

FFD Staff Information

July 18, 2011

Organization

Jim Wiggins, Office Director, Office of Nuclear Security and Incident Response

Marc Dapas, Deputy Office Director

Christiana Lui, Director, Division of Security Policy

Mike Layton, Deputy Director

Doug Huyck, Branch Chief, Security Programs Support Branch

FFD Team

Functional Area

Paul Harris, Senior Program Manager

FFD Coordinator

Will Smith, Security Specialist

security fatigue, events, AA/AC/BOP Interface, HHS Labs

Wayne Chalk, Security Specialist

construction, op Rx reviews, FAQs, website, rulemaking

Mark Resner, Senior Security Specialist

AA/AC lead and EIE certificates

Goals to Meet to Amend Part 26

1. Corrects a fatal flaw in Part 26 alignment with HHS (e.g., HHS fatal flaw determination)
2. Strengthens the effectiveness of an FFD program (e.g., drugs, cutoffs, LOD testing, etc.)
3. Enhances consistency (e.g., definitions, qualifications, techniques, processes, or reporting)
4. Enhances the identification or determination of whether a person is fit for duty
5. Aides in establishing clear and enforceable requirements
6. Promotes efficiency, cost reduction, due process, and privacy protection

Direct Final Rule Considerations

1. Non-controversial regulatory amendments that do not contain any changes for information collections
2. If the Agency receives significant adverse comments, the DFR does not take effect, and the NRC will issue a notice of withdrawal
3. Demonstrated public and industry consensus is in favor of the rulemaking
4. The staff will assess whether there exists a reasonable basis for assuming that significant adverse comments are unlikely, the criteria for this determination are that the proposed changes are:
 - a) Minor in nature
 - b) Formalized in existing practices or procedures
 - c) Reflect an update to new or existing/accepted technology
 - d) Adoptive of a generally accepted standard
 - e) Do not constitute a relaxation in an existing requirements
 - f) Unlikely to generate significant adverse comment