

# **PETER PAN FLEET COOPERATIVE**

## **2009 Annual Report**

February 1st, 2010

Final Report

## 2009 PETER PAN FLEET COOP REPORT:

### 1.0 Introduction:

The 2009 Peter Pan Fleet Co-Op was allocated 10,178.66 mt of the Inshore component of the BSAI 2009 pollock TAC.

The individual catcher vessels belonging to the PP Fleet CoOp were given individual percentages of the Co-Op's allocation based on (confirmed by NMFS) historical catch brought to the PP Fleet CoOp.

This is showed in Table 1-A.

Using information on historical catch history percentages, table 1-B shows you how pollock allocations were given for the entire year.

Fishing Vessel:	Historical poundage:	%
AJ	6,713,460	24.19%
American Beauty	352,159	1.27%
Elizabeth F	3,688,935	13.29%
Morning Star	5,273,093	19.00%
Ocean Leader	524,004	1.89%
Oceanic	1,289,793	4.65%
Pacific Challenger	1,610,473	5.80%
Providian	3,656,200	13.17%
Topaz	792,463	2.85%
Walter N	3,856,933	13.90%
<b>TOTAL:</b>	<b>27,757,513</b>	<b>100.00%</b>
<i>total history (best of 2)</i>	<i>55,515,026 pounds</i>	
	<i>12,590.73 mts</i>	

Fishing Vessel:	Historical poundage:	%	A Season	B Season	A+B	A/B MT
AJ	6,713,460	24.19%	2,170,931	3,256,397	5,427,328	2461.82
American Beauty	352,159	1.27%	113,878	170,816	284,694	129.14
Elizabeth F	3,688,935	13.29%	1,192,891	1,789,336	2,982,227	1352.73
Morning Star	5,273,093	19.00%	1,705,160	2,557,740	4,262,900	1933.64
Ocean Leader	524,004	1.89%	169,447	254,171	423,618	192.15
Oceanic	1,289,793	4.65%	417,080	625,620	1,042,700	472.97
Pacific Challenger	1,610,473	5.80%	520,779	781,168	1,301,947	590.56
Providian	3,656,200	13.17%	1,182,305	1,773,458	2,955,763	1340.73
Topaz	792,463	2.85%	256,259	384,388	640,647	290.60
Walter N	3,856,933	13.90%	1,247,216	1,870,824	3,118,040	1414.33
<b>TOTAL:</b>	<b>27,757,513</b>	<b>100.00%</b>	<b>8,975,946</b>	<b>13,463,918</b>	<b>22,439,864</b>	<b>10178.66</b>

### 1.1 List of Member Vessels:

Vessel Name:	ADF&G:	AFA #:
AJ	57934	3405
American Beauty	24255	1688
Elizabeth F	14767	0823
Morning Star	70323	6204
Ocean Leader	00032	1229
Oceanic	03404	1667
Pacific Challenger	06931	657
Providian	70709	6308
Topaz	40250	0405
Walter N	34919	0825

2.0 Pollock allocations to PPF CoOp members and actual catch:

Bering Sea Pollock catches were recorded and monitored through the PPSF King Cove shorebased plant Office. See Tables 2-A & 2-B for details of harvest schedules.

TABLE 2-A PPSF FLEET COOPERATIVE - 2009 INTRA COOPERATIVE TRANSFERS AND HARVESTS						
VESSEL	ANNUAL ALLOCATION	OWNER HARVESTED	INTRA CO-OP TRANSFERS	CONTRACT	HARVESTED	REMAINING
AJ	5,427,328		2,187,491	3,238,948	5,426,439	889
AMERICAN BEAUTY	284,694	284,694			284,694	0
ELIZABETH F	2,982,227	2,399,355			2,399,355	582,872
MORNING STAR	4,262,899		24,834	4,238,026	4,262,860	39
OCEAN LEADER	423,618		276,872	146,630	423,502	116
OCEANIC	1,042,701	1,042,701			1,042,701	0
PACIFIC CHALLENGER	1,301,946	555,099		746,635	1,301,734	212
PROVIDIAN	2,955,763		1,309,207	1,646,072	2,955,279	484
TOPAZ	640,647	640,647			640,647	0
WALTER N	3,118,041	3,118,041			3,118,041	0
TOTAL	22,439,864	8,040,537	3,798,404	10,016,311	21,855,252	584,612
MT:	10,179	3,647	1,723	4,543	9,913	265

TABLE 2-B PPS FLEET COOPERATIVE 2009 - CONTRACT FISHING SCHEDULE				
VESSEL	CONTRACTING COOP	HOME COOP	DELIVERED TO	HARVEST AMT
VIKING EXPLORER	Peter Pan Fleet Coop	Akutan Catcher Vessel Association	PPSF KING COVE	1,633,965
COLUMBIA	Peter Pan Fleet Coop	Akutan Catcher Vessel Association	PPSF KING COVE	529,009
MAJESTY	Peter Pan Fleet Coop	Akutan Catcher Vessel Association	PPSF KING COVE	403,812
MORNING STAR	Peter Pan Fleet Coop	Unalaska Fleet Coop	WESTWARD DH	1,353,414
MORNING STAR	Peter Pan Fleet Coop	Unalaska Fleet Coop	PPSF KING COVE	2,353,974
GREAT PACIFIC	Peter Pan Fleet Coop	Unalaska Fleet Coop	ALYESKA	1,368,103
ARCTIC WIND	Peter Pan Fleet Coop	Westward Fleet Coop	WESTWARD DH	551,062
NORTHERN PATRIOT	Peter Pan Fleet Coop	Akutan Catcher Vessel Association	AKUTAN	34,532
NORTHERN PATRIOT	Peter Pan Fleet Coop	Akutan Catcher Vessel Association	PPSF KING COVE	305,868
DEFENDER	Peter Pan Fleet Coop	Unisea Coop	WESTWARD DH	1,482,572
TOTAL				10,016,311

### **3.0 CO-OP CATCHER VESSEL SIDEBOARD LIMITATIONS, BSAI & GOA.**

**Peter Pan Fleet Co-op for the year 2009 fishing season was made up of 10 catch histories**

- \*\* Of the PPF co-ops 10 catch histories, there are 7 vessels participating in the harvest of these allocations.
- \*\* 4 of these 7 vessels were Non-Exempt-vessels fishing in both the mothership and the shoreside sectors.
- \*\* The other 3 vessels were under 99 GOA Exempt vessels
- \*\* It is to the understanding of the PPF Co-OP that these vessels fishing both mothership and shoreside sectors we're to be monitored by the mothership sector.

**BSAI P. Cod fishing:**

- \*\* None of the PPF non-exempt vessels participated in this fishery.

**GOA Sideboard cod fisheries:**

- \*\* None of the PPF non-exempt vessels participated in this fishery.

**Directed Yellowfin and other flatfish fisheries:**

- \*\* None of the PPF non-exempt vessels participated in this fishery.

## GOA Exempt Vessels GOA Harvest:

<b>F/V Topaz</b>	<b>Pounds</b>	<b>F/V Walter N</b>	<b>Pounds</b>	<b>F/V Elizabeth F</b>	<b>Pounds</b>
Alaska Plaice	50	Butter Sole	18,910	Arrowtooth (Discard)	484
Arrowtooth flounder	1,697,875	Flathead Sole	3,135	Butter Sole (Discard)	11,984
Bairdi tanner crab	5	Lingcod	1,810	Butter Sole	62,247
Big Skate	15,839	Pacific Cod	499,371	Dover Sole (Discard)	573
Black rockfish	174	Pacific Ocean Perch	311,662	English Sole	102
Butter Sole	176,988	Pollock	1,916,789	Flathead Sole	17,338
Dover Sole	17,754	Rex Sole	1,120	GrenadierGiant (Discard)	143
Halibut	5,524	Rock Sole	33,840	Halibut (Discard)	4
Lingcod	2,719	Rockfish, Dusky	105	Lingcod	64
Octopus	14	Rockfish, Roughey	89	Pacific Cod	580,334
Pacific cod	622,056	Rockfish, Shortraker	8,468	Pacific Cod (Discard)	32
Pacific Herring	13,938	Rockfish, Idiot	128	Pacific Ocean Perch	484,208
Pacific Ocean perch	764,128	Sablefish	12,653	Poacher (Discard)	3
Pollock	2,220,424	Skates, Big	7,661	POP (Discard)	7,344
Prowfish	215	Squid	11,220	Pollock	1,311,576
Rockfish, dusky	305,034			Pollock (Discard)	108
Rockfish, idiot	4,720			Prowfish (Discard)	19
Rockfish, northern	258,686			Rex Sole	648
Rockfish, Redbanded	71			Rex Sole (Discard)	597
Rockfish, roughey	1,154			Rock Sole	3,811
Rockfish, yelloweye	215			Rockfish, Dusky	147
Sablefish	32,979			Rockfish, Northern	106
Salmon, Chum	1,494			Rockfish, Shortraker	110
Salmon, King	1,093			Rockfish, Idiot	1,034
Sculpin	475			Rockfish, Idiot (Discard)	143
Shark, Pacific Sleeper	4,000			Sablefish	10,062
Shark, salmon	4,275			Skate	2,897
Shark, Spiny Dogfish	244			Skate, Longnose	43
Skate, longnose	52,300			Squid	5,280
Sole, english	3,329				
Sole, flathead	159,561				
Sole, rex	68,866				
Sole, rock	498,254				
Squid	26,795				

2009 BSAI SIDEBOARD SPECIES LANDED BY POLLOCK BOATS

Fishing Vessel	110 Pacific Cod	121 Arrow Fldr	122 Flathead Sole	123 Rock Sole	125 Rex Sole	127 Yellowfin Sole	142 Black Rf	193 Atka Mackerel	141 P.O. Pearch	134 Turbot	875 Squid
GREAT PACIFIC	8,566	12,520	2,446	0	8,462	0	0	0	330	0	0
ARCTIC WIND	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
DEFENDER	176	0	108	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
AMERICAN BEAUTY	59,776	14,158	77,063	10,958	2,443	2,415	11	53	729	0	1,194
ELIZABETH F	30,451	2,291	35,066	8,703	710	0	0	0	1	0	0
MAJESTY	2,609	1,832	806	122	0	0	0	0	349	0	0
MORNING STAR	2,786	225	6,146	2,801	78	0	7	0	0	95	33
NORTHERN PATRIOT	4,174	533	3,906	17	256	0	0	1	39	0	16
OCEANIC	5,811	5,910	2,719	1,699	1,408	0	4	8	0	10	121
PACIFIC CHALLENGER	8,317	483	4,337	9,593	37	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOPAZ	1,435	119	568	37	64	0	5	0	0	0	0
VIKING EXPLORER	3,895	1,334	1,852	10	178	0	0	0	15	169	0
WALTER N	52,492	2,921	63,227	14,021	1,463	653	7	0	292	32	64
Grand Total:	180,488	42,326	198,244	47,861	15,099	3,068	34	62	1,755	306	1,428

4.0 PSC USAGE BY THE PPSF CO-OP FISHING VESSELS (Pollock Deliveries only 2009)

ANNUAL

Fishing Vessel	200 Halibut LBS	931 Bairdi Crab	932 Opllio Crab	921 Red Crab	922 Blue King Crab	410 King Salmon Count	410 King Salmon LBS	420 Sockeye Salmon	450 Chum Salmon	235 Herring	430 Coho Salmon	440 Pink Salmon
GREAT PACIFIC	37660	276	0	0	0	120	1019	0	189	5	0	0
ARCTIC WIND	1112	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	46	0	0	0
DEFENDER	56876	0	1	0	0	1	7	0	7	0	0	0
AMERICAN BEAUTY	24255	3235	3	0	0	91	645	0	43	4	3	1
ELIZABETH F	14787	288	0	0	0	11	115	2	553	1	3	5
MAJESTY	38431	136	0	0	0	0	0	4	352	20	0	0
MORNING STAR	60650	92	0	0	0	0	0	1	21	0	0	0
NORTHERN PATRIOT	55153	79	0	0	0	3	13	0	1	0	0	0
OCEANIC	03404	840	0	0	0	42	295	0	3	0	0	0
PACIFIC CHALLENGER	06931	122	0	0	0	2	37	0	0	0	0	0
TOPAZ	36045	0	0	0	0	1	2	3	15	0	0	1
VIKING EXPLORER	40250	237	0	0	0	0	0	5	15	2	0	6
WALTER N	34919	721	0	0	0	9	82	0	23	0	0	1
Grand Total:	6,006	4	0	0	0	280	2,216	15	1,268	32	6	14

SALMON BY SEASON

	410 King Salmon Count	420 Sockeye Salmon	450 Chum Salmon	430 Coho Salmon	440 Pink Salmon
Grand Total: A SEASON	154	0	0	0	0
Grand Total: B SEASON	128	15	1,268	6	14

**NUMBER OF WEEKLY APPEARANCES ON DIRTY 20 LIST FOR 2009:**

Vessel	AFA #:	Chinook	Chum
AJ	3405	0	0
American Beauty	1688	1	0
Elizabeth F	0823	2	1
Morning Star	6204	0	0
Ocean Leader	1229	0	0
Oceanic	1667	0	0
Pacific Challenger	657	0	0
Providian	6308	0	0
Topaz	0405	0	0
Walter N	0825	0	0
Majesty	3996	0	1
Great Pacific	511	1	0
Morning Star	208	1	0

Salmon are avoided through an industry rolling Hot Spot Program.

**NUMBER OF SALMON AVOIDED REPORT:**

See attached 2009 Salmon ICA Report

**THE THIRD PARTY COMPLIANCE AUDIT:**

See attached 2009 Salmon ICA Report

**CLOSURE AREA COMPLIANCE VIOLATIONS:**

The Peter Pan Fleet Coop did not have any closure area compliance violations in 2009



Report to the North Pacific Fishery Management Council  
on the 2009  
Bering Sea Pollock Intercooperative Salmon Avoidance  
Agreement

Karl Haflinger, Sea State Inc. - Intercoop Monitor  
John Gruver, AFA Catcher Vessel Intercooperative Manager

This report is to the North Pacific Fishery Management Council and covers the Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands Management Area (BSAI) Pollock Intercoop Salmon Avoidance Agreement (“ICA”). During the course of the fishery, the pollock Intercoop closed 25 areas to fishing in the 2009 A season and 39 areas during the 2009 B season, based on high bycatch rates of chinook or chum salmon experienced by vessels working in the area. In addition, the “Chinook Conservation Area” (approximately 735 sq. miles) was again closed during the 2009 A season. Maps of the closures are shown in Appendix 1.

Under the terms of the ICA, applicants are to submit to the Council a report analyzing:

1. Number of salmon taken by species during the experiment
2. Estimated number of salmon avoided as demonstrated by the movement of fishing effort away from salmon hot-spots.
3. A list of each vessel’s number of appearances on the weekly dirty 20 lists for both salmon species
4. A compliance/enforcement report that will include the results of an external audit designed to evaluate the accuracy of the approach used by Sea State to monitor compliance with the agreement, and a report on the effectiveness of enforcement measures stipulated under the ICA in cases of non-compliance. Examination of a randomly selected subset of vessel/days representing 10% of the catch during the experiment will be used as the basis of the audit.

**Number of salmon taken by species during the experiment:**

For the sake of comparison we have included catch and bycatch amounts running back to 2000. These data are compiled from plant landing information for catcher vessels delivering to shoreside processors, and observer data for mothership catcher vessels and catcher-processors. The “other salmon” category includes all non-chinook salmon. Observer data for both offshore and shoreside deliveries show that only very small numbers of salmon other than chum in this category (for example, 152 unidentified, 31 pinks, and 5 silvers for the 2006B season EFP).

*Table 1. Catch and bycatch of pollock and salmon in the directed pollock fishery by season and for full years, 2000 – 2009.*

Year	A pollock	A other salmon	A chinook	B pollock	B other salmon	B chinook	Full year pollock	Full year other salmon	Full year chinook
1991								30,262	48,880
1992								41,450	41,995
1993								243,270	46,014
1994								94,548	43,821
1995								21,875	23,436
1996								78,060	63,205
1997								66,994	50,530
1998								66,612	55,431
1999								46,568	13,521
2000	418,285	235	3,418	631,755	57,228	1,793	1,050,039	57,463	5,210
2001	538,107	1,867	16,464	813,022	50,948	13,663	1,351,130	52,815	30,126
2002	570,464	387	21,989	866,034	83,033	13,309	1,436,498	83,420	35,298
2003	576,868	3,274	30,981	876,784	170,688	13,444	1,453,651	173,963	44,425
2004	579,816	419	22,011	858,799	427,234	29,238	1,438,615	427,653	51,248
2005	573,887	574	26,878	878,618	637,957	41,499	1,452,505	638,531	68,178
2006	579,112	1,210	57,637	874,435	276,779	24,024	1,453,547	277,989	81,661
2007	544,273	8,038	70,845	775,261	82,641	49,020	1,319,534	90,679	119,866
2008	387,606	344	13,409	572,384	14,453	4,270	959,990	14,797	17,678
2009	313,763	31	10,618	469,128	38,040	2,262	782,891	38,071	12,881

*Estimates of salmon bycatch for 1991-1999 are for all groundfish fisheries, including CDQ, and are available on the NOAA Fisheries, Ak Region web site.*

*(<http://www.fakr.noaa.gov/sustainablefisheries/catchstats.htm>)*

*Estimates for 2000 – 2009 (compiled by Sea State, Inc) are for the pollock fishery only and were made using observer data when available and numbers of salmon counted at shore plants and reported on fish tickets for unobserved shoreside vessels.*

**Evaluation of salmon savings.**

The evaluation of the number of salmon saved by the IC program is based on tracking vessels that fished in a closed area before it closed, and then comparing their subsequent bycatch to see if it was lower than expected if the area had not closed. Put more simply,

we perform a before-and-after comparison of the bycatch observed and expected from the vessels that triggered the closure. The procedure is as follows:

1. Extract all observer data for haul locations falling inside a closure area, for a 5 day period preceding the closure. For shoreside catcher vessels, aggregate the hauls that have the same “start fishing date” so that hauls with the same bycatch rate are not artificially repeated. As an example, if 2 hauls from the same catcher vessel trip show up in the closed area, they will have the same bycatch rate because observers pro-rate bycatch evenly across all hauls. Consider them a single observation with a value equal to the sum of the two hauls’ pollock and salmon.
2. Consider all of independent offshore sector (C/P and mothership) hauls, and combined “trip-level” hauls to be estimates of the bycatch ratio  $R_i = \sum y_i / \sum x_i$ , where y are counts of chinook or chum salmon, and x is the pollock catch from individual hauls (offshore sector) or grouped, same-trip hauls (shoreside), and i indicates a separate closure.
3. Extract the same haul or “grouped” haul information, for the same vessels, for the duration of the closure (either 3 or 4 days). Their associated bycatch is available from either observer or plant delivery information. Compute their expected bycatch had they been able to stay and fish inside the now-closed area, by summing the pollock catch of all vessels in this category, and multiplying this summed pollock catch by the matching bycatch ration,  $R_i$  above.
4. Compute the standard error of this estimated Y (overall salmon bycatch if vessels had stayed in the area and fished with bycatch rate R) treating R as a ratio estimator (Snedecor and Cochran, Statistical Methods, 8<sup>th</sup> Edition, p 452).

### **Avoidance results from the 2009 Intercoop Agreement**

The results from these calculations for the 2009 A and B seasons are shown in tables 2a - 2c below. (Charts showing the closures issued for both seasons may be found at the end of this document. Because so many closures were issued, we have not produced a chart for each closure and instead have grouped closures by season and species on three separate charts.) During the A season there were 25 closures in addition to the full-season Chinook Conservation Area closure. Of these, there were 8 for which before- and after-closure observer data could be found from vessels fishing inside the areas before they closed. The apparent scarcity of before- and after-closure data results from two situations:

1. Closures may be based on deliveries from catcher vessels that did not carry observers, and thus there could be closures for which there is no observer information prior to the closure.
2. Closures may be extended up to two weeks in absence of any new data if we feel that bycatch rates were likely to have remained high inside an active closure.
3. Shoreside catcher vessels may have had an observer aboard before the closure but then delivered and come back to the grounds without an observer, thus removing the boat from before/after comparisons.

Table 2a summarizes the results for both chinook savings resulting from these closures (Appendix Tables A1a-c show the underlying data, by closure, with associated standard errors). The results indicate that for the approximately 8,400 mt of observed groundfish associated with boats that fished inside areas before they were closed, and that also had observers after closures, 2,333 chinook were avoided. This represents a reduction of 95% from the bycatch of chinook that would have been expected had the vessels continued to fish in those closure areas for the duration of those closures. Table 2a also shows observed and expected chum numbers, but since chum bycatch during the A season is such a small part of the overall chum bycatch for the year, these numbers are not particularly significant.

Table 2b shows results obtained in a similar fashion for the B season. Thirty-nine closures were put in place during the B season, and of these, 18 closures had both pre- and post-closure observer data that allowed for an analysis of reductions. As with the A season, some closures were based on shoreside delivery information and VMS track inspection alone, leaving no pre-closure information for analysis. Table 2b indicates that the combination of chinook and chum closures resulted in 11,936 mt of pollock catch that could be tracked, with an associated 64% reduction in expected chinook take and 74% reduction in expected chum bycatch. Table 2c shows that for the entire year the chinook and chum reductions were 90% and 74%; thus the 2009 overall percentage reductions are among the best we have managed since the IC program received an exemption from the area closures (Table 3).

*Table 2a. Summary of 2009A Chinook closure effectiveness*

A season results	Chinook closures
Pollock catch (after closure)	8,373
Actual chinook bycatch (in moved tows)	117
Expected chinook bycatch	2,185
Chinook savings	2,068
% reduction	95%
Actual chum bycatch	1
Expected chum bycatch	2
Chum savings	1
% reduction	50%

*Table 2b. Summary of 2009B chinook and chum closure effectiveness*

B season results	B Chinook closures	B Chum closures	Combined B closures
Pollock catch (after closure)	6,235	5,701	11,936
Actual chinook bycatch (in moved tows)	101	51	152
Expected chinook bycatch	314	103	417
Chinook savings	213	52	265
% reduction	68%	50%	64%
Actual chum bycatch	607	2,001	2,608
Expected chum bycatch	1,775	8,271	10,046
Chum savings	1,168	6,270	7,438
% reduction	66%	76%	74%

Table 2c. Full year chinook and chum closure effectiveness

Full year results (A + B)	A and B closures
Pollock catch (after closure)	20,309
Actual chinook bycatch (in moved tows)	269
Expected chinook bycatch	2,602
Chinook savings	2,333
% reduction	90%
Actual chum bycatch	2,609
Expected chum bycatch	10,048
Chum savings	7,439
% reduction	74%

### Compliance/ Enforcement

One apparent violation was referred to the Akutan coop on November 2, 2009. The coop has until May 2, 2010 to resolve the issue.

An audit of Sea State compliance monitoring has again been awarded to ABR Inc of Fairbanks, Alaska. ABR is performing an independent review of 10% of the coop fishing records and associated VMS information but audit are not yet available. However, to date ABR has not found any differences from Sea State determinations for 2009.

### Comments on the 2009 season

Table 3. Hot spot closure effectiveness, 2006 - 2009.

Summary item	2006B	2007A	2007B	2008A	2008B	2009A	2009B
Pollock harvest moved from closures	41,691	102,592	182,111	44,782	7,419	8,373	11,936
% of pollock harvest affected	7%	19%	23%	12%	1%	3%	3%
Chinook savings	1537	35,550	14,576	4,953	-533	2,068	265
% reduction	20%	70%	54%	66%	-100%	95%	64%
Chum savings	15,419		86,410		965		7,438
% reduction	67%		70%		73%		74%


During the 2009A season, relatively little pollock effort appears to have been displaced by the IC closures (Table 3). It is incorrect to think that only 8,373 mt of pollock was directly affected by closures because many of the closures were triggered by high bycatch rates seen in the exempted fishery that was testing salmon excluders from late January to mid-February. During that time, 6 trips carried out on two test boats resulted in a bycatch of 3,236 salmon for 1,820 mt of pollock, in contrast to the commercial fishery that took 10,618 chinook in 313,763 mt of pollock. The very high bycatch rates found by the

exempted fishery provided essential supplemental information that allowed us to place closures over the highest bycatch areas without actually having vessels in the commercial fishery testing these waters. The EFP trips and associated closures are shown in Figures 1- 6.


Additionally, in 2009 the timing of the pollock harvest was shifted to later in the A season and earlier in the B season (Figure 7, immediately below). The delay of operations in the A season can be attributed to several factors: some shoreside vessels remained tied up in price disputes, some shoreside vessels stood down when salmon bycatch was highest early in the season, and catcher-processors and motherships delayed operations in anticipation of a late roe season. All of these factors combined to shift the pollock harvest away from the period that is traditionally the time of highest bycatch in the A season. In contrast, B season harvest timing was moved forward as vessels found reasonable fishing on grounds to the north and were able to catch the relatively small B season TAC before chinook bycatch rates reached their traditional peak in October.

Chum bycatch rates from shoreside deliveries in the 2009B season were much higher than those seen in the last several years. However, with significant shoreside effort directed to the north, relatively little fishing effort displacement was required to keep chum bycatch at a reasonable level. Had the fleet been fishing in a pattern like those seen in 2004 - 2006, much more effort would have been displaced to respond to the apparent high abundance of chums on the grounds.

Figure 7. Percent of pollock catch by week.



*Figure 1. Pacific Prince EFP trip: 1/29/09 to 2/1/09.  
269 mt pollock, 681 chinook. Closure 1/30/09 and the Chinook Conservation Area  
shown in red.*



*Figure 2. Pacific Prince EFP trip: 2/2/09 to 2/5/09.  
306 mt pollock, 211 chinook. Closure 2/6/09 and the Chinook Conservation Area  
shown in red.*




Figure 3. Pacific Prince EFP trip: 2/15/09 - 2/19/09.  
128 mt pollock, 140 chinook. Closures for 2/13/09 and 2/17/09 and the Chinook Conservation Area shown in red.




Figure 4. Pacific Prince EFP trip: 2/19/09 - 2/24/09.  
393 mt pollock, 141 chinook. Closure 2/20/09 and the Chinook Conservation Area shown in red.






Figure 5. Pacific Prince EFP trip: 2/26/09 - 3/2/09.  
350 mt pollock, 1163 chinook. Closure 2/27/09 and the Chinook Conservation Area  
shown in red.





Figure 6. Starbound EFP trip: 3/9/09 to 3/11/09.  
374 mt pollock, 900 chinook. Closure 3/10/09 and the Chinook Conservation Area  
shown in red.



**Appendix 1. Before-and-after closure fishing comparisons, by closure.**

*Table A1a. Chinook and chum salmon closure effectiveness, 2009 A season*

Closure Type	Date	"After" closure pollock catch	"After" closure chinook	Estimated closed-area chinook catch	Chinook reduction (estimate-actual)	Std Err chinook	Estimated closed-area chum catch	Chum reduction (estimate-actual)	Std Err chum	Number of samples prior to closure	Number of samples after closure
Chinook	01/30/09	2,414	44	1,011	967	79	2	2	1	12	6
Chinook	02/13/09	773	11	705	694	19	0	0	0	4	3
Chinook	02/20/09	147	3	47	44		0	0		1	1
Chinook	02/24/09	84	0	0	0		0	0		1	1
Chinook	02/27/09	1,691	28	141	113	7	0	0	0	5	2
Chinook	02/27/09	315	3	0	-3		0	-1		1	2
Chinook	03/03/09	1,860	18	200	182	14	0	0	0	2	2
Chinook	03/27/09	1,068	10	81	71	8	0	0	0	7	3
Totals		8,373	117	2,185	2,068	128	2	1	1	33	20



*Table A1b. Chinook and chum salmon closure effectiveness, 2009 B season, by chinook closure.*


Closure Type	Date	"After" closure pollock catch	"After" closure chinook	Estimated closed-area chinook catch	Chinook reduction (estimate-actual)	Std Err chinook	Estimated closed-area chum catch	Chum reduction (estimate-actual)	Std Err chum	Number of samples prior to closure	Number of samples after closure
Chinook	09/08/09	1,615	11	93	82	4	14	-14	1	22	3
Chinook	09/11/09	915	56	35	-21	6	1,557	1,245	282	6	6
Chinook	09/11/09	3,224	3	155	152	2	0	-124	0	10	3
Chinook	09/15/09	225	22	8	-14	0	40	-97	1	3	2
Chinook	09/18/09	257	9	24	15	6	164	159	59	3	2
Totals		6,235	101	314	213	19	1,775	1,168	343	44	16

*Table A1c. Chinook and chum salmon closure effectiveness, 2009 B season, by chum closure.*

Closure Type	Date	"After" closure pollock catch	"After" closure chinook	Estimated closed-area chinook catch	Chinook reduction (estimate-actual)	Std Err chinook	Estimated closed-area chum catch	Chum reduction (estimate-actual)	Std Err chum	Number of samples prior to closure	Number of samples after closure
Chum	07/07/09	563	4	4	0	4	91	-15	47	7	9
Chum	07/10/09	275	0	4	4	1	481	461	73	6	3
Chum	07/14/09	1,617	1	9	8	2	1,531	1,300	155	25	14
Chum	07/17/09	473	0	1	1	0	301	298	74	9	3
Chum	07/28/09	496	0	40	40	16	1,324	1,169	237	3	4
Chum	07/31/09	88	1	1	0		355	286		1	1
Chum	08/04/09	324	0	0	0	0	2,293	2,293	251	3	2
Chum	08/21/09	584	0	0	0	0	1,484	1,442	13	3	4
Chum	08/28/09	323	2	5	3	4	174	132	94	3	3
Chum	09/01/09	165	0	3	3	0	45	31	5	2	1
Chum	09/07/09	566	42	32	-10	10	163	-576	43	3	4
Chum	09/07/09	193	0	0	0		0	-573		1	1
Chum	09/08/09	34	1	5	4	5	29	22	9	2	1
Totals		5,701	51	103	52	42	8,271	6,270	1,001	68	50

**Appendix 2: Charts showing closures**





### Appendix 3: Dirty 20 list appearances

Number of times each vessel was on a 2009 Chinook weekly dirty 20 list

Vessel	N times on list	Vessel	N times on list	Vessel	N times on list
AJ	0	GLADIATOR	4	PACIFIC CHALLENGER	3
ALASKA OCEAN	1	GOLD RUSH	1	PACIFIC EXPLORER	4
ALASKA ROSE	1	GOLDEN DAWN	4	PACIFIC FURY	0
ALASKAN COMMAND	2	GOLDEN PISCES	0	PACIFIC GLACIER	0
ALDEBARAN	5	GREAT PACIFIC	2	PACIFIC KNIGHT	0
ALEUTIAN CHALLENGER	1	GUN-MAR	0	PACIFIC MONARCH	0
ALSEA	0	HALF MOON BAY	0	PACIFIC PRINCE	2
ALYESKA	2	HAZEL LORRAINE	0	PACIFIC RAM	1
AMBER DAWN	0	HICKORY WIND	1	PACIFIC VIKING	7
AMERICAN BEAUTY	3	INTREPID EXPLORER	0	PEGASUS	2
AMERICAN CHALLENGER	0	ISLAND ENTERPRISE	3	PEGGY JO	3
AMERICAN EAGLE	3	KODIAK ENTERPRISE	1	PERSEVERANCE	1
AMERICAN TRIUMPH	2	LESLIE LEE	1	POPADO II	0
ANITA J	2	LISA MELINDA	2	POSEIDON	3
ARCTIC EXPLORER	5	MAJESTY	3	PREDATOR	1
ARCTIC FJORD	0	MARCY J	0	PROGRESS	2
ARCTIC STORM	3	MARGARET LYN	1	PROVIDIAN	0
ARCTIC WIND	2	MAR-GUN	0	RAVEN	4
ARCTURUS	4	MARK I	2	ROYAL AMERICAN	3
ARGOSY	2	MESSIAH	0	ROYAL ATLANTIC	1
AURIGA	1	MISS BERDIE	1	SEA STORM	0
AURORA	1	MISTY DAWN	0	SEA WOLF	2
BERING ROSE	2	MORNING STAR	4	SEADAWN	0
BLUE FOX	0	MS AMY	0	SEATTLE ENTERPRISE	1
BRISTOL EXPLORER	5	MUIR MILACH	0	SEEKER	3
CAITLIN ANN	1	NEAHKAHNE	0	SOVEREIGNTY	4
CALIFORNIA HORIZON	1	NORDIC EXPLORER	0	STARBOUND	3
CAPE KIWANDA	0	NORDIC FURY	1	STARFISH	1
CHELSEA K	0	NORDIC STAR	2	STARLITE	0
COLLIER BROTHERS	0	NORTHERN EAGLE	1	STARWARD	1
COLUMBIA	3	NORTHERN GLACIER	3	STORM PETREL	1
COMMODORE	3	NORTHERN HAWK	5	SUNSET BAY	0
DEFENDER	1	NORTHERN JAEGER	0	TOPAZ	0
DESTINATION	0	NORTHERN PATRIOT	4	TRACY ANNE	0
DOMINATOR	7	NORTHWEST EXPLORER	3	TRAVELER	1
DONA MARTITA	0	OCEAN EXPLORER	3	VANGUARD	1
ELIZABETH F	2	OCEAN HARVESTER	0	VESTERAALEN	1
EXCALIBUR II	0	OCEAN HOPE 3	1	VIKING	0
EXODUS	0	OCEAN LEADER	3	VIKING EXPLORER	4
FIERCE ALLEGIANCE	0	OCEAN ROVER	4	WALTER N	0
FORUM STAR	0	OCEANIC	1	WESTERN DAWN	1
				WESTWARD I	2

Number of times each vessel was on a 2009 chum weekly dirty 20 list

Vessel	N times on list	Vessel	N times on list	Vessel	N times on list
AJ	0	GLADIATOR	1	PACIFIC CHALLENGER	3
ALASKA OCEAN	0	GOLD RUSH	2	PACIFIC EXPLORER	0
ALASKA ROSE	0	GOLDEN DAWN	6	PACIFIC FURY	0
ALASKAN COMMAND	0	GOLDEN PISCES	4	PACIFIC GLACIER	0
ALDEBARAN	5	GREAT PACIFIC	2	PACIFIC KNIGHT	0
ALEUTIAN CHALLENGER	0	GUN-MAR	0	PACIFIC MONARCH	0
ALSEA	1	HALF MOON BAY	0	PACIFIC PRINCE	1
ALYESKA	0	HAZEL LORRAINE	2	PACIFIC RAM	0
AMBER DAWN	0	HICKORY WIND	0	PACIFIC VIKING	9
AMERICAN BEAUTY	3	INTREPID EXPLORER	0	PEGASUS	0
AMERICAN CHALLENGER	0	ISLAND ENTERPRISE	0	PEGGY JO	0
AMERICAN EAGLE	3	KODIAK ENTERPRISE	0	PERSEVERANCE	0
AMERICAN TRIUMPH	0	LESLIE LEE	3	POPADO II	0
ANITA J	4	LISA MELINDA	0	POSEIDON	4
ARCTIC EXPLORER	3	MAJESTY	6	PREDATOR	2
ARCTIC FJORD	0	MARCY J	1	PROGRESS	1
ARCTIC STORM	0	MARGARET LYN	0	PROVIDIAN	0
ARCTIC WIND	1	MAR-GUN	0	RAVEN	0
ARCTURUS	5	MARK I	0	ROYAL AMERICAN	3
ARGOSY	2	MESSIAH	0	ROYAL ATLANTIC	2
AURIGA	2	MISS BERDIE	0	SEA STORM	0
AURORA	2	MISTY DAWN	0	SEA WOLF	4
BERING ROSE	4	MORNING STAR	0	SEADAWN	1
BLUE FOX	0	MS AMY	0	SEATTLE ENTERPRISE	0
BRISTOL EXPLORER	2	MUIR MILACH	0	SEEKER	7
CAITLIN ANN	0	NEAHKAHNE	0	SOVEREIGNTY	2
CALIFORNIA HORIZON	0	NORDIC EXPLORER	0	STARBOUND	0
CAPE KIWANDA	1	NORDIC FURY	0	STARFISH	0
CHELSEA K	0	NORDIC STAR	2	STARLITE	1
COLLIER BROTHERS	0	NORTHERN EAGLE	0	STARWARD	1
COLUMBIA	5	NORTHERN GLACIER	0	STORM PETREL	8
COMMODORE	9	NORTHERN HAWK	0	SUNSET BAY	0
DEFENDER	2	NORTHERN JAEGER	0	TOPAZ	0
DESTINATION	2	NORTHERN PATRIOT	2	TRACY ANNE	0
DOMINATOR	1	NORTHWEST EXPLORER	0	TRAVELER	2
DONA MARTITA	0	OCEAN EXPLORER	3	VANGUARD	1
ELIZABETH F	1	OCEAN HARVESTER	0	VESTERAALEN	0
EXCALIBUR II	1	OCEAN HOPE 3	1	VIKING	0
EXODUS	0	OCEAN LEADER	4	VIKING EXPLORER	3
FIERCE ALLEGIANCE	1	OCEAN ROVER	0	WALTER N	0
FORUM STAR	0	OCEANIC	2	WESTERN DAWN	1
				WESTWARD I	1