APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM **U.S. Army Corps of Engineers**

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION

A.	REPORT COMPLETION DATE FO	R APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL	L DETERMINATION (JD): 11	July 2012
----	---------------------------	---------------------------	--------------------------	-----------

B. DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: Omaha District - Sargent - NWO-2012-1552-BIS

PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION: Isolated wetlands. Central Legal Description: S7,8,17,&18,

T131N, R54W						
Wetland No.: 2 3 5 6 7	Wetland Lat/Lon: 46.166595 N / -97.483384 W 46.166346 N / -97.485545 W 46.166249 N / -97.495507 W 46.166549 N / -97.497873 W 46.167385 N / -97.501370 W	Wetland Size (Within project review area): 2.35 3.5 6.5 3.75 12.75				
Name of nea Name of nea Name of wa Check	County/parish/borough: Sargent dinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format):	th the aquatic resource flows: N/A Wild Rice (9020105)				
○ Office	REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): Office (Desk) Determination. Date: 25 June 2012 Field Determination. Date(s):					
	<u>UMMARY OF FINDINGS</u> ON 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTIO	N.				
There Are No "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area. [Required] Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide. Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce. Explain:						
B. CWA SECT	ION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION	ON.				
There Are No "w	aters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA)	jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area. [Required]				
1. Waters a. Indie	of the U.S. cate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (cl. TNWs, including territorial seas Wetlands adjacent to TNWs Relatively permanent waters (RPWs) that flow Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into T Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow direct Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting R Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow direct Impoundments of jurisdictional waters Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including	directly or indirectly into TNWs TNWs ctly or indirectly into TNWs PWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs tly or indirectly into TNWs				
Non-	tify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the re- wetland waters: linear feet: width (ft) ands: acres.					

¹ Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.
² For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).

	c	c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction based on: Pick List Elevation of established OHWM (if known):		
	2.	Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable): Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional Explain: The JD review area contains isolated wetlands. It is determined that the wetlands do not exhibit any discernable surface outlet to WOUS. These wetlands: 1) are not used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreation purposes; 2) do not support fish or shellfish that could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce; and 3) are not used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce		
SE	CTIC	ON III: CWA ANALYSIS		
A.	TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs: N/A			
В.	CF	HARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY): N/A		
c.	SIC	GNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION: N/A		
D.		TERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL IAT APPLY): N/A		
Е.	ISOLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): 4 N/A			
F.	NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements. Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce. Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based solely on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR). Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain: Other: (explain, if not covered above):			
	fact jud	ovide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the <u>sole</u> potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR tors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional gment (check all that apply): Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet width (ft). Lakes/ponds: acres. Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: Wetlands: 28.85 acres.		
		ovide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such ding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply): Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet, width (ft). Lakes/ponds: acres. Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: Wetlands: acres.		
	SUP	ON IV: DATA SOURCES. PORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked requested, appropriately reference sources below): Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant:		

³ Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

⁴ Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.

	Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.				
	Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.				
	Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.				
	Data sheets prepared by the Corps: .				
	Corps navigable waters' study:				
	U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: .				
	USGS NHD data.				
	USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.				
\boxtimes	U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: 1:24,000 – Cayuga NW				
	USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation:				
\boxtimes	National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name:				
	State/Local wetland inventory map(s):				
	FEMA/FIRM maps: .				
	100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929)				
\boxtimes	Photographs: Aerial (Name & Date): USDA 2010.				
	or Other (Name & Date):				
	Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter: .				
	Applicable/supporting case law: .				
	Applicable/supporting scientific literature: .				
	Other information (please specify):				

B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD: See Attached Wetland Location Map.