

Certificate

Standard Reference Material[®] 660a

Lanthanum Hexaboride Powder Line Position and Line Shape Standard for Powder Diffraction

This Standard Reference Material (SRM) is intended for use as a standard for calibration of diffraction line positions and line shapes determined through powder diffractometry. A unit of SRM 660a consists of approximately 6 g of lanthanum hexaboride powder bottled under argon.

Material Description: The SRM material was prepared via a solid state process, jet milled, and annealed. The powder was de-agglomerated with a kneading operation using a mortar and pestle, then fractionated. The coarse fraction was removed by passing the material through a 15 μ m sieve using isopropanol as a suspending agent. The powder was then dried and bottled under argon. An analysis of X-ray powder diffraction data indicated that the SRM material is homogeneous with respect to diffraction properties.

Certified Value and Uncertainty: The certified lattice parameter for a temperature of 22.5 °C is

$0.41569162 \ nm \ \pm \ 0.00000097 \ nm$

The intervals defined by a value and its uncertainty in this certificate are 95 % confidence intervals for the true value of the mean in the absence of systematic error [1].

Expiration of Certification: The certification of this SRM is deemed to be indefinite within the stated uncertainties, provided the SRM is stored and handled in accordance with the instructions given in this certificate (see Storage section). This material degrades slowly with exposure to humidity. If excessive exposure is suspected, discontinue use.

Storage: SRM 660a was bottled under argon to protect against humidity. When not in use, store the unused portion of this powder tightly capped in the original bottle or in a manner with similar or greater protection against humidity.

This SRM was prepared and certified by J.P. Cline of the NIST Ceramics Division, R.D. Deslattes, J-L. Staudenmann, L.T. Hudson, and A. Henins of the NIST Atomic Physics Division, and R.W. Cheary of the University of Technology, Sydney, Australia.

Statistical analysis was by provided J.J. Filliben of the NIST Statistical Engineering Division.

The support aspects involved in the preparation, certification, and issuance of this SRM were coordinated through the NIST Standard Reference Materials Program by R.J. Gettings and N.M. Trahey.

Stephen W. Freiman, Chief Ceramics Division

Gaithersburg, MD 20899 Certificate Issue Date: 13 September 2000 Nancy M. Trahey, Chief Standard Reference Materials Program Source of Material: The SRM feedstock was obtained from Cerac, Inc., Milwaukee, WI¹.

Certification: The SRM was certified with respect to lattice parameter, which, with a knowledge of the radiation wavelength and crystal symmetry, permits the computation of the diffraction line positions. The certified value was determined from X-ray diffraction data collected on a purpose built NIST diffractometer and analyzed using a *Fundamental Parameters Approach* convolution algorithm [2]. The homogeneity of the SRM material was verified with the *Fundamental Parameters Approach* profile fitting for Rietveld [3] analyses of conventional X-ray powder diffraction data.

The certification measurements of SRM 660a were performed on a diffractometer built for first principles lattice parameter measurements [4]. The source consisted of a 2.2 kW long fine focus, copper target X-ray tube operated at 2.0 kW. The beam preparation optic included a parabolic graded spacing multilayer tungsten/silicon mirror, followed by a flat uniform spacing nickel/carbon multilayer mirror. The dual multilayer optic of the diffractometer exhibited a bandpass of approximately 50 eV that transmitted the $K\alpha_1/K\alpha_2$ emission spectrum of the copper target without distortion. Data analysis procedures used the characterization of the copper $K\alpha_1/K\alpha_2$ doublet offered by G. Hölzer, et al. [5] as the linkage to the International System of Units (SI). The equatorial divergence of the incident beam was approximately 0.01 degrees; this insured the data were free from sample position, beam penetration, and centration errors. Axial divergence of the incident beam was limited by a 1.9 degree Soller slit. The goniometer was equipped with an auto-calibrating optical encoder that resulted in an angular measurement uncertainty of approximately 9.7×10^{-7} rad (0.2", that is 0.2 seconds of arc). The goniometer was capable of scanning both sides of the 2θ zero point. This permitted profile data to be collected symmetrically on either side of the direct beam, thus eliminating the 2θ zero error. Diffracted beam analysis was performed using an equatorial Soller collimator with a geometrical acceptance angle of 0.077 degrees. Axial divergence of the diffracted beam was limited by a 3.2 degree Soller slit. The goniometer radius was 300 mm. The diffractometer was equipped with a sample spinner that rotated the specimens at 1.26 rad/s (12 rpm) during data collection.

Ten units of SRM 660a were selected at random from the population of units during the bottling operation. Certification data were collected from five specimens prepared from material extracted from pairs of the SRM 660a units. Data were collected in selected regions that included at least 22 of the 24 allowed reflections accessible within the 20 range of 18 degrees to 150 degrees. The two symmetric reflections associated with a given hkl reflection were scanned sequentially. The angular widths of the scan ranges were approximately 15 times the observed Full Width Half Maximum (FWHM) values of the profiles. The step width was chosen to include at least eight data points above the FWHM. The count time spent on each profile was inversely proportional to the observed diffraction intensity. The temperature of the specimen was recorded every 10th data point to an uncertainty of ± 0.01 °C.

Peak positions were determined via the *Fundamental Parameters Approach* as implemented in TOPAS [6]. The refined parameters that were allowed to vary with the individual profiles included peak position, intensity, parameters of a linear background function, and the FWHM of a Lorentzian profile used to describe the extent of particle size induced broadening and equatorial divergence of the incident beam. The parameters that were constrained over the entire 20 range included the intensities and positions of the K α_2 and satellite [7] components of the Cu K α emission spectrum, and a parameter describing the convolution of two "top hats" (i.e., a triangle function) used to model the transmission function of the equatorial Soller analyzer. The data sets from each side of the direct beam were refined separately. Certified lattice parameters were determined from the 400, 410, 330, 331, 420, 421, and 332 reflections that were located in the angular region, 80 degrees to 130 degrees 20, wherein the effects of axial divergence are minimal. Axial divergence parameters used in the "full" axial divergence model [8] of TOPAS were set to 3.8 degrees and 6.4 degrees for the primary and secondary axial Soller slit angles, respectively. The lattice parameter was computed from each hkl reflection using the Cu K α_1 wavelength of 0.15405929 nm [5].

The data collection temperature associated with each hkl lattice parameter was the average of eight readings, four from each of the two profiles. The temperature readings used were those that straddled the $K\alpha_1$ and $K\alpha_2$ lines from each reflection recorded during the X-ray data collection. The certification of SRM 640c [9], performed in a manner identical to this certification, indicated that the instrumental effect on the measurements due to local temperature

¹Certain commercial equipment, instruments, or materials are identified in this certificate (or report, if applicable) in order to adequately specify the experimental procedure. Such identification does not imply recommendation or endorsement by the National Institute of Standards and Technology, nor does it imply that the materials or equipment identified are necessarily the best available for the purpose.

variation was indiscernible. Owing to this observation and the lack of credible data on the thermal expansion of LaB_6 in the 20 °C to 26 °C temperature range, the thermal expansion of LaB_6 within this range was determined as part of the certification. This was done with a linear fit to the lattice parameters determined from each hkl reflection as a function of the sample temperature. The lattice parameter values used in the certification were then corrected to a reference temperature of 22.5 °C.

X-ray diffraction (XRD) data for homogeneity testing were collected on two specimens removed from each of the 10 aforementioned units of the SRM material. The XRD data for this testing were collected on a Siemens/Bruker D5000 diffractometer of θ - θ geometry equipped with a 2.2 kW copper tube operated at 1.8 kW, a sample changer/spinner, a graphite post monochromator, and a scintillation detector. The goniometer radius was set to 215 mm, the divergence slit was set to 0.8 degrees, and a 0.2 mm receiving slit was used. Data were collected from selected regions that included the 24 allowed reflections accessible within the 2θ range of 15 degrees to 150 degrees. The peaks were individually scanned in ranges that straddled the K α doublet by at least 20 times the observed FWHM. The step widths were chosen to insure at least eight data points above the FWHM and count times were also chosen so that the profile maxima had approximately the same X-ray count values. TOPAS was used to carry out the Fundamental Parameters Approach to profile fitting for a Rietveld analysis of the LaB_6 profile data. The scale factors and parameters of a linear background function were allowed to vary for each profile. All other parameters were constrained across the full pattern. These included the intensities and positions of the $K\alpha_2$ and satellite components of the Cu Ka emission spectrum, the FWHM of a Lorentzian profile to describe the extent of particle size induced profile broadening, terms indicating the position and intensity of the "tube tails" [10], the axial divergence angle of the diffracted beam, the sample displacement and linear attenuation terms, and the lattice parameter. Homogeneity of the SRM feedstock was judged in the context of variation in the lattice parameter and, secondarily, in the profile shape parameters.

Information Values: The *Fundamental Parameters Approach* analyses performed for the homogeneity testing included the refinement of the FWHM of a Lorentzian profile to account for sample induced broadening. These data indicated the domain size of SRM 660a was 2.0 μ m and that it displayed no strain broadening. SEM analysis indicated that the particles of LaB₆ consist of aggregates of crystallites; the size of the crystallites was in the 2 μ m to 5 μ m range. The thermal expansion of LaB₆ in the 20 °C to 26 °C range is 5.42 × 10⁻⁶ °C⁻¹. The information values for computed peak position and particle size distribution as determined by laser scattering are given in Table 1 and Figure 1, respectively.

Table 1. Peak Positions Computed for SRM 660a Using Cu K α Radiation, $\lambda = 0.15405929$ nm

.

h	k	I	2θ , degrees
1	0	0	21.3578
1	1	0	30.3847
1	1	1	37.4417
2	0	0	43.5064
2	1	0	48.9573
2	1	1	53.9886
2	2	0	63.2182
3	0	0	67.5474
3	1	0	71.7452
3	1	1	75.8438
2	2	2	79.8695
3	2	0	83.8452
3	2	1	87.7913
4	0	0	95.6707
4	1	0	99.6418
3	3	0	103.6602
3	3	1	107.7485
4	2	0	111.9325
4	2	1	116.2437
3	3	2	120.7215
4	2	2	130.4073
5	0	0	135.7986
5	1	0	141.7733
5	1	1	148.6764



Figure 1. Typical Particle Size Distribution as Determined by Laser Scattering

REFERENCES

- [1] "Guide to the Expression of Uncertainty in Measurement," ISBN 92-67-10188-9, 1st Ed., ISO, Geneva, Switzerland, (1993); see also Taylor, B.N. and Kuyatt, C.E., "Guidelines for Evaluating and Expressing the Uncertainty of NIST Measurement Results," NIST Technical Note 1297, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington DC, (1994); available at <u>http://physics.nist.gov/Pubs/</u>.
- [2] Cheary, R.W. and Coelho, A.A., "A Fundamental Parameters Approach to X-ray Line-Profile Fitting," J. Appl. Cryst., 25, pp. 109-121, (1992).
- [3] Rietveld, H.M., "Line Profiles of Neutron Powder Diffraction Peaks for Structure Refinement," Acta Crysallogr., 22, pp. 151-152, (1967); Rietveld, H.M., "A Profile Refinement Method for Nuclear and Magnetic Structures," J. Appl. Cryst., 2, pp. 65-71, (1969); for a complete discussion see, "The Rietveld Method," ed. by Young, R.A., Oxford University Press, (1993) or "Modern Powder Diffraction," ed. by Bish, D.L. and Post, J.E., Rev. Mineral, 20, (1989).
- [4] Deslattes, R.D., Staudenmann, J-L., Hudson, L.T., Henins, A., and Cline, J.P., "Parallel Beam Powder Diffractometry Using a Laboratory X-ray Source," Adv. X-ray Anal., **41**, (1997).
- [5] Hölzer, G., Fritsch, M., Deutsch, M., Härtwig, J., and Förster, E., "Kα_{1,2} and Kβ_{1,3} X-ray Emission Lines of the 3d Transition Metals," Phys. Rev. A, 56, (6), pp. 4554-4568, (1997).
- [6] TOPAS, General Profile and Structure Analysis Software for Powder Diffraction Data," V2.0, Bruker AXS GmbH, Karlsruhe, Germany.
- [7] Maskil, M. and Deutsch, M., "X-ray Kα Satellites of Copper," Phys. Rev. A, 38, pp. 3467-3472, (1988).
- [8] Cheary, R.W. and Coelho, A.A., "Axial Divergence in a Conventional X-ray Powder Diffractometer I, Theoretical Foundations," J. Appl. Cryst., **31**, pp. 851-861, (1998); and Cheary, R.W. and Coelho, A.A., "Axial Divergence in a Conventional X-ray Powder Diffractometer II, Implementation and Comparison with Experiment," J. Appl. Cryst., **31**, pp. 862-868, (1998).
- [9] Cline, J.P., Deslattes, R.D., Staudenmann, J-L., Kessler, E.G., Hudson, L.T., Henins, A., and Cheary, R.W., NIST Certificate, SRM 640c Line Position and Line Profile Standard for Powder Diffraction," NIST, Gaithersburg, MD, (2000).
- [10] Bergmann, J., Kleeberg, R., Haase, A., and Breidenstein, B., "Advanced Fundamental Parameters Model for Improved Profile Analysis," Proceedings of the 5th European Conference on Residual Stresses, Delft-Noordwijkerhout, The Netherlands, September 29-30, 1999, Editors: Böttger, A.J., Delhez, R., and Mittemeijer, E.J., Trans Tech Publications **347-349**, pp. 303-308, (2000).

Users of this SRM should ensure that the certificate in their possession is current. This can be accomplished by contacting the SRM Program at: telephone (301) 975-6776; fax (301) 926-4751; e-mail srminfo@nist.gov; or via the Internet <u>http://www.nist.gov/srm</u>.