APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers	
SECTION I: BACKGROUN	D INFORMATION	
A. REPORT COMPLETION DA	TE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): 03-Mar-2011	
B. DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NA	AME, AND NUMBER: Honolulu District, POH-2010-00309-JD2	
C. PROJECT LOCATION AND	BACKGROUND INFORMATION:	
State :		
County/parish/borough: City:		
Lat:		
Long: Universal Transverse Mercat	or Folder UTM List	
Oniversal Transverse Mercal	UTM list determined by folder location	
	NAD83 / UTM zone 2S	
	Waters UTM List	
	UTM list determined by waters location	
	 NAD83 / UTM zone 2S 	
Name of nearest waterbody:		
Name of nearest Traditional I		
Name of watershed or Hydro	ogic Unit Code (HUC):	
Check if map/diagram of r	eview area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.	
Check if other sites (e.g., form.	offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc¿) are associated with the action and are recorded on a different JD	
D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR	SITE EVALUATION:	
Office Determination Date	: 03-Mar-2011	
Field Determination Date(s):	
		,
SECTION II: SUMMARY O	F FINDINGS	
A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERM	INATION OF JURISDICTION	
There are "navigable waters of	the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area	
Waters subject to	the ebb and flow of the tide.	
Waters are prese commerce.	ently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign	
Explain: Project site at culvert	is subject to inundation by the tide.	
B. CWA SECTION 404 DETER	MINATION OF JURISDICTION.	
There "waters of the U.S." with	hin Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area.	
•		
1. Waters of the U.S.		
a. Indicate presence of waters		
Water Name	Water Type(s) Present	
Alao Village box culvert repair	TNWs, including territorial seas	
h Identify (actimate) size of wa	tars of the LLS in the ravious areas	
	ters of the U.S. in the review area:	
Area: (m²) Linear: (m)		
c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisd	iction:	

based on:

OHWM Elevation: (if known)

2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands:3

Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain:

SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS

A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs

1.TNW

TNW Name	Summarize rationale supporting determination:	
Alao Village box culvert repair	Project area at culvert is subject to inundation by the tide.	

2. Wetland Adjacent to TNW

Not Applicable.

B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):

- 1. Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW
- (i) General Area Conditions:

Watershed size:

Drainage area:

Average annual rainfall: inches
Average annual snowfall: inches

- (ii) Physical Characteristics
- (a) Relationship with TNW:

Tributary flows directly into TNW.

Tributary flows through [] tributaries before entering TNW.

:Number of tributaries

Project waters are river miles from TNW.

Project waters are river miles from RPW.

Project Waters are aerial (straight) miles from TNW.

Project waters are aerial(straight) miles from RPW.

Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries.

Explain:

Identify flow route to TNW:5

Tributary Stream Order, if known:

Not Applicable.

(b) General Tributary Characteristics:

Tributary is:

Not Applicable.

Tributary properties with respect to top of bank (estimate):

Not Applicable.

Primary tributary substrate composition:

Not Applicable.

Tributary (conditions, stability, presence, geometry, gradient):

Not Applicable.

(c) Flow: Not Applicable.
Surface Flow is: Not Applicable.
Subsurface Flow: Not Applicable.
Tributary has: Not Applicable.
If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction:
High Tide Line indicated by: Not Applicable.
Mean High Water Mark indicated by: Not Applicable.
(iii) Chemical Characteristics: Characterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality;general watershed characteristics, etc.). Not Applicable.
(iv) Biological Characteristics. Channel supports: Not Applicable.
2. Characteristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW
(i) Physical Characteristics: (a) General Wetland Characteristics: Properties: Not Applicable.
(b) General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW:
Flow is: Not Applicable.
Surface flow is: Not Applicable.
Subsurface flow: Not Applicable.
(c) Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW: Not Applicable.
(d) Proximity (Relationship) to TNW: Not Applicable.
(ii) Chemical Characteristics: Characterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.). Not Applicable.
(iii) Biological Characteristics. Wetland supports: Not Applicable.
3. Characteristics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any):
All wetlands being considered in the cumulative analysis: Not Applicable.

Summarize overall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed: Not Applicable.

C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Significant Nexus: Not Applicable

D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE:

1. TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands:

Wetland Name	Туре	Size (Linear) (m)	Size (Area) (m²)
Alao Village box culvert repair	TNWs, including territorial seas	9.144	-
Total:		9.144	0

2. RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs:

Not Applicable.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area:

Not Applicable.

3. Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs:8

Not Applicable.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area:

Not Applicable.

4. Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.

Not Applicable.

Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area:

Not Applicable

5. Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs:

Not Applicable.

Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area:

Not Applicable.

6. Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs:

Not Applicable.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area:

Not Applicable.

7. Impoundments of jurisdictional waters:9

Not Applicable

E. ISOLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS:¹⁰

Not Applicable.

rovide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area: lot Applicable.		
. NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS. INCLUDING WETLANDS		
If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these are Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements:	eas did not meet the criteria in	the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland
Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to inf	terstate (or foreign) commerc	e:
Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the rev Rule" (MBR):	riew area would have been re	gulated based soley on the "Migratory
Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a f	inding is required for jurisdict	ion (Explain):
Other (Explain):		
Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review actors (ie., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered speudgment: Not Applicable. Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review	cies, use of water for irriga	ted agriculture), using best professi
actors (ie., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered spe udgment: lot Applicable. Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review finding is required for jurisdiction. lot Applicable.	cies, use of water for irriga	ted agriculture), using best professi
actors (ie., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered spe udgment: lot Applicable. Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review finding is required for jurisdiction. lot Applicable.	ecies, use of water for irriga	ted agriculture), using best professi
actors (ie., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered speudgment: lot Applicable. Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review finding is required for jurisdiction. lot Applicable. SECTION IV: DATA SOURCES. A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD	ecies, use of water for irriga	ted agriculture), using best professi
actors (ie., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered speudgment: Not Applicable. Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review finding is required for jurisdiction. Not Applicable. SECTION IV: DATA SOURCES. A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (listed items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropria	area, that do not meet the	ted agriculture), using best professi 'Significant Nexus" standard, where
actors (ie., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered speudgment: Not Applicable. Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review finding is required for jurisdiction. Not Applicable. SECTION IV: DATA SOURCES. A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (listed items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriate to the control of	area, that do not meet the 'ately reference below):	'Significant Nexus" standard, where Source Description Maps and plans submitted by
actors (ie., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered speudgment: Not Applicable. Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review finding is required for jurisdiction. Not Applicable. SECTION IV: DATA SOURCES. A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (listed items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriate to the company of the properties of the applicant/consultant).	area, that do not meet the 'area, that do not meet the 'area, that do not meet the 'area ately reference below): Source Label Maps and plans	'Significant Nexus" standard, where Source Description Maps and plans submitted by applicant.

Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.

²-For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3

³-Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

⁴-Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid West.

⁵-Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW.

⁶⁻A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody's flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break.

^{7&}lt;sub>-Ibid.</sub>

⁸-See Footnote #3.

 $^{^{9}}$ -To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.

¹⁰⁻Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.