. II 1 1	NTRODUCTION	1 1 2						
2. H 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	HFIR MODEL VERSION 4.0. .1 NEUTRON CROSS SECTION DATA .2 FLUX TRAP TARGET REGION (FTT). 2.2.1 JP-26 and JP-27. 2.2.2 Hydraulic Tube 2.2.3 Peripheral Target Positions (PTP). 2.2.4 Solid Aluminum Target Rod. 2.2.5 Shrouded Aluminum Target Rod. 2.2.6 Other Central Target Experiment Loadings. .3 INNER FUEL ELEMENT. .4 OUTER FUEL ELEMENT .5 CONTROL ELEMENTS .6 REMOVABLE AND SEMIPERMANENT REFLECTORS .7 THE PERMANENT BERYLLIUM REFLECTOR, WATER SHIELD, AND PRESSURE VESSEL	4 5 6 7 11 13 18 20 22 22 22 30 37 38						
3. R 3 3 3 3	RESULTS OF CALCULATIONS 3.1 SENSITIVITY OF MULTIPLICATION FACTOR TO MODEL ASSUMPTIONS 3.2 BEGINNING-OF-CYCLE (BOC) CALCULATIONS 3.3 REACTOR PARAMETERS CALCULATIONS 3.3.1 Neutron Fluxes 3.3.2 Neutron/Gamma Heat Generation 3.3.3 Fission Rate Density 3.4 BENCHMARK RESULTS	40 40 41 45 45 45 47 47						
4. C	CONCLUSIONS	48						
J. N	The Enclosed							

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure

1	The HFIR core, showing the inner and outer fuel elements and the	
	flux trap region	1
2	The HFIR core with the beryllium reflector.	2
3	Cross section of reactor core at horizontal midplane	3
4	Actual experimental loading of HFIR operating cycle 400	4
5	MCNP model, cross section of reactor core at horizontal midplane (x-y)	5
6	Horizontal view of the flux trap region as modeled in HFV4.0	7
7	HFIR central target position identification scheme	8
8	Portion of MCNP input dataset that represents JP-26 and JP-27 targets	9
9	HFV4.0 MCNP modeling of JP-26 experiment loading located in	
	C6 experimental sites	10
10	Portion of MCNP input dataset that represents the hydraulic tube	11
11	HFV4.0 MCNP modeling of HT-located in B3 experimental sites	12
12	Portion of MCNP input dataset that represents the peripheral target positions	13
13	HFV4.0 MCNP modeling of PTP2 located in D1 experimental sites	17
14	MCNP input corresponding to solid aluminum target	18
15	HFV4.0 MCNP modeling of solid aluminum dummy targets loading	
	located in seven different experimental sites	19
16	MCNP input corresponding to shrouded aluminum target rods	20
17	MCNP modeling of the shrouded aluminum dummy targets	21
18	IFE showing one plate with the fuel cladding, and the aluminum side plates	
	holding the fuel plates together	22
19	Inner fuel element ²³⁵ U concentration and radial modeling	23
20	Cross section of the IFE model x-v view at $z = 0$	23
21	x-z view of the fuel elements modeling.	24
22	MCNP input for IFE	25
23	Schematic of fuel plate configuration in OFE	20 26
23	Comparison of actual uranium distribution in outer element to modeled	20
2.	distribution	27
25	Pictorial representation of MCNP model of OFE	28
26	MCNP input corresponding to OFE	20 29
20	Axial dimensions of regulating and safety elements	31
28	Picture of safety and regulating elements	32
20	Cycle 400 balanced rod position (control elements $1-4$)	32
30	MCNP input corresponding to regulating and safety elements	34
31	Visualization of MCNP model for control element zone	36
32	MCNP input for removable and semipermanent reflector	30
33	Representation of MCNP model of removable and semipermanent reflector	38
34	Views of the permanent hervilium reflector	30
35	MCNP model of the permanent beryllium reflector region showing	57
55	22 VXE A experimental facilities and the HB tubes	40
36	MCNP eigenvalue of K absorption	40 12
37	MCNP eigenvalue of K collision	42 /2
38	MCNP eigenvalue of K track	+3 11
30	Thermal neutron flux $\left[n/(cm^{2}*s)\right]$	44 17
37		4/

40	Total neutron flux [n/(cm ² *s)]	47
41	Calculated fission rate [fissions/(cm ³ *s)]	48

LIST OF TABLES

Table

Page

1	Key parameters of HFIR	3
2	Neutron cross-section data used in MCNP model	6
3	PTP loading cycle 400	14
4	MCNP model boundaries corresponding to PTP positions	16
5	Modeled regions in OFE	26
6	Control rod positions in MCNP model (inner moves down, outer moves up)	32
7	Safety plates (control elements 1–4) position for cycle 400	33
8	Neutron poisons modeled in permanent beryllium reflector	39
9	MCNP calculation of the HFIR at beginning-of-cycle	40
10	Neutron heat generation rate in solid aluminum targets	45
11	Average keff, and 68, 95, and 99% confidence intervals	48

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to acknowledge the technical reviews of this document that were performed by J. C. Gehin and R. J. Ellis, both of Oak Ridge National Laboratory (ORNL). The author would also like to acknowledge the technical editing of C. C. Southmayd and the document preparation performed by B. J. Smith and F. G. Farrell, all of ORNL. Finally, the author wishes to acknowledge S. E. Burnette, ORNL, and the Oak Ridge Institute for Science and Education for providing programmatic support for this work.

The development of major portions of the computational model described in this report was initiated by L. A. Smith (formerly of ORNL, currently at Entergy Services, Inc.) and continued by J. C. Gehin of ORNL.

MODELING OF THE HIGH FLUX ISOTOPE REACTOR CYCLE 400

N. Xoubi R. T. Primm III

ABSTRACT

For most of the operating life of the reactor, direct measurements or expert opinion based on past measurements have been the sources of data for the reactivity worth and heat generation rate of specimens to be irradiated in the reactor. With the current policy of the Department of Energy being to operate the High Flux Isotope Reactor (HFIR) to 2035 and with the goal of maximizing the reactor's capabilities and given the availability of sophisticated numerical analysis programs, a transition to computationally based estimates is believed to be the most economical and accurate practice for the future given that many of the individuals who performed the measurements are no longer employed at Oak Ridge National Laboratory. Computationally based estimates are believed to be less prone to error, that is, incorrect estimation of heating rates or reactivity, if the computational methodology is implemented correctly. This document was written for the purpose of insuring correct implementation and use of a computational model of the HFIR. A model of the reactor for use with the Monte Carlo N-Particle (MCNP) computer program is described and compared to the actual reactor configuration.

1. INTRODUCTION

One of the uses of the High Flux Isotope Reactor (HFIR) is to irradiate materials for medical or industrial applications. For each specimen to be irradiated, the nuclear heat generation rate and reactivity worth must be estimated. Frequently, prediction of postirradiation isotopic contents of the specimens is also desired. For most of the operating life of the reactor, direct measurement or expert opinion based on past measurements have been the sources of this information. The HFIR has been in operation for 40 years, and all of the original staff and many of the "second-generation" staff have now retired; unfortunately, much of their knowledge left with them. With the current policy of the Department of Energy (DOE) to operate the reactor to 2035 and with the goal of maximizing the reactor's capabilities and given the availability of sophisticated numerical analysis programs, a transition to computationally based estimates is believed to be the most economical and accurate practice for the future and less prone to error, that is, incorrect estimation of heating rates or reactivity, if implemented correctly. This document was written for the purpose of ensuring correct implementation and use of a computational model of the HFIR.

Nuclear engineers and analysts have relied on computer modeling and simulation for many years to evaluate performance and safety-related parameters for neutronics, criticality safety, and reactor analyses. Monte Carlo N-Particle (MCNP) is a three-dimensional (3-D) general purpose code that can be used to simulate coupled neutron/photon/electron transport, including the capability to calculate eigenvalues for critical systems.^{1,2,3} The base model described herein reflects the as-built configuration of the reactor and includes all redesign, modifications, and upgrades to HFIR that have occurred since its first approach to criticality in 1965. In that sense, it represents an update to a similar, recently completed study.⁴

The HFIR MCNP "input file" is explicitly modeled in six sections, each representing a part of the reactor. This approach facilitates modification of the input cards to reflect any changes to the model or future experimental rearrangements. Graphs generated by MCNP of the six regions are included in this report; in addition, corresponding actual photos or drawings are presented side-by-side. The complete MCNP input model is included on a compact disc (CD) as part of this report. The effects of cross-section dataset selection on reactor physics parameters are also illustrated as part of this work.

1.1 HFIR DESCRIPTION

The HFIR is a multipurpose isotope production and test reactor. With a rated power of 100 MW,

and currently operating at 85 MW, HFIR produces the world's highest steady-state neutron thermal flux $(2.6 \times 10^{15} \text{ neutrons/} \text{ cm}^2 \cdot \text{s})$, making it a one-of-a-kind facility, worldwide.

The HFIR is a pressurized light-watercooled and -moderated, flux-trap type reactor that uses highly enriched ²³⁵U as the fuel. The reactor core (shown in Fig. 1) consists of a series of concentric annular regions, each approximately 61 cm high (fueled height is 51 cm). The center of the core is a 12.70-cm-diam cylindrical hole, referred to as the "flux trap," which contains 37 vertical experimental target sites.

Surrounding the flux trap are two concentric fuel elements separated by a water region. The inner element contains



Fig. 1. The HFIR core, showing the inner and outer fuel elements and the flux trap region.

171 involute-shape fuel plates, and the outer element contains 369 involute-shape fuel plates. The fuel is aluminum-clad, highly enriched uranium oxide distributed along the arc of the involute aluminum plate $(U_3O_8-Al\ cermet)$.

The inner fuel element contains boron (10 B) as a burnable poison, primarily to help shift the power distribution from the inner element to the outer element. The core loading is 9.4 kg of 235 U and 2.8 g of 10 B. The average core life cycle is 22–24 d at 85 MW (depending on quantity and type of material being irradiated).

The control plates, in the form of two thin, poison-bearing concentric cylinders, are located in an annular region between the outer fuel element and the beryllium reflector. These plates are driven in opposite directions. Reactivity is increased by downward motion of the inner cylinder, which is used only for shimming and regulation; that is, it has no fast safety function. The outer control cylinder consists of four separate quadrants, each having an independent drive and safety release mechanism. Reactivity is increased as the outer plates are raised. All control plates have three axial regions of different poison content designed to minimize the axial peak-to-average power-density ratio throughout the core lifetime. Any single rod or cylinder is capable of "shutting the reactor down".

The fuel region is surrounded by a concentric ring of beryllium that serves as a reflector and is approximately 30 cm thick. This, in turn, is subdivided into three regions: the removable reflector, the semipermanent reflector, and the permanent reflector, as shown in Fig. 2. The beryllium is surrounded by a water reflector of effectively infinite thickness. In the axial direction, the reactor is reflected by water.

The reactor core assembly is contained in a 244-cm-diam steel pressure vessel located in a pool of water. The top of the pressure vessel is 518 cm below the pool surface, and the reactor horizontal midplane is 838 cm below the pool surface.

The control plate drive mechanisms are located in a sub-pile room beneath the pressure vessel. This feature provides the necessary shielding for working below the reactor core and greatly facilitates access to



Fig. 2. The HFIR core with the beryllium reflector.

the pressure vessel, core, and reflector regions. A few key parameters of HFIR are presented in Table 1. Significant components of the reactor are identified in Fig. 3.

1.2 CYCLE 400

Cycle 400 started on April 27, 2004 at 2:55 a.m. The reactor operated at 85 MW until May 21, 2004, 5:35 p.m. Therefore, the life of cycle 400 was 24 d, 14 h, and 40 min, or 24.61 d.

The actual experimental loading of cycle 400 is shown in Fig. 4, and is described as follows:

A. Flux trap region:

- 21 solid aluminum dummy targets
- shrouded aluminum dummy targets
- stainless steel targets (JP-26 and 27)
- hydraulic tube (HT)
- peripheral target position (PTP) tubes (1 aluminum dummy)

B. Beryllium reflector: no experiments loaded.

Reactor power, MW	85				
Active core height, cm	50.8				
Number of fuel elements		2			
Fuel type	U ₃ O ₈ —a	luminum			
Total ²³⁵ U loading, kg	9.4	43			
Enrichment, %	93	.1			
Fuel element parameters	Inner fuel element	Outer fuel element			
Number of fuel plates	171	369			
²³⁵ U loading, kg	2.60	6.83			
Average fuel uranium density, gU/cm ³	0.776	1.151			
²³⁵ U per plate, g	15.18	18.44			
Burnable poison in element (^{10}B), g	2.8	None			
Fuel plate thickness, cm	0.127	0.127			
Coolant channel between plates, cm	0.127	0.127			
Minimum aluminum clad thickness, mm	0.25	0.25			
Fuel plate width, cm	8.1	7.3			
Fuel cycle length, d	~24				
Cycle 400 length, d	24.6				
Coolant inlet temperature, °F	120				
Coolant outlet temperature, °F	169				
Fuel plate centerline temperature, °F	323				



Fig. 3. Cross section of reactor core at horizontal midplane.



Fig. 4. Actual experimental loading of HFIR operating cycle 400 (drawing not to scale).

2. HFIR MODEL VERSION 4.0

HFIR model version 4.0 (HFV4.0) is a newly modified 3-D MCNP model that reflects the latest changes, target loading, and redesign of the HFIR core. It is based on an older model that was developed at ORNL, referred internally to ORNL as HFIR-V.2. The HFV4.0 model uses continuous energy neutron ENDF/B-VI cross-section data libraries.

The MCNP model for the HFIR is divided into six regions (parts), as follows:

- Region 1 is the flux trap target region (FTT),
- Region 2 is the inner fuel element region (IFE),
- Region 3 is the outer fuel element region (OFE),

- Region 4 is the control element region (CR),
- Region 5 is the removable reflector region (RB), and
- Region 6 is the beryllium permanent reflector region.

To make future changes, actual or proposed, easier to incorporate into the model, *cell* cards, *surface* cards, and *parameter* cards that effect the change in each region are marked for each region.

The HFV4.0 model is an explicit representation of the reactor, and every effort was made to make the model agree as closely as possible to operating cycle 400. A representation of the model is shown in Fig. 5. Cycle 400 was chosen because it was the reactor operating cycle at the time this model was being developed.

At the end of the MCNP dataset that is included with this report, additional information is provided. This information consists of data that are not used explicitly in this model. However, these data were employed in older models; therefore, they constitute valuable information that may be used again in the future and are thus archived within this report.



Fig. 5. MCNP model, cross section of reactor core at horizontal midplane (x-y).

2.1 NEUTRON CROSS SECTION DATA

The HFV4.0 model is based on the most recent continuous energy neutron cross section data from several libraries. For most materials in the code, the ENDF/B-VI.6 data library is used. The available data are evaluated at 293.6K, which is a good approximation of the HFIR reactor temperature. The source of the neutron cross section data (library) has an effect on calculational results. Thus, the various sources of neutron cross sections used in this model are presented in Table 2 for the convenience of the reader.

2.2 FLUX TRAP TARGET REGION (FTT)

The FTT region has 37 experimental sites; 31 sites are located in the interior of the basket, and 6 sites on the periphery of the basket—peripheral target positions (PTP)—as shown in Fig. 5. The target region was designed for rods containing ²⁴²Pu as target material for producing californium. Shortly after startup of the reactor, sufficient quantities of curium became available for use as target material. One location contains a hydraulic tube and allows for insertion of specimens while the reactor is operating. When californium-production targets are not available for loading to the central target region, these locations are occupied by aluminum "dummy" targets. These may be either solid aluminum rods filling the region intended to be occupied by californium targets or shrouded aluminum rods. The shrouded rods contain a small diameter core and are vented to allow water ingress to the region between the core and shroud.

The cycle 400 experimental loading in the FTT was:

- 7 solid aluminum dummy targets (brown regions in Fig. 6),
- 21 shrouded aluminum dummy targets (target locations in Fig. 6 are orange with green rings surrounded by blue annuli),
- 2 stainless steel targets—designated JP-26 and -27 (solid green circles in Fig. 6),
- 1 hydraulic tube (HT; locations in Fig. 6 containing purple, burgundy, and green concentric annuli), and
- 6 PTP tubes (different colors located on the periphery at 60° intervals).

Source	Source Nuclide ID Comment		MAT	Creation
file			number	date
	1001.66c	H-1 at 293.6K from endf-vi.6 (MOD) njoy99.50	125	07/18/01
	2003.66c	He-3 at 293.6K from endf-vi.1 njoy99.50	225	07/13/01
	3006.66c	Li-6 at 293.6K from endf-vi.1 njoy99.50	325	07/13/01
andf66a	4009.66c	Be-9 at 293.6K from endf-vi.0 njoy99.50	425	07/13/01
churooa	5010.66c	B-10 at 293.6K from endf-vi.1 njoy99.50	525	07/13/01
	5011.66c	B-11 at 293.6K from endf-vi.0 (MOD) njoy99.50	528	07/18/01
	8016.66c	O-16 at 293.6K from endf-vi.6 njoy99.50	825	07/13/01
	13027.66c	AL-27 at 293.6K from endf-vi.6 njoy99.50	1325	07/24/01
	6012.50c	16126 njoy	—	79/07/31
rmoos	24000.50c	89104 njoy	—	79/06/21
Thees	28000.50c	82267 njoy	—	79/06/21
	29000.50c	22473 njoy	—	02/05/80
	12000.62c	Mg at 293.6K from endf/b-vi.8 njoy99.50	1200	12/06/01
actia	22000.62c	Ti at 293.6K from endf/b-vi.8 njoy99.50	2200	12/06/01
	25055.62c	Mn-55 at 293.6K from endf/b-vi.8 njoy99.50	2525	02/11/02
endf60	14000.60c	Si from endf/b-vi	1400	11/25/93
100xs	26000.21c	Fe- from pgy 100 MeV library (fe100n6)	260	09/01/95
endl92	63000.42c	Eu ENDL library name: nd920609	—	—
	63151.66c	Eu-151 at 293.6K from endf-vi.0 njoy99.50	6325	08/10/01
endf66b	63153.66c	Eu-153 at 293.6K from endf-vi.0 joy99.50	6331	08/10/01
	73181.66c	Ta-181 at 293.6K from endf-vi.0 njoy99.50	7328	02/13/02
	92234.66c	U-234 at 293.6K from endf-vi.0 njoy99.50 total nu	9225	08/13/01
andf66a	92235.66c	U-235 at 293.6K from endf-vi.5 njoy99.50 total nu	9228	08/14/01
enurooc	92236.66c	U-236 at 293.6K from endf-vi.0 njoy99.50 total nu	9231	09/05/01
	92238.66c	U-238 at 293.6K from endf-vi.5 njoy99.50 total nu	9237	08/14/01
Tmaga	be.60t	10224 beryllium metal at 300K		10/24/85
THICES	lwtr.60t	10193 hydrogen in light water at 300K		10/22/85

Table 2. Neutron cross-section data used in MCNP model



Fig. 6. Horizontal view of the flux trap region as modeled in HFV4.0.

Cycle 400 was mostly loaded with dummy targets; in fact, 28 of the 37 experimental sites in the flux trap region were filled with dummy aluminum targets, both solid and shrouded.

Figure 7 shows the original target configuration at the time the HFIR first went critical in 1965. Note that the hydraulic tube was located at the center of the target region at that time. Figure 7 is included because the identification scheme identified in the figure is still used today.

2.2.1 JP-26 and JP-27

JP-26 and JP-27 rods are located in C6 (see Fig. 7) and E2 experimental sites, respectively. The targets are solid stainless steel in an aluminum holder.

The target region of the holder was modeled by homogenizing the stainless steel and aluminum over that whole volume. The upper and lower parts of the holder are aluminum and were modeled as such.

The outer shroud is also aluminum and was modeled as a cylindrical aluminum tube. The coolant around the holder and outside the shroud was modeled as such, with the material being water at the core operating temperature and pressure, that is, a density of 0.98465 g/cm³. The portions of the MCNP dataset that represent this region are shown in Fig. 8 and pictorially represented in Fig. 9. Note, though, that for this figure and other, succeeding figures, the surface "cards" are not shown. The user is referred to the HFV4.0 dataset for the surface cards.



Fig. 7. HFIR central target position identification scheme.

```
с
   ***** E-2 (JP-27 SST-304 targets)
с
c Jp-26 & Jp-27 solid SSt targets in Al holders
с
610 535 5.97-02 -612 +427 -428 imp:n=1 $ sst targets in Al holder
612 25 6.02083-02 #(-612 +427 -428) -612 +417 -419 imp:n=1 $ Holder upper & lower regions
613 25 6.02423-02 +613 -614 -418 +416 imp:n=1
                                                     $ outer shroud Al
614 2 9.95227-02
                     612 - 613 + 417 - 419 imp:n=1
                                                         $ coolant
615 2
                      #613 613 -615 +417 -419 imp:n=1
        9.95227-02
                                                           $ coolant
с
с
с
c Material for Jp-26 & Jp-27 solid SSt targets in Al holders Total = 5.97E-02 m535
                                                                              13027.66c
3.22-02
     26000.55c 1.89E-02
     24000.50c 5.55E-03
     28000.50c 2.46E-03
     25055.60c 5.53E-04
с
с
```

Fig. 8. Portion of MCNP input dataset that represents JP-26 and JP-27 targets.



Fig. 9. HFV4.0 MCNP modeling of JP-26 experiment loading located in C6 experimental sites.

2.2.2 Hydraulic Tube

The hydraulic tube (HT) is located in the site designated as B3 (see Fig. 7, <u>not</u> the location designated in Fig. 7 as "hydraulic tube;" that being the original site at reactor start-up). For the current capsule design, the tube can be loaded with nine specimens, frequently designated "rabbits." The HT is explicitly modeled for the nine experiment locations and its experimental targets. Material definition for different experimental targets can easily be input to the model. The portions of the MCNP dataset that represent this region are shown in Fig. 10 and pictorially represented in Fig. 11.

с	****	* B-3 The hydr	aulic tube (HT	·)			
c							
750	520	6.02423-02	-450 + 752	-751	imp:n=1	\$ target materi	al upper target
751	520	6.02423-02	-450 + 755	-754	imp:n=1	\$ target materi	al
752	520	6.02423-02	-450 + 758	-757	imp:n=1	\$ target materi	al
753	520	6.02423-02	-450 + 761	-760	imp:n=1	\$ target materi	al
754	520	6.02423-02	-450 + 764	-763	imp:n=1	\$ target materi	al centerline target
755	520	6.02423-02	-450 + 767	-766	imp:n=1	\$ target materi	al
756	520	6.02423-02	-450 + 770	-769	imp:n=1	\$ target materi	al
757	520	6.02423-02	-450 + 773	-772	imp:n=1	\$ target materi	al
758	520	6.02423-02	-450 + 776	-775	imp:n=1	\$ target materi	al lower target
c							
760	521	6.02423-02	-451 + 753	-750 (45	0:-752:75	1) imp:n=1	\$ target tube upper target
761	521	6.02423–02	-451 + 756	-753 (45	0:-755:754	4) imp:n=1	\$ target tube
762	521	6.02423–02	-451 + 759	-756 (45	0:-758:75	7) imp:n=1	\$ target tube
763	521	6.02423–02	-451 + 762	-759 (45	0:-761:76	0) imp:n=1	\$ target tube
764	521	6.02423-02	-451 + 765	-762 (45	0:-764:76	3) imp:n=1	\$ tube centerline target
765	521	6.02423–02	-451 + 768	-765 (45	0:-767:76	6) imp:n=1	\$ target tube
766	521	6.02423-02	-451 + 771	-768 (45	0:-770:76	9) imp:n=1	\$ target tube
767	521	6.02423–02	-451 + 774	-771 (45	0:-773:772	2) imp:n=1	\$ target tube
768	521	6.02423-02	-451 + 777	-774 (45	0:-776:77	5) imp:n=1	\$ target tube lower target
c							
452	60	2.00000-15	-452 + 451	+777	-750	imp:n=1 \$ a	ir space
453	25	6.02083-02	-453 + 452	+777	-750	imp:n=1 \$ o	uter clad
454	2	9.95227-02	-454 + 453	+777	-750	imp:n=1 \$ c	oolant
c							
455	25	6.02083-02	-453 + 417	-777	imp:n=1	\$ Al block	—below core
456	2	9.95227-02	-454 + 453	+417	-777	imp:n=1	\$ coolant—below core
c							
446	60	2.00000-15	-451 + 750	-419	imp:n=1	\$ target and	tube—above targets (air)
447	60	2.00000-15	-452 + 451	+750	-419	imp:n=1	\$ air space—above core
448	25	6.02083–02	-453 + 452	+750	-419	imp:n=1	\$ outer clad—above core
449	2	9.95227-02	-454 + 453	+750	-419	imp:n=1	\$ coolant—above core
C							

Fig. 10. Portion of MCNP input dataset that represents the hydraulic tube.



Fig. 11. HFV4.0 MCNP modeling of HT-located in B3 experimental sites.

2.2.3 **Peripheral Target Positions (PTP)**

The target region contains six PTP sites; these are symmetrically located on the periphery of the target basket. The contents of the PTP positions for cycle 400 are provided in Table 3. Boundaries of the seven axial regions described in Table 3 are provided in Table 4. Each capsule shown in Table 3 has a volume of 6.53034 cm³. The PTPs were explicitly modeled with the MCNP input lines shown in Fig. 12, and a pictorial representation of location D7 is shown in Fig. 13.

```
**** PTP-2
С
                   (D-1)
С
821
      721
           5.65355-02 -940 +970 -971 imp:n=1 $ First capsule (bottom)
822
      722
           6.00000-02
                        -940 +971 -972
                                         imp:n=1
                                                  $ Second target capsule
823
      723
           5.93745-02
                        -940 +972 -973
                                         imp:n=1
                                                  $ Third target capsule
824
      724
           5.89491-02
                        -940 +973 -974
                                         imp:n=1
                                                  $ Fourth target capsule
825
      725
           5.89252-02
                        -940 +974 -975
                                         imp:n=1
                                                  $ Fifth target capsule
826
      726
           5.93745-02
                        -940 +975 -976
                                         imp:n=1
                                                  $ Sixth target capsule
827
      727
           6.03159-02
                        -940 +976 -977
                                         imp:n=1
                                                  $ Seventh capsule (Top)
С
940
      25
          6.02083-02
                      -940 +977 -909
                                         imp:n=1
                                                    $ clad upper region
          6.02083-02
                       -940 +908 -970
                                                    $ clad lower region
941
      25
                                         imp:n=1
942
      25
          6.02083-02
                       +942 -943
                                  +907
                                         -909
                                                            $ outer shroud
                                                imp:n=1
943
      2
         9.95227-02
                       +940 -944 +908
                                         -909
(-942:943:-907)
                   imp:n=1
                               $ coolant
944
      2
         9.95227-02
                       -944
                             +909
                                   -419
                                           imp:n=1
                                                      $ coolant (above)
                       -944
945
      2
         9.95227-02
                             +417
                                   -908
                                           imp:n=1
                                                      $ coolant (below)
С
С
    #1
        (bottom) experiment material
                                         Gr/Al
                                                   Total=5.65355-2
       13027.66c 0.0285703
                              14000.60c
                                         0.0004611
                                                      26000.55c 0.0008843
m721
       42000.66c 0.0003489
                              6000.66c
                                        0.0262709
           grph.60t
mt721
С
                                          Total = 4.34300-2
    #2
        experiment material
                               SiC/V
С
m722
         13027.66c
                                  14000.60c
                                              0.0114365
                      0.0174491
         6000.66c
                   0.0114365
                                   26000.55c
                                               0.0008035
         42000.66c 0.0001735
                                  23000.66c 0.0135674
mt722
         grph.60t
С
С
    #3
        experiment material (s1) Al spacer Al-1100
                                                     Total =
                                                               5.93745-02
       13027.66c 6.00625-02 14000.60c 1.45271-04
                                                     25055.60c 7.42655-06
m723
       26000.55c
                   7.30588-05
                                29000.50c
                                             3.57670-05
С
                               Gr/V
                                         Total = 5.89491-2
С
    #4
        experiment material
m724
       13027.66c 0.0175354
                              14000.60c 0.0004705
                                                      26000.55c
                                                                  0.0008799
       42000.66c 0.0003048
                              6000.66c 0.0262254
                                                      23000.66c
                                                                  0.0135332
mt724
           grph.60t
С
```

Fig. 12. Portion of MCNP input dataset that represents the peripheral target positions.

					1			
7(top)	5-03-14 T031 (5) SST Tensile 395/400	Fe-54 0.000392 Fe-56 0.005928 Fe-57 0.000134 Fe-58 1.76E-05	7(top)	1-04-10 Gr/V 399/400	AL 0.017475 Si 0.000469 Fe 0.00089 Mo 0.00034 C 0.026355 V 0.014786	7(top)	1-04-11 L03121 Gr/V 399/402	AL 0.017418 Si 0.000461 Fe 0.000887 Mo 0.000342 C 0.026012 V 0.014778
9	12-03-6 NM-659 Ra 398/400	Ra-226 1.54E-07 O 1.26E-07 Al 0.035052	G	S-21 Aluminum Spacer	AI 0.059374	Q	S-18 Aluminum Spacer	AI 0.059374
£	11-02-04 S2 Mo/V 391/410	V 0.017243 Mo 0.005969	Q	1-04-14 LO3126 Gr/V 399/400	AL 0.017462 Si 0.000469 Fe 0.000877 Mo 0.000357 C 0.026264 V 0.013495	5	11-02-5 S1 (20) Mo/V 391/410	V 0.017262 Mo 0.005969
4	4-04-1 NM-627 W 400/400	W-186 0.000954 O 0.001972 AI 0.030701	4	1-04-13 L03125 Gr/V 399/400	AL 0.017535 Si 0.00047 Fe 0.00088 Mo 0.000305 C 0.026225 V 0.013533	4	11-02-6 S4 (20) Mo/V 391/410	V 0.019983 Mo 0.005972
3	4-04-2 NM-634 W 400/400	W-186 0.001024 O 0.002096 AI 0.030701	m	S-3 Aluminum Spacer	AI 74	e	S-xx Aluminum Spacer	AI 0.059374
2	1-04-3 SO3-003 SST/AI 399/406	AI 0.035626 Mo 0.000173 Si 0.000305 Fe 0.008229 C 0.000406	7	5-03-05 F0364 SiC/V 394/400	AI 0.017449 Mo 0.000174 Si 0.011437 Fe 0.000804 C 0.011437 V 0.013567	7	5-03-02 F0363 (7) SiC/V 394/400	AI 0.017449 Mo 0.000174 Si 0.011199 Fe 0.000804 C 0.011199 V 0.013558
1 (bottom)	S-19 Aluminum Spacer	AI 0.059374	1(bottom)	1-04-07 LO3115 Gr/Al 399/404	AL 0.02857 Si 0.000461 Fe 0.00084 Mo 0.000349 <i>C</i> 0.026271	1 (bottom)	1-04-08 LO3116 Gr/Al 399/404	AL 0.028524 Si 0.000469 Fe 0.000894 Mo 0.000357 <i>C</i> 0.02616
Pos: A-4	Contents: Cycle 400 PTP-1	Atom density [#/(bn*cm)]	Pos: D-1	Contents: Cycle 400 PTP-2	ttom density #/(bn*cm)]	Pos: A-1	Contents Cycle 400 PTP-3	tom density #/(bn*cm)]

Table 3. PTP loading cycle 400

7(top)	1-04-9 LO3119 Gr/V 399/400	AL 0.017502 Si 0.000461 SS Fe 0.000884 Mo 0.000356 C 0.025817 V 0.014787	(top)	-04-12 O3122 5rV 199/402	NL 0.017485 6i 0.000469 5S 0.000892 Ao 0.000338 Ao 0.00338 0.014801	7(top)	4-04-05 LO3075 400/400	AL 0.041717 SS Fe 0.000221 Mo 0.00556
9	S-23 Aluminum Spacer	AI 0.059374	6	S-9 Aluminum Spacer 3	AI 0.059374 A	9	S-20 Aluminum Spacer	AI 0.059374
ъ	12-03-2 L03F8 Mo/Al 398/400	AI 0.043332 Fe 0.000221 Mo 0.005526	5	4-04-6 LO3-101 400/401	Si 0.014597 C 0.014595 Al 0.021686 V 0.019159	5	1-04-16 LO3128 Gr/V 399/401	Si 0.002433 <i>C</i> 0.043066 Al 0.0253 V 0.017243
4	12-03-1 L03F7 Mo/AI 398 / 400	AI 0.043212 Fe 0.000221 Mo 0.00556	4	4-04-7 LO3-100 400/401	Si 0.014597 C 0.014595 Al 0.021686 V 0.019159	4	1-04-15 LO3127 Gr/V 399/401	Si 0.002433 C 0.043066 Al 0.0253 V 0.017243
в	S-16 Aluminum Spacer	AI 0.059374	3	4-04-08 LO3-106 400/404	AI 0.041717 Fe 0.000221 Mo 0.00556	3	1-04-2 SO3-002 SST/AI 399/406	AL 0.035837 Si 0.000305 SS 0.003559 Mo 0.0003559 C 0.000406
2	5-03-07 F0365 (7) SiC/V 394/400	Al 0.017449 Mo 0.000174 Si 0.011078 Fe 0.000804 C 0.011078 V 0.01362	5	5-03-09 F0366 SiC/V 394/400	Al 0.017449 Mo 0.000174 Si 0.011297 Fe 0.000804 C 0.011297 V 0.013556	2	1-04-1 SO3-001 SST/AI 399/406	AL 0.035837 Si 0.000305 Fe 0.008205 Mo 0.00018 C 0.000406
1(bottom)	1-04-6 LO3114 Gr/Al 399/401	C 0.043066 Al 0.036143 Si 0.002433	1(bottom)	S-4 Aluminum Spacer	AI 0.059374	1(bottom)	1-04-5 LO3113 Gr/Al 399/401	AL 0.028479 Si 0.000468 Fe 0.000879 Mo 0.000299 <i>C</i> 0.026209
Pos: D-7	Contents: Cycle 400 PTP-4	Atom density [#/(bn*cm)]	Pos: G-7	Contents: Cycle 400 PTP-5	Atom density [#/(bn*cm)]	Pos: G-4	Contents: Cycle 400 PTP-6	Atom density [#/(bn*cm)]

Table 3 zone number	Lower boundary (cm; 0.0 is axial midplane of reactor core)
1	-25.00
2	-18.47
3	-11.94
4	-5.41
5	1.12
6	7.65
7	14.18
(top of 7)	20.71

Table 4. MCNP model boundaries corresponding
to PTP positions





Fig. 13. HFV4.0 MCNP modeling of PTP2 located in D1 experimental sites.

2.2.4 Solid Aluminum Target Rod

Solid aluminum rods are loaded as "dummy" experimental targets in the flux trap region. They are loaded in unused target sites to maintain proper coolant flow in the target region and to provide a support structure for other target rods. A maximum of ten solid aluminum rods can be loaded in the target region due to the fact that the presence of solid aluminum rods increases the flow rate in shrouded rods in the target region (note water flow paths inside shrouded rods in Fig. 6). If greater than ten rods were loaded, it is speculated that the increased flow rate could lead to flow-induced vibration in the shrouded tubes with consequent erosion of target rods. Aluminum is used because of its transparency to neutrons (very low neutron absorption cross section) and thus provides a minimal perturbation of the flux in that region.

Cycle 400 contained seven solid aluminum targets, located at the following experimental sites: C2, C5, D3, D4, E5, E6, F5. The targets were modeled as pure aluminum. Figure 14 shows that portion of the MCNP input file corresponding to the solid aluminum target, and Fig. 15 provides a pictorial representation.

```
с
   ***** C-2 (solid Al dummy)
с
490 530 6.02423-02 -492 +417 -419 imp:n=1 $ solid Al dummy outer clad and upper & lower regions
491 530 6.02423-02 +492 -494 -418 +416 imp:n=1 $ solid Al dummy outer shroud
492 2 9.95227-02 #491 +492 -495 +417 -419 imp:n=1 $ coolant
С
с
с
     Dummy solid Al targets (Al-1100) Total = 6.03240-02
с
m530 13027.66c 6.00625-02 14000.60c 1.45271-04 25055.60c 7.42655-06
       26000.55c 7.30588-05 29000.50c 3.57670-05
с
      Water in core region --Avg. Density = 0.98465 g/cm^3
с
                  6.63485-02 8016.66c 3.31742-02
m^2
       1001.66c
mt2
       lwtr.60t
с
```

Fig. 14. MCNP input corresponding to solid aluminum target.



Fig. 15. HFV4.0 MCNP modeling of solid aluminum dummy targets loading located in seven different experimental sites.

2.2.5 Shrouded Aluminum Target Rod

Shrouded aluminum target rods, like solid aluminum rods, are loaded in unused target sites to maintain the proper coolant flow and to provide support structure for other target rods. The shrouded rods are configured to be geometric duplicates of the californium production rods and were the first "dummy" target rod design. Solid aluminum rods were designed at a later date due to unavailability of the manufacturing processes used for the shrouded aluminum rods.

Cycle 400 contained 21 shrouded aluminum dummy targets, located at the following experimental sites: A2, A3, B1, B2, B4, B5, C1, C3, C4, D2, D5, D6, E3, E4, E7, F3, F4, F6, F7, G5, and G6. The MCNP model for the aluminum shrouded rods is shown in Fig. 16. A pictorial representation is shown in Fig. 17.

```
c ***** B-2 (shrouded Al dummy)
440 512 4.82102-02 -440 +427 -428
                                           imp:n=1
                                                    $ dummy Al target material
441 511 6.03240-02 -441 +440 +427 -428
                                           imp:n=1 $ dummy Al target tube
442 25 6.02083-02 \#(-441 + 427 - 428) - 442 + 417 - 419 imp:n=1 $ outer clad and upper & lower
regions
443 25 6.02083-02 +443 -444 +416 -418
                                           imp:n=1
                                                     $ outer shroud
444 2 9.95227-02 +442 -445 +417 -419
                  (-443:444: -416:418)
                                            imp:n=1 $ coolant
с
     Al-1100 clad of target pellets
                                   Total = 6.03240-02
с
       13027.66c 6.00625-02 14000.60c 1.45271-04 25055.62c 7.42655-06
m511
        26000.21c 7.30588-05 29000.50c 3.57670-05
с
с
     Al for shrouded targets (Al-1100) Total = 6.03240-02
       13027.66c 6.00625-02 14000.60c 1.45271-04 25055.60c 7.42655-06
m512
       26000.55c 7.30588-05 29000.50c 3.57670-05
с
с
с
     Aluminum in target basket area
       13027.66c 5.85482-02 1001.66c 3.45716-04 12000.62c
m25
                                                             6.68986-04
       14000.60c 3.47363-04 22000.62c 2.54704-05 24000.50c 6.09789-05
       25055.62c 2.21974-05 26000.21c 1.01905-04 29000.50c 8.74557-05
с
```

Fig. 16. MCNP input corresponding to shrouded aluminum target rods.



Fig. 17. MCNP modeling of the shrouded aluminum dummy targets.

2.2.6 Other Central Target Experiment Loadings

Other target experiment loadings that were not used in cycle 400, but are commonly used as targets in the HFIR follow:

- curium targets,
- 5% stainless steel (SST) experiment,
- 10% SST experiment,
- 20% SST experiment,
- 30% SST experiment,
- 40% SST experiment, and potentially,
- beryllium reflector rods.

The input files and material definitions for these loadings are included in the dataset on the CD that accompanies this report. The user can easily modify the model to reflect future loading of these experiments.

2.3 INNER FUEL ELEMENT

The inner fuel element (IFE) consists of 171 fuel plates; each plate formed in an involute shape. The fuel plates are U_3O_8 -Al fuel meat with the uranium being highly enriched (93 wt. % ²³⁵U). Each plate

contains 15.18 g \pm 1% of ²³⁵U distributed along the involute arc in a varying thickness so as to reduce power peaking in the fuel plate (shown in Fig. 18) and clad with aluminum. The plates are separated by 50 one-thousandth inch gaps (filled with water during operation in the reactor), and are held in place by two cylindrical aluminum side walls.

The IFE fueled area is modeled by dividing it into 56 cells, 8 radial fuel regions and 7 axial fuel layers (Fig. 19).

The eight radial regions are included to approximate the varying, effective ²³⁵U concentration in the radial direction of the fuel plate. The fuel is modeled by homogenizing the uranium "meat," aluminum, and water between the plates into eight radial fueled regions.



Fig. 18. IFE showing one plate with the fuel cladding, and the aluminum side plates holding the fuel plates together.



Fig. 19. Inner fuel element ²³⁵U concentration and radial modeling.

The unfueled region of the IFE is modeled by homogenizing the aluminum and water. Figure 20 shows a cross section view of the IFE at reactor midplane (z = 0). The regions as modeled and starting from the inside ring are as follows (Fig. 20):

- 1. IFE inner sidewall
- 2. Inner unfueled region
- 3. Radial fueled region 1 7.14–7.500 cm
- 4. Radial fueled region 2 7.500–8.000 cm
- 5. Radial fueled region 3 8.000–8.500 cm
- 6. Radial fueled region 4 8.500–9.500 cm
- 7. Radial fueled region 5 9.500–10.500 cm
- 8. Radial fueled region 6 10.500–11.500 cm
- 9. Radial fueled region 7 11.500–12.000 cm
- 10. Radial fueled region 8 12.000–12.6 cm
- 11. Inner unfueled region
- 12. IFE outer sidewall
- 13. Water between IFE and the OFE

An axial profile of the model of the inner element is shown in Fig. 21. MCNP input corresponding to the inner fuel element is shown in Fig. 22.



Fig. 20. Cross section of the IFE model x-y view at z = 0.



Fig. 21. x-z view of the fuel elements modeling.

```
с
С
2000 20 6.02083-02 +1999 +200 -100 -2000 imp:n=1 $IFE inner sidewall
2100 200 8.01309-02 +2000 +200 -100 -2001 imp:n=1 $inner unfuelled region
с
         ***** Coarse Zone Representation *****
с
с
   Top - Axial Layer 1 (25.4 - 19.0 cm)
2101 201 8.00804-02 +2001 +153 -100 -2002 imp:n=1 $ IFE radial fuelled region 1 7.14 - 7.5cm
2102 202 8.00839-02 +2002 +153 -100 -2003 imp:n=1 $ IFE radial fuelled region 2 7.5 - 8.0cm
2103 203 8.00880-02 +2003 +153 -100 -2004 imp:n=1 $ IFE radial fuelled region 3 8.0 - 8.50cm
2104 204 8.00937-02 +2004 +153 -100 -2005 imp:n=1 $ IFE radial fuelled region 4 8.5 - 9.5cm
2105 205 8.00993-02 +2005 +153 -100 -2006 imp:n=1 $ IFE radial fuelled region 5 9.5- 10.5cm
2106 206 8.00998-02 +2006 +153 -100 -2007 imp:n=1 $ IFE radial fuelled region 6 10.5-11.5cm
2107 207 8.00968-02 +2007 +153 -100 -2008 imp:n=1 $ IFE radial fuelled region 7 11.5 -12.cm
2108 208 8.00933-02 +2008 +153 -100 -2009 imp:n=1 $ IFE radial fuelled region 8 12.0-12.6cm
с
с
с
     Inner fuel element--fuel regions
    total atom density = 8.00804E-02 a/b-cm
с
m201 1001.66c 3.32434E-02 5010.66c 2.04121E-05 5011.66c 8.26896E-05
     8016.66c 1.71757E-02 12000.62c 1.33797E-04 13027.66c 2.89993E-02
    14000.60c 1.11291E-04 22000.62c 5.09408E-06 24000.50c 1.21958E-05
    25055.60c 6.57731E-06 26000.55c 4.14118E-05 29000.50c 2.77871E-05
    92234.66c 2.20708E-06 92235.66c 2.05700E-04 92236.66c 8.82838E-07
    92238.66c 1.19182E-05
mt201 lwtr.60t
    total atom density = 8.00839E-02 a/b-cm
с
m202 1001.66c 3.32434E-02 5010.66c 1.77513E-05 5011.66c 7.19107E-05
     8016.66c 1.73053E-02 12000.62c 1.33797E-04 13027.66c 2.88388E-02
    14000.60c 1.10902E-04 22000.62c 5.09408E-06 24000.50c 1.21958E-05
    25055.60c 6.55746E-06 26000.55c 4.12166E-05 29000.50c 2.76915E-05
    92234.66c 2.69313E-06 92235.66c 2.51000E-04 92236.66c 1.07726E-06
    92238.66c 1.45429E-05
mt202 lwtr.60t
с
    total atom density = 8.00880E-02 a/b-cm
m203 1001.66c 3.32434E-02 5010.66c 1.46911E-05 5011.66c 5.95138E-05
     8016.66c 1.74543E-02 12000.62c 1.33797E-04 13027.66c 2.86541E-02
    14000.60c 1.10456E-04 22000.62c 5.09408E-06 24000.50c 1.21958E-05
    25055.60c 6.53463E-06 26000.55c 4.09920E-05 29000.50c 2.75816E-05
    92234.66c 3.25214E-06 92235.66c 3.03100E-04 92236.66c 1.30087E-06
    92238.66c 1.75616E-05
mt203 lwtr.60t
    total atom density = 8.00937E-02 a/b-cm
с
m204 1001.66c 3.32434E-02 5010.66c 1.03798E-05 5011.66c 4.20486E-05
     8016.66c 1.76644E-02 12000.62c 1.33797E-04 13027.66c 2.83940E-02
    14000.60c 1.09826E-04 22000.62c 5.09408E-06 24000.50c 1.21958E-05
    25055.60c 6.50246E-06 26000.55c 4.06755E-05 29000.50c 2.74266E-05
    92234.66c 4.03970E-06 92235.66c 3.76500E-04 92236.66c 1.61589E-06
    92238.66c 2.18144E-05
mt204
       lwtr.60t
```

Fig. 22. MCNP input for IFE.

Fuel isotopic compositions for middle-of-cycle (MOC) and end-of-cycle (EOC) are also present in the HFV4.0 dataset. Material density cards for MOC and EOC are included in the model. The derivation of these atom densities are discussed in Refs. 4 through 9.

2.4 OUTER FUEL ELEMENT

The outer fuel element (OFE) consists of 369 fuel plates, each plate having an involute shape as shown in Fig. 23. Each plate contains 18.44 g \pm 1% of ²³⁵U. The OFE fueled area is modeled by dividing it into 63 cells, 9 radial fueled regions (Table 5), and 7 axial fueled layers. The nine radial regions represent the different effective ²³⁵U concentrations (atoms per barn*cm) in the radial direction of the fuel plate (Figs. 24 and 25) at beginning-of-cycle. MCNP input corresponding to the outer element is shown in Fig. 26.

Fuel isotopic compositions for MOC and EOC are also present in the HFV4.0 dataset. Material density cards for MOC and EOC are included in the model. The derivation of these atom densities are discussed in Refs. 4 through 9.



Fig. 23. Schematic of fuel plate configuration in OFE.

Radial fueled region number	Region thickness (cm)	²³⁵ U concentration [10 ⁻⁴ atoms/(bn*cm)]
1	15.1295-15.5	4.220
2	15.5-16.0	5.053
3	16.0–16.5	5.941
4	16.5-17.5	6.747
5	17.5–18.5	6.500
6	18.5–19.5	5.292
7	19.5-20.0	4.145
8	20.0-20.5	3.387
9	20.5-20.978	2.698

Table 5. Modeled regions in OFE



Fig. 24. Comparison of actual uranium distribution in outer element to modeled distribution.



Fig. 25. Pictorial representation of MCNP model of fuel elements.

с 2300 20 6.02083-02 +2201 +200 -100 -2300 imp:n=1 \$OFE inner sidewall 2400 200 8.01309-02 +2300 +200 -100 -2301 imp:n=1 \$outer unfuelled region с ***** Coarse Representation ***** с Top - Axial Layer 1 (25.4 - 19.0 cm) с 2401 301 8.00583-02 +2301 +153 -100 -2302 imp:n=1 \$ OFE radial fuelled region 1 15.1295 - 15.5 cm 2402 302 8.00895-02 +2302 +153 -100 -2303 imp:n=1 \$ OFE radial fuelled region 2 15.5 - 16.0 cm 2403 303 8.01228-02 +2303 +153 -100 -2304 imp:n=1 \$ OFE radial fuelled region 3 16.0 - 16.5 cm 2404 304 8.01530-02 +2304 +153 -100 -2305 imp:n=1 \$ OFE radial fuelled region 4 16.5 - 17.5 cm 2405 305 8.01437-02 +2305 +153 -100 -2306 imp:n=1 \$ OFE radial fuelled region 5 17.5 - 18.5 cm 2406 306 8.00985-02 +2306 +153 -100 -2307 imp:n=1 \$ OFE radial fuelled region 6 18.5 - 19.5 cm 2407 307 8.00555-02 +2307 +153 -100 -2308 imp:n=1 \$ OFE radial fuelled region 7 19.5 - 20.0 cm 2408 308 8.00271-02 +2308 +153 -100 -2309 imp:n=1 \$ OFE radial fuelled region 8 20.0 - 20.5 cm 2409 309 8.00013-02 +2309 +153 -100 -2310 imp:n=1 \$ OFE radial fuelled region 9 20.5 - 20.978 cm с *****Outer Fuel Element***** с total atom density = 8.00583E-02 a/b-cm С m301 1001.66c 3.32434E-02 8016.66c 1.77945E-02 12000.62c 1.33797E-04 13027.66c 2.82328E-02 14000.60c 1.09436E-04 22000.62c 5.09408E-06 24000.50c 1.21958E-05 25055.60c 6.48252E-06 26000.55c 4.04794E-05 29000.50c 2.73306E-05 92234.66c 4.52789E-06 92235.66c 4.22000E-04 92236.66c 1.81117E-06 92238.66c 2.44506E-05 mt301 lwtr.60t total atom density = 8.00895E-02 a/b-cmс m302 1001.66c 3.32434E-02 8016.66c 1.80329E-02 12000.62c 1.33797E-04 13027.66c 2.79375E-02 14000.60c 1.08722E-04 22000.62c 5.09408E-06 24000.50c 1.21958E-05 25055.60c 6.44602E-06 26000.55c 4.01203E-05 29000.50c 2.71548E-05 92234.66c 5.42167E-06 92235.66c 5.05300E-04 92236.66c 2.16868E-06 92238.66c 2.92770E-05 mt302 lwtr.60t с total atom density = 8.01228E-02 a/b-cm m303 1001.66c 3.32434E-02 8016.66c 1.82870E-02 12000.62c 1.33797E-04 13027.66c 2.76228E-02 14000.60c 1.07961E-04 22000.62c 5.09408E-06 24000.50c 1.21958E-05 25055.60c 6.40711E-06 26000.55c 3.97374E-05 29000.50c 2.69674E-05 92234.66c 6.37446E-06 92235.66c 5.94100E-04 92236.66c 2.54980E-06 92238.66c 3.44221E-05 mt303 lwtr.60t total atom density = 8.01530E-02 a/b-cmс m304 1001.66c 3.32434E-02 8016.66c 1.85176E-02 12000.62c 1.33797E-04 13027.66c 2.73372E-02 14000.60c 1.07270E-04 22000.62c 5.09408E-06 24000.50c 1.21958E-05 25055.60c 6.37178E-06 26000.55c 3.93900E-05 29000.50c 2.67973E-05 92234.66c 7.23926E-06 92235.66c 6.74700E-04 92236.66c 2.89573E-06 92238.66c 3.90920E-05

Fig. 26. MCNP input corresponding to OFE.

```
mt304
      lwtr.60t
    total atom density = 8.01437E-02 a/b-cm
с
m305 1001.66c 3.32434E-02 8016.66c 1.84469E-02 12000.62c 1.33797E-04
    13027.66c 2.74247E-02 14000.60c 1.07482E-04 22000.62c 5.09408E-06
    24000.50c 1.21958E-05 25055.60c 6.38261E-06 26000.55c 3.94965E-05
    29000.50c 2.68494E-05 92234.66c 6.97424E-06 92235.66c 6.50000E-04
    92236.66c 2.78972E-06 92238.66c 3.76609E-05
mt305 lwtr.60t
с
    total atom density = 8.00985E-02 a/b-cm
m306 1001.66c 3.32434E-02 8016.66c 1.81013E-02 12000.62c 1.33797E-04
    13027.66c 2.78528E-02 14000.60c 1.08517E-04 22000.62c 5.09408E-06
    24000.50c 1.21958E-05 25055.60c 6.43555E-06 26000.55c 4.00172E-05
    29000.50c 2.71044E-05 92234.66c 5.67811E-06 92235.66c 5.29200E-04
    92236.66c 2.27126E-06 92238.66c 3.06618E-05
mt306 lwtr.60t
    total atom density = 8.00555E-02 a/b-cm
с
m307 1001.66c 3.32434E-02 8016.66c 1.77731E-02 12000.62c 1.33797E-04
    13027.66c 2.82593E-02 14000.60c 1.09501E-04 22000.62c 5.09408E-06
    24000.50c 1.21958E-05 25055.60c 6.48581E-06 26000.55c 4.05117E-05
    29000.50c 2.73464E-05 92234.66c 4.44742E-06 92235.66c 4.14500E-04
    92236.66c 1.77898E-06 92238.66c 2.40161E-05
mt307 lwtr.60t
    total atom density = 8.00271E-02 a/b-cm
с
m308 1001.66c 3.32434E-02 8016.66c 1.75562E-02 12000.62c 1.33797E-04
    13027.66c 2.85280E-02 14000.60c 1.10150E-04 22000.62c 5.09408E-06
    24000.50c 1.21958E-05 25055.60c 6.51903E-06 26000.55c 4.08385E-05
    29000.50c 2.75064E-05 92234.66c 3.63412E-06 92235.66c 3.38700E-04
    92236.66c 1.45366E-06 92238.66c 1.96242E-05
mt308 lwtr.60t
    total atom density = 8.00013E-02 a/b-cm
с
m309 1001.66c 3.32434E-02 8016.66c 1.73591E-02 12000.62c 1.33797E-04
    13027.66c 2.87722E-02 14000.60c 1.10741E-04 22000.62c 5.09408E-06
    24000.50c 1.21958E-05 25055.60c 6.54922E-06 26000.55c 4.11355E-05
    29000.50c 2.76518E-05 92234.66c 2.89485E-06 92235.66c 2.69800E-04
    92236.66c 1.15795E-06 92238.66c 1.56322E-05
mt309 lwtr.60t
с
```



2.5 CONTROL ELEMENTS

The control element region (CR) consists of two thin-walled (0.25-in.) concentric cylindrical zones. The inner cylindrical zone is, in reality, an annulus and is termed the lower or control cylinder or sometimes, the regulating element because its primary function is to regulate reactor power. That is, once a critical configuration has been established, slight vertical movements of the control cylinder are performed to adjust the level of reactor power. This rod moves upward to insert poison.

The outer concentric cylindrical zone is, in reality, divided into four quadrants, and each quadrant is termed a safety plate. Though each of the four plates has a separate drive mechanism, they are operated in tandem, and their position relative to the core axial midplane is symmetric to the control element. These four plates as a group are termed the safety element or, sometimes, the upper element.

Both the safety and regulating elements contain three longitudinal (axial) regions:

- black region containing europium (Eu₂O₃),
- gray region containing tantalum, and
- a white region containing aluminum.

All are clad with aluminum, making it an aluminum plate containing a black and gray cores (Fig. 27).



Fig. 27. Axial dimensions of regulating and safety elements.

The control element dimensions and height of each region are shown in Fig. 28. Gaps between four quadrants in the outer, safety element are explicitly modeled. The "flow holes" in the control elements are homogenized.

The model does not account for control element burnup, but this should have a minimal effect on the model (and on prediction of k_{eff}) because the control elements' worth generally does not change over the lifetime of the elements (nominally 100,000 MWd) and, in fact, increases slightly with use. This is due to the fact that europium absorbs neutrons and transmutes to gadolinium that has a higher neutron cross section than europium, and also that ¹⁸¹Ta transmutes to ¹⁸²Ta under irradiation.

Control plates can be easily moved in the model by the use of surface transformation cards in order to set the plates at the corresponding position for the desired cycle time. Transformation cards corresponding to typical rod positions from HFIR operation used for beginning-of-cycle (BOC), EOC, and during cycle are included in the model and tabulated in Table 6. To run a particular case, one needs to use the transformation cards for that case, for both the inner and outer control plates instead of the ones used in the model (tr 87 and tr88) for BOC.

Cycle 400's balanced control rods measured positions as recorded by the reactor operators throughout the cycle operation are shown in Fig. 29 and in Table 7. The data plotted in Fig. 29 are available from the reactor operator's log for cycle 400. Perturbations in the otherwise "smooth" withdrawal of safety plates are presumed to be due to rebalancing the control and safety plates, that is, ensuring that control and safety element positions are maintained as symmetric to core centerline. During cycle 400, the reactor operated for a total of 592 h, during which time the control elements were withdrawn from 18.0 in. at BOC, to 26.86 in. at EOC, resulting in a net withdrawal of 8.86 in. for the entire cycle.

That portion of the MCNP file corresponding to the control element zone is shown in Fig. 30. Visualization of that input is shown in Fig. 31.



Fig. 28. Picture of safety and regulating elements.

Case/Comment	Time in cycle (d)	Position (in. withdrawn)	Model Position (cm)	Inner Tr card	Outer Tr card
Shutdown					
Black regions at upper/lower edge of unfueled core region	0.0	0.0	0.000	83	84
Control elements fully inserted;					
Black regions at upper/lower edge of active region	0.0	2.0	5.080	85	86
Startup	0.0	18.0	45.720	87	88
Xenon at equilibrium	1.0	19.25	48.895	89	90
	2.0	20.25	51.435	91	92
Depletion steps; Fission products simulated	10.0	21.0	53.340	93	94
by boron and a lumped fission product	18.0	23.50	59.690	95	96
	20.0	25.00	63.500	97	98
EOC White regions at upper/lower edge of active region)	24.3	27.0	68.580	101	102

Table 6.	Control rod p	ositions in MCN	P model (inner	· moves down.	outer moves up)
			(



Fig. 29. Cycle 400 balanced rod position (control elements 1-4).

Time (d)	Safety plates position (inches withdrawn)	Time (d)	Safety plates position (inches withdrawn)
0.000	18.00	11	21.28
0.042	18.33	12	21.44
0.083	18.64	13	21.61
0.500	18.97	14	21.78
0.625	19.34	15	22.02
1	20.05	16	22.27
2	20.43	17	22.54
3	20.66	18	22.85
4	20.72	19	23.19
5	20.77	20	23.59
6	20.82	21	23.59
7	20.87	22	24.56
8	20.93	23	25.19
9	21.01	24	25.90
10	21.17	24.67 ^a	26.86

 Table 7. Safety plates (control elements 1–4) position for cycle 400

^{*a*}Shutdown, exposure = 2068.42 MWd.

с С 3000 2 9.95227-02 +2400 +200 -100 -3000 imp:n=1 \$water btw OFE and inner CR-active region 3001 3 1.00053-01 +2400 -199 +100 -3000 imp:n=1 \$wter btw OFE & inner CR--above active rgn 3002 1 9.89921-02 +2400 +299 -200 -3000 imp:n=1 \$wter btw OFE & inner CR--below active rgn с Inner control element с с 3100 3 1.00053-01 +3000 +300 -199 -3003 imp:n=1 \$Inner element--Upper H2O 3101 1 9.89921-02 +3000 +299 -304 -3003 imp:n=1 \$Inner element--Lower H2O с 3110 21 6.02083-02 +3000 +304 -300 -3001 imp:n=1 \$Inner element--Inner clad 3111 402 6.21902-02 +3001 +301 -300 -3002 imp:n=1 \$Inner element--Upper white region 3112 21 6.02083-02 -700 +701 +3001 +303 -301 -3002 imp:n=1 \$Inner element-Al gap region 1 3113 21 6.02083-02 703 -702 +3001 +303 -301 -3002 imp:n=1 \$Inner element-Al gap region 2 c 3114 400 6.02937-02 +700 +702 +3001 +302 -301 -3002 imp:n=1 \$Inner element--Gray -Quad 3115 401 6.13221-02 +700 +702 +3001 +303 -302 -3002 imp:n=1 \$Inner element-Black -Quad 1 3116 400 6.02937-02 -703 +700 +3001 +302 -301 -3002 imp:n=1 \$Inner element--Gray -Quad 2 3117 401 6.13221-02 -703 +700 +3001 +303 -302 -3002 imp:n=1 \$Inner element-Black-Quad 2 -701 -703 +3001 +302 -301 -3002 imp:n=1 \$Inner element--Gray -Quad 3 3118 400 6.02937-02 -701 -703 +3001 +303 -302 -3002 imp:n=1 \$Inner element--Black -Quad 3 3119 401 6.13221-02 3120 400 6.02937-02 702 -701 +3001 +302 -301 -3002 imp:n=1 \$Inner element--Gray-Quad 4 702 -701 +3001 +303 -302 -3002 imp:n=1 \$Inner element--Black-Quad 4 3121 401 6.13221-02 с 3122 403 6.21521-02 +3001 +304 -303 -3002 imp:n=1 \$Inner element--Lower white region 3123 21 6.02083-02 +3002 +304 -300 -3003 imp:n=1 \$Inner element--Outer clad с с Water btw control elements 3200 2 9.95227-02 +3003 +200 -100 -3004 imp:n=1 \$wtr btw inner CR and outer CR--active region 3201 3 1.00053-01 +3003 -199 +100 -3004 imp:n=1 \$wtr btw inner and outer CR--above active rgn 3202 1 9.89921-02 +3003 +299 -200 -3004 imp:n=1 \$wtr btw inner and outer CR--below active rgn С ***** Control Rod Positions ***** (inner moves down outer moves up) с c Surface transformations used to set the control plates position in the model -2 tr. used for each position inner tr. outer tr. с 83 ** SHUTDOWN - 0.0 inch - shutdown (black rgns at edge of unfuelled core rgn) and 84 с 85 ** FULLY INSERTED - 2.0 inch - startup (black rgns at edge of active region) с and 86 ***** STARTUP - 18.0 inch - 0.0 days с 87 and 88 90 ***** Xe - 19.25 inch - 1.0 days 89 and с 92 ***** Boron Burn - 20.25 inch - 2.0 days 91 and с ***** - 21.00 inch - 10.0 days 93 and 94 с ***** - 23.50 inch - 18.0 days 95 96 с and ***** - 25.00 inch - 20.0 days с 97 and 98 с 99 and 100 ***** AVERAGE POSITION - 22.0 inch - 14.0 days ***** FULLY WITHDRAWN - 27.0 inch 101 and 102 с

Fig. 30. MCNP input corresponding to regulating and safety elements.

```
с
c Material for control elements
с
с
     Aluminum clad of control elements
       13027.66c 5.85482-02 1001.66c 3.45716-04 12000.62c 6.68986-04
m21
     14000.60c 3.47363-04 22000.62c 2.54704-05 24000.50c 6.09789-05
     25055.60c 2.21974-05 26000.55c 1.01905-04 29000.50c 8.74557-05
с
с
    *****Inner Control Element****
с
     Inner control element--Gray Ta-Al/H2O region
с
       73181.66c 2.10142-02 13027.66c 3.43728-02 1001.66c 3.27118-03
m400
       8016.66c 1.63559-03
mt400 lwtr.60t
     Inner control element--black EuO-Al region
с
       63151.66c 4.04205-03 63153.66c 4.41415-03 13027.66c 4.01816-02
m401
       8016.66c 1.26843-02
     Inner control element-upper Al/H2O region
с
m402
        1001.66c 3.26363-03 8016.66c 1.63181-03 13027.66c 5.72947-02
mt402
        lwtr.60t
     Inner control element-lower Al/H2O region
с
        1001.66c 3.19976-03 8016.66c 1.59988-03 13027.66c 5.73524-02
m403
mt403 lwtr.60t
с
с
    *****Outer Control Element*****
с
     Outer control element--Gray Ta-Al/H2O region
с
m411 73181.66c 2.10212-02 13027.66c 3.43843-02 1001.66c 3.24993-03
       8016.66c 1.62497-03
mt411 lwtr.60t
     Outer control element--black EuO-Al region
с
       63151.66c 4.04205-03 63153.66c 4.41415-03 13027.66c 4.01816-02
m410
       8016.66c 1.26843-02
     Outer control element-upper Al/H2O region
с
         1001.66c 3.01443-03 8016.66c 1.50722-03 13027.66c 5.75198-02
m412
mt412 lwtr.60t
     Outer control element-lower Al/H2O region
с
        1001.66c 3.19012-03 8016.66c 1.59506-03 13027.66c 5.73611-02
m413
mt413 lwtr.60t
с
```

Fig. 30. (continued)



Fig. 31. Visualization of MCNP model for control element zone.



2.6 REMOVABLE AND SEMIPERMANENT REFLECTORS

Due to embrittlement caused by radiation, the inner 5 cm (2 in.) of the beryllium reflector must be replaced after 40 cycles of operation of the reactor. At the start of cycle 400, a new removable beryllium reflector was placed in the reactor.

The semipermanent reflector—that portion containing the control rod access plugs—is replaced every 80 cycles. The composition of the beryllium in all the reflectors is the same however the presence of cooling water channels causes homogenized atom densities for the three reflector regions to differ.

Twenty experiment facilities are located in this region and include:

- eight large RB positions designated in pairs, e.g., RB1 and RB1*, located in the removable beryllium zone,
- four small RB positions located in the semipermanent beryllium reflector and,
- eight control-rod (CR) access plug facilities located in the semipermanent beryllium reflector.

Large RB positions are explicitly modeled with an aluminum liner. That portion of the MCNP input file that represents the material for the removable and semipermanent reflectors is shown in Fig. 32. Visualization of this input is shown in Fig. 33.

Beryllium removable reflector с At the start of cycle 400, a new removable beryllium reflector was placed in the reactor с No Li-6, or He-3 present (100% Be - H2O gaps are explicitly modeled) с C 4009.66c 1.23607-01 \$ Removable reflector Rgn 1 material m101 mt101 be.60t m102 4009.66c 1.23607-01 \$ Removable reflector Rgn 2 material mt102 be.60t m103 4009.66c 1.23607-01 \$ Removable reflector Rgn 3 material mt103 be 60t 4009.66c 1.23607-01 3006.66c 3.14456-7 2003.66c 9.25940-9 \$semi-permanent refl. reg m104 mt104 be.60t с с

Fig. 32. MCNP input for removable and semipermanent reflector.



Fig. 33. Representation of MCNP model of removable and semipermanent reflector.

2.7 THE PERMANENT BERYLLIUM REFLECTOR, WATER SHIELD, AND PRESSURE VESSEL

The permanent beryllium reflector extends approximately 20 cm beyond the outside radius of the semipermanent reflector. It is surrounded by approximately 50 cm of water and then the steel pressure vessel. The permanent beryllium reflector is replaced every 135 cycles. The permanent reflector is shown in Fig. 34 and includes

- large VXF—6;
- inner small VXF—11;
- outer small VXF—5;
- engineering facilities (vertical slant tubes) –2 existing, 4 modeled;
- HB-1/HB-4 though tube;
- HB-2 radial beam tube; and
- HB-3 tangential beam tube.

The reflector as modeled is shown in Fig. 35. The VXF positions are modeled with stainless steel liners. Equilibrium poisons (Li-6 and He-3) resulting from fast neutron reactions in beryllium are modeled in a zone-wise fashion in the reflector. Atom densities and zone boundaries are given in Table 8.



Fig. 34. Views of the permanent beryllium reflector.

Inner radius of zone (cm)	Material No.	Lithium-6 atom density [atoms/(bn*cm)]	Helium-3 atom density [atoms/(bn*cm)]
33.3375	105	$1.74120(10^{-7})$	5.11969(10 ⁻⁹)
36.3375	106	$1.08525(10^{-7})$	4.29666(10 ⁻⁹)
39.3375	107	$7.10578(10^{-8})$	$2.07747(10^{-9})$
42.3375	108	$4.80664(10^{-8})$	1.39911(10 ⁻⁹)
45.3375	109	3.32031(10 ⁻⁸)	9.59631(10 ⁻¹⁰)
48.3375	110	$2.46752(10^{-8})$	$7.06338(10^{-10})$
51.3375	111	$1.84489(10^{-8})$	$5.19306(10^{-10})$

Table 8. Neutron poisons modeled in permanent beryllium reflector



Fig. 35. MCNP model of the permanent beryllium reflector region, showing 22 VXF, 4 experimental facilities, and the HB tubes.

The HFIR was constructed with four engineering facilities (slant tubes) as shown in Fig. 3. Two of these were eliminated during the enlargement of the HB-2 beam tube leading to the current configuration that is shown in Fig. 4. The MCNP model documented in Ref. 4 contains the current permanent beryllium configuration as shown in Fig. 4.

3. RESULTS OF CALCULATIONS

3.1 SENSITIVITY OF MULTIPLICATION FACTOR TO MODEL ASSUMPTIONS

Several calculations were performed to arrive at the best model that most accurately simulates the HFIR. Several cross section datasets were examined, and variations in number of cycle histories were considered. A summary of the results is shown in Table 9.

MCNP model	Number of histories	Number of neutrons per cycle	Cross section library	Final k-effective (col/abs/trk len)	SD standard deviation
V44	50,000,000	1000	rmccs	1.00504	0.00019
V49	50,000,000	1000	endf/B-VI	1.00789	0.00013
V56	60,000,000	2000	endf66c	1.00834	0.00012
V58	60,000,000	2000	endf .61c	1.01235	0.00012
V57	60,235,653	600	endf66c	1.00830	0.00012
V29a	60,125,000	1000	endf666c	1.00884	0.00012
V29b	60,125,000	1000	endf550c	1.00681	0.00012

Table 9. MCNP calculation of the HFIR at BOC

The difference between the V44 and V49 models is the use of the endf66 cross section library; the V44 case was executed with a somewhat older data library, rmccs (recommended Monte Carlo cross section library). The increase in k_{eff} between the two cases is significant and was not expected because cross section data have not changed significantly in recent years especially for known, common nuclear reactor elements like uranium, water, and aluminum. One reason for this increase may be the presence of delayed neutron data. The rmccs data for uranium isotopes (92234.50c, 92235.50c, 92238.50c) all lack delayed neutron data. Data in endf66 include the delayed neutron contribution for these uranium isotopes (92234.66c, 92235.66c, 92238.66).

In the V56 run, the number of neutrons per cycle was increased from the V49 case. The number of histories was comparable, and while the value of k increased slightly, the change was not statistically significant.

In run V58, two changes were made from case V56—the first using a different, and older cross section dataset for uranium, endf.61c, and second, the assignment of cross section data to the water between the fuel elements was changed to ltw.01t, which allows for use of "S-alpha-beta" data for scattering. Although this assignment had been made for the rest of the reactor, it was missed in the older models and in earlier models. These changes had a significant effect on the multiplication factor.

Case V57 was calculated using endf.66 data; the most recent data were available with the ²³⁵U dataset containing a larger number of measured values than in the other libraries. Additionally, the aluminum in the solid target rods was changed from pure aluminum to the actual alloy, Al-1100. Calculations were run for 600 neutrons/cycle for 100,000 cycles, resulting in 60 million histories.

Cases 29 a and b were performed with all the actual cycle 400 PTP experimental loading in the model.

3.2 BOC CALCULATIONS

The final model for BOC MCNP Monte Carlo calculations was designated the HFV4.0 model. The BOC conditions include 9.4 kg of 235 U, 2.8 g of boron (10 B) in the IFE, and with the control rods at 18-in. position. The model was case V57 but with ltw.06t cross section data used for all water regions and graph.06 used for graphite material in the target region. The calculated eigenvalue (k_{eff}) was 1.0083 ± 0.00012; Figs. 36–38 show the calculated eigenvalue for k absorption, k-collision, and k track.

The model does accurately predict the multiplication factor for the reactor to within 1%. We think that this value could be used with confidence as a base number to calculate the reactivity change of loading new experiments to the core, for both safety and neutronics calculations.

The less than 1% overestimation of k_{eff} for the HFV4.0 model could be due to many reasons. The most obvious is experimental and target loading. The atom densities and descriptions of some experimental loadings were not available; thus, the sites were left empty in our calculations. Including all the experimental loadings in the model will decrease the value of k_{eff} by a small amount, but we do not think that it will bring it to 1.0000.

A few other assumptions were made in the modeling, and many regions were homogenized. The exact atom density of many materials and the presence of trace elements does effect neutrons and thus k.

Cross section data are not perfect, and as we have shown throughout this report, the results of eigenvalues calculations will vary depending on which library is used. These data are being continuously updated, and thus updating cross sections will continue to improve the model.

The HFV4.0 model is based on the most recent continuous energy neutron cross section data from several libraries. The available data are evaluated at 293.6° K. The HFIR fuel plate centerline temperature is 435° K, and the metal-oxide interface temperature is 423° K.





Fig. 37. MCNP eigenvalue of K collision.





This increase in the temperature of the fuel results in Doppler broadening of resonances and a change in the neutron absorption cross section. The cross sections used in this model are processed by NJOY and already include Doppler broadening of elastic, capture, fission, and other low-threshold absorption cross-sections (<1 eV). Thus, temperature cards should not have any noticeable effect on MCNP calculations using this model.

3.3 REACTOR PARAMETERS CALCULATIONS

The HFV4.0 model can be used to estimate various important reactor parameters. Neutron flux, fission rate, neutron gamma, and other reaction rates can all be tallied using this MCNP model.

To obtain the absolute values, tallies from MCNP calculations must be normalized to the HFIR source by multiplying the tallies by the total source of neutrons for the HFIR operating at 85 MW, or by multiplying by the source per watt power. The HFIR source is calculated as follows:

Total source = (2.43 neutrons/fission)* $(85 \times 10^{6} \text{ W})/[(200.7 \text{ MeV/fission}) (1.602177 \times 10^{-13} \text{ J/MeV})]$ = $6.4234 \times 10^{18} \text{ neutrons/s}$

Source/watt power = $(2.43 \text{ neutrons/fission})/[(200.7 \text{ MeV/fission}) (1.602177 \times 10^{-13} \text{ J/MeV})]$ = $7.56 \times 10^{10} \text{ neutrons/s-W}$

3.3.1 Neutron Fluxes

The neutron fluxes in the flux trap region were calculated for cycle 400 and compared with values reported by Cheverton and Sims. The thermal (0-0.414 eV) and total neutron fluxes are presented in Fig. 39 and Fig. 40. The flux was tallied at the reactor midplane, where it is known to have the highest magnitude, over a very small height of -0.1 and +0.1 cm around the reactor midplane. The absolute neutron flux values were obtained by multiplying the tallies by the HFIR true source strength per megawatt of power.

3.3.2 Neutron/Gamma Heat Generation

Neutron gamma reactions in several locations in the flux trap region were tallied using the model. The heat generation caused by neutron interactions in those cells that are loaded with solid aluminum dummy targets was calculated using the MCNP model HFV4.0. Values are presented in Table 10. It is important to note that for aluminum structures, the ²⁸Al beta decay and associated gamma ray production contribute considerably more energy deposition than that of direct neutron heating.

Cell No.	Tally	J/MeV	Source	W/g
490	3.82E-07	1.60E-13	6.42E+18	0.394
530	3.82E-07	1.60E-13	6.42E+18	0.394
560	3.69E-07	1.60E-13	6.42E+18	0.379
579	7.38E-07	1.60E-13	6.42E+18	0.760
649	7.58E-07	1.60E-13	6.42E+18	0.780
650	3.81E-07	1.60E-13	6.42E+18	0.392
690	3.78E-07	1.60E-13	6.42E+18	0.389
Average				0.498

Table 10.	Neutron	heat g	generation	rate in	solid	aluminum	targets
-----------	---------	--------	------------	---------	-------	----------	---------







Fig. 40. Total neutron flux [n/(cm²*s)].

3.3.3 Fission Rate Density

The fission rates at the reactor midplane were calculated in the IFE and the OFE. The absolute fission rates in the fuel elements were obtained by multiplying the MCNP tallies with HFIR total source of the reactor at 85 MW power, which is equal to 6.4234×10^{18} neutrons/s. The fission rates at the reactor midplane are shown in Fig. 41.



Fig. 41. Calculated fission rate [fissions/(cm³*s)].

3.4 BENCHMARK RESULTS

The results of the final MCNP criticality calculations are shown in Table 11. These were calculated using the final model (HFV4.0). The final benchmarked values are as follow:

- The final estimated combined collision/absorption/track-length $k_{eff} = 1.00870 \pm 0.00013$.
- The final combined (col/abs/tl) prompt removal lifetime = $1.4369(10^{-4}) \pm 4.4797(10^{-8})$ seconds.
- The average neutron energy causing fission = 0.023304 ev.
- The energy corresponding to the average neutron lethargy causing fission = 0.16839 ev.
- The percentages of fissions caused by neutrons in the thermal, intermediate, and fast neutron ranges are:

(<0.625 ev): 83.03% (0.625 ev - 100 kev): 15.50% (>100 kev): 1.48%

- The average fission neutrons produced per neutron absorbed (capture + fission) in all cells with fission was 1.7412.
- The average number of neutrons produced per fission was 2.439. Note that this value is slightly greater than the value used for source normalization (2.43) that is noted at the beginning of Sect. 3.3.

k _{eff} estimator	k _{eff}	Standard deviation	68% confidence	95% confidence	99% confidence
Collision	1.00866	0.00019	1.00847 to 1.00884	1.00828 to 1.00903	1.00816 to 1.00915
Absorption	1.00871	0.00013	1.00857 to 1.00884	1.00844 to 1.00897	1.00836 to 1.00905
Track length	1.00868	0.00020	1.00848 to 1.00888	1.00829 to 1.00907	1.00816 to 1.00920
col/abs/trk len	1.00870	0.00013	1.00857 to 1.00883	1.00844 to 1.00895	1.00836 to 1.00904

Table 11. Average keff, and 68, 95, and 99% confidence intervals

4. CONCLUSIONS

This document provided a thorough description and benchmarking results of the High Flux Isotope model HFV4.0. The MCNP model is a 3-D detailed and accurate representation of the HFIR cycle 400.

Benchmark calculations of eigenvalues, neutron fluxes, and reaction rates were performed using the model and compared with other published and or measured values. The comparison revealed that the model can accurately calculate reactor parameters with reasonable confidence. Users can easily modify the model input in any region, in order to incorporate future design changes, or experiments loading.

5. REFERENCES

1. X-5 Monte Carlo Team, *MCNP*—A General Monte Carlo N-Particle Transport Code, Version 5, LA-CP-03-0245, Los Alamos National Laboratory, April 24, 2003.

2. C. D. Harmon, R. D. Busch, J. F. Briesmeister, R. A. Forster, *Criticality calculations with MCNP A Primer*, L-I-12827-M, Los Alamos National Laboratory, August 1994.

3. L. L. Carter and R. A. Schwarz, *MCNP Visual Editor Computer Code Manual*, www.mcnpvised.com, September 2004.

4. D. E. Peplow, A Computational Model of the High Flux Isotope Reactor for the Calculation of Cold Source, Beam Tube, and Guide Hall Nuclear Parameters, ORNL/TM-2004/237, November 2004.

5. R. T. Primm III, *Reactor Physics Input to the Safety Analysis Report for the High Flux Isotope Reactor*, ORNL/TM-11956, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, 1992.

6. R. D. Cheverton and T. M. Sims, *HFIR Core Nuclear Design*, ORNL-4621, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, 1971.

7. J. C. Gehin, HFIR Cold Source Review, March 11, 1996.

8. S. C. Mo and J. E. Matos, *A Neutronic Feasibility Study for LEU Conversion of the HFIR*, Argonne National Laboratory.

9. S. C. Mo, N. A. Hanan, and J. E. Matos, "Comparison of the FRM-II HEU Design With an Alternative LEU Design," presented at the 1995 International Meeting on Reduced Enrichment for Research and Test Reactors, September 18–21, 1995, Paris, France.

ORNL/TM-2004/251

INTERNAL DISTRIBUTION

- 1. E. D. Blakeman
- 2. J. A. Bucholz
- 3. S. E. Burnette
- 4. D. H. Cook
- 5. R. J. Ellis
- 6. M. B. Farrar
- 7. J. D. Freels
- 8. J. C. Gehin
- 9. R. W. Hobbs

- 10. D. J. Newland
- 11–14. R. T. Primm III
 - 15. I. Remec
 - 16. C. O. Slater
 - 17. K. A. Smith
 - 18. C. C. Southmayd
- 19–23. N. Xoubi
 - 24. RRD Document Control Center

EXTERNAL DISTRIBUTION

- 25. H. D. Gougar, Manager, Fission and Fusion Systems, Idaho National Laboratory, P.O. Box 1625, MS 3860, Idaho Falls, ID 83415-3860
- 26. Dr. D. Kutikkad, University of Missouri Research Reactor Facility, Columbia, MO 65211
- 27. Dr. J. C. McKibben, University of Missouri Research Reactor Facility, Columbia, MO 65211
- 28. Dr. R. E. Williams, NIST Center for Neutron Research, 100 Bureau Drive, Stop 8560, Gaithersburg, MD 20899