



U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service

# Candidate Species

## *Section 4 of the Endangered Species Act*

### **What are candidate species?**

Candidate species are plants and animals for which the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (FWS) has sufficient information on their biological status and threats to propose them as endangered or threatened under the Endangered Species Act (ESA), but for which development of a proposed listing regulation is precluded by other higher priority listing activities. The National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration – Fisheries, which has jurisdiction over most marine species, maintains a list of “species of concern” which includes species whose status is of concern but more information is needed before they can be proposed for listing.

### **What protection does the ESA provide to candidate species?**

Candidate species receive no statutory protection under the ESA. However, the FWS encourages the formation of partnerships to conserve these species because they are, by definition, species that may warrant future protection under the ESA.

### **How do species become candidates?**

Identification of potential candidates is a cooperative effort. The FWS endangered species specialists work closely with staff from other FWS resource programs, such as fisheries, refuges, and migratory birds, as well as representatives of other Federal and State natural resource agencies, local and Tribal governments, business and industry, academia, conservation organizations, and other private interests to identify potential candidate species. NatureServe, working in cooperation with state Natural Heritage programs, ranks species status at State, national, and global levels based on their relative imperilment. It is also an important resource for identifying potential candidate species. This database is available via the Internet at <http://www.natureserve.org/explorer/>. It provides information on more than 50,000 plants, animals, and ecological communities of the U.S. and Canada.

When sufficient information is developed to make well documented, biologically sound determinations about a species' status, the FWS Field Offices consider whether it meets the criteria for listing under the ESA. The FWS Regional Offices then provide recommendations for additions to the candidate list to the FWS Director, whose concurrence is necessary before a species becomes an official candidate species. Species are assigned a listing priority from 1 to 12 based on the magnitude of threats they face, the immediacy of the threats, and their taxonomic uniqueness (for example, full species have higher priority than subspecies). The species' listing priority dictates the relative order in which proposed listing rules are prepared, with the species at greatest risk (listing priority 1 through 3) being proposed first.

### **How does the public know what species are candidates for listing?**

The FWS annually publishes a Notice of Review in the *Federal Register* that provides an updated list of plants and animals native to the United States that are regarded as candidates for possible listing. While there is no requirement under the ESA to publish such a list, we believe that it is important to advise other Federal agencies, State and Tribal governments, local governments, industry, and the public of those species that are at risk and may warrant ESA protection. Advance notice of potential ESA listings can assist environmental planning efforts allowing resource managers to alleviate threats and thereby possibly remove the need to list these taxa.

### **What is the advantage of conserving species that are already candidates or proposed for listing?**

An effective program for the conservation of endangered species requires a means of addressing species that have not yet been listed but that face immediate, identifiable risks. Early conservation preserves management options, minimizes the cost of recovery, and reduces the potential for restrictive land use policies



*In Arizona and New Mexico, conservation measures for Gooding's onion on Apache-Sitgraves National Forest, Gila National Forest, and Lincoln National Forest precluded the need to list the candidate species. Photo by Reggie Fletcher, U.S. Forest Service*

in the future. Addressing the needs of species before the regulatory restrictions associated with listed species come into play often allows greater management flexibility to stabilize or restore these species and their habitats. In addition, as threats are reduced and populations are increased or stabilized, priority for listing can be shifted to those species in greatest need of the ESA's protective measures. Ideally, sufficient threats can be removed to eliminate the need for listing.

**U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
Endangered Species Program  
4401 N. Fairfax Drive, Room 420  
Arlington, VA 22203  
703/358 2105  
<http://www.fws.gov/endangered/>  
February 2005**