Safety & Security Culture Policy Statement Workshop

Shawn W. Seeley, Chair-elect
Organization of Agreement States
February 3, 2009

OAS

Organization of Agreement States

Combining Expectations

- Both are necessary
  - Need better definition of goal(s)
  - Must use a common sense approach

- Performance based
  - Already done for Health & Safety
  - Why not include Security – does it have to be so "prescriptive"?

Combining Expectations

- Challenges by States
  - Unfunded mandates?
  - Limited resources?

- Safety & Security are interconnected
  - Currently being done in most States
  - Is it really different?

Materials Area Attention

- Need to "Simplify Guidance"
  - Make it "un-burdensome"

- What is the relative risk vs benefit?

- Need uniformity based upon sound policy

Materials Area Attention

- Current level of understanding:
  - Need to be generic enough but address the various types of licensees

  - Is NRC only reacting to Congress at the expense of the States?

Moving Forward

- Questions to ponder:
  - If you are not secure are you not safe?
  - If you are not safe are you not secure?

  - If IAEA doesn't view safety & security differently, why should we?

Moving Forward

- Look at Vulnerability vs Actual Risk?
  – i.e. Portable gauges/GL's vs Table 1 licensees

- What actual data do we have for basing our decisions or success/failure?
  – i.e. how many devices have been stolen/attempted stolen before vs after the implementation of increased security requirements

Moving Forward

- Need to "Listen to the Stakeholder"
  - Presumption that NRC knows best

- Need to continue "Questioning Attitude"

- Need to continue to "Trust but Verify"
  - Don't assume things are as they appear

Thank you!

Questions