the Privacy Act. Requests should be addressed to the System Manager and should clearly and concisely describe the precise information being contested, the reasons for contesting it, and the proposed amendment or correction proposed to the information. In addition, as described above under "RECORD ACCESS PROCEDURES," an alternative procedure is available to a person who has been denied the transfer of, or permit for, a firearm or explosives because of information in the NICS, by which the individual may seek the correction of erroneous data in the system. The procedures are further described at 28 CFR, part 25, subpart A.

RECORD SOURCE CATEGORIES:

Information contained in the NICS is obtained from local, State, Federal, and international records.

SYSTEM EXEMPTED FROM CERTAIN PROVISIONS OF THE ACT:

The Attorney General has exempted this system from subsections (c)(3) and (4); (d); (e)(1); (2), and (3) (e)(4)(G) and (H); (e)(5) and (8); and (g) of the Privacy Act, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552a(j)(2). In addition, the Attorney General has exempted his system from subsections (c)(3), (d), (e)(1), and (e)(4)(G) and (H) of the Privacy Act, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552a (k)(2) and (k)(3). Rules have been promulgated in accordance with the requirements of 5 U.S.C. 553 (b), (c), and (e), and have been published in the **Federal Register**.

[FR Doc. 98–31503 Filed 11–24–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4410–12–P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

United States v. Omnipoint Corp.; United States v. 21st Century Bidding Corp.; United States v. Mercury PCS II, L.L.C.; Proposed Final Judgments and Competitive Impact Statements

Notice is hereby given pursuant to the Antitrust Procedures and Penalties Act, 15 U.S.C. Section 16 (b) through (h), that a proposed Final Judgment, Stipulation and Order, and Competitive Impact Statement have been filed with the United States District Court for the District of Columbia in each of the following civil actions: United States v. **Omnipoint Corporation**, Civil Action No. 1:98CV02750; United States v. 21st Century Bidding Corp.; Civil Action No. 1:98CV02752, and United States v. Mercury PCS II, L.L.C., Civil Action No. 1:98CV02751. The proposed Final Judgments are subject to approval by the Court after expiration of the statutory

60-day public comment period and compliance with the Antitrust Procedures and Penalties Act, 15 U.S.C. 16(b)–(h).

On November 10, 1998, the United States filed separate Complaints against each defendant that allege that defendants used coded bids during a Federal Communications Commission auction of radio spectrum licenses for personal communications services. The Complaints further allege that, through the use of these coded bids, defendants reached agreements to stop bidding against one another in violation of Section 1 of the Sherman Act, 15 U.S.C. 1. The proposal Final Judgments, filed the same time as the Complaints, prohibit defendants from entering into anticompetitive agreements and from using coded bids in future FCC auctions.

Public comment is invited within 60 days of the date of this notice. Such comments, and responses thereto, will be published in the **Federal Register** and filed with the Court. Written comments should be directed to Roger W. Fones, Chief, Transportation, Energy, and Agriculture Section, Antitrust Division, 325 Seventh Street, NW., Suite 500, Washington, DC 20530 (telephone: (202) 307–6351).

Copies of the Complaint, Stipulation and Order, proposed Final Judgment, and Competitive Impact Statement are available for inspection in Room 215 of the U.S. Department of Justice, Antitrust Division, 325 Seventh Street, NW., Washington, DC 20530 (telephone: (202) 514–2481), and at the office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the District of Columbia, 333 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20001. Copies of any of these materials may be obtained upon request and payment of a copying fee. **Rebecca P. Dick**,

Director of Civil Non-Merger Enforcement.

Stipulation and Order

It is hereby *stipulated* by and between the undersigned parties, by their respective attorneys, as follows:

1. The Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action and over each of the parties hereto, and venue of this action is proper in the United States District Court for the District of Columbia.

2. The parties stipulate that a Final Judgment in the form hereto attached may be filed and entered by the Court, upon the motion of any party or upon the Court's own motion, at any time after compliance with the requirements of the Antitrust Procedure and Penalties Act (15 U.S.C. § 16), and without further notice to any party or other proceedings,

provided that plaintiff has not withdrawn its consent, which it may do at any time before the entry of the proposed Final Judgment by serving notice thereof on defendants and by filing that notice with the Court.

3. The defendant shall abide by and comply with the provisions of the proposed Final Judgment pending entry of the Final Judgment by the Court and shall, from the date of the signing of this Stipulation by the parties, comply with all the terms and provisions of the proposed Final Judgment as though they were in full force and effect as an order of the Court.

4. In the event that plaintiff withdraws its consent, as provided in paragraph 2 above, then the parties are released from all further obligations under this Stipulation, and the making of this Stipulation shall be without prejudice to any party in this or any other proceedings.

5. The parties request that the Court acknowledge the terms of this stipulation by entering the Order in this Stipulation and Order.

Respectfully submitted,

For Plaintiff United States of America: Jill A. Ptacek,

J. Richard Doidge,

Attorneys, Antitrust Division, U.S.

Department of Justice, 325 Seventh Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20004, (202) 307– 0468.

For Defendant Omnipoint Corporation: Michael F. Brockmeyer, Esq.,

Piper & Marbury L.L.P. Charles Center South, 36 South Charles Street, Baltimore, MD 21201–3018, (410) 576–1890.

Order

It is *so ordered, this* _____ day of _____, 1998.

United States District Court Judge

Certificate of Service

I hereby certify that I have caused a copy of the foregoing Complaint, Competitive Impact Statement and proposed Final Judgment to be served on counsel for the defendant in this matter in the manner set forth below:

- By first class mail, postage prepaid, and by facsimile:
- Michael F. Brockmeyer, Esquire, Piper & Marbury L.L.P., 36 South Charles Street, Baltimore, MD 21201–3018

Jill Ptacek,

Antitrust Division, U.S. Department of Justice, 325 Seventh Street, N.W., Suite 500, Washington, D.C. 20530, (202) 307–6607, (202) 616–2441 (Fax).

Final Judgment

Plaintiff, United States of America, filed its Complaint on November 10,