APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION

A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): 15 October 2012

В.	DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: Omaha District (NDRO) KLJ for NDDOT/FHWA Grade Raise Project -
PC I	N 19688 NWO-2012-2057-BIS
	·
C	PROJECT LOCATION AND RACKGROUND INFORMATION: Section 13 Township 131 North Range 68 West

C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION: Section 13, Township 131 North, Range 68 West State: North Dakota County/parish/borough: McIntosh City: rural

Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 46.160688 N; Long. -99.147104 W

Universal Transverse Mercator: 14

Name of nearest waterbody: Miller Lake

Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) into which the aquatic resource flows: N/A

Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): Elm | 10160004

Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.

Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc...) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.

D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

Office (Desk) Determination. Date: 20 September 2011

Field Determination. Date(s): 31 July 2012 by KLJ

SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There **ARE NO** "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area. [Required]

Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.

Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce. Explain:

B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There ARE NO "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area. [Required]

1. Waters of the U.S.

a.	Indica	te presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply): 1
		TNWs, including territorial seas
		Wetlands adjacent to TNWs
		Relatively permanent waters ² (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
		Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
		Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
		Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
		Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
		Impoundments of jurisdictional waters
		Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands

b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area:

Non-wetland waters: linear feet: width (ft) and/or acres Wetlands: acres.

c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction based on: Not established at this time.

Elevation of established OHWM (if known): .

2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable):³

Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain: This JD review area contains a glacial lake approximately 890 acres in size and its fringe wetlands. The basin is isolated, intrastate and non-navigable (no boat ramp). No evidence could be found indicating the area is utilized for recreational or industrial purposes. The Lake is located over 10 miles from Green Lake, which is the closest TNW. Although there are several isolated lakes potholes and intermittent drainages nearby, there is currently no hydrological connection between the Lake and any "known to be" jurisdictional waterways.

¹ Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.

² For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).

Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS

- A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs N/A
- B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY): N/A
- C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION N/A
- D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): N/A
- E. ISOLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE,

	DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): 4 N/A
F.	NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): ☐ If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements. ☐ Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce. ☐ Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based solely on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR). ☐ Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain: ☐ Other: (explain, if not covered above):
	Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the sole potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional judgment (check all that apply): Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet width (ft). Lakes/ponds: 890 acres. Potentially 0.83 acre impacted by this grade raise project. Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: Wetlands: 5 acres. Potentially 1.34 acres impacted by this grade raise project.
	Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply): Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet, width (ft). Lakes/ponds: acres. Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: Wetlands: acres.
SE	CTION IV: DATA SOURCES.
Α.	SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked
	and requested, appropriately reference sources below): Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant. Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report. Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.
	Data sheets prepared by the Corps: Corps navigable waters' study: U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: USGS NHD data.
	 ☑ USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps. 10160004 Elm ☑ U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: 1:24,000 Coldwater Lake NW, ND. ☑ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: Coldwater Lake NW, ND. ☑ State/Local wetland inventory map(s):
	Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter: Applicable/supporting case law:

⁴ Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.

Applicable/supporting scientific literature: Other information (please specify): .	•
--	---

B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD: