APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

SECTION I:	BA	CKGROUND	INFORMATION
------------	----	----------	-------------

Α.	REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION	(JD):	16 February	2012
----	--	----------------	-------------	------

B. DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: Omaha District - Ward County - NWO-2012-0241-BIS

υ.	DISTRICT OFFIC	SE, FILE WANTE, AND NOWIDER. OIL	iana District – Waru County - 1000-2012-0241-Dis
	PROJECT LOCA 4W	TION AND BACKGROUND INFORM	1ATION: Isolated Lake. Central Legal Description: S26,35 - T151N -
We	etland No.:	Wetland Lat/Lon:	Wetland Size (Within project review area):
1		47.862670 N / -101.396437 W	24.6
2		47.862701 N / -101.380350 W	14.9
St	tate: ND	County/parish/borough: Ward	City: N/A
): Lat. 47.862670 ° N , Long101.396437 ° W .
		Universal Transverse Mercator	:: 14
		aterbody: Middle Branch Douglas Creek	
		aditional Navigable Water (TNW) into w	
		or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): Lake S	akakawea (10110101) risdictional areas is/are available upon request.
			al sites, etc) are associated with this action and are recorded on a
	different JD fo		ar sites, etc) are associated with this action and are recorded on a
D.	Office (Desk) Field Determin	RMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CI Determination. Date: 03 February 2012 nation. Date(s):	HECK ALL THAT APPLY):
		ARY OF FINDINGS DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTI	ON.
	riew area. [Required]	-	arbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the
		t to the ebb and flow of the tide.	
	Waters are pre Explain:	sently used, or have been used in the past .	, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce.
В.	CWA SECTION 40	4 DETERMINATION OF JURISDIC	TION.
The	ere Are No "waters of	f the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA	a) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area. [Required]
	1. Waters of the U	U .S.	
	a. Indicate pr	esence of waters of U.S. in review area	(check all that apply): 1
	☐ TNV	Vs, including territorial seas	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	☐ Wetl	lands adjacent to TNWs	
	Rela	tively permanent waters ² (RPWs) that flo	ow directly or indirectly into TNWs
		-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into	
		lands directly abutting RPWs that flow di	
		•	RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
		lands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow dire	ectly or indirectly into TNWs
		oundments of jurisdictional waters ated (interstate or intrastate) waters, include	ling isolated wetlands
		tion (interstance of intrastance) fraction intertaction	FALLS AUGINEUM 11 VIAMANDU

b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area: Non-wetland waters: linear feet: width (ft) and/or

Non-wetland waters: linear feet: width (ft) and/or acres. Wetlands: acres.

c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction based on: Pick List

Elevation of established OHWM (if known): .

2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable): ³

¹ Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.

² For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).

	surface outlet to WOUS. These wetlands: 1) are not used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreation purposes; 2) do not support fish or shellfish that could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce; and 3) are not used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce
SE	CTION III: CWA ANALYSIS
A.	TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs: N/A
В.	CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY): N/A
c.	SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION: N/A
D.	DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): N/A
Е.	ISOLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): 4 N/A
F.	NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): ☐ If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements. ☐ Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce. ☐ Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based solely on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR). ☐ Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain: ☐ Other: (explain, if not covered above): ☐ Other: (explain, if not covered above):
	Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the <u>sole</u> potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional judgment (check all that apply): Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet width (ft). Lakes/ponds: acres. Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: Wetlands: 39.5 acres.
	Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply): Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet, width (ft). Lakes/ponds: acres. Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: Wetlands: acres.
SE(CTION IV: DATA SOURCES.
Α.	SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference sources below): Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant. Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report. Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report. Data sheets prepared by the Corps: Corps navigable waters' study:

Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain: The JD review area contains isolated wetlands. It is determined that the wetlands do not exhibit any discernable

³ Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

⁴ Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.

	U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: .
	USGS NHD data.
	USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.
\boxtimes	U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: 1:24,000 – Douglas East
	USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation:
\boxtimes	National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: .
	State/Local wetland inventory map(s):
	FEMA/FIRM maps: .
	100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929)
\boxtimes	Photographs: Aerial (Name & Date): USDA 2010.
	or Other (Name & Date):
	Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter: .
	Applicable/supporting case law: .
	Applicable/supporting scientific literature: .
	Other information (please specify):

B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD: See Attached Wetland Location Map.