## APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): 18 July 2012

	DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: Omaha District (ND)   Builders Management and Investment Company,   NWO-2012-0959-BIS
C.	PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION: Isolated Wetland  State:North Dakota County/parish/borough: Cass City: Fargo  Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 46.823293 N; Long96.850110 W  Universal Transverse Mercator:  Name of nearest waterbody: County Drainage Ditch that flows two miles east into the Red River of the North  Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) into which the aquatic resource flows: Red River of the North  Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): Upper Red   09020104  Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.  Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.
SE(	REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):  Office (Desk) Determination. Date: 3 July 2012  Field Determination. Date(s):  CTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS  RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
	re Are no "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the ew area. [Required]  Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.  Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce. Explain:
	CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
i he	1. Waters of the U.S.  a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply):  TNWs, including territorial seas  Wetlands adjacent to TNWs Relatively permanent waters <sup>2</sup> (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Impoundments of jurisdictional waters Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands
	b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area:

## c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction based on:

Wetlands: acres.

Elevation of established OHWM (if known):

## 2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable):<sup>3</sup>

Non-wetland waters: linear feet: width (ft) and/or acres.

Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain: WPC, Inc. identified a large (1.5 acre) wetland basin within the study area. A formal wetland delineation was not done; however, WPC staff was on-site and noted hydrophytic vegetation such as cattails and reed canary grass. They also noted that much of the site was inundated. They could not locate any ditches, drains or swales connecting this wetland to the nearby County drainage ditch. leaving the siteIt is isolated, intrastate and non-navigable. It is not utilized for recreational or

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).

Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

industrial purposes; therefore, there is no nexus to interstate commerce. While it is in close proximity to the County drainage ditch, the wetland does not appear to have any hydrological connection.

F.	NO	N-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):
		If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers
		Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements.
	$\bowtie$	Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce.
		Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based solely on the
		"Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR).
	H	Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain:  Others (explain: if not accounted shows):
	Ш	Other: (explain, if not covered above):
	Prov	vide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the sole potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR
		ors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional
		gment (check all that apply):
		Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet width (ft).
		Lakes/ponds: acres.
		Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: .
	$\boxtimes$	Wetlands: 1.5 acres.
	ъ	
		vide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such adding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply):
		Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet, width (ft).
	Ħ	Lakes/ponds: acres.
		Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: .
		Wetlands: acres.
	_	
SEC	CTIO	NIV: DATA SOURCES.
A. S		PORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked
		requested, appropriately reference sources below):
	$\boxtimes$	Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant:
	$\triangle$	Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.  Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.
		Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.
		Data sheets prepared by the Corps: .
	Ħ	Corps navigable waters' study: .
	$\boxtimes$	U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: .
	_	USGS NHD data.
		☐ USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps. 09020104   Upper Red
	$\square$	U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: 1:24,000   Fargo South, ND.
		USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation:
	$\boxtimes$	National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: Fargo South, ND.
		State/Local wetland inventory map(s):
	$\vdash$	FEMA/FIRM maps:
		100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929)
		Photographs:  ☐ Aerial (Name & Date): Provided by Applicant.  or ☐ Other (Name & Date): ArcGIS/ORM2/Google Earth Pro.
		Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter:
		Applicable/supporting case law:
	H	Applicable/supporting scientific literature:
		Other information (please specify): .
		Other information (please specify).

## B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD: