APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM **U.S. Army Corps of Engineers**

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

SECTION I:	BACKGROUND	INFORMATION
------------	------------	-------------

~						
Α.	REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR	APPROVED	JURISDICTIONAL	DETERMINATION	(JD): 9 Jul	v 2012

DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: Omaha District - Sargent - NWO-2012-1549-BIS

C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION: Isolated wetlands. Central Legal Description: S26,35, T131N, R58W			
	Wetland Lat/Lon: 46.124283 N / -97.911956 W	Wetland Size (Within project review area): .55	
Name of nearest waterbo Name of nearest Traditio Name of watershed or Hy Check if map/diagra	Universal Transverse Mercator: leady: Bear Creek onal Navigable Water (TNW) into which ydrologic Unit Code (HUC): Upper Ja am of review area and/or potential juris	ch the aquatic resource flows: N/A	
	ED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHE mination. Date: 25 June 2012 n. Date(s):	ECK ALL THAT APPLY):	
SECTION II: SUMMARY A. RHA SECTION 10 DET	<u>OF FINDINGS</u> ERMINATION OF JURISDICTIO	N.	
review area. [Required] Waters subject to th	e ebb and flow of the tide.	bors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce.	
B. CWA SECTION 404 DE	TERMINATION OF JURISDICTION	ON.	
There Are No "waters of the U	U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA)	jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area. [Required]	
TNWs, in Wetlands Relatively Non-RPW Wetlands Wetlands Wetlands Impoundr	te of waters of U.S. in review area (cluding territorial seas adjacent to TNWs permanent waters (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into directly abutting RPWs that flow directly abutting R adjacent to but not directly abutting R adjacent to non-RPWs that flow direct ments of jurisdictional waters interstate or intrastate) waters, including	r directly or indirectly into TNWs TNWs ctly or indirectly into TNWs PWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs tly or indirectly into TNWs	
b. Identify (estimat Non-wetland wat Wetlands:	te) size of waters of the U.S. in the reers: linear feet: width (ft) acres.		

c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction based on: Pick List Elevation of established OHWM (if known):

^{2.} Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable): ³

¹ Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.
² For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).

		Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain: The JD review area contains isolated wetlands. It is determined that the wetlands do not exhibit any discernable surface outlet to WOUS. These wetlands: 1) are not used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreation purposes; 2) do not support fish or shellfish that could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce; and 3) are not used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce
SE	CTION II	I: CWA ANALYSIS
A.	TNWs A	ND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs: N/A
В.	CHAR A	ACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY): N/A
C.	SIGNIF	CANT NEXUS DETERMINATION: N/A
D.		MINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL PPLY): N/A
E.	DEGRA	ED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY VATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): 4 N/A
F.	☐ If po Wet ☐ Rev ☐ Wat	RISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): obtential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers land Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements. It was area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce. Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based solely on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR). It is a substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce. It is a substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce. It is a substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce. It is a substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce. It is a substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce. It is a substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce. It is a substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce. It is a substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce. It is a substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce. It is a substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce. It is a substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce. It is a substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce. It is a substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce. It is a substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce. It is a substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce. It is a substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce. It is a substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce. It is a substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce. It is a substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce. It is a substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce. It is a substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce. It is a substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce. It is a substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce (or fo
	factors (i judgment Non Lak Othe Wet	acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the <u>sole</u> potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR a.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional acrease (check all that apply): wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet width (ft). es/ponds: acres.
SE	CTION IV	7: DATA SOURCES.
Α.	and reque	FING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked ested, appropriately reference sources below): by, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: a sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant. Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report. Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report. a sheets prepared by the Corps: by navigable waters' study:

³ Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

⁴ Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.

	U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: .		
	USGS NHD data.		
	USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.		
\boxtimes	U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: 1:24,000 – STRAUBVILLE		
	USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation:		
\boxtimes	National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name:		
	State/Local wetland inventory map(s): .		
	FEMA/FIRM maps: .		
	100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929)		
\boxtimes	Photographs: ☐ Aerial (Name & Date): USDA 2010.		
	or Other (Name & Date):		
	Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter: .		
	Applicable/supporting case law: .		
	Applicable/supporting scientific literature: .		
	Other information (please specify):		

B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD: See Attached Wetland Location Map.