

September 8, 2005

Mr. Christopher M. Crane  
President and CEO  
AmerGen Energy Company, LLC  
200 Exelon Way, KSA 3-E  
Kennett Square, PA 19348

SUBJECT: THREE MILE ISLAND STATION, UNIT 1 - NRC SAFETY SYSTEM DESIGN  
AND PERFORMANCE CAPABILITY INSPECTION REPORT  
05000289/2005007

Dear Mr. Crane:

On July 29, 2005, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) completed a safety system design and performance capability team inspection at your Three Mile Island Unit 1 facility. The enclosed report documents the inspection findings which were discussed on July 29, 2005, with Mr. Rusty West and other members of your staff.

The inspection examined activities conducted under your license as they relate to safety and compliance with the Commission's rules and regulations and with the conditions of your license. The inspection team reviewed selected procedures and records, observed activities, and interviewed personnel.

Based on the results of the inspection, no findings of significance were identified.

In accordance with 10 CFR 2.390 of the NRC's "Rules of Practice," a copy of this letter and its enclosure will be available electronically for public inspection in the NRC Public Document Room or from the Publicly Available Records (PARS) component of NRC's document system (ADAMS). ADAMS is accessible from the NRC Web site at <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html> (the Public Electronic Reading Room).

Sincerely,

**/RA/**

Lawrence T. Doerflein, Chief  
Engineering Branch 2  
Division of Reactor Safety

Docket No. 50-289  
License No. DPR-50

Enclosure: NRC Inspection Report 05000289/2005007  
w/Attachment: Supplemental Information

cc w/encl:

Chief Operating Officer, AmerGen

Site Vice President - TMI Unit 1, AmerGen

Plant Manager - TMI, Unit 1, AmerGen

Regulatory Assurance Manager - TMI, Unit 1, AmerGen

Senior Vice President - Nuclear Services, AmerGen

Vice President - Mid-Atlantic Operations, AmerGen

Vice President - Operations Support, AmerGen

Vice President - Licensing and Regulatory Affairs, AmerGen

Director Licensing - AmerGen

Manager Licensing - TMI, AmerGen

Vice President - General Counsel and Secretary, AmerGen

T. O'Neill, Associate General Counsel, Exelon Generation Company

J. Fewell, Esq., Assistant General Counsel, Exelon Nuclear

Correspondence Control Desk - AmerGen

Chairman, Board of County Commissioners of Dauphin County

Chairman, Board of Supervisors of Londonderry Township

R. Janati, Chief, Division of Nuclear Safety, State of PA

J. Johnsrud, National Energy Committee

E. Epstein, TMI-Alert (TMIA)

D. Allard, PADER

M. Schoppman, Framatome ANP

Distribution w/encl: (via E-Mail)

S. Collins, RA  
 M. Dapas, DRA  
 A. Blough, DRS  
 L. Doerflein, DRS  
 R. Barkley, DRS  
 R. Bellamy, DRP  
 R. Fuhrmeister, DRP  
 D. Kern, DRP, Senior Resident Inspector  
 P. Sauder, DRP, Resident OA  
 S. Lee, RI OEDO  
 R. Laufer, NRR  
 T. Colburn, NRR  
 Region I Docket Room (with concurrences)  
[ROPreports@nrc.gov](mailto:ROPreports@nrc.gov)

**SISP Review Complete: LTD**

DOCUMENT NAME: E:\Filenet\ML052510788.wpd

After declaring this document "An Official Agency Record" it **will** be released to the Public.

To receive a copy of this document, indicate in the box: "C" = Copy without attachment/enclosure "E" = Copy with attachment/enclosure "N" = No copy

| OFFICE | RI/DRS             | RI/DRS                | RI/DRP                 |  |
|--------|--------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|--|
| NAME   | RSBarkley<br>(RSB) | LTDDoerflein<br>(LTD) | RRBellamy<br>(AAR for) |  |
| DATE   | 08/22/05           | 09/08/05              | 09/06/05               |  |

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY  
U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

REGION I

Docket No. 50-289

License No. DPR-50

Report No. 05000289/2005007

Licensee: AmerGen Energy Company, LLC (AmerGen)

Facility: Three Mile Island Station, Unit 1

Location: PO Box 480  
Middletown, PA 17057

Dates: July 11-29, 2005

Inspectors: Richard S. Barkley, P.E., Senior Reactor Inspector (Team Leader)  
Stephen Pindale, Senior Reactor Inspector  
Brice Bickett, Reactor Inspector  
Greg Bowman, Reactor Inspector  
Marlone Davis, Reactor Inspector  
Dr. Omar Mazzoni, Consultant  
Adam Ziedonis, Reactor Inspector (Trainee)

Approved by: Lawrence T. Doerflein, Chief  
Engineering Branch 2  
Division of Reactor Safety

Enclosure

## SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

IR 05000289/2005007; July 11-29, 2005; Three Mile Island Unit 1; Engineering Team Inspection.

This inspection was conducted by five inspectors from the NRC's Region I Office and a NRC contractor. No findings of significance were identified. The NRC's program for overseeing the safe operation of commercial nuclear power reactors is described in NUREG-1649, "Reactor Oversight Process," Revision 3, dated July 2000.

A. NRC-Identified and Self-Revealing Findings

None.

B. Licensee-Identified Violations

None.

## REPORT DETAILS

### 1. REACTOR SAFETY

#### **Cornerstones: Mitigating Systems and Barrier Integrity**

#### 1R21 Safety System Design and Performance Capability (SSD&PC) (IP 71111.21)

##### 1. Inspection Basis

The NRC team performed an inspection to verify that the selected safety systems would achieve their design and performance capability. Additionally, the team assessed the ability of AmerGen to monitor the systems for age-related degradation that could result in the systems failing to fulfill their design requirement. The inspection effort reviewed AmerGen's programs and methods for monitoring the capability of selected safety systems to perform the current design basis functions. The scope of the team's inspection also included nonsafety-related structures, systems and components that provided functions required to support the selected systems' safety functions.

The systems reviewed during this inspection were selected by the inspection team using information from AmerGen's and the NRC's probabilistic risk analysis models. The models were used to identify the most risk significant systems, structures, and components, in the mitigating system and barrier integrity cornerstones, by determining which systems were the highest risk contributors to dominant accident sequences. The inspectors also used deterministic criteria in the selection process by considering previous SSD&PC sample selection, recent inspection history, site problem history, and operational experience. The two systems selected for review were:

- High Pressure Injection (HPI)
- Emergency Feedwater (EFW)

The inspection included review and examination of support systems, such as electrical power, instrumentation, and related structures and components. The inspectors assessed the adequacy of calculations, analyses, engineering processes, and engineering and operating practices that were used by the licensee to support the performance of the safety systems selected for review and the necessary support systems during normal, abnormal, and accident conditions. Acceptance criteria utilized by the NRC inspection team included NRC regulations, the technical specifications, applicable sections of the Updated Final Safety Analysis Report (UFSAR), applicable industry codes and standards as well as industry initiatives implemented by the licensee's programs. A complete list of documents reviewed is included in the attachment to this report.

## 2. System Needs

### a. Inspection Scope

The team inspected the following attributes of the two systems and associated support systems: Process Medium, Energy Sources, Control Systems, Operations and Heat Removal. The inspectors verified the above attributes met the requirements and design basis specifications identified in the UFSAR, technical specifications, licensee commitments, design basis documents, vendor technical manuals and plant drawings. A complete list of documents reviewed is included in the attachment. The attributes verified to meet system requirements are described below:

Process Medium. The team verified that the EFW and HPI systems would supply the required flow rate and pressure to the steam generators and the reactor coolant system, respectively, following normal transients and design basis events and that sufficient water was available to meet design requirements. The team also verified that adequate net positive suction head (NPSH) existed for the EFW and HPI pumps and that air entrainment and vortexing would not be experienced as the pumps draw down the inventory of their associated water supplies.

Energy Sources. The team verified that electric, steam, control power and air supplies for the EFW and HPI systems would be available during a design basis event (DBE) and were adequately designed to energize/actuate the associated equipment during the DBE.

Control Systems. The team reviewed the automatic and manual controls for the EFW and HPI systems to assure that the automatic and manual control functions would be available for initiation, control and shutdown actions. Additionally, a review of alarms and indicators was performed to ensure that operator actions could be accomplished in accordance with the design assumptions.

Operations. The team reviewed normal, abnormal and emergency operating procedures to verify that operator actions for the EFW and HPI systems assumed in the design bases could be completed. Those operator actions that were identified by the licensee as risk significant were reviewed. Additionally, the team verified that operators were able to manually initiate the system, monitor components and system indications, automatically or manually control system functions and shutdown the system.

Heat Removal. The team verified that the pumps and area cooling systems provided sufficient heat removal capability for the EFW and HPI systems to maintain pump operability. Additionally, the team verified that the temperature rise on system components was limited such that component temperature qualification was not exceeded.

### b. Findings

No findings of significance were identified.

### 3. System Condition and Capability

#### a. Inspection Scope

The inspectors inspected the following attributes of the EFW and HPI systems and associated support systems: Installed Configuration, Operation, Design, and Testing. The inspectors verified the above attributes met the requirements and design basis specifications identified in the UFSAR, technical specifications, licensee's commitments, design basis documents, vender technical manuals, calculations and plant drawings. The attributes were verified to meet system requirements as described below:

Installed Configuration. The team confirmed that the installed configuration of the EFW and HPI systems were in agreement with design basis assumptions by performing detailed system walkdowns of accessible portions of the systems. The walkdowns focused on the installation and configuration of piping and instruments; component material condition; licensee identified deficiencies; the placement of protective barriers; the susceptibility to flooding, fire, or other environmental concerns; physical separation of redundant trains; and provisions for seismic and other pressure transient concerns. The team compared their observations of the current installation configuration of the systems with the design and licensing bases to assure that the system would be capable of functioning during plant transients or accident conditions. Additionally, the team confirmed whether AmerGen had identified system deficiencies and entered them into the corrective action program.

Operation. The team performed a procedure walk-through of selected, risk significant manual operator actions to confirm that the operators had the ability, access and tools necessary to accomplish actions credited in the design basis. The team verified that system alignments and the performance of operations procedures were consistent with the design and licensing basis, which included ensuring operator actions could be performed within the assumed timeframe. The team also verified that the necessary instrumentation and alarms were available to the operators to support system operations.

Design. The team reviewed the mechanical, electrical, and instrumentation design of the EFW and HPI systems to verify that the systems and subsystems would function as required under design conditions. This included a review of the design basis, design changes, design assumptions, calculations, boundary conditions, and models as well as a review of selected modification packages. Instrumentation was reviewed to verify appropriateness of applications and setpoints based on the required equipment function. Additionally, the inspectors performed limited independent calculations and analyses in several areas to verify the appropriateness of the design values.

Testing. The team reviewed records of selected periodic testing including inservice testing, post maintenance tests and calibration procedures. The team verified that the results obtained by system and component testing adequately demonstrated that the systems met operability requirements. The test results were compared against system



calculations, drawings, and procedures. Test results were also reviewed to ensure automatic initiations occurred within required times and that testing was consistent with design basis information.

b. Findings

No findings of significance were identified.

4. System Components

a. Inspection Scope

The inspectors selected several risk significant components in the EFW and HPI systems to ensure equipment at the component level met design requirements. The components selected for detailed review included:

- Makeup Discharge Isolation Valves, MU-V-16 A & C
- Borated Water Storage Tank Suction Valves, MU-V-14B & MU-V-36
- Decay Heat to Makeup System Flow Isolation Valve, DH-V-7B
- EFW Flow Control Valve, EFW-V-30 A-D
- HPI pumps A, B & C
- Borated Water Storage Tank

The inspectors inspected the following attributes of these EFW and HPI components: Component Degradation, Environmental Qualifications, Protection, Input/Output and Operating Experience. The inspectors verified the above attributes met the requirements and design basis specifications identified in the UFSAR, technical specifications, licensee's commitments, design basis documents, vendor technical manuals, calculations and plant drawings. A complete list of documents reviewed is included in the attachment. The attributes were verified to meet system requirements as described below:

Component Degradation. The team reviewed the licensee's maintenance and operations procedures to determine how potential age-related degradation of the selected components were monitored and corrected. The team verified that component and/or component materials replacement are scheduled prior to exceeding its expected qualified life or allowed number of cycles. Additionally, the team verified that deficiencies that could potentially reduce the life expectancy of the components such as boric acid corrosion were evaluated and corrected.

Environmental Qualification. The team verified that the equipment was qualified to operate in the environment in which it may be subjected to under normal and accident conditions. The team reviewed design information, specifications, and documentation to ensure that the EFW and HPI components were qualified to operate within its normal and accident environments. These included a review of the temperatures, pressures, humidity and radiation fields the components are exposed to while installed in the system.

Protection. The team verified that the EFW and HPI system components were adequately protected from natural phenomenon and other hazards, such as high energy line breaks, fire and floods. The inspectors reviewed design information, specifications, and documentation to ensure that system components were adequately protected from those hazards identified in the UFSAR which could impact their ability to perform their safety function.

Input/Output. The team verified that selected EFW and HPI system component inputs and outputs (e.g., coolant flow, electrical voltage and control air) were adequate to the receiving component during normal, event and accident conditions.

Operating Experience. The team verified that insights from TMI and industry operating experience have been incorporated into procedures affecting the selected components.

b. Findings

No findings of significance were identified.

**4. OTHER ACTIVITIES (OA)**

4OA2 Problem Identification and Resolution

a. Inspection Scope

The team reviewed a sample of EFW and HPI system problems that were identified by the licensee and entered into the corrective action program. The inspectors reviewed these issues to verify an appropriate threshold for identifying issues and to evaluate the effectiveness of corrective actions related to design or qualification issues. In addition, condition reports written on issues identified during the inspection were reviewed to verify adequate problem identification and incorporation of the problem into the corrective action system. The specific corrective action documents that were sampled and reviewed by the team are listed in the attachment to this report.

b. Findings

No findings of significance were identified.

4OA6 Meetings, Including Exit

The lead inspector presented the inspection results on July 29, 2005, to Mr. Rusty West and other members of the licensee's staff. The inspection team verified that this inspection report does not contain proprietary information.

ATTACHMENT: SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Enclosure

**SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION**

**KEY POINTS OF CONTACT**

M. Bensel, System Engineer - Electrical  
G. Chick, Plant Manager  
R. Dantulari, Electrical Design Engineer  
C. Demars, Corrective Action Coordinator  
E. Eilola, Director - Site Engineering  
R. Ezzo, Manager - Electrical / I&C Design Engineering  
M. Fauber, IST Coordinator  
K. Heisey, System Engineer  
B. Irvin, PRA Specialist  
E. Johnson, System Engineer  
P. Kopicki, Electrical Design Engineer  
R. Masaero, HPI System Engineer  
A. Miller, Licensing Engineer  
D. Palofaro, System Engineer  
J. Piazza, Manager - Mechanical & Structural Engineering  
T. Rausch, Director - Corporate Engineering  
M. Reed, EFW System Engineer  
B. Shumaker, System Engineering Supervisor  
C. Smith, Licensing Manager  
W. McSorley, Operations Engineer  
J. Valent, System Engineering Supervisor  
R. West, Site Vice President  
T. Wickel, Senior Manager - Design Engineering  
V. Zeppos, Mechanical Design Engineer

**LIST OF ITEMS OPENED, CLOSED AND DISCUSSED**

None.

**LIST OF DOCUMENTS REVIEWED**

**Calculations/Analysis/ECR**

C-1101-211-E540-076, Letdown Line Break, Structural & Dynamic Assessment, Rev. 1  
C-1101-211-E540-077, RELAP 5 Analysis of Letdown Line Break in the Aux. Building, Rev. 1  
C-1101-211-5320-002, TMI Makeup System Flow Analysis, Rev. 0  
C-1101-211-5320-004, TMI-1 HPI Flow Analysis, Rev. 1  
C-1101-211-E410-100, TMI-1 Expected MU Flow During LOCA, Rev. 0

C-1101-211-E540-076, Letdown Line Break, Structural and Dynamic Assessment, Rev. 1  
 C-1101-211-E540-085, TMI-1 Makeup Tank Gas Entrainment Determination, Rev. 0  
 C-1101-211-E540-091, TMI-1 IST Acceptance Criteria for HPI Pump, Rev. 0  
 C-1101-211-E610-060, Makeup Tank Volume, Rev. 0  
 C-1101-211-E610-066, TMI-1 Make-Up System Flow Analysis, Rev. 0  
 C-1101-211-E610-066, TMI-1 Make-up System Flow Analysis, Rev. 8  
 C-1101-211-E610-068, Makeup System Model for MUP NPSH Calculation, Rev. 0  
 C-1101-212-5360-043, Load of the ECCS pumps during LOCA, Rev. 2  
 C-1101-424-5360-042, Calculation for EFW Min Recirc Deadheading, Rev. 0  
 C-1101-424-E610-062, EFW Flow Requirements for DBAs, Rev. 1  
 C-1101-424-E610-066, FW Line Break at 2568 MWth with 20% SG Tube Plugging, Rev. 0  
 C-1101-224-E610-070, Loss of Feedwater with 20% SG Tube Plugging, Rev. 4  
 C-1101-424-5310-054, EFW Pump Brake Horsepower During an LBLOCA/LOOP, Rev. 1  
 C-1101-645-E610-002, Loss of Feedwater with Diverse Scram System with 20% SG Tube Plugging, Rev. 0  
 C-1101-224-E610-069, Station Blackout with 20% SG Tube Plugging, Rev. 1  
 C-1101-421-E610-013, Minimum Required Condensate Inventory, Rev. 0  
 C-1101-422-5360-004, Feedwater Pump Trip Actuation of EFW, Rev. 0  
 C-1101-424-E410-069, TMI Unit 1 EFW Multi-Use Flow Model, Rev. 0  
 C-1101-424-E410-075, TMI-1 EFW Pump NPSH and Suction Design Basis Lineups, Rev. 0  
 C-1101-424-E540-065, IST Acceptance Criteria for EFW Pumps, Rev. 2  
 C-1101-424-5310-054, EFW Pump Brake Horsepower During an LBLOCA/LOOP Event, Rev. 1  
 C-1101-624-5350-002, Makeup Tank Level (MU14-LT & Mu-LT778) – Loop Error & Baseline Calibration, Rev. 5  
 C-1101-700-E510-010, TMI-1 AC Voltage Regulation Study, Rev. 5  
 C-1101-723-E220-003, Risk Evaluation of Installation of Auxiliary Transformers with Automatic Tap Changers, Rev. 0  
 C-1101-732-5350-005, Protective Relays Class 1E Switchgear, Rev. 1  
 C-1101-733-5350-003, Class 1E 480V Unit Substations Settings for Conversion to Solid State Trip Units, Rev. 3  
 C-1101-734-5350-003, VTMI-1 Battery Capacity Sizing and Voltage Drop for DC Systems, Rev. 1  
 C-1101-735-5350-002, 120V Vital AC System Coordination Study, Rev. 2  
 C-1101-735-5350-003, Vital A.C. Panel VBA Voltage Drops, Rev. 1  
 C-1101-735-5350-004, Vital A.C. Panel VBB Voltage Drops, Rev. 1  
 C-1101-735-5350-005, Vital A.C. Panel VBC Voltage Drops, Rev. 1  
 C-1101-735-5350-006, Vital A.C. Panel VBD Voltage Drops, Rev. 1  
 C-1101-900-E220-080, TMI AOV Program System Calculation, Rev. 1  
 C-1101-900-E410-039, MOV Delta P and Basis, Rev. 9  
 C-1101-900-E410-046, Risk Ranking for the MOV Program in Response to GL 89-10, Rev. 1  
 C-1101-900-E410-047, Thrust and Setpoint Calculation for GL 89-10 Gate Valves, Rev. 4A  
 C-1101-900-E410-048, GL 89-10 Butterfly MOV Design Basis Torque Requirements and Setpoints, Rev. 3  
 C-1101-900-E410-063, Thrust and Setpoint Calculation for GL 89-10 Globe Valves with Rising, Non-Rotating Stems, Rev. 3  
 C-1101-900-E420-162, ACE AOV Design Basis Capability Calculations, Rev. 2  
 C-1101-900-E420-200, ACE AOV Setpoint Evaluation for MS-V-6, Rev. 0

ECR 01-00738, Supplemental Closing Air to MU-V-18, Rev. 0  
ECR 01-00758, EF-P-2A Miscellaneous Pump Upgrades, Rev. 0  
ECR 01-00825, EF-P-2A Bearing Housing Preparation and Coating, Rev. 0  
ECR 01-00981, EF-P-2A Balance Disc Shim & Hardened Parts, Rev. 0  
ECR 02-00017, IST Reference Values for MU-P-1A/1B/1C, dated 03/19/02  
ECR 02-01114, EF-P-1 Labyrinth Oil Seals - Install, Rev. 0  
ECR 02-01116, EF-P-2B Black Oil Elim & Oilrite Coolers, Rev. 0  
ECR 03-00669, MU-V-32 AOV Setpoint Evaluation, Rev. 0  
ECR 03-00700, Change EF-V-A&B Motor Operator Gear Ratio, Rev. 0  
ECR 03-00877, EF-V-2A Motor Replacement and Space Heater Removal, Rev. 0  
ECR 04-00414, MU-V-16A, B, C, D Valve and Actuator Replacement, Rev. 0  
ECR 04-00542, Posting of Calculation for ECR 03-00877, Rev. 0  
ECR 05-00316, 5/26/05 revision to C-1101-735-5350-003  
EDS 02-0370-1058, Pressure and Temperature Conditions Following a High Energy Line Break in the Intermediate Building, Rev. 2  
EER 90-016-E, MU-P-1A/B/C Bearing Lube Oil Tygon Replacement, Rev. 1  
MIDACALC Motor-Operated Valves Torque/Thrust Calculations for MU-V-36, MU-V-16A, MU-V-16C, MU-V-14B, DH-V-7B  
T1-CCD-000211-001, Replacement of Thermal Sleeve for the B HPI Line, Rev. 0  
Topical Report BAW-10222P, TMI-1 2772 MWt ECCS Analysis with RELAP5/MOD2, Rev. 0  
TM 02-00657 001, Install Replacement Breakers, 3/2/04

## **Procedures**

1107-11, TMI Grid Operations, Rev. 14  
1107-2A, Emergency Electrical System, 4 kV and 480 V, Rev. 11  
1302-6.17, HSPS Emergency Feedwater Initiation on Loss of Feedwater, Rev. 21  
1303-4.16, TMI Unit 1 Surveillance Procedure, Emergency Power System, Rev. 107  
1303-11.11, Station Battery Load Test, Rev. 30  
1303-11.42B, EFW Capacity Test, Rev. 7  
1303-11.10, Surveillance Test Procedure, 11/24/03, ES System Emergency Sequence and Power Transfer Test  
C2005302, Diagnostic Test and Evaluation Results - EF-V-30A, October 2003  
C2005782, Diagnostic Test and Evaluation Results - EF-V-30D, July 2004  
EFW System Pumps 13R Surveillance test Results, October 1999  
ER-AA-300-1001, MOV Program Performance Indicators, Rev. 2  
ER-AA-302-1001, MOV Rising Stem Motor-Operated Valve Thrust and Torque Sizing and Set-Up Window Determination Methodology, Rev. 3  
FW-PS-542, FW-P-1A Stop Valve Hydraulic Oil Pressure Low Trip (HSPS input), 8/20/98  
FW-PS-543, instrument calibration form, FW-P-1A Stop Valve Hydraulic Oil Pressure Low Trip (HSPS input), 8/20/98  
FW-PS-829, instrument calibration form, FW-P-1A Stop Valve Hydraulic Oil Pressure Low Trip (HSPS input), 8/20/98  
FW-PS-830, instrument calibration form, FW-P-1A Stop Valve Hydraulic Oil Pressure Low Trip (HSPS input), 8/20/98  
LS-AA-104, Exelon 50.59 Review Process, Rev. 4  
LS-AA-120, Issue Identification and Screening Process

LS-AA-125, Corrective Action Program (CAP) Procedure  
LS-AA-126, Self-Assessment Program  
MAP-AA-2-8, Rev. 12, Alarm Response Procedure  
MA-AA-716-012, Post-Maintenance Testing, Rev. 5  
MA-AA-723-300, Diagnostic Testing and Inspection of Motor-Operated Valves, Rev. 2  
OP-TM-211-000, Makeup and Purification System, Rev. 6  
OP-TM-211-201, IST of MU Pumps and Valves, Rev. 1  
OP-TM-211-205, IST of MU-P-1A, Rev. 1  
OP-TM-211-206, IST of MU-P-1B, Rev. 1  
OP-TM-211-208, IST of MU-P-1C, Rev. 0  
OP-TM-211-211, HPI Test, Rev. 1  
OP-TM-211-201, IST of MU Pumps and Valves, Rev. 1  
OP-TM-211-221, IST of ECCS Bypass Valves - MU-V-14A and MU-V-14B, Rev. 1  
OP-TM-211-231, IST of ECCS Bypass Valves - MU Pump Discharge, Rev. 2  
OP-TM-211-241, Shutdown IST of Letdown Isolation Valves, Rev. 1  
OP-TM-211-242, Shutdown IST of MU-V-18, Rev. 2  
OP-TM-211-243, Shutdown of MU-V-20, Rev. 0  
OP-TM-211-244, Shutdown IST of MU-V-33, Rev. 0  
OP-TM-211-245, Shutdown IST of MU-V-217, Rev. 0  
OP-TM-211-246, Shutdown IST of MU-V-3, MU-V-25, and MU-V-26, Rev. 2  
OP-TM-211-261, MU Pump Suction Leak Check, Rev. 2  
OP-TM-211-262, HP Injection Lines VT-2 Exam, Rev. 1  
OP-TM-424-000, EFW System Operations Procedure, Rev. 1  
OP-TM-424-101, Shifting EFW From plant S/D to Standby Mode, Rev. 0  
OP-TM-424-151, Shifting EFW From Standby to Plant S/D Mode, Rev. 0  
OP-TM-424-201, IST of EF-P-2A, Rev. 2  
OP-TM-424-202, IST of EF-P-2B, Rev. 2  
OP-TM-424-203, IST of EF-P-1 and Valves, Rev. 1  
OP-TM-424-212, IST of EF-V-30s and EF-V-52s, Rev. 0  
OP-TM-424-213, IST of CO--V-14s and CO-V-111s, Rev. 0  
OP-TM-424-231, Capacity Test of EFW System, Rev. 2  
OP-TM-424-271, Standby Lineup & Flow Path Verification Check of EFW, Rev. 0  
OP-TM-EOP-006, LOCA Cooldown, Rev. 3  
OP-TM-EOP-010, Emergency Procedure Rules, Guides, and Graphs, Rev. 3  
R2009773, Surveillance Test Procedure Number OP-TM-211-211, dated 10/25/03  
R2052849, Surveillance Test Procedure Number OP-TM-211-201, dated 08/20/04  
R2056628, Surveillance Test Procedure Number OP-TM-211-201, dated 11/19/04  
R2060518, Surveillance Test Procedure Number OP-TM-211-201, dated 02/25/05  
R2065273, Surveillance Test Procedure Number OP-TM-211-201, dated 06/03/05  
ST-1300-4H, ITS of ASME Class 2 & 3 Relief Valves  
ST-1303-11.39, EFW/HSPS Train "B" Auto Initiation, June 2005  
TP 273/3, EFW Turbine and Motor Driven Pump Functional Test, 1973

**Issue/Corrective Action Reports**

|            |           |           |            |
|------------|-----------|-----------|------------|
| CR 356020* | IR 166681 | IR 199428 | IR 348086  |
| CR 357176* | IR 166882 | IR 210938 | IR 348674  |
| CR 357178* | IR 166828 | IR 212206 | IR 349030  |
| CR 357412* | IR 167099 | IR 229088 | IR 352246* |
| CR 357413* | IR 167108 | IR 229586 | IR 352254* |
| CR 357727* | IR 180232 | IR 230113 | IR 352707* |
| CR 357848* | IR 182855 | IR 241269 | IR 353228* |
| CR 357859* | IR 182936 | IR 250268 | IR 353304* |
| IR 145162  | IR 183094 | IR 253310 | IR 355389* |
| IR 164725  | IR 183109 | IR 267630 | IR 357174* |
| IR 164844  | IR 183133 | IR 287997 | IR 357373* |
| IR 164875  | IR 184167 | IR 295849 | IR 357799* |
| IR 164885  | IR 184948 | IR 311313 | IR 357838* |
| IR 165028  | IR 186223 | IR 321373 | IR 357852* |
| IR 165321  | IR 186811 | IR 344067 | IR 357853* |
| IR 165679  | IR 186956 | IR 347921 | IR 357855* |
| IR 166598  |           |           |            |

\* Denotes Issue/Corrective Action Reports generated during this inspection.

**Drawings**

04-5130-C-308-905, Makeup Tank Level and Pressure Transmitter, Rev. 5  
 201-043, Sh. 2, 480 V Control Center, 1A Engineered Safeguards, Rev. 22  
 201-043, Sh. 3, 480 V Control Center, 1A Engineered Safeguards, Rev. 29  
 201-043, Sh. 1, 480 V Control Center, 1A Engineered Safeguards, Rev. 33  
 201-044, Sh. 3, 480 V Control Center, 1B Engineered Safeguards, Rev. 29  
 201-044, Sh. 2, 480 V Control Center, 1B Engineered Safeguards, Rev. 26  
 201-044, Sh. 1, 480 V Control Center, 1B Engineered Safeguards, Rev. 30  
 201-052, Sh. 1, 480 V Control Center, 1A Engineered Safeguard Valves, Rev. 41  
 201-052, Sh. 2, 480 V Control Center, 1A Engineered Safeguard Valves, Rev. 29  
 201-053, Sh. 1, 480 V Control Center, 1B Engineered Safeguard Valves, Rev. 40  
 201-053, Sh. 2, 480 V Control Center, 1B Engineered Safeguard Valves, Rev. 27  
 201-069, Sh. 2, 480 V Control Center, 1C Engineered Safeguard Valves, Rev. 32  
 201-069, Sh. 1, 480 V Control Center, 1C Engineered Safeguard Valves, Rev. 31  
 208-168, Sh. 1, E. S. Bus 1D Undervoltage & Potential Circuits, Rev. 24  
 208-168, Sh. 2, E. S. Bus 1D Undervoltage & Potential Circuits, Rev. 5  
 208-203, Electric Schematic, 4160 V Switchgear (E5)(1D3), Motor Driven EFW Pump EF-P2A,  
 Rev. 15  
 208-213, Electric Schematic, 4160 V Switchgear (E5)(1D7), Make-Up Pump MU-P1A, Rev. 6  
 208-215, Makeup Pump MU-P-1B, Rev. 9  
 208-216, Makeup Pump MU-P-1C, Rev. 11  
 208-217, Electrical Elementary Diagram 4160V Switchgear Make-Up Pump Scheme, Rev. 1

208-315, Elec. Elem. Diagram, 480 V Switchgear (ES) (1R-1R), Rev. 11  
 208-316, Elec. Elem. Diagram, 480 V Switchgear (ES) (1T-1R), Rev. 15  
 208-440, Sh. 1, 480 V Control Center 1A-ES, Unit 7D, BWST Outlet Valve MUV-14A, Rev. 4  
 208-440, Sh. 2, 480 V Control Center 1A-ES, Unit 7D, BWST Outlet Valve MUV-14B, Rev. 2  
 208-442, Sh. 1, 480 V Control Center ES signal to MU-V16A and MU-V16B, Rev. 12  
 209-755, Emergency Feedwater Auto-Start Actuation A, Rev. 12  
 302-011, Main Steam Flow Diagram, Rev. 64  
 302-082, Emergency Feedwater Flow Diagram, Rev. 23  
 302-082, Emergency Feedwater Flow Diagram, Rev. 20  
 302-101, Condensate Flow Diagram, Rev. 61  
 302-163, Cycle Makeup Flow Diagram, Rev. 57  
 302-273, Emergency Feedwater and Main Steam Valve Flow Diagram, Rev. 20  
 302-611, Reactor Building Normal Flow Diagram, Rev. 12  
 302-640, Decay Heat Removal Flow Diagram, Rev. 79  
 302-661, Make Up & Purification Flow Diagram, Rev. 54  
 302-712, Reactor Building Spray Flow Diagram, Rev. 45  
 84N35833 FD 0001, Sh.3, HSP System Channel I, Generator A Pressure/Level Loop, Rev. 7  
 84N35833 FD 0001, Sh.2, HSP System Channel I, Generator A Pressure/Level Loop, Rev. 7  
 84N35833 FD 0001, Sh.1, HSP System Channel I, Generator A Pressure/Level Loop, Rev. 8  
 CD-23-1, Reactor Coolant System Saturation Margin Monitor Channel B, Rev. 8  
 E-206-011, Main One Line & Relay Diagram, Rev. 49  
 E-206-021, One Line & Relay Diagram 6900 V & 4160 V Switchgear, Rev. 14  
 E-206-022, One Line & Relay Diagram 4160 V Engineered Safeguards Switchgear, Rev. 21  
 E-206-032, One Line & Relay Diagram 480 V Engineered Safeguards Switchgear, Rev. 15  
 E-206-051, One Line Diagram 250/125 V DC System & 120 V AC Vital Inst, Rev. 29  
 ID-ISI-MU-035, ISI Configuration Sketch, TMI-1 Makeup Pump Suction, Rev. 1

### **Miscellaneous**

11-0118-TR, Makeup and Purification Valve Basis, Rev. 0  
 81383LW, Makeup Pumps (including Bingham Pump Co. performance curve no. 25306),  
 5/23/68  
 A/R A2014855, EF-P-2A Misc. Pump Upgrades, 9/22/01  
 AT 209319, Exelon MOV Program - MIDAS Transition Management, March 2004  
 C311-89-2032, GPUN Response to NRC Bulletin 88-04, 3/31/89  
 CS-3-30/NSS-5/0369, by B&W, TS for Centrifugal Pumps for Auxiliary System Service (for TMI)  
 EFW Valve Basis: 11-0118-TR, Rev. 0  
 EFW-P-1 Turbine Driven Vendor Pump Curve  
 EFW-P-2A/B Motor Driven Vendor Pump Curve  
 EQ-T1-106, Environmental Qualification of ITT Conoflow I/P Converters, Rev. 5  
 EQ-T1-107, Environmental Qualification of Westinghouse EFW Motors, Rev. 4  
 Exelon Nuclear Operation Training Manual: EFW - Module/LP ID: 11.2.01.028, Rev. 25  
 Focused Area Self-Assessment (FASA) Report, LS-AA-126-1001, Rev. 3  
 Heat Sink Protection System (HSPS) Description  
 JO#00153419, Test of Main Steam to EF-P-1 Relief Valves  
 Maintenance Rule Performance Report for HPI/Makeup and Purification System, May 2003  
 MPR-915, Review of TMI-1 EFW System, March 1986



MS-V-006, Baseline Diagnostic Test of MS-V-006, October 1999  
 NOSA-KEN-04-05, Engineering Programs Audit Report, August 2004  
 NOSA-TMI-04-05, NOS Engineering Programs Area Audit Report, June 2004  
 OPE-03-023, Operability Evaluation of EF-P-1, Rev. 0  
 OPE-04-005, Operability Evaluation of EF-P-2B, Rev. 0  
 Performance Assessment Template, Self-Assessment of GL 89-10, March 2005  
 PFU No. 90-271, TMI FSAR Update, Section 6.1.2.1, 05/12/1989  
 Quicklook Motor-Operated Valve Thrust Traces for MU-V-16A, MU-V-16C, MU-V-14B, DH-V-7B  
 Refueling Outage Justification RT-13, October 2004  
 SDBD-T1-211, System Design Basis Document for Makeup and Purification, Rev. 3  
 SDD-TI-424-B, EFW System Upgrade to Safety Grade Design, August 1984  
 System Health Overview Report - P424/574 EFW, March 2005  
 System 424, EFW System Maintenance Rule Performance, Rev. 0  
 System Health Report for HPI/Makeup and Purification, March 2005  
 Three Mile Island Probabilistic Risk Assessment 2004, Revision 1, dated June 2005  
 Three Mile Island Response to Generic Letter 89-10, Safety-Related MOV Testing and Surveillance

TMI Operations Plant Manual: EFW; Section I-01, Rev. 13  
 TMI PRA Summary Document, Rev. 1  
 TMI-1 Response to NRC Request for Information Pursuant to 10CFR 50.54(f), February 1997  
 Topical Report 13, Generic Letter 89-10 Motor-Operated Valve Program Description, Rev. 1  
 TR 113, GL 89-10 Motor Operated Valve Program Description, Rev. 1  
 UFSAR (Multiple Sections)  
 VM-TM-0132, Copes Vulcan - EFW Control Valves, Rev. 7  
 Three Mile Island Response to Generic Letter 95-07, Pressure Locking and Thermal Binding of Safety-Related Gate Valves  
 Three Mile Island Response to Generic Letter 96-05, Periodic Verification of Design-Basis Capability of Safety-Related Power-Operated Valves  
 TMI-1 GL 81-14 Supplemental Response - EFW System evaluation for Loss of Feedwater or SBLOCA following Seismic Event, November 1999

**Safety / Technical Evaluations**

03-00669, ACE AOV Setpoint Evaluation for MU-V-32, Rev. 0  
 201-299-2307, Westinghouse study on Makeup Water Pump motor, dated 2/27/85, SDBD-T1-211 Reference No. 2.6.3  
 ECR 02-00657, Rev. 1, 50.59 Screening, Install Replacement Breakers  
 EQ Supplemental Review Sheet (SRS), EQ file No. TI-101, -108,-109,-111,-150,-153,-154  
 IC 12702/IC 12703, Maintain Breaker for MU-V-12 Normally Open, Rev. 129  
 OE 11392/INPO OE 10810, Clinton Division 3 EDG event on February 28, 2000 (EDG damaged during testing)  
 SDD-T1-645A, Rev. 2, Division I System Design Description for ATWS Implementation (10CFR50.62)  
 SDD-T1-645A, Rev. 2, Division II System Design Description for ATWS Implementation (10CFR50.62)  
 SE-410081-001, Rev. 2, for Mod MD-033335-001, Rev. 0, Replacement of Auxiliary

Transformers 1A & 1B

SE-000211-015, Operation with MU Suction X-connect Valves Open, Rev. 0  
 SE 123202-001, De-Energize Limitorque Space Heaters, Rev. 0  
 TDR 1064, TMI-1 (EDG) Voltage and Frequency Response Study, Rev. 0, 3/3/92

**Work Orders**

AR A2018068, 1-SB-72 Breaker Control Power Fuses  
 AR A2018071, 1A 6900V Switchgear Unit 3 Control Power Fuses  
 AR A2033209, 1D 4160 Volt ES Switchgear Unit 14 from EG-Y-4  
 AR A2051084, ECR TM 01-00119  
 AR A2102529, ESAS Actuation Relay RB Isolation  
 C2006274, MU-V-14B: Inspect Torque Switch/Replace if Required, February 2004  
 C2001438, Replace EF-P-2A Rotating Assembly, Last Update 12/02/01  
 JO 00100815, Perform Diagnostic Testing of Generic Letter 89-10 Valve MU-V-36, Rev. 0  
 MD-H308-001, MU Pump Oil Leakage Elimination, Rev. 0  
 R2022538, MU-V-16C: Perform GL 96-05 PM/PVT MOV PM, October 2002  
 R2030199, DH-V-7B: Perform GL 96-05 PM/PVT MOV PM, November 2003  
 R2031576, MU-V-16A: Perform GL 96-05 PM/PVT MOV PM, May 2003

**LIST OF ACRONYMS**

|         |   |
|---------|---|
| ADAMS   | Agencywide Documents Access and Management System |
| AmerGen | AmerGen Energy Company, LLC                       |
| AR      | Action Request                                    |
| CR      | Corrective action Report                          |
| DBE     | Design Basis Event                                |
| EFW     | Emergency Feed Water                              |
| HPI     | High Pressure Injection                           |
| IP      | Inspection Procedure                              |
| IR      | Issue Report                                      |
| MCC     | Motor Control Center                              |
| MOV     | Motor Operated Valve                              |
| MU      | Makeup System                                     |
| NPSH    | Net Positive Suction Head                         |
| NRC     | Nuclear Regulatory Commission                     |
| PARS    | Publically Available Records                      |
| TMI     | Three Mile Island                                 |
| TS      | Technical Specification                           |
| UFSAR   | Updated Final Safety Analysis Report              |