### APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

#### SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): DRAFT September 24, 2012

### B. DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: Omaha District, Masat Waterway, NWO-2012-2239-PIE

## C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION: Isolated ephemeral waterway

UTM Zone	14
<b>UTM X Coordinate</b>	544476.794184453
<b>UTM Y Coordinate</b>	4962736.95293714
PLSS Meridian	Fifth Principal
PLSS Township	116 N
PLSS Range	63 W
PLSS Section	32

State:South Dakota County/parish/borough:SpinkCity:Redfield Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat.44.8166517238631N; Long.-98.4374744456052W

Universal Transverse Mercator: 14

Name of nearest waterbody: James River

Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) into which the aquatic resource flows:None (Isolated)

Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): Middle James, South Dakota – 10160006

- Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.
- Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc...) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.

# D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

Office (Desk) Determination. Date:September 24, 2012
Field Determination. Date(s):

#### SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There **Are no** "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area. [*Required*]

Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.

Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce. Explain:

# B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There Are no "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area. [Required]

## 1. Waters of the U.S.

- a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply): <sup>1</sup>
  - TNWs, including territorial seas
  - Wetlands adjacent to TNWs
  - Relatively permanent waters<sup>2</sup> (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
  - Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
  - Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
  - Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
  - Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
  - Impoundments of jurisdictional waters
  - Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands

# **b.** Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area:

Non-wetland waters: linear feet: width (ft) and/or acres. Wetlands: acres.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.

 $<sup>^{2}</sup>$  For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).

- **c.** Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction based on: Pick List Elevation of established OHWM (if known):
- 2. <u>Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable)</u>:<sup>3</sup>

Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain: The JD review area contains an unnamed, ephemeral waterway. The waterway disappears as it flows to the southeast, most likely recharging groundwater. The waterway is located within an entirely closed drainage basin with no discernable surface outlet. The waterway is not located within a reasonably close proximity to jurisdictional other waters; whereby, nonspeculative ecological connection(s) could be made. Further, this waterway: 1) is not used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes; 2) does not support fish or shellfish that could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce; and 3) is not used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce.

Based upon these principle considerations, it is determined that the ephemeral waterway is nonjurisdictional under the auspices of Section 404 of the Clean Water Act.

### F. NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

- If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements.
- Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce.

Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based <u>solely</u> on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR).

Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain:

Other: (explain, if not covered above):

Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the <u>sole</u> potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional judgment (check all that apply):

Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): 2000linear feet variable (~1-2ft)width (ft).

Lakes/ponds: acres.

Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource:

Wetlands:

Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply):

Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet, width (ft).

Lakes/ponds: acres.

Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource:

Wetlands:

# SECTION IV: DATA SOURCES.

- A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference sources below):
  - Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant:Received September 13, 2012.
  - Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.
  - Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.
  - Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.
  - Data sheets prepared by the Corps:
  - Corps navigable waters' study:
  - U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:
  - USGS NHD data.
  - USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.

U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name:USGS 24k/100k topo maps accessed through Google Earth Pro 5.0 and ORM2.

USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation:

X National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name:US FWS wetlands data overlay for Google Earth Pro 5.0 and ORM2.

State/Local wetland inventory map(s):

FEMA/FIRM maps:

<sup>100-</sup>year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929)

Photographs: Aerial (Name & Date):Google Earth Pro 5.0 imagery dated December 31, 2009. or Other (Name & Date):

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.



Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter: Applicable/supporting case law:
Applicable/supporting scientific literature: Other information (please specify):

.

# B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD: See attached maps