## APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM **U.S. Army Corps of Engineers**

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

SECTION I:	BACKGROUND INFORMATION
------------	------------------------

Α.	REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINAT	ION (JD	): 10 A	pril 2012

В.	DISTRICT OFFICE.	, FILE NAME,	AND NUMBER:	Omaha District -	· NDDOT ·	- NWO-2011-2548-BIS

В.	DISTRICT OFF	TICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: Oma	aha District - NDDOT - NWO-2011-2548-BIS
C. R83		ATION AND BACKGROUND INFORM	IATION: Isolated wetlands. Central Legal Description: S34, T144N,
We 1	tland No.:	Wetland Lat/Lon: 47.242631 N / -101.186918 W	Wetland Size (Within project review area): .03
St	Name of nearest Name of watershe	Universal Transverse Mercator: waterbody: Missouri River Fraditional Navigable Water (TNW) into whe dor Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): Painted p/diagram of review area and/or potential jurger sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposa	nich the aquatic resource flows: N/A
D.	Office (Desk	ORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CE c) Determination. Date: 27 March 2012 nination. Date(s):	HECK ALL THAT APPLY):
		<u>MARY OF FINDINGS</u> 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION	ON.
rev	iew area. [Required	oct to the ebb and flow of the tide. resently used, or have been used in the past,	arbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce.
В.	CWA SECTION 4	404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICT	TON.
The	ere Are No "waters	of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA)	) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area. [Required]
	TN   Wo   Re   No   Wo   Wo   Wo   Im	oresence of waters of U.S. in review area (NWs, including territorial seas etlands adjacent to TNWs elatively permanent waters (RPWs) that flow on-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into etlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly abutting RPWs that	w directly or indirectly into TNWs TNWs rectly or indirectly into TNWs RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs setly or indirectly into TNWs
	• .	estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the and waters: linear feet: width (ft	

c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction based on: Pick List Elevation of established OHWM (if known):

acres.

Wetlands:

2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable): <sup>3</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.
<sup>2</sup> For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).

		Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain: The JD review area contains isolated wetlands. It is determined that the wetlands do not exhibit any discernable surface outlet to WOUS. These wetlands: 1) are not used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreation purposes; 2) do not support fish or shellfish that could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce; and 3) are not used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce
SE	CTION II	I: CWA ANALYSIS
A.	TNWs A	AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs: N/A
В.	CHARA	ACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY): N/A
c.	SIGNIF	ICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION: N/A
D.		MINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL APPLY): $N/A$
Е.	DEGRA	TED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY VATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): 4 N/A
F.	☐ If po Wet ☐ Rev ☐ Wat	URISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): otential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers tland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements. iew area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce.  Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based solely on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR).  ters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain:  er: (explain, if not covered above):
	factors (i judgmen Nor Lak Oth Wet	acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the <u>sole</u> potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional t (check all that apply):  n-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet width (ft).  nes/ponds: acres.  er non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource:  tlands: .03 acres.  acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply):
	Nor Lak	n-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet, width (ft). es/ponds: acres. er non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: . tlands: acres.
SE(	CTION IV	V: DATA SOURCES.
	SUPPOR and reque Map Data	TING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked ested, appropriately reference sources below): ps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: a sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.  Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.  Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report. a sheets prepared by the Corps: ps navigable waters' study:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.

	U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: .
	USGS NHD data.
	USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.
$\boxtimes$	U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: 1:24,000 – FORT CLARK
	USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: .
$\boxtimes$	National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: USFWS.
	State/Local wetland inventory map(s): .
	FEMA/FIRM maps: .
	100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929)
$\boxtimes$	Photographs: Aerial (Name & Date): USDA 2010.
	or Other (Name & Date):
	Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter: .
	Applicable/supporting case law: .
	Applicable/supporting scientific literature: .
	Other information (please specify):

B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD: See Attached Wetland Location Map.