1	DRAFT
2	FINDING OF NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT (FONSI)
3	AND
4	FINDING OF NO PRACTICABLE ALTERNATIVE (FONPA)
5	Environmental Assessment of Installation Development
6	at Joint Base San Antonio-Lackland, Texas
7	

8 Federal actions that potentially involve impacts on the environment must be reviewed in accordance with the 9 National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) and all other applicable laws. The U.S. Air Force (USAF) has completed an Environmental Assessment (EA) to address the potential environmental consequences associated 10 with implementing selected installation development projects (as found in the community of plans for 11 12 installation development and resource management) at Joint Base San Antonio-Lackland (JBSA-Lackland), The selected installation development projects were grouped in four categories: demolition, 13 Texas. 14 construction, infrastructure improvement, and natural infrastructure management. These categories were chosen due to the common elements of their activities and the nature of their potential environmental impacts. The 15

16	selected installation development projects assessed include the following:
17	Demolition Projects
18	D1. Security Hill Dormitory Complex Demolition
19	D2. Atomic Energy Commission Facilities Demolition
20	D3. Demolish Munitions Storage Igloos
21	Construction Projects
22	C1. Airman Training Complex West Campus
23	• C2. Permanent Party Dormitory
24	C3. Battlefield Airman Aquatic Training Complex
25	• C4. Reid Medical Clinic
26	C5. 433rd Airlift Wing Building Additions and Renovations
27	C6. Air Force Office of Special Investigations Administrative Support and Headquarters Facilities
28	C7. Army and Air Force Exchange Service Base Exchange Project
29	Infrastructure Improvement Projects
30	• I1. Pavements Projects
31	• I2. Golf Cart Path Upgrades
32	• I3. Airfield Lighting Upgrades
33	I4. Texas Air National Guard Apron Repair
34	• I5. Parking Lot Installation
35	I6. Natural Gas Line Upgrades
36	I7. Electrical Distribution System Upgrades
37	I8. Main Water Line Upgrades
38	• I9. Sanitary Sewer Lines Upgrades
39	Natural Infrastructure Management Projects
40	NI1. Medio Creek Erosion Control
41	• NI2. Warrior Week Road – Leon Creek Bridge.

The Proposed Action of implementing these 21 selected projects, reasonable alternatives for the selected projects, and the No Action Alternative have been reviewed in accordance with NEPA as implemented by the regulations of the Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) and USAF regulations in 32 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) 989, Environmental Impact Analysis Process.

2

THERESA C. CARTER, Brig Gen, USAF Joint Base San Antonio-Fort Sam Houston, TX

Attachment: Environmental Assessment of Installation Development at Joint Base San Antonio-Lackland, Texas (November 2012)

502d Air Base Wing (AETC)

here by reference, which has been prepared in accordance with the requirements of the NEPA, the CEO 32 33 regulations implementing NEPA, USAF implementing regulations as set forth in CFR 989 (EIAP), as amended, and after a review of the agency comments submitted during the 30-day public comment period, I conclude that 34 implementation of the Proposed Action will not result in significant impacts on the quality of the human or 35 36 natural environment. For these reasons, this FONSI is approved and the preparation of an Environmental 37 Impact Statement is not warranted. This decision has been made after taking into account all submitted 38 information, and considering a full range of practicable alternatives that will meet project requirements and are 39 within the legal authority of the USAF.

Finding of No Significant Impact. Based on the information and analysis presented in the EA, incorporated

- 22 These proposed projects involve repair and upgrades of existing infrastructure and utilities, so they are constrained to their current locations. Impacts to wetlands and floodplains are reduced to the maximum extent 23 24 possible through project design and implementation of environmental protection measures; however, these 25 projects have the potential for minor, direct, adverse impacts on wetlands and floodplains. No mitigation measures will be required because no significant impacts will occur. 26
- 14 I2. Golf Cart Path Upgrades 19 • • 20 15 I6. Natural Gas Line Upgrades • • 21
- 16
- 17 18. Main Water Line Upgrades •

Chief, Engineering Division

- - **I9.** Sanitary Sewer Lines Upgrades
 - NI1. Medio Creek Erosion Control
 - NI2. Warrior Week Road Leon Creek
 - Bridge.

The analyses focus on the following environmental resource areas: noise, land use, air quality, geological 1 resources, water resources, biological resources, cultural resources, socioeconomic resources and environmental 2

3 justice, infrastructure, hazardous materials and waste, and safety. Details of the potential environmental

4 consequences can be found in the attached EA.

5 Project D2 will involve demolition of National Register of Historic Places (NRHP) eligible structures. Projects

I2 and I8 will involve ground disturbance in the vicinity of NRHP-eligible archaeological resources. Project C6 6 will involve construction of a new facility adjacent to an NRHP-eligible building. In all cases, JBSA-Lackland 7 8 has developed avoidance and impact minimization measures acceptable to the Texas State Historic Preservation

9 Office (SHPO) in accordance with the JBSA-Lackland Integrated Cultural Resources Management Plan

Finding of No Practicable Alternative. As noted in the attached EA, practicable alternatives are not available to

10 (ICRMP) and the JBSA-Lackland Programmatic Agreement (PA).

avoid wetland and/or floodplain impacts for the following projects: **I1.** Pavements Projects 18

- I7. Electrical Distribution System Upgrades ٠

JAMES E. FITZPATRICK, GS-15, P.E., CFM

Headquarters Air Education and Training Command

27 28 29

30

31

40

11

12

13

Date

Date