The following attachment(s) have been electronically scanned for your convenience in reviewing applicant information. However, in providing this service, the Commission does not represent that this information is 100% accurate. Due to recognition problems in scanning, applications filed manually involve potential inaccuracies. If you seek exact applicant information, the original attachments are available for review in the FCC Public Reference Room.



## Federal Communications Commission Washington, D.C. 20554

0EC 14 1994

In Reply Refer To 1700A1/7330-08

Leonard J. Kennedy, Esquire Dow, Lohnes and Alberston 1255 23rd Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20037-1 194

Dear Mr. Kennedy:

This will serve as a response to your October 24, 1994 letter on behalf of your client, Comcast Corporation (Comcast). Comcast seeks clarification of the Commission's decision to consortium members to withdraw from bidding consortia as described in paragraph 57 of the Fourth Memorandum Opinion and Order (Fourth MO&O), PP Docket No. 93-253, FCC No. 94-264 (released Oct. 19, 1994).

Specifically, you observe that in the **Fourth** MO&O, the Commission modified its competitive bidding rules for broadband PCS to provide that investors may "drop out" of a consortium if other members of the consortium wish to continue to bid, irrespective of any change in consortium control that might result, provided that the ownership changes are reported to the Commission. Additionally, you note that the Fourth MO&O provides that "members that are removed from a **consortium** may not subsequently bid individually or become involved with another bidder in bidding on my licenses for which the consortium had. applied." See Fourth MO&O, FCC No. 94-264, a! ¶ 57. You seek clarification of this statement to the extent it suggests that those who have dropped out of a consortium bidding for a license in a particular market, may not continue to bid as a member of its previouslyformed consortium on remaining licenses identified in the consortium's Form 175 (short-form) application You ask that consortium members that drop out of the bidding in a specific market be allowed to remain in the consortium to bid on other licenses in other markets, assuming the licenses were previously identified as target markets on the consortium's shortform application. You indicate that withdrawing members of the consortium would not be permitted to re-partner with another bidder or to bid individually on licenses for which the consortium has applied

In the Fourth MO&O, the Commission recognized that when members of a consortium drop out of the bidding, the remaining consortium members should be able to continue bidding on licenses. All such changes in consortium ownership must be reflected in an

unended short-form submitted by the applicant. The Fourth MO&O does nut, however, discuss the narrow issue of whether we will permit consortium member to withdraw from the consortium only in a particular market or markets, but otherwise remain in the consortium for purposes of bidding on all other markets specified on the short-form. The Fourth MO&O only contemplated the situation where there was a global change in the composition of the consortium. In such instances, we wanted to ensure that the surviving members of the consortium could continue to bid. See, e.g., Letter to Gary M. Epstein, Latham and Watkins, from William E. Kennard, FCC General Counsel, October 25, 1994.

Our rules would not preclude a consortium from negotiating a private agreement with its members to assign their interests in particular licenses to other consortium members after the auction. Thus, for example, a consortium member could agree that if the consortium's bidding exceeds a certain level in particular markets, it will assign its interest in those licenses to other consortium members if the licenses are won at auction. There are several provisos, however, to such an arrangement First, the existence of all such agreements must be disclosed by the consortium on an original or amended short-form submitted by the applicant, and a request to transfer or assign the license would have to be filed in conjunction with the Form 600 (long-form) application as well. Second, any transfer or assignment of licenses that would result from such agreements would be subject to FCC approval. Finally, all such agreements remain subject to existing antitust laws, which would, for example, prohibit agreements between competitors to divide or allocate territories horizontally in order to minimize competition. See Fourth MO&O, FCC 94-264, at ¶ 59 and note 125.

I hope that this response is helpful. Please contact Kathleen O'Brien Ham at (202) 418-0636 if you have further questions.

Sincerely,

Rosalind K. Allen

Acting Chief

Commercial Radio Division

Rosalind K. allen

Wireless Telecommunications Bureau

We recently clarified that an "applicant" includes all holders of attributable interests in the applicant Thus, a party with a five percent or greater interest in an applicant as well as officers and directors of the applicant are deemed an "applicant' for such purposes. See Memorandum Opinion and Order, PP Docket No. 93-253, FCC 94-295 (released Nov 17, 1994).