Appendix 1 – Gulf of Alaska and Bering Sea/Aleutian Islands SAFE Reports

Assessment of Grenadier Stocks in the Gulf of Alaska, Eastern Bering Sea, and Aleutian Islands

by
David M. Clausen and Cara J. Rodgveller
November 2010

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Grenadiers are presently considered "nonspecified" by the NPFMC, which means they are technically not part of the NPFMC management process and are not assigned values for overfishing levels (OFL), acceptable biological catch (ABC), or total allowable catch (TAC). Therefore, there are no limitations on catch or retention, no reporting requirements, and no official tracking of grenadier catch by management. However, for the last several years there have been proposals to change the management status of grenadiers. Most recently, they were dropped from NPFMC Management Plan amendments that will move a number of species in 2011 to a new management category, "in the fishery". Despite this, future amendments are expected to address the issue of grenadier management, and grenadiers may eventually be categorized as "in the fishery". In response to this possible change in the management status of grenadiers, full assessment reports were prepared for this group in 2006 and 2008, along with the present report. Because grenadiers are "nonspecified", all these reports are considered unofficial, and they have been included as appendices in the standard SAFE reports.

Of the seven species of grenadiers known to occur in Alaska, giant grenadier (*Albatrossia pectoralis*) appears to be most abundant and also has the shallowest depth distribution on the continental slope. As a result, it is by far the most common grenadier caught in the commercial fishery and in fish surveys. Therefore, this report focuses on giant grenadier.

Summary of Changes

New data available for this assessment include: 1) updated catch estimates for 2003-2010; 2) trawl survey results for the Gulf of Alaska (GOA) in 2009 and for the eastern Bering Sea (EBS) slope in 2010; 3) NMFS longline survey results for 2009 and 2010; and 4) observer data on giant grenadier length and sex in the commercial fishery for 2008 and 2009. Additions to the report include: 1) discussion of a discrepancy in the sex ratios of giant grenadier between the observer data and the longline survey data (the observer data shows a higher percentage of males); and 2) summary of the results of a short experimental longline survey of giant grenadier in deep water (>1,000 m) of the GOA that has not been previously sampled by surveys.

OFL and ABC Determinations

The previous (2006 and 2008) SAFE reports for grenadiers recommended a tier 5 approach for determining OFL and ABC, and we continue to recommend this approach in the present assessment. The tier 5 computations have been based on giant grenadier only and have excluded the other grenadier species because virtually none of the other species are caught in the commercial fishery and relatively few are taken in fish surveys. Therefore, in the tier 5 determinations, giant grenadier are serving as a proxy for the entire grenadier group. The two input parameters required for tier 5 are reliable estimates of current biomass (B) and a reliable estimate of the natural mortality rate (M).

Similar to the 2008 assessment, current biomass estimates in this assessment for giant grenadier in the EBS and GOA were calculated based on the average of the three most recent deep-water (to 1,000-1,200 m) trawl surveys in each area. In the EBS, these are now the 2004, 2008, and 2010 surveys, and the average is 592,271 mt; in the GOA, these are in 2005, 2007, and 2009, and the average is 597,884 mt. No trawl surveys in the Aleutian Islands (AI) have sampled depths >500 m since 1986, so an indirect method was used to determine biomass of giant grenadier in this region. We used the same indirect procedure in this assessment as we used in the 2008 assessment. According to biomass-weighted index values (relative population weights) in NMFS longline surveys, biomass of giant grenadier for the period 1996-2010 was 2.48 times higher in the AI than in the EBS. If this ratio is applied to the estimated trawl survey biomass of 592,271 mt in the EBS, an indirect estimate of 1,465,987 mt can be computed for giant grenadier in the AI. Similarly, an alternative indirect biomass can be computed for the AI which is based on survey data from the AI and GOA, rather than from the AI and EBS. According to the NMFS longline surveys, biomass of giant grenadier for the years 1996-2010 was 1.37 times higher in the AI than in the GOA. Applying this ratio to the estimated biomass for the trawl surveys in the GOA of 597,884 mt yields an indirect biomass estimate for the AI of 817,065 mt. These two indirect biomass estimates for the AI are very different (1.5 million vs. 0.8 million mt), and this indicates uncertainty concerning either value. To address this problem, in the 2008 assessment we used the average of these two indirect biomass estimates as our best estimate of the biomass in the AI. We recommend continuing this approach in the present assessment, which yields an estimated biomass of 1,141,526 mt for giant grenadier in the AI.

The best current estimate of the natural mortality rate for giant grenadier is 0.078, which we presented and used for the first time in the 2008 assessment. This estimate is based on a maximum of age of 58 years that was determined for giant grenadier (Rodgveller et al. 2010).

Therefore, based on the above recommendations for biomass and natural mortality, tier 5 computations for giant grenadier OFL and ABC are summarized as follows (AI = Aleutian Islands; biomass, OFL, and ABC are in mt):

Area	Biomass	Natural mortality *M*	OFL definition	OFL	ABC definition	ABC
EBS	592,271	0.078	biom x *M*	46,197	OFL x 0.75	34,648
AI	1,141,526	0.078	biom x *M*	89,039	OFL x 0.75	66,779
BSAI total	1,733,797			135,236		101,427
GOA	597,884	0.078	biom x *M*	46,635	OFL x 0.75	34,976
Grand total	2,331,681			181,871		136,403

These values are compared to the recommended values in the previous full SAFE report for grenadiers in 2008 (biomass, OFL, and ABC are in mt):

	2008 Assessment				2010 Assessment			
Area	Biomass	Natural mortality *M*	OFL	ABC	Biomass	Natural mortality *M*	OFL	ABC
EBS	518,778	0.078	40,465	30,349	592,271	0.078	46,197	34,648
AI	979,256	0.078	76,382	57,286	1,141,526	0.078	89,039	66,779
BSAI total	1,498,034		116,847	87,635	1,733,797		135,236	101,427
GOA	488,414	0.078	38,096	28,572	597,884	0.078	46,635	34,976
Grand total	1,986,448		154,943	116,207	2,331,681		181,871	136,403

Compared to the 2008 OFL and ABC recommendations from the last full assessment, the OFLs and ABCs for the EBS, AI, and GOA have increased by 14%, 17%, and 22%, respectively. These increases are due to the fact that the three most recent trawl surveys in the EBS and GOA (which are used to compute current biomass) now include the 2010 EBS slope survey and the 2009 GOA survey, both of which had relatively high biomass estimates for giant grenadier.

Summary of Results

Gulf of Alaska Grenadiers

Quantity/Status	Last year[a] 2010	Last year[a] 2011	This year 2011	This year 2012
M (natural mortality)	0.078	0.078	**0.078**	0.078
Specified/recommended Tier	5	5	**5**	5
Biomass	597,884	597,884	**597,884**	597,884
F_{OFL} (F=M)	0.078	0.078	**0.078**	0.078
$maxF_{ABC}$ (maximum allowable = 0.75*x* F_{OFL})	0.0585	0.0585	**0.0585**	0.0585
Specified/recommended F_{ABC}	0.0585	0.0585	**0.0585**	0.0585
Specified/recommended OFL (t)	46,635	46,635	**46,635**	46,635
Specified/recommended ABC (t)	34,976	34,976	**34,976**	34,976
Is the stock being subjected to overfishing?	no	no	**no**	no

[a]The values for biomass, OFL, and ABC in these two columns are based on an interim Executive Summary SAFE report for grenadiers that was prepared in November 2009 (Clausen and Rodgveller 2009). Results of trawl and longline surveys conducted in 2009 were used to compute updated estimates of biomass that differed from the biomass estimates for the GOA in the full assessment that was done in 2008.

Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands Grenadiers

Quantity/Status	Last year[a] 2010	2011	This year **2011**	2012
M (natural mortality)	0.078	0.078	**0.078**	0.078
Specified/recommended Tier	5	5	**5**	5
Biomass	1,546,415	1,546,415	**1,733,797**	1,733,797
F_{OFL} (F=M)	0.078	0.078	**0.078**	0.078
$maxF_{ABC}$ (maximum allowable = 0.75x F_{OFL})	0.0585	0.0585	**0.0585**	0.0585
Specified/recommended F_{ABC}	0.0585	0.0585	**0.0585**	0.0585
Specified/recommended OFL (t)	120,621	120,621	**135,236**	135,236
Specified/recommended ABC (t)	90,466	90,466	**101,427**	101,427
Is the stock being subjected to overfishing?	no	no	**no**	no

[a]The values for biomass, OFL, and ABC in these two columns are based on an interim Executive Summary SAFE report for grenadiers that was prepared in November 2009 (Clausen and Rodgveller 2009). Results of trawl and longline surveys conducted in 2009 and 2010 were used to compute updated estimates of biomass that differed from the biomass estimates for the EBS and AI in the full assessment that was done in 2008.

Recommendation to Include Grenadiers in the Fishery Management Plans as Species that are "In the Fishery"

In our previous assessment for grenadiers in 2008, we recommended that grenadiers be moved from the NPFMC's "nonspecified" category to the "other species" category. To comply with requirements of the reauthorized Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act, the NPFMC recently passed FMP amendments that will move species that were in the "other species" category to a new category, "in the fishery". Therefore, we are now recommending that grenadiers be included in the FMPs as part of the "in the fishery" category. This change in grenadier status is especially needed because of the large numbers of giant grenadier taken as bycatch in commercial fisheries and because market potential also exists for these fish.

Response to SSC Comments Regarding the Grenadier Assessment

No specific comments regarding grenadiers were made by the SSC in either their December 2008 or December 2009 minutes that require a response in this report. In their December 2009 minutes, the SSC expressed their support for including grenadiers as an optional part of amendments that established an "in the fishery" category.

INTRODUCTION

Grenadiers (family Macrouridae) are deep-sea fishes related to hakes and cods that occur world-wide in all oceans (Eschmeyer et al. 1983). Also known as “rattails”, they are especially abundant in waters of the continental slope, but some species are found at abyssal depths. At least seven species of grenadier are known to occur in Alaskan waters, but only three are commonly found at depths shallow enough to be encountered in commercial fishing operations or in fish surveys: giant grenadier (*Albatrossia pectoralis*), Pacific grenadier (*Coryphaenoides acrolepis*), and popeye grenadier (*Coryphaenoides cinereus*) (Mecklenburg et al. 2002). Of these, giant grenadier has the shallowest depth distribution and the largest apparent biomass, and hence is by far the most frequently caught grenadier in Alaska. Because of this importance, this report will emphasize giant grenadier, but it will also discuss the other two species.

All species of grenadier in Alaska are presently considered “nonspecified species” by the North Pacific Fishery Management Council (NPFMC), which means they are not part of the NPFMC management process. Therefore, there are no limitations on catch or retention, no reporting requirements, and no official tracking of grenadier catch by management. However, in 2005 a joint management plan amendment for “other species” was proposed which included an option to change grenadiers to a “specified” status, in which case they would be included as managed groundfish species in the FMPs. In response to this possibility, an unofficial full assessment of grenadiers in Alaska was prepared for the first time as an appendix to the 2006 SAFE report (Clausen 2006), and a revised SAFE report for grenadiers was also prepared in 2008 (Clausen and Rodgveller 2008).

In June 2009, work started on a new amendment package by the NPFMC that superseded the 2005 proposed amendments. The new amendments were in response to guidelines on “Annual Catch Limits” (ACLs) developed by NMFS to comply with the reauthorized version of the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act. Alternatives considered in the new amendments included listing grenadiers in the FMPs as either “in the fishery” or as members of an “ecosystem component” category (North Pacific Management Council 2010). However, alternatives involving grenadiers were not carried forward when the final amendments were approved in September 2010 (Amendment 87 to the Gulf of Alaska FMP and Amendment 96 to the Bering Sea/Aleutian Islands FMP). Although the status of grenadiers did not change in these recent amendments, it is likely that grenadier management will be addressed in “trailing” (i.e., future) amendments. If grenadiers are categorized as “in the fishery” in future FMP amendments, the NPFMC would then need to establish overfishing levels (OFL), acceptable biological catch (ABC), and total allowable catch (TAC) for grenadiers in Federal waters of Alaska. Consequently, this SAFE report has been written to prepare for the possible inclusion of grenadiers in the GOA and BSAI groundfish management plans, although the recommendations in this report for OFL and ABC are not binding at present.

Giant grenadier range from Baja California, Mexico around the arc of the north Pacific Ocean to Japan, including the Bering Sea and the Sea of Okhotsk (Mecklenburg et al. 2002), and they are also found on seamounts in the Gulf of Alaska and on the Emperor Seamount chain in the North Pacific (Clausen 2008). In Alaska, they are especially abundant on the continental slope in waters >400 m depth. These fish are the largest in size of all the world’s grenadier species (Iwamoto and Stein 1974); maximum weight of one individual in a Bering Sea trawl survey was 41.8 kg[1]. Previous publications (Clausen 2006 and 2008) speculated that more than one species of giant grenadier may exist in Alaska because two morphs of the fish have been observed based primarily on the relative size of the eye to the head, as well as three very different patterns of otolith morphology. However, recent DNA genetic analysis of tissue samples from

[1] G. Hoff, National Marine Fisheries Service, Alaska Fisheries Science Center, RACE Division, 7600 Sand Point Way NE, Seattle WA 98115. Pers. comm. March 2005.

the two morphs showed no evidence of any differentiation[2], which appears to refute the hypothesis that giant grenadier is comprised of two distinct species.

Very little is known about the life history of giant grenadier. The spawning period is thought to be protracted and may even extend throughout the year (Novikov 1970; Rodgveller et al. 2010). Two papers provide purported descriptions of larvae of giant grenadier in the North Pacific (Endo et al. 1993; Ambrose 1996), but Busby (2004) points out that these descriptions appear so different that they probably represent separate species. At any rate, no larvae have ever been collected in Alaska that correspond to either of these descriptions or to the description of a third form (Busby 2004) that is also giant grenadier-like[3]. Small, juvenile fish less than ~15-20 cm pre-anal fin length (PAFL) are virtually absent from bottom trawl catches (Novikov 1970; Ronholt et al. 1994; Hoff and Britt 2003, 2005, and 2007), and juveniles may be pelagic in their distribution. (Because the long tapered tails of grenadiers are frequently broken off when the fish are caught, PAFL is the standard unit of length measurement for these fish. PAFL is defined to be the distance between the tip of the snout and the insertion of the first anal fin ray). Bottom trawl studies indicate that females and males have different depth distributions, with females inhabiting shallower depths than males. For example, both Novikov (1970) in Russian waters and Clausen (2008) in Alaskan waters found that nearly all fish <600 m depth were female, and the Novikov study was based on trawl sampling throughout the year. Presumably, some vertical migration of one or both sexes must occur for spawning purposes; Novikov (1970) speculates that females move to deeper water inhabited by males for spawning. Stock structure and migration patterns of giant grenadier in Alaska are unknown, as no genetics studies have been done (except for brief genetic investigation of the two morphs of this species that was previously mentioned), and the fish cannot be tagged because all individuals die due to barotrauma when brought to the surface. One study in Russian waters, however, used indirect evidence to conclude that seasonal feeding and spawning migrations occur of up “to several hundred miles” (Tuponogov 1997).

The habitat and ecological relationships of giant grenadier are likewise little known and uncertain. Clearly, adults are often found in close association with the bottom, as evidenced by their large catches in bottom trawls and on longlines set on the bottom. However, based on a study of the food habits of giant grenadier off the U.S. west coast, Drazen et al. (2001) concluded that the fish feeds primarily in the water column. Most of the prey items found in the stomachs were meso- or bathypelagic squids and fish, and there was little evidence of benthic feeding. Smaller studies of giant grenadier food habits in the Aleutian Islands (Yang 2003) and Gulf of Alaska (Yang et al. 2006) showed similar results. In the Aleutian Islands, the diet comprised mostly squid and bathypelagic fish (myctophids), whereas in the Gulf of Alaska, squid and pasiphaeid shrimp predominated as prey. The hypothesis regarding the tendency of the fish to feed off bottom is supported by observations of sablefish longline fishermen, who report that their highest catches of giant grenadier often occur when the line has been inadvertently “clothes-lined” between two pinnacles, rather than set directly on the bottom[4]. Pacific sleeper sharks (*Somniosus pacificus)* and Baird’s beaked whales (*Berardius bairdii*) have been documented as predators on giant grenadier (Orlov and Moiseev 1999; Walker et al. 2002). Sperm whales (*Physeter macrocephalus*) are another likely predator, as they are known to dive to depths inhabited by giant grenadier on the continental slope and have been observed in Alaska depredating on longline catches of giant grenadier[5].

[2] J. Orr, National Marine Fisheries Service, Alaska Fisheries Science Center, RACE Division, 7600 Sand Point Way NE, Seattle WA 98115. Pers. comm. March 2008.
[3] M. Busby, National Marine Fisheries Service, Alaska Fisheries Science Center, RACE Division, 7600 Sand Point Way NE, Seattle WA 98115. Pers. comm. October 2006.
[4] D. Clausen, National Marine Fisheries Service, Alaska Fisheries Science, Auke Bay Laboratories, 17109 Point Lena Loop Rd., Juneau, AK 99801. Pers. observ. October 2004.
[5] C. Lunsford, National Marine Fisheries Service, Alaska Fisheries Science Center, Auke Bay Laboratories, 17109 Point Lena Loop Rd., Juneau, AK 99801. Pers. comm. October 2006.

Pacific grenadier have a geographic range nearly identical to that of giant grenadier, i.e., Baja California, Mexico to Japan. Popeye grenadier range from Oregon to Japan. Compared to giant grenadier, both species are much smaller and generally found in deeper water. They appear to be most abundant in waters >1,000 m, which is deeper than virtually all commercial fishing operations and fish surveys in Alaska. For example, in a recent experimental longline haul in the western Gulf of Alaska at a depth of 1400-1500 m, 56% of the hooks caught Pacific grenadier[6]. This indicates that at least in some locations in deep water, abundance of Pacific grenadier in Alaska can be extremely high. Few popeye grenadier are caught on longline gear, apparently because of the relatively small size of these fish, and most of the information on this species comes from trawling. Food studies off the U.S. West Coast indicate that Pacific grenadier are more benthic in their habitat than are giant grenadier, as the former species fed mostly on bottom organisms such as polychaetes, mysids, and crabs (Drazen et al. 2001).

FISHERY

Catch History

As mentioned, fishermen are not required to report catch statistics for grenadiers in Alaska because grenadiers are considered "nonspecified" by the NPFMC. However, catches since 1997 have been estimated for the eastern Bering Sea (EBS), Aleutian Islands (AI), and GOA based largely on data from the Alaska Fishery Science Center's Fishery Monitoring and Analysis program (Table 1-1). The estimates for 1997-2002 were determined by simulating the catch estimation algorithm used for target species by the NMFS Alaska Regional Office in what was formerly called their "blend catch estimation system" (Gaichas 2002 and 2003). Although these estimates may not be as accurate as the official catch estimates determined for managed groundfish species, they are believed to be the best possible based on the data available. They do not appear unreasonable compared to the official catches of other species caught along with giant grenadier on the continental slope in Alaska, such as sablefish and Greenland turbot. The estimates for 2003-2010 were computed by the NMFS Alaska Regional Office based on their Catch Accounting System, which replaced the "blend" system in 2003. All the data are presented as "grenadiers, all species combined", because observers were not instructed to identify giant grenadiers until 2005. Even then, the catch data suggest that many observers in the years 2005-2007 did not properly identify giant grenadier to species; some observers in these years were still reporting a sizeable percentage of the grenadier catch as "grenadier unidentified". Although the species breakdown of the grenadier catch is unknown, it can be surmised that giant grenadier comprised by far the majority of the fish caught. The only other grenadier species encountered on the continental slope in Alaska are Pacific and popeye grenadier. Bottom trawl and longline surveys all show that very few Pacific and popeye grenadier are found shallower than 800 m deep, whereas giant grenadier are abundant in these depths (see section 1.3.2.1, "Survey Data"). Although there are no analyses of the depth distribution of commercial fishing effort in Alaska, it is likely that very little effort occurs in depths >800 m. Hence, this indirect evidence can be used to conclude that giant grenadier is the overwhelmingly predominant species in the grenadier catch. This conclusion is supported by the catch data for 2008-2010, when it appears that most observers were properly identifying giant grenadier. The catch data for these years show that giant grenadier comprised greater than 90% of the grenadier catch in Alaska; the remainder were nearly all listed as "grenadier unidentified" and most of these were likely also giant grenadier.

One important caveat is that the catch estimates for the BSAI may be more accurate than those for the GOA. In the catch estimation process, it is assumed that grenadier catch aboard observed vessels is

[6] D. M. Clausen and C. J. Rodgveller, 2010. Deep-water longline experimental survey for giant grenadier and sablefish in the western Gulf of Alaska, August 2008. National Marine Fisheries Service, Alaska Fisheries Science Center, Auke Bay Laboratories, 17109 Point Lena Loop Rd., Juneau, AK 99801. Unpubl. manuscr. 23p.

representative of grenadier catch aboard unobserved vessels. This is a possible problem because observer coverage in the BSAI fisheries is considerably higher than those in the GOA. In general, smaller vessels fish in the GOA, especially in longline fisheries, and many of these vessels are not required to have observers, which could introduce a bias into the GOA estimates.

The estimated annual catches of grenadiers in Alaska for the years 1997-2010 have ranged between ~11,000-21,000 mt, with an average for this period of ~16,000 mt (Table 1-1). Highest catches have consistently been in the GOA, followed generally by the EBS and then the AI. By region, annual catches have ranged between ~6,000-15,000 mt in the GOA, ~2,000-5,000 mt in the EBS, and ~1,000-4,000 mt in the AI. To put these catches in perspective, the total annual sablefish catch in Alaska in the years 1997-2008 ranged from about 14,00 to 18,000 mt (Hanselman et al. 2009). Thus, the amount of grenadier caught in these years was similar to the amount of sablefish taken.

Description of the Fishery

Virtually all the catch of grenadiers in Alaska has been taken as bycatch in fisheries directed at other species, particularly sablefish and Greenland turbot. All the grenadier catch is discarded, and the discard mortality rate is 100% because the pressure difference experienced by the fish when they are brought to the surface invariably causes death. An analysis of catch estimates for 1997-1999 indicated that most of the grenadier catch in the GOA was taken in the sablefish fishery, whereas in the BSAI, it came from both the sablefish and the Greenland turbot fishery (Clausen and Gaichas 2004). The high bycatch of grenadiers in fisheries for sablefish and Greenland turbot is not surprising, as the latter two species inhabit waters of the continental slope where giant grenadier are abundant. For the present report, a similar analysis was done for the years 2003-2010 based on data from the NMFS Alaska Regional Office Catch Accounting System (Table 1-2). It also shows that the grenadier catch in the both the GOA and AI has been taken predominantly in hauls that targeted sablefish, whereas that in the EBS came from hauls that targeted Greenland turbot. Historically, both the sablefish and Greenland turbot fisheries have been predominantly longline, and a previous analysis of grenadier catch showed most grenadiers in both the BSAI and GOA were caught on longlines (Clausen and Gaichas 2005). In recent years, however, many sablefish and Greenland turbot fishermen in the BSAI have switched to using pots to protect their catches from whale depredation. It is now believed that 80% of the fixed-gear EBS catch of sablefish is taken in pots (Hanselman et al. 2009), and it is uncertain how this change has affected grenadier catches in this area. However, analysis of sablefish pot catches in the BSAI indicates that giant grenadier is the fourth most abundant bycatch species (Hanselman et al. 2009). Pot fishing for sablefish is currently not allowed in Federal waters of the GOA.

The data in Table 1-2 also show substantial catches of grenadiers are sometimes taken in the Pacific halibut fishery. However, these data should be viewed with great caution because they are based on very low rates of observer coverage in the halibut fishery, which may introduce inaccuracies into the catch estimates. For example, low rates of observer coverage likely explain much of the high variability in the halibut fishery's annual grenadier catches shown in Table 1-2. Alternative estimates of bycatch in the halibut fishery are needed to better determine the actual bycatch of giant grenadier in this fishery.

There have been only two known attempts to develop a directed fishery for grenadiers in Alaska. The first was an endeavor to process longline-caught giant grenadier for surimi at the port of Kodiak in 1998[7]. This small effort was apparently unsuccessful, as it ended in 1999. The second, also from the port of Kodiak, was an exploratory effort in 2005 using trawls to target giant grenadier and develop a fillet and

[7] J. Ferdinand, National Marine Fisheries Service, Alaska Fisheries Science Center, REFM Division, 7600 Sand Point Way NE, Seattle WA 98115-0070. Pers. comm. September 2004.

roe market[8]. This second venture was not continued in 2006. Because of the large biomass of giant grenadier on the continental slope, however, research has been done to develop marketable products from this species (Crapo et al. 1999a and 1999b), and it is likely that Alaskan fishermen will continue their efforts at utilizing this species.

Size, Sex, and Age Composition in the Fishery

Beginning in 2007, length and sex data for giant grenadier in the commercial fishery were collected by at-sea observers. The sampling scheme has been to collect these data for a random sample of about five giant grenadier per haul for those hauls in which sablefish was the predominant commercial species (i.e., hauls where a large bycatch of giant grenadier would be likely). All the fish sampled were caught on either longlines or in pots. Results for 2007-2009 showed that giant grenadier in the BSAI were generally larger than those in the GOA (Figures 1-1a and 1-1b), which agrees with results of fishery-independent surveys of the two regions (see Clausen 2008). The length distributions in the BSAI, where giant grenadier are caught by both longline and pot gear, suggest that there is little difference in the size of females taken by each gear. Males averaged smaller in pots compared to longlines, but these results may be affected by the relatively few males that were sampled. In the GOA, mean lengths for both males and females caught on longline gear were quite variable between years. In contrast, survey length frequencies in the GOA (see Figures 1-7 and 1-9) generally show only modest changes on a yearly basis.

Female giant grenadier comprised the majority of the fish sampled by observers in all areas and years (Table 1-3). For example, in the GOA, about 80% of the fish were female. While this percentage is relatively high, it is much lower than we expected based on sex compositions found in surveys. In particular, females have comprised >95% of the giant grenadier sampled in GOA longline surveys at depths less than 800 m, where nearly all the commercial fishing effort in Alaska is believed to occur (see Table 1-9). This discrepancy may indicate that observers are misidentifying the sex of some fish. To ensure this does not occur, we plan to provide observers with better guidelines, including photographs, to aid in sex determinations.

Age samples of giant grenadier have not been collected in the commercial fishery.

SURVEY DATA

Trawl Surveys

There have been many NMFS trawl surveys in the EBS, AI, and GOA since 1979, but relatively few have extended deep enough on the continental slope to yield meaningful biomass estimates for grenadiers. For example, most surveys of the AI and some of the GOA have sampled only to 500 m; thus, they barely entered the abundant depth range of giant grenadier and were well above the depths inhabited by Pacific and popeye grenadier. Giant grenadier biomass estimates for those surveys that have extended to 800 m or deeper are listed in Table 1-4. Prior to the early 1990's, it is believed that survey scientists did not always correctly identify Pacific and popeye grenadier in AI and GOA surveys, so historical biomass estimates for these two species in these surveys have not been included in this report. Also, the earlier Bering Sea surveys (1979-1991) usually identified grenadiers only to the level of family, and it is these combined estimates that are listed in Table 1-4.

[8] T. Pearson, Kodiak Fisheries Research Center, National Marine Fisheries Service, Sustainable Fisheries, 302 Trident Way, Room 212, Kodiak AK 99615. Pers. comm. October 2005.

The biomass estimates indicate that sizeable populations of giant grenadier are found in each of the three regions surveyed, but the survey time series are too intermittent to show any trends in abundance. Highest estimates of giant grenadier biomass in each region were 667,000 mt in the EBS (2004), 601,000 mt in the AI (1986), and 718,000 mt in the GOA (2009). In the EBS, the biomass estimates for 1979-1991 appear to be unreasonably low compared to the biomass estimates in 2002, 2004, 2008, and 2010. Given the apparent longevity and slow growth of giant grenadier (see "Age Data from Longline Surveys" section), it is unlikely that its biomass could have increased nearly six-fold from 74,000 mt in 1991 to 426,000 mt in 2002. The four EBS slope surveys since 2002 are considered to be better than their predecessors because they were the only ones specifically designed to sample the continental slope, they trawled deeper water (to 1,200 m) that encompassed more of the depth range of grenadiers, and they had good geographical coverage in all areas[9]. Also, in comparison to the steep and rocky slopes of the GOA and especially the AI, the EBS slope is easier to sample with a bottom trawl, which means a trawl survey in the latter region may yield more reliable results. Therefore, the biomass estimates in the EBS since 2002 may be the most valid of any of the surveys in Table 1-4. Because of the difficult trawling conditions encountered in the AI at depths >500 m, sampling these deep waters was dropped from the survey design in this area after 1986.

One factor that could have a significant effect on the biomass estimates is the extent that giant grenadier move off bottom. As discussed, there is indirect evidence from feeding studies that giant grenadier may be somewhat pelagic in their search for prey. If so, some of the population may be unavailable to the bottom trawl, which would result in an underestimate of biomass.

Results of the four most recent trawl surveys in the EBS and GOA can be examined to determine the comparative biomass of the three grenadier species (Table 1-5). In the GOA in 1999, 2005, 2007, and 2009, giant grenadier was by far the most abundant species and comprised 94%, 96%, 96%, and 96%, respectively, of the aggregate grenadier biomass. Next in abundance was popeye grenadier, followed by Pacific grenadier. In the EBS slope surveys in 2002, 2004, 2008, and 2010, giant grenadier also greatly predominated, with 89%, 93%, 89%, and 90% of the aggregate biomass, respectively. Similar to the GOA, popeye grenadier was second in biomass, followed by Pacific grenadier. Popeye grenadier biomass was considerably larger in the EBS surveys than in the GOA survey, which may be partially due to the fact that the EBS surveys sampled deeper water to 1,200 m, whereas the GOA survey only went to a maximum depth of 1,000 m (Figures 1-2 and 1-3).

Data from recent GOA and EBS trawl surveys can also be used to examine the variability of the biomass estimates for giant grenadier (Table 1-6). Except for the 2009 GOA survey, all the surveys show low values of ~10% for the coefficients of variation for each biomass estimate. This indicates that the estimates are relatively precise for giant grenadier compared with those of many other groundfish species, and also that giant grenadier have a rather even distribution within the strata in which they are caught. The 2009 GOA survey, with a much higher coefficient of variation of 38.4%, appears to be anomalous. We examined the distribution of giant grenadier catches in this survey (Figure 1-4), and an extremely large catch of 8,400 kg in one haul appears to be mostly responsible for the increased variability of giant grenadier biomass in this survey. This catch is much higher than any other giant grenadier catch in previous trawl surveys of the GOA or EBS slope. The big catch may also be largely responsible for the increased biomass of giant grenadier seen in the 2009 GOA survey.

The recent trawl surveys provide information on the depth distribution of grenadiers in the GOA and EBS in terms of biomass and catch-per-unit-effort (CPUE; Figures 1-2, 1-3, 1-5, and 1-6). The surveys indicated that in both regions, giant grenadier accounted for nearly all the grenadier biomass at depths less

[9] G. Walters, National Marine Fisheries Service, Alaska Fisheries Science Center, RACE Division, 7600 Sand Point Way NE, Seattle WA 98115-0070. Pers. commun. October 2004.

than ~600-700 m, whereas Pacific and popeye grenadier did not become moderately abundant until deeper depths. In the GOA, little biomass of giant grenadier occurs in depths <300 m, but there is no consistent trend in the surveys concerning the distribution of biomass in deeper strata. For example, biomass was fairly equal in the 300-500, 500-700, and 700-1,000 m strata in the 1999 survey, but was distinctly highest in the 501-700 m stratum in 2007 and in the 701-1,000 m stratum in 2009. The haul with the anomalously high catch in the 2009 survey occurred in the 700-1000 m stratum, and this likely explains the large biomass in this stratum in 2009. In terms of CPUE in the GOA, catch rates were distinctly highest in the 500-700 stratum in the 1999, 2005, and 2007 surveys (Figure 1-5). The high GOA CPUE in the 700-1,000 m stratum in 2009 may be biased by the haul with the large catch that occurred there. The 2002 and 2004 EBS surveys showed giant grenadier biomass peaking somewhat evenly at depths 400-1,000 m, whereas the 2008 and 2010 surveys showed a pronounced peak in biomass in the 600-800 m stratum (Figure 1-3).

Population size compositions for giant grenadier from the recent trawl surveys indicate that fish are considerably larger in the EBS (Figure 1-7). For example, in the 2004 EBS survey, mean PAFL for sexes combined was 28.1 cm, compared to 25.9 cm in the 2005 GOA survey. This difference in size is even greater than would outwardly seem because PAFL is a much shorter measurement relative to the fish's size than standard length measurements such as fork length or total length. The mean lengths translate to a weight of 2.98 kg/fish in the EBS versus 2.39 kg/fish in the GOA, a difference of nearly 25% (see later section "Length-at-Age, and Length-Weight Relationships" for giant grenadier length-weight relationships). In the EBS, a much greater percentage of the population appears to consist of female fish >30 cm in length.

Results of the trawl surveys emphasize the important ecological role of giant grenadier in Alaskan waters. In a ranking of all species caught in the 1999 GOA trawl survey, giant grenadier was the fifth most abundant species in terms of CPUE, after arrowtooth flounder, Pacific ocean perch, walleye pollock, and Pacific halibut (Britt and Martin 2001). It should be noted that this survey covered both the continental shelf and slope; if we consider just the slope deeper than 400 m, giant grenadier had the highest overall CPUE. Similarly, the 2007 GOA trawl survey indicated giant grenadier was third most abundant species in terms of CPUE, and was exceeded only by arrowtooth flounder and Pacific ocean perch (von Szalay et al. 2008). In the EBS slope surveys, giant grenadier are even more important. Among all species caught in the 2002, 2004, and 2008 surveys in this area, giant grenadier was by far the most abundant in terms of both CPUE and biomass (Hoff and Britt 2003, 2005, and 2009).

Longline Surveys

Longline surveys of the continental slope off Alaska have been conducted annually since 1979 (Hanselman et al. 2009; Lunsford and Rutecki 2010). The primary purpose of the surveys is assessment of sablefish abundance, and the standard depth sampled is 200-1,000 m. An index of relative biomass, called the "relative population weight" (RPW), is computed for all the major species caught in the survey. It should be noted that although RPW is an index of biomass (weight), it is actually a unit-less value. Although the survey time series extends back to 1979, RPWs for giant grenadier are only available for the years since 1990[10]. Other measures of giant grenadier abundance in the surveys have been computed for the years 1979-1989, including CPUE values and an index of abundance by number, called "relative population number". These data for the surveys before 1990 are presented in Sasaki and Teshima (1988) and Zenger and Sigler (1992), but because the data are not in terms of weight (RPW), they will be not be discussed in this report.

[10] C. Lunsford, National Marine Fisheries Service, Alaska Fisheries Science Center, Auke Bay Laboratories, 17109 Point Lena Loop Rd., Juneau, AK 99801. Pers. comm. July 2004.

In the GOA and AI, the longline gear used in the surveys is able to sample a high proportion of the steep and rocky habitat that characterizes the slope in these regions. This is in contrast to bottom trawls used on the trawl surveys, which are often limited to fishing on relatively smooth substrate. Because of this difference, the longline surveys may do a better job of monitoring abundance of giant grenadier on the slope, although they do not provide estimates of absolute biomass.

The RPWs provide a standardized time series of annual abundance for giant grenadier in the GOA for the period 1990-2010 and an intermittent series in the AI and EBS (Table 1-7). The survey was expanded from the GOA into the AI in 1996 and to the EBS in 1997, but these latter two regions have only been sampled in alternating years since. Therefore, the time series is less complete for the AI and EBS. In the GOA, definitive trends in RPW are difficult to discern. Generally, however, RPW decreased in the first three years to a low of 800,000, then increased to its all-time high of 1,420,000 in 1997, and diminished again to a low of 900,000 in 2004. The RPWs have been higher in the years since 2004, and 2010 showed the second highest RPW (1,412,000) in the GOA time series. A rigorous analysis of the data will be required to determine whether the trends are statistically valid, such as the methods used by Sigler and Fujioka (1988) to analyze changes in the survey's RPWs for sablefish. The RPW values in Table 1-7 also indicate that giant grenadier are particularly abundant in the AI; in all years the AI was sampled, RPWs in this region were greater than those in the GOA, even though the area of the slope is much larger in the GOA.

Giant grenadier catch rates in the surveys can be used to examine the geographic distribution of abundance in more detail (Table 1-8). Highest catch rates are consistently seen in the eastern AI, Shumagin and Chirikof areas, and EBS areas 3 and 4, which are located NW of the Pribilof Islands. In the GOA, there is a definite decline in catch rates as one progresses from the west (Shumagin area) to the east (Southeast area). The 1999, 2005, 2007, and 2009 GOA trawl surveys also showed a similar trend and found very low catch rates and biomass estimates in the eastern GOA (Britt and Martin 2001; Footnote[11]; von Szalay et al. 2008; von Szalay et al. 2009). One anomaly in Table 1-7 is the extremely low catch rate in EBS area 4 in 2007 (1.1 fish/100 hooks). This meager catch rate was presumably a major factor contributing to the relatively low RPW for the EBS in 2007.

The depth distribution of RPW for giant grenadier in the GOA has been remarkably consistent for all the years of the longline survey that have been examined (Clausen 2008). RPW is relatively high and nearly equal in value for each of the three deepest strata sampled in these surveys: 401-600 m, 601-800 m, and 801-1,000 m (Figure 1-8). These data indicate that additional sampling needs to be done at depths >1,000 m to determine where the abundance of giant grenadier begins to decline. The data also suggest that an unknown and perhaps significant portion of the giant grenadier population in the GOA may reside in depths beyond 1,000 m that are not currently surveyed. In comparison with the longline survey depth distributions of giant grenadier in the GOA, the trawl survey depth distributions in the GOA (Figure 1-2) are much less consistent. However, the trawl survey generally agrees with the longline survey that a relatively large biomass of giant grenadier in the GOA extends to at least 1,000 m, and probably beyond.

Compared with the GOA, depth distribution of giant grenadier RPW in the eastern AI was generally similar, but was somewhat different in the EBS (Figure 1-8). The RPW in the AI, as in the GOA, was concentrated in the 401-600, 601-800, and 801-1,000 m depth strata, with fairly equal amounts in each stratum. In the EBS, the biomass was distinctly higher in the 601-800 m stratum, with lesser amounts in the 401-600 and 801-1,000 m strata. Similar to the GOA, the AI and EBS results show a relatively high RPW at 801-1,000 m, which also implies the possibility that a considerable biomass may inhabit depths >1,000 in these latter two regions.

[11] Unpubl. data for 2005 GOA trawl survey in NMFS Alaska Fisheries Science Center's "Racebase" trawl survey database, Ocober. 2005. Alaska Fisheries Science Center, RACE Division, 7600 Sand Point Way NE, Seattle WA 98115.

A possible factor that may have influenced the survey's catch rates for giant grenadier is competition amongst species for baited hooks. Rodgveller et al. (2008) demonstrated that there is a negative relationship between giant grenadier and sablefish catch rates on the longline survey at the depths where grenadier are caught; i.e., when sablefish catches were high, giant grenadier catches were low, and vice-versa. This relationship was also explored in the GOA trawl survey, but a negative relationship was not found, indicating that the negative correlations on the longline survey could be due to competition for hooks. Zenger and Sigler (1992) suggested that giant grenadier may be out-competed on the longline by more energetic fish such as sablefish. If sablefish are more quickly attracted to and caught on the hooks, or are able to drive away giant grenadier when both species are competing for the hooks, the survey's catch rates for giant grenadier may not be proportional to actual trends in abundance. If competition is occurring between sablefish and giant grenadier, the lower abundance of sablefish in the AI and EBS could contribute to the higher catch rates of giant grenadier in these areas. Similarly, it could also explain the large RPW values for giant grenadier in the deep 801-1,000 m stratum in the GOA surveys and in some of the AI and EBS surveys because the relatively low abundance of sablefish in this stratum may allow more giant grenadier to be caught. To investigate the problem of possible competition for hooks in the longline survey, additional analyses and possibly experimental studies are needed to examine the catch probabilities of giant grenadier.

Population length frequency distributions for giant grenadier in the longline surveys indicate size of the fish is generally largest in the EBS, intermediate in the eastern AI, and smallest in the GOA (Figures 1-9, 1-10, and 1-11). This difference in size between the EBS and the GOA agrees with that found in the recent trawl surveys of these two regions, which were discussed previously in this report. The length distributions of the longline surveys in the EBS tend to be spread over more lengths and include more large fish >35 cm PAFL (Figure 1-10). Mean length in the GOA since 2000 has been consistently smaller than in the 1990s. Mean length in the eastern AI has also been smaller since 2004 compared to previous years. Further analysis is needed to better understand the reasons for this decrease in size.

A comparison between Figure 1-7 (size compositions for the GOA and EBS trawl surveys) and Figures 1-9 and 1-10 (size compositions for the GOA and EBS longline surveys) reveals that the size distributions were consistently smaller for giant grenadier in the trawl surveys. For example, mean length in the 1999 GOA trawl survey for sexes combined was 24.9 cm, whereas it was 30.4 cm in that year's GOA longline survey. This indicates that there is a substantial difference in the size selectivity between the gear types used in each survey. It appears that the longline surveys are not sampling many of the smaller giant grenadiers less than ~25 cm PAFL that are taken in the trawl surveys.

Information on sex distribution of giant grenadier caught in the longline survey has only been collected since 2006 (Table 1-9). Results show that females are the overwhelming majority of the survey catch, comprising a remarkably consistent 96-97% of the fish sampled in the GOA, 94-97% in the eastern AI, and 99% in the EBS. Females especially predominated in depths <800 m. Because most of the effort in the sablefish longline fishery in Alaska is believed to be in depths <800 m, this would indicate that nearly all the commercial catch of giant grenadier is female. However, as discussed in the previous section "Size, Sex, and Age Composition in the Fishery", observer data from the GOA fishery during the past three years indicated females comprised only 80% of the samples. Because experienced biologists are doing the sex determinations on the survey, we are confident they are accurate, but (as noted previously) we are concerned that observers could perhaps be misidentifying some females as males. In the longline survey sex distributions, there was a trend toward an increased number of males in progressively deeper strata, but even at the deepest stratum of 800-1,000 m, males were only 6-13% of the catch in the GOA, 7-25% in the eastern AI, and 5-7% in the EBS. These results imply that much of the male population may reside in depths >1,000 that are not covered by the survey, at least during the summer period when the survey is occurring.

Experimental Deep-Water Longline Survey

Depth coverage in the standard NMFS longline survey of the slope in Alaska extends only to 1,000 m, and (as discussed previously) a substantial but unknown amount of giant grenadier may reside in deeper water. To investigate the abundance of GOA giant grenadier in waters >1,000 m depth, a short experimental longline survey was conducted at these depths in the Shumagin area in 2008[12]. The experiment consisted of fishing survey longline gear in depths 1,000-1,600 m at stations located adjacent to standard survey stations in shallower water. The results showed that although catch rates for giant grenadier were fairly high in these deep waters, they were considerably less than at the corresponding survey stations at depths <1,000 m. This suggests that peak abundance for giant grenadier may be at depths <1,000 that are covered by the standard longline and trawl surveys. One unexpected result of the experimental survey was that female giant grenadier were much larger in size at the deep-water stations; they averaged 69% greater in weight than comparable females in depths <1,000 m. Also, males were much more abundant in deep water and comprised as much as 42% of the giant grenadier catch at one station. Additional survey work needs to be done in depths >1,000 m to better determine the abundance and biological characteristics of giant grenadier in these deep waters.

Age Data from Longline Surveys

Although otolith samples of giant grenadier have been collected in recent trawl surveys, none of these have been aged. The first aging study of giant grenadier to use contemporary aging methods (thin-sectioning of otoliths) was by Burton (1999), and it was based on 357 adult fish from the AI, GOA, and off Oregon and California. Results showed ages ranged between 13 and 56 years, and the 56 year-old came from the GOA. However, the otoliths were reported to be very difficult to age, and von Bertalanffy growth curves yielded an unreasonable fit to the size and age data because there were very few small fish in the samples. No analysis was done to determine if ages differed by geographic area. Radiometric aging methods were also applied to the otoliths, and confirmed that giant grenadier live to at least 32 years.

In the 2008 SAFE report (Clausen and Rodgveller 2008), we discussed results of the first attempt by age readers at the AFSC REFM Division Age and Growth Program to determine ages for giant grenadier. The age samples (otoliths) were collected during the 2004 and 2006 NMFS longline surveys in the GOA for a female age-at-maturity study (Rodgveller et al. 2010). A total of 338 fish were aged (all female), and ages ranged from 14 to 58 years. The maximum age of 58 is very close to the maximum age of 56 that was reported in Burton's 1999 study. This agreement lends credence to the results of both studies. The REFM aging staff found that an innovative aging procedure that involved two different methods seemed to yield the best results. Each otolith was first aged with the "ground distal surface" method, and if aging was still judged to be unsatisfactory, the otolith was then aged by a second method, "transverse thin-sectioning" (Rodgveller et al. 2010). Using these two techniques, the age-determination process appeared to be somewhat easier and perhaps more reliable than in Burton's study. However, even using REFM's new methods, age determination for giant grenadier is still difficult compared to many other groundfish species, and validation of the new aging methodology is needed. An attempt in 2008 to use

[12] D. M. Clausen and C. J. Rodgveller, 2010. Deep-water longline experimental survey for giant grenadier and sablefish in the western Gulf of Alaska, August 2008. National Marine Fisheries Service, Alaska Fisheries Science Center, Auke Bay Laboratories, 17109 Point Lena Loop Rd., Juneau, AK 99801. Unpubl. manuscr. 23p.

carbon 14 to confirm some of the ages determined by REFM staff proved unsuccessful[13], and other means of validation will be necessary before aging of giant grenadier can move from an experimental to a production mode.

No aging studies have been done for Pacific grenadier in Alaska, but Andrews et al. (1999) conducted an aging study for this species off the U.S. west coast. Similar to giant grenadier, the study found that Pacific grenadier otoliths were extremely difficult to age. Both immature and adult fish were sampled, and ages ranged from 1 to 73 years. Radiometric aging was used to confirm the ages in this study, and it verified that Pacific grenadier live to at least 56 years. Another study off California also found that Pacific grenadier are slow-growing and long-lived, and it reported a maximum age of 62 years (Matsui et al. 1990). In contrast to Burton's study for giant grenadier, Andrew's Pacific grenadier study did successfully yield von Bertalanffy growth equations.

Age information for other Macrouridae species suggests that most are quite long-lived. For example, the roundnose grenadier (*Coryphaenoides rupestris)*, an important commercial species in the Atlantic, is thought to live up to 70 years (Merrett and Haedrich 1997). It appears that macrourids, including giant and Pacific grenadier, can be categorized as classic "*K*-selected species", as they possess the *K*-selected traits of longevity, slow growth, relatively large size, and residence in a stable and unproductive environment (the deep ocean).

ASSESSMENT PARAMETERS

Maximum Age, Natural Mortality, Female Age- and Size-at-50%-Maturity, Age and Size of Recruitment, and Fecundity

The most recent aging studies for giant grenadiers (Burton 1999 and Rodgveller et al. 2010) found the maximum age to be 56 and 58 years, respectively, based on specimens from the GOA. There have been no aging studies for Pacific grenadier in Alaska, but Andrews et al. (1999) found a maximum age of 73 years for this species off the U.S. west coast.

[13] C. Hutchinson, National Marine Fisheries Service, Alaska Fisheries Science Center, REFM Division, 7600 Sand Point Way NE, Seattle WA 98115. Pers. comm. Sept. 2008.

Rodgveller et al. (2010) used two methods each to describe natural mortality (M) and total mortality (Z) for giant grenadier. The longevity method and the simplified-maximum-age method (Hoenig 1983) were used to estimate M, and the length frequency method (Beverton and Holt 1957) and catch-curve analysis (Quinn and Deriso 1999) were used to estimate Z. For details on these methods as applied to giant grenadier, see Rodgveller et al. (2010). The following table summarizes the methods used to estimate M and Z:

Method	Calculation	*M/Z*
Hoenig (1983) Simplified max age	$\hat{M} = -\ln(P)/58$	
	$P = 0.01$	0.079
	$P = 0.02$	0.067
	$P = 0.03$	0.060
	$P = 0.04$	0.055
	$P = 0.05$	0.052
Hoenig (1983) Longevity regression	$\ln(\hat{M}) = 1.44 - 0.982 \times \ln(58)$	0.078
Length frequency (Beverton and Holt 1957)	$\hat{Z} = 0.025 \times \left((52 - 28.9) \times (28.9 - 19)^{-1}\right)$	0.061
Catch curve (Quinn and Deriso 1999)	$\hat{Z} = -slope = 0.149$ $\log_e(frequency) = -.0149 \times age + 8.91$	0.149

There are drawbacks to each of these methods or to the accuracy of the data that they use. Hoenig's (1983) approaches depend on accurate estimates of maximum age. The maximum age we report (58 years; see above) is likely not the true maximum age. Giant grenadier greater than 60 cm PAFL have been caught on the AFSC longline survey, whereas the greatest length in the age samples was 53 cm. Therefore, it is probable that fish older than 58 exist. An older maximum age would result in a decrease in *M*. When choosing between the two Hoenig methods shown above, Hewitt and Hoenig (2005) suggest using the longevity regression equation instead of the simplified maximum age approach because the regression is fit to extensive data sets, whereas the simplified maximum age is based on an arbitrary constant.

The methods for calculating *Z* also have some drawbacks. The length frequency estimate of Z (total mortality) was sensitive to small changes in average PAFL. For example, when the average PAFL was increased by 2.7 cm (the difference between EBS and eastern GOA fish on the longline survey), mortality dropped by 26%. The estimate of Z from catch-curve analysis was 0.149. Data in Clausen and Rodgveller (2008) can be used to estimate that the percentage of giant grenadier biomass caught annually in the GOA is about 2.2%. Subtracting 0.022 from 0.149 gives an estimate of M of 0.127, which is still much higher than all other estimates and would be more reliable if a cohort were tracked through time.

Taking into account all these considerations, we suggest use of the Hoenig (1983) longevity equation as the best estimator of M because (1) it is preferable to the Hoenig simplified maximum age method; (2) the maximum ages in the Burton (1999) and Rodgveller et al. (2010) studies were very similar; and (3) estimates of Z may not be reliable. Thus, our current best estimate of natural mortality for giant grenadier, based on the Hoenig longevity regression equation (1983), is 0.078. Because fish older than 58 years may exist, we suggest revisiting the determination of *M* for giant grenadier if more age samples become available in the future.

The only published information on sexual maturity of giant grenadier comes from Novikov (1970) who briefly stated that sexual maturity is reached at about 56 cm total length (= 14 cm PAFL based on a conversion factor in Burton (1999)), when the fish assume a more benthic existence. However, he gives no data as to how this value was determined or to which sex it applies, and the size seems unreasonably small. Recently, Rodgveller et al. (2010) made both macroscopic observations of fresh ovaries at sea, and microscopic/histological observations of preserved ovarian tissue samples in the laboratory, and aged the majority of samples using the new techniques described in the section "Age Data from Longline Surveys". The microscopic method of determining maturity, which is considered the most reliable, indicated age-at-50%-maturity was 22.9 years, and size at 50% maturity was 26 cm PAFL. Therefore, female giant grenadier mature at a much older age than most other groundfish.

Length frequency distributions for giant grenadier in the commercial fishery (Figure 1-1) and size composition data for the longline surveys (Figures 1-9, 1-10, and 1-11) show that only fish >20 cm PAFL are taken by longlines and pots, and relatively few fish <25 cm PAFL are caught. This suggests that the size at 50% recruitment may be around 25 cm PAFL. If we assume the female size-at-50%-maturity is 26 cm PAFL (see preceding paragraph), it appears that immature fish comprise only a small percentage of the giant grenadier catch.

Previously, there was no information on fecundity of giant grenadier. However, as part of the recently completed maturity study of giant grenadier in the GOA, fecundity was also examined (Rodgveller et al. 2010). Only ovaries with advanced stage oocytes, based on both macroscopic observations and histology, were included in the analysis. Total fecundity ranged from 35,000-231,000 oocytes, with a mean of 107,000 (n = 34 fish examined).

1.4.2 Length-at-Age, and Length-Weight Relationships

Length-at-age information is now available for female giant grenadier based on the AFSC REFM Division's recent aging of 338 individuals from the GOA longline survey. Unlike Burton's (1999) previous aging study of giant grenadier, enough small fish were included in the REFM age sample to allow the determination of a von Bertalanffy growth curve. The von Bertalanffy parameters are as follows[14] (L_{inf} is in cm):

	female
L_{inf}	54.9
K	0.022
t_0	-7.54

Andrews et al. (1999) reported these von Bertalanffy parameters for Pacific grenadier off the U.S. west coast (L_{inf} is in mm):

	male	female	combined
L_{inf}	372	268	272
K	0.024	0.040	0.041
t_0	-1.79	0.20	0.25

[14] Data from C. Rodgveller, Alaska Fisheries Science Center, Auke Bay Laboratories, 17109 Point Lena Loop Rd., Juneau, AK 99801. October 2008.

The following length-weight relationships have been computed for giant grenadier in the GOA based on data collected in the 1999 trawl survey[15]:
W is weight in grams and PAFL is in mm:

males, $W = 1.054 \times 10^{-3}(PAFL^{2.622})$, n = 22
female $W = 1.333 \times 10^{-3}(PAFL^{2.597})$, n = 45
combined sexes, $W = 4.487 \times 10^{-4} (PAFL^{2.785})$, n = 67

ANALYTIC APPROACH FOR DETERMINING OFL AND ABC

In the previous stock assessment for grenadiers (Clausen 2006; Clausen and Rodgveller 2008), the NPFMC's tier 5 approach for determining the OFL and ABC was recommended, and this approach was supported by both the GOA Groundfish Plan Team and the NPFMC's Scientific and Statistical Committee. We again recommend using the tier 5 approach in the present assessment. Tier 5 assumes that a species has reliable estimates of biomass and natural mortality. Credible biomass estimates for giant grenadier are available from recent bottom trawl surveys in two major regions of Alaska, the GOA and the EBS. Compared to the 2006 assessment, we have improved estimates of M for giant grenadier (see section "Maximum Age, Natural Mortality, Female Age- and Size-at-50%-Maturity, Age and Size of Recruitment, and Fecundity"), so there is presently even stronger justification for using tier 5. In future assessments, it may be possible to move giant grenadier into tier 4 because data on female age-at-maturity is now available, as well as new methods for determining age that were recently developed by the AFSC. However, movement to tier 4 will depend on whether validation studies of the new aging methods for giant grenadier are successful.

Discussion of Special Overfishing Concerns for Giant Grenadier

Before computing possible OFL and ABC values for grenadiers, a discussion is warranted regarding some unique concerns that may put giant grenadier at greater risk of overharvest than is the case for most other groundfish. These concerns may need to be taken into account when recommending OFL and ABC values.

Although currently there is no directed fishing for giant grenadier in Alaska, the estimated catch of these fish taken as bycatch in other fisheries (Table 1-1) may be large enough to raise concerns from a conservation standpoint, for at least three reasons:

a) All the giant grenadier caught are discarded, and none of these survive because the fish cannot withstand the pressure change caused by retrieval to the surface.

b) Because the sablefish and Greenland turbot fisheries are responsible for most of the giant grenadier catch, and they operate at depths where female giant grenadier greatly outnumber males, the majority of the giant grenadier catch is female. Disproportionate removal of females by the fishery clearly reduces the spawning potential of the stocks and could put them at greater risk of overfishing if catches were sufficiently large.

c) There have been several recent studies that indicate deep-sea fish such as grenadiers appear to be especially susceptible to overfishing, which suggests fishery managers need to exercise particular caution when setting catch levels for these fish. One study in the NW Atlantic Ocean examined the relative

---

[15] Values for the length-weight relationships of giant grenadier were reported for this survey by Britt and Martin (2001), but their listed values are incorrect. We recalculated these values based on the original data in the NMFS Alaska Fisheries Science Center"s "Racebase" trawl survey database, and the recalculated values are listed here.

abundance over a 20 year period of five deep-water species that were taken in target fisheries or as bycatch, and abundance of all five progressively declined to the point that each could be considered "critically endangered" (Devine et al. 2006). Two of these species were grenadiers. The depletion of one of these grenadiers, the roundnose grenadier (*Coryphaenoides rupestris)*, has also been documented by Atkinson (1995). In the early years of the fishery for this species, catches were as high as 75,000 mt, but landings quickly declined in later years even though exploitation was only moderate. Roundnose grenadier stocks appear to have become depleted with little sign of recovery. The particular vulnerability of deep-sea fish such as grenadiers to overfishing is likely due to the life history traits they have evolved in response to living in the relatively unproductive environment of the deep ocean. These traits may include longevity, slow growth, low fecundity, late maturation, low metabolic rates, and not spawning in some years (Merrett and Haedrich 1997; Koslow et al. 2000; Drazen 2008). All these characteristics imply that the replenishment rate for these fish could be less than recruitment if they are subject to fishing pressure.

Tier 5 Computations of OFL and ABC

The NPFMC's tier 5 definitions for OFL and ABC are: OFL = M x B, where M is the estimated natural mortality rate, and B is the estimated biomass; and ABC is $\leq$(0.75 x OFL). Therefore, to apply tier 5, values of M and B must be determined.

Similar to the previous grenadier assessments, we have chosen to only include giant grenadier in the tier 5 calculations of OFL and ABC. Thus, for tier 5, giant grenadier is serving as a proxy for the entire grenadier group. The reasons for excluding Pacific and popeye grenadier are twofold: (1) at present, nearly all the grenadier catch in Alaska is comprised of giant grenadier, as Pacific and popeye grenadier are largely distributed in waters >800 m depth where very little commercial fishing takes place; and (2) groundfish surveys in Alaska have extended only to 1,000-1,200 m depth, whereas the distribution of Pacific and popeye grenadier extends far deeper. Hence, biomass estimates for these two species are unreliable and are likely much less than their true values.

There have been various biomass estimates for giant grenadier in each of the three major management regions for groundfish in Alaska (Table 1-4), and a decision must be made as to which of these estimates are most appropriate for the OFL and ABC computations. For the EBS and GOA in the 2008 assessment, we elected to use the mean biomass of the three most recent trawl surveys in each region at that time (2002, 2004, and 2008 in the EBS, and 1999, 2005, and 2007 in the GOA) as the best estimates available for the computations of OFL and ABC. This approach of using the three most recent biomass estimates to determine a value for tier 5 biomass has been applied for a number of years to tier 5 rockfish species in the GOA, and we recommend continuing to use this methodology for giant grenadier. Because new trawl survey biomass estimates are available for 2010 for the EBS slope and 2009 in the GOA, the three most recent trawl surveys are now 2004, 2008, and 2010 for the EBS slope, and 2005, 2007, and 2009 for the GOA. Therefore, based on these three most recent surveys, the new mean value of current biomass for giant grenadier in the EBS is 592,271 mt, and for the GOA is 597,884 mt.

The Aleutian Islands present a special problem because no trawl surveys since 1986 have sampled deeper waters where most giant grenadier biomass is found. In the previous grenadier assessments (Clausen 2006; Clausen and Rodgveller 2008), an indirect method was used to determine a more up-to-date biomass in this region. We recommend using this indirect method again in the present assessment. The method is based on using a combination of longline survey RPW values and trawl survey biomass estimates to compute biomass estimates for the AI. Since 1996 and 1997 when the longline survey first sampled the AI and the EBS, mean RPW values for each region (1,608,266 and 649,753, respectively; Table 1-7) indicate that the biomass of giant grenadier in the AI is approximately 2.48 times greater than in the EBS. If this ratio of 2.48 is then applied as an adjustment factor to the current EBS trawl survey mean biomass of 592,271 mt, an indirect biomass estimate of 1,465,987 mt can be computed for the AI. Similarly, an alternative indirect biomass can be computed for the AI which is based on survey data from the AI and GOA, rather than from the AI and EBS. Using a procedure identical to that above, the mean longline RPW for giant grenadier in the years 1996-2010 is 1,608,266 the AI and 1,176,843 in the GOA, which equals a ratio of 1.37. Using this ratio as an adjustment factor for the trawl survey's current mean GOA biomass of 597,884 mt yields an indirect biomass estimate of 817,065 mt for the AI.

The two indirect biomass estimates for the AI differ greatly in value (1.5 million mt vs. 0.8 million mt), and selecting which to use in the determinations of OFL and ABC has a substantial effect on the results. Clearly, the difference is large enough that it indicates uncertainty concerning either of these estimates. To address this problem, in the 2008 assessment we elected to use the average of the two indirect biomass estimates as our best estimate of the giant grenadier biomass in the AI (see Clausen and Rodgveller 2008 for a further discussion), and this procedure was endorsed by NPFMC's Groundfish Plan Teams and Scientific and Statistical Committee. Applying this same methodology to the present assessment (i.e., averaging the alternative biomass estimates of 1,465,987 and 817,065 mt) yields a biomass of 1,141,526 mt for the AI, which is our recommendation for current biomass of giant grenadier in this area.

In addition to biomass, the NPFMC's other required parameter for tier 5 computations of OFL and ABC is an estimate of the natural mortality rate. As discussed in the section "Maximum Age, Natural Mortality, Female Age- and Size-at-50%-Maturity, Age and Size of Recruitment, and Fecundity", our best estimate of natural mortality for giant grenadier is currently 0.078, based on the maximum age of 58 determined in recent aging studies for this fish in the GOA. We used this natural mortality rate for the first time in the 2008 assessment (Clausen and Rodgveller 2008).

Based on our discussion above and our recommendations for current biomass and natural mortality of giant grenadier, tier 5 recommendations for OFL and ABC of grenadiers are listed below (biomass, OFL, ABC, and mean catch are in mt).

Tier 5 Recommended OFL and ABC Values for Grenadiers in Alaska

Area	Biomass	Natural mortality *M*	OFL definition	OFL	ABC definition	ABC
EBS	592,271	0.078	biom x *M*	46,197	OFL x 0.75	34,648
AI	1,141,526	0.078	biom x *M*	89,039	OFL x 0.75	66,779
BSAI total	1,733,797			135,236		101,427
GOA	597,884	0.078	biom x *M*	46,635	OFL x 0.75	34,976
Grand total	2,331,681			181,871		136,403

Compared to the 2008 OFL and ABC recommendations, the OFLs and ABCs for the EBS, AI, and GOA have increased by 14%, 17%, and 22%, respectively. These increases are due to the fact that the three most recent trawl surveys in the EBS and GOA (which are used to compute current biomass) now include the 2010 EBS slope survey and the 2009 GOA survey, both of which had relatively high biomass estimates for giant grenadier. The recommended OFLs and ABCs in the above table are much larger than the mean catches for grenadiers and also much larger than the catch in any single year (see Table 1-1), which indicates catches could increase without endangering the stocks. This is especially true for the EBS and AI, where the exploitation rate appears to be quite low. Therefore, even taking into account the special concerns for giant grenadier in Alaska that could make them particularly vulnerable to overfishing, the recommended OFLs and ABCs appear to be sufficiently conservative to protect the stocks.

HARVEST SCENARIOS TO SATISFY REQUIREMENTS OF NPFMC'S AMENDMENT 56, NEPA, AND MSFCMA

For species such as grenadiers that are not assessed with an age/length-structured model, multi-year projections are not possible but yields for just the year 2011 can be computed as follows (biomass and yields are in mt):

		Scenario 1		Scenario 2		Scenario 3		Scenario 4	
Area	Biomass	F	Yield	F	Yield	F	Yield	F	Yield
Eastern Bering Sea	592,271	0.078	34,648	0.078	34,648	0.039	17,324	0.0040	2,381
Aleutian Islands	1,141,526	0.078	66,779	0.078	66,779	0.039	33,390	0.0021	2,354
Gulf of Alaska	597,884	0.078	34,976	0.078	34,976	0.039	17,488	0.0144	8,637
Total	2,331,681	0.078	136,403	0.078	136,403	0.039	68,202	0.0057	13,372

Scenario 1: F is set equal to max F_{ABC}.
Scenario 2: F is set equal to the recommended $F_{ABC.}$
Scenario 3: F is set equal to 50% of max F_{ABC}.
Scenario 4: F is set equal to the average F for 2005-2009 (i.e., the most recent five years with complete catch data).

ECOSYSTEM CONSIDERATIONS

A determination of ecosystem considerations for grenadiers in Alaska is hampered by the extreme lack of biological and habitat information for these species and by limited knowledge in general on the deep slope environment inhabited by these fish.

Ecosystem Effects on the Stocks

Prey availability/abundance trends: The only food studies on grenadiers in the northeast Pacific have been on adults. One study of giant grenadier off the U.S. west coast concluded that the fish fed primarily off-bottom on bathy- and mesopelagic food items that included gonatid squids, viperfish, deep-sea smelts, and myctophids (Drazen et al. 2001). Smaller studies of giant grenadier food habits in Alaska showed generally similar results. In the Aleutian Islands, the diet comprised mostly squid and myctophids (Yang 2003), whereas in the Gulf of Alaska, squid and pasiphaeid shrimp predominated as prey (Yang et al. 2006). Research on these deep-sea prey organisms in Alaska has been virtually non-existent, so information on prey availability or possible variations in abundance of prey are unknown. Very few juvenile giant grenadier have ever been caught, so nothing is known about their food preferences.

In contrast to giant grenadier, a study of Pacific grenadier food habits off the U.S. west coast found a much higher consumption of benthic food items such as polychaetes, cumaceans, mysids, and juvenile Tanner crabs (*Chionoecetes* sp.), especially in smaller individuals (Drazen et al. 2001). Carrion also contributed to its diet, and larger individuals consumed some pelagic prey including squids, fish, and bathypelagic mysids.

Predator population trends: The only documented predators of giant grenadier are Pacific sleeper sharks (Orlov and Moiseev 1999) and Baird's beaked whales (Walker et al. 2002). According to Orlov's and Moiseev's study, giant grenadier was ranked third in relative importance as a food item in the diet of these sharks. Sperm whales are another potential predator, as they are known to dive to depths inhabited by giant grenadier on the slope and have been observed depredating on longline catches of giant grenadier[16]. Giant grenadier is a relatively large animal that is considered an apex predator in its environment on the deep slope (Drazen et al. 2001), so it may have relatively few predators as an adult. Predation on larval and juvenile giant grenadiers would likely have a much greater influence on the ultimate size of the adult population size, but information on predators of these earlier life stages is nil.

Changes in habitat quality: Little or no environmental information has been collected in Alaska for the deep slope habitat in which grenadiers live. This habitat is likely more stable oceanographically than shallower waters of the upper slope or continental shelf. Regime shifts on the continental shelf and slope in Alaska in recent decades have been well documented, but it is unknown if these shifts also extend to the deep slope. Regime shifts could have a pronounced effect on giant grenadier if their larvae or post-larvae inhabited upper portions of the water column. However, no larvae or post-larvae for this species have ever been collected in Alaska. The absence of larvae or post-larvae giant grenadier in larval surveys in Alaska, which have nearly all been conducted in upper parts of the water column, implies that larval giant grenadier may reside in deeper water, where they may be less affected by regime shifts.

Fishery Effects on the Ecosystem

Because there has been virtually no directed fishing for grenadiers in Alaska, the reader is referred to the discussion on Fishery Effects in the sablefish SAFE report. The sablefish longline fishery is the main fishery that takes giant grenadier as bycatch, so the Fishery Effects section in the sablefish report is applicable to giant grenadier and is an indication of what the effects might be if a directed fishery for giant grenadier were to develop. It should be noted that because all grenadiers presently caught in the sablefish and Greenland turbot fisheries are discarded and do not survive, this constitutes a major input of dead organic material to the ecosystem that would not otherwise be there.

DATA GAPS AND RESEARCH PRIORITIES

Many aspects of basic information are lacking for grenadiers in Alaska. Validation of the AFSC REFM Division aging methodology for giant grenadier is especially needed, because it would allow giant grenadier to be moved from tier 5 to a higher tier assessment category. Other research priorities are: 1) Further analysis and study of the NMFS longline survey in Alaska to better determine the effects of competition for hooks among species on catch rates of giant grenadier; 2) Extended survey coverage in waters >1,000 m to investigate the abundance of giant grenadier and other grenadiers in deep depths that have not been sampled in past surveys; 3) Genetic studies to determine if subpopulations of giant grenadier exist, and if the three different shapes of otoliths found for these fish may represent separate subpopulations; and 4) Analysis of the observer data for giant grenadier to determine why the sex composition is different than in the NMFS longline survey. Because early life history information for giant grenadier is nil, studies are also needed to investigate where larvae and young juveniles reside. Finally, to evaluate the accuracy of giant grenadier biomass estimates from bottom trawl surveys, studies are needed on whether this fish is a completely benthic species or if individuals sometimes move off-bottom.

[16] C. Lunsford, National Marine Fisheries Service, Alaska Fisheries Science Center, Auke Bay Laboratories, 17109 Point Lena Loop Rd., Juneau, AK 99801. Pers. comm. Oct 2006.

OTHER CONSIDERATIONS

Recommendation to Include Grenadiers in the Fishery Mangement Plans as Species that are "In the Fishery"

In the 2008 SAFE report, we recommended that although grenadiers were "nonspecified" and thus were not part of the NPFMC management process for groundfish in the BSAI or GOA, it would be much more appropriate for them to be in the "other species" category (Clausen and Rodgveller 2008). The "other species" category was defined by the NPFMC as species that have "only slight economic value and are generally not targeted upon, but which are either significant components of the ecosystem or have economic potential" (North Pacific Fishery Management Council 2008). In contrast, "nonspecified" species were a "residual category of species and species groups of no current or foreseeable economic value or ecological importance, which are taken in the groundfish fishery as accidental bycatch and are in no apparent danger of depletion" and for which "virtually no data exists (that) would allow population assessments" (Witherell 1997; Dicosimo 2001). Based on these definitions, we believed that grenadiers clearly belonged in the "other species" group. Because of their abundance on the continental slope, giant grenadier are of great ecological importance in this habitat, and they also hold economic potential. In addition, there now exists considerable information on giant grenadier that can be used for population assessment. Therefore, we were very supportive of management plan amendments that would move grenadiers from the "nonspecified" to the "other species" group. As members of the "other species" group, grenadiers would need to be assigned official OFLs, ABCs, and TACs, either as a stand-alone category or as a component of a broader "other species" group.

As discussed in the Introduction of this report, the NPFMC will be changing how it categorizes species in the FMPs that were formerly in the "other species", "nonspecified", and "forage fish" categories. This is to comply with requirements of the reauthorized Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act which call for establishment of "Annual Catch Limits". The new categories include "in the fishery", an "ecosystem component" category, and a de facto third category consisting of all remaining species, which would be removed entirely from the FMPs (North Pacific Fishery Management Council 2010). In this new classification scheme, we recommend that grenadiers be categorized as "in the fishery" because giant grenadier are taken in such large amounts as bycatch in commercial fisheries. Also, the potential exists for the future development of a targeted fishery on giant grenadier. Although grenadiers were not included in the recent FMP amendments that moved "other species" to the "in the fishery" category and "forage fish" to the ecosystem component category, we strongly encourage the inclusion of grenadiers in future amendments so they can be categorized as "in the fishery".

An additional recommendation concerns the actual grenadier species that should be included in the FMPs as "in the fishery". Although seven species of grenadiers are reported to occur in Alaskan waters, four are abyssal in their distribution and have never been encountered in commercial or survey catches. Hence, we also recommend that only the remaining three species (giant, Pacific, and popeye), which have been taken by the fishery and in surveys, be included as a grenadier complex "in the fishery".

LITERATURE CITED

Ambrose, D. A. 1996. Macrouridae: grenadiers. *In*: Moser, H. G. (ed.). The early stages of fishes in the California Current region. CALCOFI Atlas No. 33. Calif. Coop. Oceanic Fish. Invest., La Jolla, CA, pp. 483-499.

Andrews, A. H., G. M. Cailliet, and K. H. Coale. 1999. Age and growth of Pacific grenadier (*Coryphaenoides acrolepis*) with age estimate validation using an improved radiometric ageing technique. Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 56: 1339-1350.

Atkinson, D. B. 1995. The biology and fishery of roundnose grenadier (*Coryphaenoides rupestris* Gunnerus, 1765) in the northwest Atlantic. *In*: A. G. Hopper (Editor), Deep water fisheries of the North Atlantic slope, p. 51-112. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht.

Bakkala, R. G., W. A. Karp, G. F. Walters, T. Sasaki, M. T. Wilson, T. M. Sample, A. M. Shimada, D. Adams, and C. E. Armistead. 1992. Distribution, abundance, and biological characteristics of groundfish in the eastern Bering Sea based on results of U.S.-Japan bottom trawl and midwater surveys during June-September 1988. U.S. Dep. Commer., NOAA Tech. Memo. NMFS F/NWC-213, 362 p.

Beverton, R. J. H., and S. J. Holt. 1957. On the dynamics of exploited fish populations. Facsimile reprint, 1993. Chapman and Hall, London.

Britt, L. L., and M. H. Martin. 2001. Data report: 1999 Gulf of Alaska bottom trawl survey. U.S. Dep. Commer., NOAA Tech. Memo. NMFS-AFSC-121, 249 p.

Burton, E. J. 1999. Radiometric age determination of the giant grenadier (*Albatrossia pectoralis*) using ^{210}Pb:^{226}Ra disequilibria. Master's thesis, San Francisco State University, 91 p.

Busby, M. S. 2005. An unusual macrourid larva (Gadiformes) from San Juan Island, Washington, USA. Ichthyol. Res. 52: 86-89.

Crapo, C., B. Himelbloom, R. Pfutzenreuter, and C. Lee. 1999a. Causes for soft flesh in giant grenadier (*Albatrossia pectoralis*) fillets. J. Aquatic Food Product Tech. 8(3): 55-68.

Crapo, C., B. Himelbloom, R. Pfutzenreuter, and C. Lee. 1999b. Texture modification processes for giant grenadier (*Albatrossia pectoralis*) fillets. Journal of Aquatic Food Product Technology 8(4): 27-41.

Clausen, D. M., and S. Gaichas. 2004. Grenadiers in Alaska. *In* J. Boldt (ed.), Ecosystem considerations for 2005, p. 168-186. North Pacific Fishery Management Council, 605 W. 4th Ave., Suite 306, Anchorage AK 99801.

Clausen, D. M., and S. Gaichas. 2005. Grenadiers in Alaska. *In* J. Boldt (ed.), Ecosystem considerations for 2005, p. 179-201. North Pacific Fishery Management Council, 605 W. 4th Ave., Suite 306, Anchorage AK 99801.

Clausen, D. M. 2006. Grenadiers in the Gulf of Alaska, eastern Bering Sea, and Aleutian Islands. In Stock assessment and fishery evaluation report for the groundfish resources of the Gulf of Alaska and Bering Sea/Aleutian Islands regions, Appendix F, p. 563-600. North Pacific Fishery Management Council, 605 W 4th Ave., Suite 306, Anchorage AK 99501.

Clausen, D. M. 2008. The giant grenadier in Alaska. *In* A. M. Orlov and T. Iwamoto (Editors), Grenadiers of the world oceans: biology, stock assessment, and fisheries, p. 413-450. Amer. Fish. Soc Sympos. 63. (Published by Amer. Fish. Soc., Bethesda, MD).

Clausen, D. M., and C. J. Rodgveller. 2008. Assessment of grenadiers in the Gulf of Alaska, eastern Bering Sea, and Aleutian Islands. In Stock assessment and fishery evaluation report for the groundfish resources of the Gulf of Alaska and Bering Sea/Aleutian Islands regions, Appendix 1, p. 613-656. North Pacific Fishery Management Council, 605 W 4th Ave., Suite 306, Anchorage AK 99501.

Clausen, D. M., and C. J. Rodgveller. 2009. Assessment of grenadiers in the Gulf of Alaska, eastern Bering Sea, and Aleutian Islands (executive summary). In Stock assessment and fishery evaluation report for the groundfish resources of the Gulf of Alaska and Bering Sea/Aleutian Islands regions, Appendix 1, p. 1373-1376. North Pacific Fishery Management Council, 605 W 4th Ave., Suite 306, Anchorage AK 99501.

Devine, J. A., K. D. Baker, and R. L. Haedrich. 2006. Deep-sea fishes qualify as endangered. Nature 439: p. 29.

DiCosimo, J. 2001. Summary of the Gulf of Alaska groundfish fishery management plan. North Pacific Fishery Management Council, 605 W 4th Ave., Suite 306, Anchorage AK 99501. 16 p.

Drazen, J. C., T. W. Buckley, and G. R. Hoff. 2001. The feeding habits of slope dwelling macrourid fishes in the eastern North Pacific. Deep-Sea Res. I 48:909-935.

Drazen, J. C. 2008. Energetics of grenadier fishes. *In* A. M. Orlov and T. Iwamoto (Editors), Grenadiers of the world oceans: biology, stock assessment, and fisheries, p. 203-223. Amer. Fish. Soc Sympos. 63. (Published by Amer. Fish. Soc., Bethesda, MD).

Endo, H., M. Yabe, and K. Amaoka. 1993. Occurrence of the macrourid alevins genera *Albatrossia* and *Coryphaenoides* in the northern North Pacific Ocean. Japan J. Ichthyol. 40(2): 219-226.

Eschmeyer, W. N., E. S. Herald, and H. Hammann. 1983. A field guide to Pacific coast fishes of North America. Houghton Mifflin Co., Boston, 336 p.

Gaichas, S. 2002. Squid and other species in the Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands. *In*: Stock assessment and fishery evaluation report for the groundfish resources of the Bering Sea/Aleutian Islands region, November 2002, p. 669-699. North Pacific Fishery Management Council, 605 W. 4th Ave., Suite 306, Anchorage AK 99501.

Gaichas, S. 2003. Squid and other species in the Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands. *In*: Stock assessment and fishery evaluation report for the groundfish resources of the Bering Sea/Aleutian Islands region, November 2003, p. 777-808. North Pacific Fishery Management Council, 605 W. 4th Ave., Suite 306, Anchorage AK 99501.

Goddard, P., and M. Zimmermann. 1993. Distribution, abundance, and biological characteristics of groundfish in the eastern Bering Sea based on results of U.S. bottom trawl surveys during June-

September 1991. AFSC Processed Rep. 93-15, 338 p. (Available from National Marine Fisheries Service, Alaska Fisheries Science Center, 7600 Sand Point Way NE, Seattle WA 98115).

Hanselman, D. H., J. T. Fujioka, C. R. Lunsford, and C. J. Rodgveller. 2009. Assessment of the sablefish stock in Alaska. *In*: Stock assessment and fishery evaluation report for the groundfish resources of the Bering Sea/Aleutian Islands region, November 2009, p. 441-552. North Pacific Fisheries Management Council, 605 W. 4th Ave., Suite 306, Anchorage AK 99501.

Hewitt, D. A., and J. Hoenig. 2005. Comparison of two approaches for estimating natural mortality based on longevity. Fish. Bull. 103:433-437.

Hoenig, J. M. 1983. Empirical use of longevity data to estimate mortality rates. Fish. Bull. 82(1): 898-902.

Hoff, G. R., and L. L. Britt. 2003. The 2002 eastern Bering Sea upper continental slope survey of groundfish and invertebrate resources. U.S. Dep. Commer., NOAA Tech. Memo. NMFS-AFSC-141, 261 p.

Hoff, G. R., and L. L. Britt. 2005. Results of the 2004 eastern Bering Sea upper continental slope survey of groundfish and invertebrate resources. U.S. Dep. Commer., NOAA Tech. Memo. NMFS-AFSC-156, 276 p.

Hoff, G. R., and L. L. Britt. 2009. Results of the 2008 eastern Bering Sea upper continental slope survey of groundfish and invertebrate resources. U.S. Dep. Commer., NOAA Tech. Memo. NMFS-AFSC-197, 294 p.

Iwamoto, T., and D. L. Stein. 1974. A systematic review of the rattail fishes (Macrouridae: Gadiformes) from Oregon and adjacent waters. Occasional Papers of the California Academy of Sciences No. 111, 79 p.

Koslow, J. A., G. W. Boehlert, J. D. M. Gordon, R. L. Haedrich, P. Lorance, and N. Parin. 2000. Continental slope and deep-sea fisheries: implications for a fragile ecosystem. ICES Journal Mar. Sci., 57: 548-557.

Lunsford, C., and T. L. Rutecki. 2010. F/V *Ocean Prowler* cruise report OP-09-01, longline survey of the Gulf of Alaska and eastern Bering Sea, May 26-August 28, 2009. Alaska Fisheries Science Center, Auke Bay Laboratories, 17109 Point Lena Loop Rd., Juneau, AK 99801. 24 p.

Matsui, T., S. Kato and S. E. Smith. 1990. Biology and potential use of Pacific grenadier, *Coryphaenoides acrolepis*, off California. Mar. Fish. Rev. 52(3): 1-17.

Mecklenburg, C. W., T. A. Mecklenburg, and L. K. Thorsteinson. 2002. Fishes of Alaska. Amer. Fish. Soc., Bethesda, Maryland, 1,037 p.

Merrett, N. R. and R. L. Haedrich. 1997. Deep-sea demersal fish and fisheries. Chapman and Hall, London, 282 p.

North Pacific Fishery Management Council. 2008. Proposed amendment to the Fishery Management Plan for Groundfish in the Gulf of Alaska: set overfishing and allowable biological catch specifications for the “other species” assemblage in the Gulf of Alaska, environmental assessment/regulatory impact review/initial regulatory flexibility analysis. North Pacific Fishery Management Council, 605 W 4th Ave., Suite 306, Anchorage AK 99501. 23 p.

North Pacific Fishery Management Council. 2010. Public review draft environmental assessment for Amendment 96 to the Fishery Management Plan for Groundfish of the Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands Management Area and Amendment 87 to the Fishery Management Plan for Groundfish of the Gulf of Alaska to comply with annual catch limit requirements. North Pacific Fishery Management Council, 605 W 4th Ave., Suite 306, Anchorage AK 99501. 64 p.

Novikov, N. P. 1970. Biology of *Chalinura pectoralis* in the North Pacific. *In*: P. A. Moiseev (Editor), Soviet fisheries investigations in the northeastern Pacific, Part V (In Russian). All-Union Scientific Research Institute of Marine Fisheries and Oceanography (VNIRO), Proceedings Vol. 70, and Pacific Scientific Research Institute of Fisheries and Oceanography (TINRO), Proceedings Vol. 72. (Translated by Israel Program for Scientific Translations, Jerusalem, 1972, p. 304-331).

Orlov, A. M., and S. I. Moiseev. 1999. Some biological features of Pacific sleeper shark, *Somniosus pacificus* (Bigelow *et* Schroeder 1944) (Squalidae), in the northwestern Pacific Ocean. Polish Academy of Sciences, National Scientific Committee on Oceanic Research, Institute of Oceanography, University of Gdansk. Oceanological Studies FVIII No. 1-2: 3-16.

Quinn, T. J. II, and R. B. Deriso. 1999. Quantitative fishery dynamics. Oxford University Press, New York, 542 p.

Rodgveller, C. J., C. R. Lunsford, and J. T. Fujioka. 2008. Evidence of hook competition in longline surveys. Fish. Bull. 106: 364-374.

Rodgveller, C. J., D. M. Clausen, J. J. Nagler, and C. Hutchinson. 2010. Reproductive characteristics and mortality of female giant grenadiers in the northern Pacific Ocean. Mar. Coast. Fish.: Dynamics, Management, and Ecosystem Sci. 2:73-82.

Ronholt, L. L., K. Teshima, and D. W. Kessler. 1994. The groundfish resources of the Aleutian Islands region and southern Bering Sea 1980, 1983, and 1986. U.S. Dep. Commer., NOAA Tech. Memo. NMFS-AFSC-31, 351 p.

Sasaki, T., and K. Teshima. 1988. Data report on abundance indices of flatfishes, rockfishes, shortspine thornyhead, and grenadiers based on the results from Japan-U.S. joint longline surveys, 1979-87. (Document submitted to the Annual Meeting of the International North Pacific Fisheries Commission, Tokyo, Japan, 1988 October.) 31 p. Fisheries Agency of Japan, Far Seas Fisheries Research Laboratory, 5-7-1 Orido, Shimizu, Japan 424.

Sigler, M. F., and J. T. Fujioka. 1988. Evaluation of variability in sablefish, *Anoplopoma fimbria*, abundance indices in the Gulf of Alaska using the bootstrap method. Fish. Bull. 86: 445-452.

Tuponogov, V. N. 1997. Seasonal migrations of the grenadier *Coryphaenoides pectoralis* in the Sea of Okhotsk and contiguous waters. Russ. J. Mar. Bio. 23(6):314-321.

Von Szalay, P. G., M. E. Wilkins, and M. M. Martin. 2008. Data report: 2007 Gulf of Alaska bottom trawl survey. U.S. Dep. Commer., NOAA Tech. Memo. NMFS-AFSC-189, 247 p.

Von Szalay, P. G., M. E. Wilkins, and M. M. Martin. 2009. Data report: 2009 Gulf of Alaska bottom trawl survey. U.S. Dep. Commer., NOAA Tech. Memo. NMFS-AFSC-208, 245 p.

Walker, W. A., J. G. Mead, and R. L. Brownell, Jr. 2002. Diets of Baird's beaked whales, *Berardius bairdii*, in the southern Sea of Okhotsk and off the Pacific coast of Honshu, Japan. Marine Mammal Science 18(4): 902-919.

Witherell, D. 1997. Summary of the Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands groundfish fishery management plan. North Pacific Fishery Management Council, 605 W 4th Ave., Suite 306, Anchorage AK 99501. 9 p.

Yang, M-S. 2003. Food habits of the important groundfishes in the Aleutian Islands in 1994 and 1997. AFSC Processed Rep. 2003-07, 233 p. (Available from National Marine Fisheries Service, Alaska Fisheries Science Center, 7600 Sand Point Way NE, Seattle WA 98115).

Yang, M-S., K. Dodd, R. Hibpshman, and A. Whitehouse. 2006. Food habits of groundfishes in the Gulf of Alaska in 1999 and 2001. U.S. Department of Commerce, NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-AFSC-164.

Zenger, H. H., and M. F. Sigler. 1992. Relative abundance of Gulf of Alaska sablefish and other groundfish species based on National Marine Fisheries Service longline surveys, 1988-90. U.S. Dep. Commer., NOAA Tech. Memo. NMFS F/NWC-216, 103 p.

Table 1-1.--Estimated catch (mt) of grenadiers (all species combined) in the eastern Bering Sea, Aleutian Islands, and Gulf of Alaska, 1997-2010.

	Eastern Bering Sea	Aleutian Islands	Gulf of Alaska	Total
1997	2,964	2,887	12,029	17,881
1998	5,011	1,578	14,683	21,272
1999	4,505	2,883	11,388	18,776
2000	4,067	3,254	11,610	18,931
2001	2,294	1,460	9,685	13,439
2002	1,891	2,807	10,479	15,177
2003	2,869	3,558	12,253	18,679
2004	2,223	1,251	11,989	15,463
2005	2,633	1,795	7,251	11,679
2006	2,070	2,195	8,738	13,003
2007	1,628	1,547	9,261	12,436
2008	2,670	2,490	11,508	16,668
2009	2,902	3,743	6,427	13,072
2010	2,052	3,234	5,214	10,500
mean	2,841	2,477	10,180	15,498

Sources: 1997-2001, Gaichas (2002); 2002, S. Gaichas, Unpubl. data, Jan. 2005. NMFS Alaska Fisheries Science Center, REFM Division, 7600 Sand Point Way NE, Seattle WA 98115-0070; 2003-2010, NMFS Alaska Region, Sustainable Fisheries Division, P.O. 21668, Juneau, AK 99802. Catch Accounting System data query, October 10, 2010.

Table 1-2.--Estimated catch (mt) of grenadiers (all species combined) in the eastern Bering Sea, Aleutian Islands, and Gulf of Alaska, by target species/species group, 2003-2010. G. turbot = Greenland turbot; halibut = Pacific halibut; other flat = flatfish species other than Greenland turbot or Pacific halibut; P. cod = Pacific cod; and other sp. = other species.

	Target species/species group						
Year	Sablefish	G. turbot	Halibut	Other flat	P. cod	Rockfish	Other sp.
			Eastern Bering Sea				
2003	598	1,452	355	150	240	9	65
2004	287	1,315	253	79	240	22	29
2005	108	1,975	143	24	334	32	18
2006	420	1,189	183	125	126	12	16
2007	199	1,070	87	7	179	17	68
2008	113	551	1,570	82	148	3	204
2009	542	1,807	99	238	203	6	7
2010	119	1,458	99	188	142	44	2
			Aleutian Islands				
2003	2,016	113	1,376	0	46	6	0
2004	748	14	414	0	13	60	1
2005	979	161	617	0	2	21	16
2006	1,083	328	170	341	120	154	0
2007	895	342	65	108	40	21	76
2008	655	67	1,010	397	26	59	276
2009	1,396	414	259	1,377	13	200	84
2010	922	192	168	1,652	97	10	192
			Gulf of Alaska				
2003	9,500	0	872	1,208	5	613	54
2004	8,568	0	163	420	0	2,830	8
2005	6,371	0	505	109	0	212	54
2006	7,428	0	804	69	22	338	77
2007	8,308	0	554	115	82	198	5
2008	8,249	0	2,656	93	97	165	249
2009	4,421	0	1,514	116	58	289	29
2010	3,931	0	471	261	136	400	15

Source: NMFS Alaska Region, Sustainable Fisheries Division, P.O. 21668, Juneau, AK 99802. Catch Accounting System data query, October 10, 2010.

Table 1-3.--Sex composition (percent) of giant grenadier sampled by observers in the 2007, 2008, and 2009 commercial sablefish fishery, by gear type and area. See Figure 1-1 for sample sizes. BSAI = eastern Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands; GOA = Gulf of Alaska.

	BSAI longline		BSAI pot		GOA longline	
Year	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2007	20.8	79.2	20.6	79.4	20.0	80.0
2008	21.2	78.8	13.3	86.7	20.4	79.6
2009	13.1	86.9	8.0	92.0	17.2	82.8

Table 1-4.--Estimated biomass (mt) of giant grenadier in NMFS trawl surveys in Alaska that sampled the upper continental slope to depths of at least 800 m.

Year	Eastern Bering Sea	Aleutian Islands	Gulf of Alaska
1979	91,500[a]	-	-
1980	-	313,480	-
1981	90,500[a]	-	-
1982	104,700[a]	-	-
1983	-	349,538	-
1984	-	-	169,708
1985	107,600[a]	-	-
1986	-	600,656	-
1987	-	-	135,971
1988	61,400[a]	-	-
1989	-	-	-
1990	-	-	-
1991	73,520[a]	-	-
1992	-	-	-
1993	-	-	-
1994	-	-	-
1995	-	-	-
1996	-	-	-
1997	-	-	-
1998	-	-	-
1999	-	-	389,908
2000	-	-	-
2001	-	-	-
2002	426,397	-	-
2003	-	-	-
2004	666,508	-	-
2005	-	-	587,346
2006	-	-	-
2007	-	-	487,987
2008	449,777	-	
2009	-	-	718,320
2010	660,528	-	-

[a]Estimates are for all species of grenadiers combined

Notes and data sources:

a) Eastern Bering Sea: Depths sampled were to 1,000 m in 1979, 1981, 1982, and 1985; to 800 m in 1988 and 1991; and to 1,200 m in 2002, 2004, 2008, and 2010. Data sources: 1979 to 1988, Bakkala et al. (1992); 1991, Goddard and Zimmermann (1993); 2002, Hoff and Britt (2003); 2004, Hoff and Britt (2005); 2008 and 2010, data on the Alaska Fisheries Science Center's "Racebase" trawl survey database, September 2010, available from the National Marine Fisheries Service, Alaska Fisheries Science Center, RACE Division, 7600 Sand Point Way NE, Seattle, WA 98115.

b) Aleutian Islands: Depths sampled were to 900 m in each survey. Data source: Ronholt et al. (1994).

c) Gulf of Alaska: Depths sampled were to 1,000 m in each survey. Data sources: 1984, 1987, 1999, 2005, 2007, and 2009, data on the Alaska Fisheries Science Center's "Racebase" trawl survey database, September 2010, available from the National Marine Fisheries Service, Alaska Fisheries Science Center, RACE Division, 7600 Sand Point Way NE, Seattle, WA 98115.

Table 1-5.--Comparative biomass estimates (mt) for the three common grenadier species in recent NMFS trawl surveys in Alaska that sampled the upper continental slope. Biomass estimates for the Gulf of Alaska include depths to 1,000 m; estimates for the eastern Bering Sea include depths to 1,200 m.

Region	Year	Giant grenadier	Pacific grenadier	Popeye grenadier
Gulf of Alaska	1999	389,908	8,240	16,260
Gulf of Alaska	2005	587,346	2,252	21,297
Gulf of Alaska	2007	487,987	3,046	15,593
Gulf of Alaska	2009	718,320	6,367	24,893
Eastern Bering Sea	2002	426,397	2,461	50,329
Eastern Bering Sea	2004	666,508	4,039	44,361
Eastern Bering Sea	2008	463,429	4,221	50,665
Eastern Bering Sea	2010	660,528	6,582	70,243

Table 1-6.--Biomass estimates (mt) and associated 95% confidence bounds (mt), variances, and coefficients of variation (cv) for giant grenadier in recent NMFS surveys in Alaska that sampled the upper continental slope. The Gulf of Alaska surveys included depths to 1,000 m, whereas the eastern Bering Sea slope surveys included depths to 1,200 m.

			95% Conf. bounds			
Region	Year	Biomass	Lower	Upper	Variance	cv (%)
Gulf of Alaska	1999	389,908	313,786	466,030	1,418,688,152	9.7
Gulf of Alaska	2005	587,346	420,489	754,202	6,503,760,627	13.7
Gulf of Alaska	2007	487,987	346,802	629,173	4,332,366,537	10.6
Gulf of Alaska	2009	718,320	0	1,484,296	76,136,273,860	38.4
Eastern Bering Sea	2002	426,397	344,922	507,871	1,659,519,194	9.6
Eastern Bering Sea	2004	666,508	527,524	805,491	4,829,084,657	10.4
Eastern Bering Sea	2008	449,777	353,902	545,652	2,298,003,647	10.7
Eastern Bering Sea	2010	660,528	521,035	800,021	4,864,588,623	10.6

Table 1-7.--Giant grenadier relative population weight, by region, in NMFS longline surveys in Alaska, 1990-2010. Dashes indicate years that the eastern Bering Sea or Aleutian Islands were not sampled by the survey. Gulf of Alaska values include data only for the upper continental slope at depths 201-1,000 m and do not include continental shelf gullies sampled in the surveys. Note: relative population weight, although an index of biomass (weight), is a unit-less value.

Year	Eastern Bering Sea	Aleutian Islands	Gulf of Alaska
1990	-	-	1,069,723
1991	-	-	959,567
1992	-	-	805,356
1993	-	-	1,148,754
1994	-	-	1,133,409
1995	-	-	1,402,019
1996	-	1,281,800	1,251,843
1997	840,693	-	1,418,428
1998	-	1,348,632	1,185,404
1999	632,379	-	1,277,141
2000	-	1,743,203	1,230,161
2001	431,114	-	1,198,183
2002	-	1,760,703	1,011,721
2003	592,467	-	1,194,939
2004	-	1,662,371	903,906
2005	771,441	-	943,662
2006	-	1,991,259	963,947
2007	484,294	-	1,404,684
2008	-	1,162,392	1,045,541
2009	795,883	-	1,210,775
2010	-	1,915,769	1,412,304
mean	649,753	1,608,266	1,151,022

Source: Longline survey database, NMFS Alaska Fisheries Science Center, Auke Bay Laboratories, 17109 Point Lena Loop Rd., Juneau, AK 99801. October 2010.

Table 1-8.--Giant grenadier catch rates (number caught per 100 hooks), by area, in NMFS longline surveys in Alaska, 1990-2010. Dashes indicate years that the eastern Bering Sea or Aleutian Islands were not sampled by the survey.

Year	EBS 4	EBS 3	EBS 2	EBS 1	NE AI	SE AI	Shum	Chir	Kod	W Yak	E Yak	SE
1990	-	-	-	-	-	-	22.1	22.1	10.4	5.8	2.4	1.4
1991	-	-	-	-	-	-	21.8	17.8	8.4	4.3	3.2	1.4
1992	-	-	-	-	-	-	19.4	19.3	6.5	3.6	2.3	1.8
1993	-	-	-	-	-	-	24.2	21.8	7.6	5.9	3.3	1.6
1994	-	-	-	-	-	-	25.5	20.4	10.9	3.9	2.0	1.7
1995	-	-	-	-	-	-	30.1	28.4	13.8	6.0	4.0	2.8
1996	-	-	-	-	12.8	22.8	21.5	27.4	16.1	4.5	4.1	2.4
1997	26.1	27.0	10.7	1.9	-	-	27.9	28.3	16.9	9.8	3.2	2.6
1998	-	-	-	-	10.2	25.3	31.6	17.1	11.7	7.7	4.1	3.6
1999	22.3	23.0	7.7	0.2	-	-	24.4	22.2	17.5	8.8	3.9	5.5
2000	-	-	-	-	17.8	28.2	24.7	21.0	13.4	9.1	3.3	4.3
2001	8.0	14.5	7.0	1.6	-	-	26.5	24.4	13.1	8.7	3.6	5.2
2002	-	-	-	-	21.0	27.9	28.3	15.4	11.6	3.4	4.6	4.8
2003	13.3	26.5	7.2	1.3	-	-	26.6	26.6	15.4	7.6	5.1	3.2
2004	-	-	-	-	25.3	24.6	27.6	16.7	8.2	4.9	3.8	2.6
2005	25.9	28.4	10.2	1.6	-	-	25.4	19.7	14.5	8.3	4.0	3.2
2006	-	-	-	-	34.4	24.8	31.6	17.4	9.2	5.9	3.6	3.8
2007	1.1	30.4	7.5	1.7	-	-	34.7	26.6	20.1	13.2	6.0	4.6
2008	-	-	-	-	17.9	22.5	28.7	20.9	13.4	10.7	3.9	3.9
2009	28.4	26.5	12.2	2.6	-	-	28.1	22.0	20.2	10.4	4.2	5.1
2010	-	-	-	-	35.1	27.5	36.5	34.8	19.8	8.6	6.2	5.2
mean	17.9	25.2	8.9	1.6	21.8	25.5	27.0	22.4	13.3	7.2	3.8	3.4

Areas:
EBS 4 = eastern Bering Sea survey area 4
EBS 3 = eastern Bering Sea survey area 3
EBS 2 = eastern Bering Sea survey area 2
EBS 1 = eastern Bering Sea survey area 1
NE AI = Northeast Aleutian Islands
SE AI = Southeast Aleutian Islands
Shum = Shumagin
Chir = Chirikof
Kod = Kodiak
W Yak = West Yakutat
E Yak = East Yakutat
SE = Southeastern

Note: Data not available for the NW and SW Aleutians.

Source: Longline survey database, NMFS Alaska Fisheries Science Center, Auke Bay Laboratories, 17109 Point Lena Loop Rd., Juneau, AK 99801. October 2010.

Table 1-9.--Sex distribution, by depth stratum, of giant grenadier sampled in the 2006-2010 NMFS longline surveys in Alaska. Dashes indicate that a stratum was not sampled.

Depth stratum (m)	No. fish sampled	Percent male	Percent female	No. fish sampled	Percent male	Percent female
			2006 Survey			
	Eastern Aleutian Islands			Gulf of Alaska		
201-300	5	0.0	100.0	176	0.0	100.0
301-400	134	0.0	100.0	1,097	0.5	99.5
401-600	824	1.2	98.8	1,970	1.5	98.5
601-800	684	5.8	94.2	1,876	3.8	96.2
801-1000	278	24.8	75.2	871	10.1	89.9
All depths	1,925	6.2	93.8	5,990	3.2	96.8
			2007 Survey			
	Eastern Bering Sea			Gulf of Alaska		
201-300	220	0.0	100.0	79	0.0	100.0
301-400	415	0.0	100.0	1,013	0.9	99.1
401-600	605	0.3	99.7	2,251	2.0	98.0
601-800	774	1.0	99.0	1,977	5.2	94.8
801-1000	322	6.8	93.2	923	9.9	90.1
All depths	2,336	1.4	98.6	6,243	4.0	96.0
			2008 Survey			
	Eastern Aleutian Islands			Gulf of Alaska		
201-300	57	0.0	100.0	280	1.4	98.6
301-400	263	0.4	99.6	1,242	1.1	98.9
401-600	797	2.1	97.9	2,547	2.8	97.2
601-800	692	3.9	96.1	2,138	3.9	96.1
801-1000	211	7.1	92.9	1,120	7.2	92.8
1,001-1,200	-	-	-	79	29.1	70.9
All depths	2,020	3.0	97.0	7,406	3.7	96.3
			2009 Survey			
	Eastern Bering Sea			Gulf of Alaska		
201-300	219	0.0	100.0	281	0.0	100.0
301-400	481	0.0	100.0	1,365	0.4	99.6
401-600	746	0.1	99.9	2,734	2.4	97.6
601-800	944	1.7	98.3	2,530	4.7	95.3
801-1000	218	5.5	94.5	1,372	6.0	94.0
1,001-1,200	32	28.1	71.9	-	-	-
All depths	2,640	1.4	98.6	8,282	3.3	96.7
			2010 Survey			
	Eastern Aleutian Islands			Gulf of Alaska		
201-300	167	0.0	100.0	393	0.5	99.5
301-400	526	0.0	100.0	1,164	0.4	99.6
401-600	722	1.8	98.2	2,309	1.8	98.2
601-800	612	7.0	93.0	2,136	5.3	94.7
801-1000	173	18.5	81.5	971	12.7	87.3
All depths	2,200	4.0	96.0	6,973	4.1	95.9

Source: 2006-2008, C. Lunsford, NMFS Alaska Fisheries Science Center, Auke Bay Laboratories, 17109 Point Lena Loop Rd., Juneau, AK 99801, pers. comm., October 2006 and October 2008. 2009-2010, Longline survey database, NMFS Alaska Fisheries Science Center, Auke Bay Laboratories, 17109 Point Lena Loop Rd., Juneau, AK 99801, October 2010.



Figure 1-1a.--Raw length frequency distribution of giant grenadiers sampled at sea by observers in the 2007, 2008, and 2009 commercial sablefish fishery in the eastern Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands (BSAI). The distributions are graphed for each of the two major gear types of the fishery, longline and pot.



Figure 1-1b.--Raw length frequency distribution of giant grenadiers sampled at sea by observers in the 2007, 2008, and 2009 commercial sablefish fishery in the Gulf of Alaska (GOA). The distributions are graphed for the major gear type of the fishery, longline.



Figure 1-2.--Depth distribution of giant, Pacific, and popeye grenadier biomass estimates in the 1999, 2005, 2007, and 2009 Gulf of Alaska trawl surveys. Note that the x axis (biomass) scale for 2009 is different than that for the other years due to the very large biomass in the 700-1,000 m stratum in 2009. Also, the depth strata shown in this figure are different than those shown in Figure 1-3 for the eastern Bering Sea slope survey because the surveys had different stratification schemes for depth.



Figure 1-3.--Depth distribution of giant, Pacific, and popeye grenadier biomass estimates in the 2002, 2004, 2008, and 2010 eastern Bering Sea slope trawl surveys. Note: depth strata shown in this figure for the eastern Bering Sea slope are different than those shown in Figure 1-2 for the Gulf of Alaska survey because the surveys had different stratification schemes for depth.



Figure 1-4.--Catch distribution of giant grenadier in the 2009 Gulf of Alaska trawl survey.



Figure 1-5.-- Depth distribution of giant, Pacific, and popeye grenadier catch per unit effort (CPUE) in the 1999, 2005, 2007, and 2009 Gulf of Alaska trawl surveys. Note: depth strata shown in this figure for the Gulf of Alaska are different than those shown in Figure 1-5 for the eastern Bering Sea slope survey because the surveys had different stratification schemes for depth.



Figure 1-6.--Depth distribution of giant, Pacific, and popeye grenadier catch per unit effort (CPUE) in the 2002, 2004, 2008, and 2010 eastern Bering Sea slope trawl surveys. Note: depth strata shown in this figure for the eastern Bering Sea slope are different than those shown in Figure 1-4 for the Gulf of Alaska survey because the surveys had different stratification schemes for depth.



Figure 1-7.--Estimated population size compositions for giant grenadier in recent Alaskan trawl surveys. (GOA = Gulf of Alaska; EBS = eastern Bering Sea slope).



Figure 1-8.--Average depth distribution of giant grenadier relative population weight in longline surveys of the Gulf of Alaska, eastern Aleutian Islands (area of the Aleutian Islands east of 180^{o} w. longitude) , and eastern Bering Sea since 2002. Data on depth distribution are not available for the western Aleutian Islands.



Figure 1-9.--Estimated population size compositions for giant grenadier in the 1992-2010 longline surveys of the Gulf of Alaska. (Figure continued on next two pages).



Figure 1-9. (continued from preceding page).



Figure 1-9. (continued from preceding page).



Figure 1-10.--Estimated population size compositions for giant grenadier in the 1997-2009 longline surveys of the eastern Bering Sea.



Figure 1-11.--Estimated population size compositions for giant grenadier in the 1996-2010 longline surveys of the eastern Aleutian Islands (area of the Aleutian Islands east of 180° w. longitude). Size composition data are not available for the western Aleutian Islands.