APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM **U.S. Army Corps of Engineers**

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

SE A	CTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): FINAL April 27, 2012
В.	DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: Omaha District, Bristol Wetland, NWO-2012-911-PIE
C.	PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION:Isolated wetland UTM Zone 14 UTM X Coordinate 598068.236332551 UTM Y Coordinate 5021750.86915097 PLSS Meridian Fifth Principal PLSS Township 122 N PLSS Range 58 W PLSS Section 25
	State:South Dakota County/parish/borough:DayCity:Bristol Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat.45.3424085710187N; Long97.7482417023188W Universal Transverse Mercator: 14 Name of nearest waterbody: Bitter Lake Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) into which the aquatic resource flows:None (Isolated) Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): North Big Sioux Coteau, South Dakota – 10160010 Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request. Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.
D.	REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): Office (Desk) Determination. Date: Field Determination. Date(s): April 18, 2012
SE A.	CTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
	ere Are no "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the iew area. [Required] Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide. Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce. Explain:
B.	CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
The	ere Are no "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area. [Required]
	1. Waters of the U.S. a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply): TNWs, including territorial seas Wetlands adjacent to TNWs Relatively permanent waters² (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Impoundments of jurisdictional waters Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands

c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction based on: Pick List

acres.

Non-wetland waters:

Wetlands:

b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area:

linear feet:

acres.

width (ft) and/or

¹ Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.
² For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).

Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable):³

Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain: The JD review area contains an unnamed wetland. The project area is located on the eastern edge of the town of Bristol. The unnamed wetland is located within an entirely closed drainage basin with no discernable surface outlet. The wetland is not located within a reasonably close proximity to jurisdictional other waters; whereby, nonspeculative ecological connection(s) could be made. Further, this wetland: 1) is not used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes; 2) does not support fish or shellfish that could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce; and 3) is not used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce.

	Based upon these principle considerations, it is determined that the unnamed wetland is nonjurisdictional under the auspices of Section 404 of the Clean Water Act.
F.	NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): ☐ If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements. ☐ Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce. ☐ Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based solely on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR). ☐ Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain: ☐ Other: (explain, if not covered above):
	Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the sole potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional judgment (check all that apply): Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet width (ft). Lakes/ponds: acres. Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: . Wetlands:
	Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply): Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet, width (ft). Lakes/ponds: acres. Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: Wetlands: Unnamed wetland: ~25 acres
	CTION IV: DATA SOURCES.
A.	SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference sources below): Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant:Received April 9, 2012. Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant. Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report. Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report. Data sheets prepared by the Corps: Corps navigable waters' study: U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: USGS NHD data. USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps
	USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps. U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name:USGS 24k/100k topo maps accessed through Google Earth Pro 5.0 and ORM2. USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name:US FWS wetlands data overlay for Google Earth Pro 5.0 and ORM2. State/Local wetland inventory map(s): FEMA/FIRM maps: 100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929) Photographs: Aerial (Name & Date):Google Earth Pro 5.0 imagery dated April 2, 2007. or ☐ Other (Name & Date): Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter:

2

³ Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

	Applicable/supporting case law: .
	Applicable/supporting scientific literature:
\boxtimes	Other information (please specify): Isolation was confirmed through a site visit conducted on April 18, 2012.

B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD: See attached maps



Aerial Imagery 4/2/2007