APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM **U.S. Army Corps of Engineers**

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION

REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): 9/6/2012 A.

B. DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: Omaha, Westwood Valley Development, NWO 2012-2050-PIE

C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION: Southeast 1/4 Section 28, T101N, R50W, Minnehaha County, The project location is currently in a residential subdivision surrounded by agricultural land. The area historical land use has been cropland. However, urban development is steadily encroaching from the expanding City of Sioux Falls. Surface water is seasonally flowing through urban development with straightened stream channels and BMP's constructed for storm water management. Areas of remnant natural channel occur in downstream reaches of tributary flow to the confluence with Skunk Creek.

State:SD County/parish/borough:Lincoln City:Sioux Falls

Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 43.52096 N; Long.-96:84032 W

Universal Transverse Mercator:

Name of nearest waterbody: wetland/unnamed tribuatary

Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) into which the aquatic resource flows:Big Sioux River

Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC):10170203

Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.

Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc...) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.

D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

- Office (Desk) Determination. Date:9/6/2012 \boxtimes
 - Field Determination. Date(s):

SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There Are no "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area. [Required]

Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.

Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce. Explain:

B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There Are "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area. [Required]

- 1. Waters of the U.S.
 - a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply): ¹
 - TNWs, including territorial seas
 - Wetlands adjacent to TNWs
 - Relatively permanent waters² (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
 - Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
 - Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
 - Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
 - Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
 - Impoundments of jurisdictional waters
 - Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands
 - b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area: Non-wetland waters: width (ft) and/or linear feet: acres. Wetlands: 1.83 acres.
 - c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction based on: 1987 Delineation Manual Elevation of established OHWM (if known):

Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable):³ 2.

¹ Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.

² For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).

Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain:

SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS

A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over TNWs and wetlands adjacent to TNWs. If the aquatic resource is a TNW, complete Section III.A.1 and Section III.D.1. only; if the aquatic resource is a wetland adjacent to a TNW, complete Sections III.A.1 and 2 and Section III.D.1.; otherwise, see Section III.B below.

1. TNW

Identify TNW:

Summarize rationale supporting determination:

2. Wetland adjacent to TNW

Summarize rationale supporting conclusion that wetland is "adjacent":

B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):

This section summarizes information regarding characteristics of the tributary and its adjacent wetlands, if any, and it helps determine whether or not the standards for jurisdiction established under *Rapanos* have been met.

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over non-navigable tributaries of TNWs where the tributaries are "relatively permanent waters" (RPWs), i.e. tributaries that typically flow year-round or have continuous flow at least seasonally (e.g., typically 3 months). A wetland that directly abuts an RPW is also jurisdictional. If the aquatic resource is not a TNW, but has year-round (perennial) flow, skip to Section III.D.2. If the aquatic resource is a wetland directly abutting a tributary with perennial flow, skip to Section III.D.4.

A wetland that is adjacent to but that does not directly abut an RPW requires a significant nexus evaluation. Corps districts and EPA regions will include in the record any available information that documents the existence of a significant nexus between a relatively permanent tributary that is not perennial (and its adjacent wetlands if any) and a traditional navigable water, even though a significant nexus finding is not required as a matter of law.

If the waterbody⁴ is not an RPW, or a wetland directly abutting an RPW, a JD will require additional data to determine if the waterbody has a significant nexus with a TNW. If the tributary has adjacent wetlands, the significant nexus evaluation must consider the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands. This significant nexus evaluation that combines, for analytical purposes, the tributary and all of its adjacent wetlands is used whether the review area identified in the JD request is the tributary, or its adjacent wetlands, or both. If the JD covers a tributary with adjacent wetlands, complete Section III.B.1 for the tributary, Section III.B.2 for any onsite wetlands, and Section III.B.3 for all wetlands adjacent to that tributary, both onsite and offsite. The determination whether a significant nexus exists is determined in Section III.C below.

1. Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

- (i) General Area Conditions:
 - Watershed size: 3309square miles Drainage area: 200 acres Average annual rainfall: 27.79 inches Average annual snowfall: 39.3 inches
- (ii) Physical Characteristics:
 - (a) <u>Relationship with TNW:</u>

 □ Tributary flows directly into TNW.
 □ Tributary flows through 1 tributaries before entering TNW.

Project waters are 2-5 river miles from TNW.
Project waters are 1-2 river miles from RPW.
Project waters are 1-2 aerial (straight) miles from TNW.
Project waters are 1 (or less) aerial (straight) miles from RPW.

⁴ Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid West.

Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain:

Identify flow route to TNW⁵: The unnamed tributary (Seasonal RPW) flows into Skunk Creek (RPW) which flows to the Big Sioux River TNW.

Tributary stream order, if known: 2nd order.

- (b) <u>General Tributary Characteristics (check all that apply):</u> 🛛 Natural
 - Tributary is:

Artificial (man-made). Explain:

Manipulated (man-altered). Explain: Urban storm water management and residential

development alterations .

Tributary properties with respect to top of bank (estimate):

Average width: 10 feet
Average depth: 1 feet
Average side slopes: 2:1.

Other. Explain:

Primary tributary substrate composition (check all that apply):

🖂 Silts	🖂 Sands	
Cobbles	🛛 Gravel	🗆 N
Bedrock	Vegetation. T	ype/% cover: Hydrophytes

Concrete Muck

Tributary condition/stability [e.g., highly eroding, sloughing banks]. Explain: The tributary appears to be relatively stable with urban storm water detention in developed areas and bank stabilization structures.

Presence of run/riffle/pool complexes. Explain:

Tributary geometry: Relatively straight

Tributary gradient (approximate average slope): 1 +/- %

(c) Flow:

Tributary provides for: Seasonal flow

Estimate average number of flow events in review area/year: 6-10

Describe flow regime: The immediate wetland receives sheet flow from surrounding urban and rural (farm) land that drains to (directly abuts) a relatively permanently flooded city storm awater detention pond in the upper stream watershed. These waters contribute headwater hydrology to the seasonally flowing stream.

Other information on duration and volume:

Surface flow is: Confined. Characteristics: The tributary is channelized and exhibits bed and bank channel and an OHWM downstream of the storm water detetion pond..

Subsurface now: Unknown. Explain lindings:	
\Box Dye (or other) test performed: .	
Tributary has (check all that apply): Bed and banks OHWM ⁶ (check all indicators that apply): clear, natural line impressed on the bank changes in the character of soil shelving vegetation matted down, bent, or absent leaf litter disturbed or washed away sediment deposition water staining other (list): Discontinuous OHWM. ⁷ Explain:	 the presence of litter and debris destruction of terrestrial vegetation the presence of wrack line sediment sorting scour multiple observed or predicted flow events abrupt change in plant community
If factors other than the OHWM were used to determin High Tide Line indicated by: oil or scum line along shore objects fine shell or debris deposits (foreshore)	he lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction (check all that apply): Mean High Water Mark indicated by: survey to available datum; physical markings;

⁵ Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW. ⁶A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody's flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break. ⁷Ibid.

physical markings/characteristics
 tidal gauges

other (list):

vegetation lines/changes in vegetation types.

(iii) Chemical Characteristics:

Characterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.). Explain:

Identify specific pollutants, if known: Agricultural and residential lawn use pesticides/herbacides and urban spill pollutants are likely to be present.

(iv) Biological Characteristics. Channel supports (check all that apply):

- Riparian corridor. Characteristics (type, average width):
- Wetland fringe. Characteristics: Bordering/contiguous to the channel.
- Habitat for:
 - Federally Listed species. Explain findings:
 - Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings:
 - Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings:

Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings: Seasonal RPW's, abutting wetlands and open water systems (ponds) can increase biodiversity among aquaric, semiaquatic, and terrestial species.

2. Characteristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

(i) Physical Characteristics:

(a) General Wetland Characteristics:

Properties:

Wetland size: 1.83 acres

Wetland type. Explain:Linear/slope palutrine emergent wetland that directly abuts (drains into) a city storm water

management pond.

Wetland quality. Explain: Moderate quality given the capacity to provide aquatic habitat and water quality functions for the stream and TNW.

Project wetlands cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain:

(b) <u>General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW</u>: Flow is: Intermittent flow. Explain: Seasonal flow regime contributing to the 2nd order Seasonal RPW.

Surface flow is: Discrete

Characteristics: Surface flow is not confined speading to the wetland finges.

Subsurface flow: **Unknown**. Explain findings: Dye (or other) test performed:

(c) <u>Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW:</u>

Directly abutting

Not directly abutting

- Discrete wetland hydrologic connection. Explain:
- Ecological connection. Explain:
- Separated by berm/barrier. Explain:

(d) Proximity (Relationship) to TNW

Project wetlands are **2-5** river miles from TNW. Project waters are **1-2** aerial (straight) miles from TNW.

Flow is from: Wetland to navigable waters.

Estimate approximate location of wetland as within the **Pick List** floodplain.

(ii) Chemical Characteristics:

Characterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed characteristics; etc.). Explain:

Identify specific pollutants, if known: Agricultural and urban pollutants are likely to be introduced into the aquatic system.

(iii) Biological Characteristics. Wetland supports (check all that apply):

Riparian buffer. Characteristics (type, average width):

- Vegetation type/percent cover. Explain:90-100 % hydrophytic.
- Habitat for:
 - Federally Listed species. Explain findings:
 - Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings:
 - Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings:

(ponds) can increase biodiversity among aquaric, semiaquatic, and terrestial specie.

3. Characteristics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any)

All wetland(s) being considered in the cumulative analysis: **1** Approximately (1.83) acres in total are being considered in the cumulative analysis.

For each wetland, specify the following:

Directly abuts? (Y/N)	Size (in acres)	Directly abuts? (Y/N)
У	1.83	

Summarize overall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed: The seasonally flooded, abutting wetland in association with the city BMP contributes to retention of flood waters during times of surface flow from precipitation events and snow melt, which helps to slow the discharge of water to downstream tributaries and reduce the velocity roughness coefficient of the streams, in turn, contributing to longer periods of normal flow versus high flow pulses. Many of the essential life cycle nutrients for the food chain originate in this up gradient ecosystem. The headwaters system helps to promote the structural, biological, chemical and physical integrity of the downstream waters and the Big Sioux River. Based on these factors, the headwater tributary system is providing more than a insubstantial effect on the Big Sioux River TNW, and therefore, these waters are considered to have a significant ecological function especially in this area increasing urban development.

Size (in acres)

C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Draw connections between the features documented and the effects on the TNW, as identified in the *Rapanos* Guidance and discussed in the Instructional Guidebook. Factors to consider include, for example:

- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to carry pollutants or flood waters to TNWs, or to reduce the amount of pollutants or flood waters reaching a TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), provide habitat and lifecycle support functions for fish and other species, such as feeding, nesting, spawning, or rearing young for species that are present in the TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to transfer nutrients and organic carbon that support downstream foodwebs?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have other relationships to the physical, chemical, or biological integrity of the TNW?

Note: the above list of considerations is not inclusive and other functions observed or known to occur should be documented below:

- 1. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW that has no adjacent wetlands and flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary itself, then go to Section III.D:
- 2. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW and its adjacent wetlands, where the non-RPW flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:
- **3.** Significant nexus findings for wetlands adjacent to an RPW but that do not directly abut the RPW. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:

D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL **THAT APPLY):**

1.	TNWs and Adj	jacent Wetlands.	Check all that apply	and provide size estimates in review area:
	TNWs:	linear feet	width (ft), Or,	acres.
	Wetlands ad	jacent to TNWs:	acres.	

RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. 2.

Tributaries of TNWs where tributaries typically flow year-round are jurisdictional. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial:

Tributaries of TNW where tributaries have continuous flow "seasonally" (e.g., typically three months each year) are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.B. Provide rationale indicating that tributary flows seasonally: The 1.83 acre linear/sloped (abutting) wetland drains directly to a City of Sioux Falls BMP (storm water management detention pond). These waters are the headwaters of a second order unnamed tributary that flows to the perinnial flowing Skunk Creek (RPW), which carries the flows approximately 1/2 mile to the Big Sioux River TNW. The city BMP is relatively permanently flooded impoundment contributing seasonal flows primarily March through June/July or longer. Beginning with spring snow melt and subsequent precipitation events, surface water runoff floods the abutting wetland/detention pond producing pulse flows. With the relatively flat gradient of the stream in its upper reach, the pulse flows gradually meter downstream. Ground water is also a likely contributing sources of hydrology to the stream.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):

Tributary waters:	linear feet	width (ft).
-------------------	-------------	-------------

Other non-wetland waters: acres.

Identify type(s) of waters:

Non-RPWs⁸ that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. 3.

Waterbody that is not a TNW or an RPW, but flows directly or indirectly into a TNW, and it has a significant nexus with a TNW is jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters within the review area (check all that apply):

acres.

 Tributary waters:
 Other non-wetland linear feet width (ft).

Other non-wetland waters:

Identify type(s) of waters:

4. Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.

Wetlands directly abut RPW and thus are jurisdictional as adjacent wetlands.

Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow year-round. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:

Ketlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow "seasonally." Provide data indicating that tributary is seasonal in Section III.B and rationale in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW: The 1.83 acre linear/sloped wetland has been delineated by application of the Corps 1987 Wetland Delineation Manual. The delineated wetland boundary, landscape topograhy and aerial imagery indicates the wetland directly abuts the open water impondment on the unnamed tributary.

Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: 1.83 acres.

Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. 5.

Wetlands that do not directly abut an RPW, but when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisidictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.

Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. 6.

Wetlands adjacent to such waters, and have when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and
with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this
conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.

7. Impoundments of jurisdictional waters.⁹

- As a general rule, the impoundment of a jurisdictional tributary remains jurisdictional.
- Demonstrate that impoundment was created from "waters of the U.S.," or
- Demonstrate that water meets the criteria for one of the categories presented above (1-6), or

Demonstrate that water is isolated with a nexus to commerce (see E below).

E. ISOLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):¹⁰

which are or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes.

- from which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce.
- which are or could be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce.
- Interstate isolated waters. Explain:

	Other factors.	Explain:
--	----------------	----------

Identify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination:

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):

Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).

Other non-wetland waters: acres.

Identify type(s) of waters: .

Wetlands: acres.

F. NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements.

Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce.

Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based <u>solely</u> on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR).

- Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain:
- Other: (explain, if not covered above):

Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the <u>sole</u> potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional judgment (check all that apply):

Lakes/ponds: acres.

Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource:

Wetlands: acres.

Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply):

Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet, width (ft).

Lakes/ponds: acres.

Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource:

Wetlands: acres.

SECTION IV: DATA SOURCES.

⁹ To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.

¹⁰ Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA *Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos*.

A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference sources below):

- \bowtie Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant:JD request No. NWO-2012-2050.
- $\overline{\boxtimes}$ Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant. Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report. Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report. Data sheets prepared by the Corps:Google 2012. \boxtimes Corps navigable waters' study: $\overline{\boxtimes}$ U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: USGS NHD data. USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps. U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: Sioux Falls. \boxtimes

USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation:

 $\overline{\boxtimes}$ National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: Tea.

State/Local wetland inventory map(s):

FEMA/FIRM maps:

100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929) $\overline{\boxtimes}$

Photographs: Aerial (Name & Date):ORM Map, 2012.

or Other (Name & Date):

Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter:NWO-2009-3160-PIE, 1-25-2010. \boxtimes

Applicable/supporting case law:

Applicable/supporting scientific literature:

Other information (please specify):

B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD: The previous determination (Approved Jurisdictional Detrmination No. NWO-2009-3160) asserted jurisdiction on the downstream waterway and its abutting wetlands in association with the Ronning Enterprises, Inc., Roosevelt Park Addition to the City of Sioux Falls.