

Program Announcement

Defense Health Program

Defense Medical Research and Development Program

Department of Defense

Clinical and Rehabilitative Medicine Research Program

Joint Program Committee 8

Assistive Technologies Research Award

Funding Opportunity Number: W81XWH-13-DMRDP-ATRA

Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Number: 12.420

SUBMISSION AND REVIEW DATES AND TIMES

- **Pre-Application Submission Deadline:** 5:00 p.m. Eastern time (ET), January 8, 2013
- **Invitation to Submit an Application:** Late January 2013
- **Application Submission Deadline:** 11:59 p.m. ET, March 13, 2013
- **Peer Review:** April 2013
- **Programmatic Review:** June 2013

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. Funding Opportunity Description.....	3
A. Program Description.....	3
B. Award Information.....	3
C. Eligibility Information.....	6
D. Funding.....	6
II. Submission Information.....	7
A. Where to Obtain the Application Package.....	7
B. Pre-Application Submission Content and Form.....	7
C. Application Submission Content and Form.....	10
D. Submission Dates and Times.....	20
E. Other Submission Requirements.....	20
III. Application Review Information.....	20
A. Application Review and Selection Process.....	20
B. Application Review Criteria.....	21
C. Recipient Eligibility.....	24
D. Application Review Dates.....	24
E. Notification of Application Review Results.....	24
IV. Administrative Actions.....	24
A. Rejection.....	24
B. Modification.....	24
C. Withdrawal.....	25
D. Withhold.....	25
V. Award Administration Information.....	25
A. Award Notice.....	25
B. Administrative and National Policy Requirements.....	25
C. Reporting.....	26
D. Award Transfers.....	26
E. Pre-Award Meeting.....	26
VI. Agency Contacts.....	26
A. Help Desk.....	26
B. Grants.gov Contact Center.....	26
VII. Application Submission Checklist.....	27

I. FUNDING OPPORTUNITY DESCRIPTION

A. Program Description

Applications to the Fiscal Year 2013 (FY13) Defense Medical Research and Development Program (DMRDP) are being solicited for the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Health Affairs, Defense Health Program (DHP), by the U.S. Army Research Acquisitions Activity (USAMRAA). The executing agent for this Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity is the Office of the Congressionally Directed Medical Research Programs (CDMRP). The goal of the DMRDP is to advance the state of medical science in those areas of most pressing need and relevance to today's battlefield experience. The objectives of the DMRDP are to discover and explore innovative approaches to protect, support, and advance the health and welfare of military personnel, families, and communities; to accelerate the transition of medical technologies into deployed products; and to accelerate the translation of advances in knowledge into new standards of care for injury prevention, treatment of casualties, rehabilitation, and training systems that can be applied in theater or in the clinical facilities of the Military Health System (MHS). Applications from investigators within the military services are highly encouraged, as are applications involving multidisciplinary collaborations among academia, industry, the military services, the Department of Veterans Affairs (VA), and other Federal Government agencies.

B. Award Information

The DMRDP Assistive Technologies Research Award (ATRA) is intended to support research focused on novel technologies with the potential to have a major impact on the restoration of vision, hearing, and/or balance following traumatic injuries. Studies can range from proof of concept (i.e. first in human) trials through Phase II clinical trials, as well as Class I, II, or III medical device trials/testing. ***The specific focus area of this award is on restorative and rehabilitative assistive technologies for sensory substitution/augmentation of vision, hearing, and/or balance. Studies involving drugs or biologics are not allowed.*** All studies must be responsive to the health care needs of military Service Members and Veterans, and all applications must specifically and clearly address the military relevance of the proposed research; however, the use of military populations in the proposed research is not a requirement. Proposed projects should be designed to demonstrate the effectiveness and further evaluate the safety of novel assistive technologies for sensory substitution/augmentation in human patients suffering from serious, debilitating visual, auditory, and/or vestibular injuries due to trauma. The purpose of such demonstrations is to accelerate translation of greater medical capabilities to patients.

Funding from this award mechanism must support a clinical trial and cannot be used for preclinical research studies. Each application should propose only one clinical trial with a distinct study design. A clinical trial is defined as a prospective accrual of human subjects where an intervention (e.g., device, drug, biologic, surgical procedure, rehabilitative modality, behavioral intervention, or other) is tested on a human subject for a measurable outcome with respect to exploratory information, safety, effectiveness, and/or efficacy. This outcome represents a direct effect on the human subject of that intervention or interaction. The term "human subjects" is used in this Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity to refer to individuals who will be recruited for or who will participate in the proposed clinical trial. For

more information on clinical research, refer to the Human Subject Resource Document provided at [https://cdmrp.org/Program Announcements and Forms/](https://cdmrp.org/Program%20Announcements%20and%20Forms/).

If the proposed study involves the use of an investigational device that has not been approved by the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for its investigational clinical use, the study may be required to comply with FDA Investigational Device Exemption (IDE) regulations. ***If applicable, evidence that an IDE has been submitted or will be submitted within 60 days of the award date, or that the device is exempt from an IDE, is required.*** The Government reserves the right to withdraw funding if an IDE required for conduct of the proposed research has not been acquired within 6 months of the award date.

The following are important aspects of submission for the Assistive Technologies Research Award:

- The proposed clinical trial is expected to begin no later than 12 months after the award date.
- Inclusion of preliminary data relevant to the proposed research project is required.
- The proposed research project must be based on sound scientific rationale that is established through logical reasoning and critical review and analysis of the literature.
- The application should demonstrate availability of, and access to, a suitable patient population that will support a meaningful outcome for the study. The PI should discuss how accrual goals will be achieved and how standards of care may impact the study population.
- The application should demonstrate documented availability of and access to the technology or device needed, as appropriate.
- The proposed clinical trial should include clearly defined and appropriate endpoints.
- The application should include a clearly articulated statistical analysis plan, appropriate statistical expertise, and a power analysis reflecting sample size projections that will clearly answer the objectives of the study.
- The application should include a study coordinator(s) who will guide the clinical protocol through the local Institutional Review Board (IRB) of record and other regulatory approval processes, coordinate activities from all sites participating in the trial, and coordinate participant accrual.
- The application must provide evidence that an IDE has been submitted, or will be submitted within 60 days of the award date, or that the device is exempt from an IDE.
- The application should include a Transition Plan (including potential funding and resources) showing how the product will progress to the next clinical trial phase and/or delivery to the market after the successful completion of the FY13 Assistive Technologies Research Award.
- The application should demonstrate evidence of institutional support.

Use of Human Subjects and Human Anatomical Substances: All Department of Defense (DoD)-funded research involving new and ongoing research with human subjects and human anatomical substances must be reviewed and approved by the U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command (USAMRMC) Office of Research Protections (ORP), Human Research Protection Office (HRPO), in addition to the local IRB of record. Local IRB approval at the time of submission is NOT required. The HRPO is mandated to comply with specific laws and directives governing all research involving human subjects that is supported by the DoD. These laws and directives are rigorous and detailed and will require information in addition to that supplied to the local IRB. Allow a minimum of 4 months for regulatory review and approval processes. Refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 5, for more information.

DoD Collaboration and Alignment Encouraged: Relevance to the health care needs of the Armed Forces, their family members, and/or the U.S. Veteran population is a key feature of this award. Therefore, PIs are strongly encouraged to collaborate, integrate, and/or align their research projects with military and/or VA research laboratories and programs. The following websites may be useful in identifying information about ongoing DoD areas of research interest within the focus area of the FY13 Assistive Technologies Research Award:

Air Force Research Laboratory

<http://www.wpafb.af.mil/afrl>

Clinical and Rehabilitative Medicine
Research Program

<https://crmrp.amedd.army.mil/>

Congressionally Directed Medical
Research Programs

<http://cdmrp.army.mil>

Defense Advanced Research
Projects Agency

<http://www.darpa.mil/>

Defense Technical Information Center

<http://www.dtic.mil>

Naval Health Research Center

<http://www.med.navy.mil/sites/nhrc>

Naval Medical Research Center

www.med.navy.mil/sites/nmrc

Navy and Marine Corps Public
Health Center

<http://www.nmcphc.med.navy.mil/>

Office of Naval Research

<http://www.med.navy.mil/>

Office of the Under Secretary of Defense
for Acquisition, Technology and Logistics

<http://www.acq.osd.mil/>

U.S. Army Medical Research
Acquisition Activity

<http://www.usamraa.army.mil>

U.S. Army Medical Research and
Materiel Command

<https://mrmc.amedd.army.mil>

U.S. Army Research Laboratory

<http://www.arl.army.mil>

U.S. Naval Research Laboratory

www.nrl.navy.mil

U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs,
Office of Research and Development

www.research.va.gov

Use of Military and VA Populations: If applicable, access to target military or VA patient population(s) should be confirmed at the time of application submission. A letter of support, signed by the lowest ranking person with approval authority, should be included for studies involving active duty military, Veterans, military and/or VA-controlled study materials, and military and/or VA databases.

C. Eligibility Information

- Independent investigators at all academic levels (or equivalent) are eligible to submit applications.
- Cost sharing/matching is not an eligibility requirement.
- Organizations eligible to apply include those in the national, international, public, private, military, and civilian sectors. Both intramural (i.e., DoD) and extramural investigators are encouraged to apply to this Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity.
- Refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 1, for general eligibility information.

D. Funding

- The maximum period of performance is **4** years.
- The maximum allowable total costs for the entire period of performance are **\$2.0 million (M)**.
- The applicant may request the entire maximum funding amount for a project that may have a period of performance less than the maximum **4** years.
- Regardless of the period of performance proposed, the applicant may not exceed the maximum allowable total costs. Indirect costs shall be proposed in accordance with the organization's negotiated rate agreement.

Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section II.C., for budget regulations and instructions for the Research & Related Budget. For all federal organizations, special budget restrictions apply and are so noted in Section II.C. of the General Application Instructions.

In addition, for this award mechanism, direct costs:

Must be requested for:

- Travel costs for the Principal Investigator (PI) to attend one DOD research-related meeting to be determined at the discretion of the Government during the award performance period. Costs associated with travel to this DOD meeting, up to \$1,800, should be included in Year 2 of the budget. These travel costs are in addition to those allowed for annual scientific/technical meetings.

May be requested for (not all-inclusive):

- Salary
- Research-related subject costs
- Clinical research costs
- Equipment
- Support for multidisciplinary collaborations

- Travel between collaborating organizations
- Travel costs of up to \$1,800 per year to attend scientific/technical meetings

Shall not be requested for:

- Preclinical research studies

The DMRDP expects to allot approximately \$6M of the FY13 appropriation to fund approximately 3 ATRA Award applications, depending on the quality and number of applications received. Funding of applications received in response to this Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity is contingent upon the availability of Federal funds for this program. Funding allotted for this Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity is approximate and subject to realignment.

II. SUBMISSION INFORMATION

Submission is a multi-step process requiring both (1) pre-application submission through the eReceipt System (<https://cdmrp.org/>) and (2) application submission through Grants.gov (<http://www.grants.gov/>).

Submission of applications that are essentially identical or propose essentially the same research project to different funding opportunities within the same program and fiscal year is prohibited and will result in administrative rejection of the duplicative application.

A. Where to Obtain the Application Package

To obtain the complete application package, including all required forms, perform a Grants.gov (<http://www.grants.gov/>) basic search using the Funding Opportunity Number: W81XWH-13-DMRDP-ATRA.

B. Pre-Application Submission Content and Form

All pre-application components must be submitted by the PI through the CDMRP eReceipt System (<https://cdmrp.org/>). Because the invitation to submit an application is based on the contents of the pre-application, investigators should not change the title or research objectives after the pre-application is submitted.

PIs and organizations identified in the application should be the same as those identified in the pre-application. If any changes are necessary after submission of the pre-application, the PI must contact the CDMRP Help Desk at help@cdmrp.org or 301-682-5507.

The pre-application consists of the following components, which are organized in the CDMRP eReceipt System by separate tabs (refer to the General Application Instructions, Section II.B., for additional information on pre-application submission):

- **Application Information – Tab 1**
- **Application Contacts – Tab 2**

- **Collaborators and Conflicts of Interest (COI) – Tab 3**

To avoid COI during the pre-application screening and review processes, list the names of all scientific participants, including collaborators, consultants, and subawardees.

- **Required Files – Tab 4**

Preproposal Narrative (three-page limit): The Preproposal Narrative page limit applies to text and any figures, tables, graphs, photographs, diagrams, chemical structures, pictures, pictorials, and cartoons. Inclusion of URLs that provide additional information to expand the Preproposal Narrative, and could confer an unfair competitive advantage, is prohibited and will result in administrative withdrawal of the application. *Note: At this time, eReceipt is unable to read files made with Adobe Acrobat PDFMaker version 9.0 or higher.*

The Preproposal Narrative should address the following:

- **Research Plan:** State the ideas and reasoning on which the clinical trial is based. Describe how the preliminary data and rationale support the research idea. Clearly describe the assistive technology for which a clinical trial is being proposed, and indicate the phase of trial and/or class of device and regulatory status as appropriate. Concisely state the project's objectives, specific aims, and ultimate endpoints.
 - **Personnel:** Briefly state the qualifications of the PI and key personnel to perform the described research project.
 - **Impact:** State explicitly how the proposed work will, if successful, impact the restoration of vision, hearing, and/or balance following traumatic injuries. Describe how the study will accelerate promising assistive technologies into clinical practice.
 - **Military Relevance:** Describe how the proposed work is applicable to the health care needs of military Service Members and U.S. Veterans recovering from traumatic injury.
- **Pre-Application Supporting Documentation:** The items to be included as supporting documentation for the pre-application are limited to:
 - **References Cited (one-page limit):** List the references cited (including URLs if available) in the Preproposal Narrative using a standard reference format that includes the full citation (i.e., author(s), year published, title of reference, source of reference, volume, chapter, page numbers, and publisher, as appropriate).
 - **List of Abbreviations, Acronyms, and Symbols:** Provide a list of abbreviations, acronyms, and symbols used in the Preproposal Narrative.
 - **Key Personnel Biographical Sketches (four-page limit per individual).**
 - **Quad Chart:** The Quad Chart is a PowerPoint file that must be downloaded from the CDMRP eReceipt System and saved using Adobe Acrobat Reader as a PDF file. The Quad Chart must include the following sections:
 - **Problem and Military Relevance –** Provide a bulleted summary of the problem related to the restoration of vision, hearing, and/or balance following traumatic injuries.

- Proposed Solution – Provide a bulleted summary of the objectives of the work based on the Preproposal Narrative.
 - Picture – Insert a picture or other graphic that is representative of the work to be performed; this may, for example, show some aspect of the research to be performed, the expected technology outcome of the work, or the military problem that is being addressed.
 - Timeline and Cost – Identify the major planned activities or phases of the work and their duration on the chart provided, and provide the estimated budget by year.
- **Submit Pre-Application – Tab 5**
This tab must be completed for the pre-application to be accepted and processed by CDMRP.
 - **Other Documents Tab**
No additional documents are required.

Pre-Application Screening

- **Pre-Application Screening Criteria**
To determine the technical merits of the pre-application and the relevance to the mission of the DoD and the DMRDP, pre-applications will be screened by the Joint Program Committee for Clinical and Rehabilitative Medicine (JPC-8) based on the following criteria:
 - **Research Plan:** How the proposed project addresses the intent of the award mechanism and the program. How well the rationale and specific aims support the research idea. How the endpoints are appropriate for the proposed study. How the assistive technologies are clearly described, including class of devices and regulatory status.
 - **Personnel:** How the qualifications and expertise of the PI and key personnel are appropriate to perform the proposed research project.
 - **Impact:** How well the study addresses an important problem related to the restoration of vision, hearing, and/or balance following traumatic injuries. If successful, how the study will improve our capabilities to treat consequences of traumatic injury.
 - **Military Relevance:** How the proposed study is applicable to the health care needs of military Service Members and U.S. Veterans recovering from traumatic injury.
- **Notification of Pre-Application Screening Results**
Following the pre-application screening, PIs will be notified as to whether or not they are invited to submit an application; however, they will not receive feedback (e.g., a critique of strengths and weaknesses) on their pre-application. The estimated timeframe for notification of invitation to submit an application is indicated on the [title page](#) of this Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity.

C. Application Submission Content and Form

Applications will not be accepted unless the PI has received notification of invitation.

Each application submission must include the completed application package of forms and attachments provided in Grants.gov for this Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity. The application package is submitted by the Authorized Organizational Representative through the Grants.gov portal (<http://www.grants.gov/>).

Grants.gov application package components: For the Assistive Technologies Research Award, the Grants.gov application package includes the following components (refer to the General Application Instructions, Section II.C., for additional information on application submission):

1. **SF 424 (R&R) Application for Federal Assistance Form:** Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section II.C., for detailed information.

2. Attachments Form

Note: All essential elements of the proposed clinical trial necessary for scientific review must be included as directed in Attachment 1 (the Project Narrative) and Attachments 6 to 8, described below. Failure to submit Attachments 1, 6, 7, and 8 as part of the application package will result in rejection of the entire application. The Project Narrative is not the Study Protocol.

- **Attachment 1: Project Narrative 20-page limit):** Upload as “ProjectNarrative.pdf.” The page limit of the Project Narrative applies to text and non-text elements (e.g., figures, tables, graphs, photographs, diagrams, chemical structures, drawings, etc.) used to describe the project. Inclusion of URLs that provide additional information to expand the Project Narrative, and could confer an unfair competitive advantage, is prohibited and will result in administrative withdrawal of the application.

Describe the proposed project in detail using the outline below.

- **Background:** Describe in detail the rationale for the study and include a literature review, preliminary studies, and/or preclinical data that led to the development of the proposed clinical trial. The background section should clearly support the choice of study variables and should explain the basis for the study’s objectives and specific aims. This section should establish the relevance of the study and explain the applicability of the proposed findings.

If the proposed clinical trial was initiated using other funding prior to this application, explain the history and background of the clinical trial and declare the source of prior funding. Specifically identify the portions of the study that will be supported with funds from this award.
- **Objectives/Specific Aims/Hypotheses:** Provide a description of the purpose and objectives of the study with detailed specific aims and/or study questions/hypotheses.

- **Study Design:** Describe the type of study to be performed (e.g., prospective, randomized, controlled) and outline the proposed methodology in sufficient detail to show a clear course of action.
 - Identify the intervention to be tested and describe the projected outcomes.
 - Define the study variables and describe how they will be measured. Include a description of appropriate controls and the endpoints to be tested.
 - Describe the methods that will be used to recruit a sample of human subjects from the accessible population (e.g., convenience, simple random, stratified random).
 - Describe the human subject-to-group assignment process (e.g., randomization, block randomization, stratified randomization, age-matched controls, alternating group, or other procedures), if applicable. Explain the specific actions to accomplish the group assignment (e.g., computer assignment, use of table of random numbers).
 - Describe the reliability and validity of psychometric measures, if applicable.
- **Statistical Plan and Data Analysis:** Describe the statistical model and data analysis plan with respect to the study objectives. Include a complete power analysis to demonstrate that the sample size is appropriate to meet the objectives of the study. Specify the approximate number of human subjects that will be enrolled. If multiple study sites are involved, state the approximate number to be enrolled at each site.
- **Attachment 2: Supporting Documentation.** Start each document on a new page. Combine and upload as a single file named “Support.pdf.” If documents are scanned to pdf, the lowest resolution (100 to 150 dpi) should be used. ***There are no page limits for any component unless otherwise noted. Include only those components described below; inclusion of items not requested may result in the removal of those items or administrative withdrawal of the application.***
 - **References Cited:** List the references cited (including URLs if available) in the project narrative using a standard reference format that includes the full citation (i.e., author[s], year published, title of reference, source of reference, volume, chapter, page numbers, and publisher, as appropriate).
 - **List of Abbreviations, Acronyms, and Symbols:** Provide a list of abbreviations, acronyms, and symbols.
 - **Facilities, Existing Equipment, and Other Resources:** Describe the facilities and equipment available for performance of the proposed project and any additional facilities or equipment proposed for acquisition at no cost to the award. Indicate if Government-furnished facilities or equipment are proposed for use. If so, reference should be made to the original or present award under which the facilities or equipment items are now accountable. There is no form for this information.
 - **Publications and/or Patent Abstracts (five-document limit):** Include relevant publication URLs and/or patent abstracts. If publications are not publicly

available, then a copy/copies of the published manuscript(s) must be included in Attachment 2. Extra items will not be reviewed.

- Letters of Organizational Support: Provide a letter (or letters, if applicable), signed by the Department Chair(s) or appropriate organization official(s), reflecting the institution's commitment to the completion of the trial, including laboratory space, equipment, and other resources available for the project.
- Letters of Collaboration (if applicable): Provide a signed letter from each collaborating individual or organization that will demonstrate that the PI has the support or resources necessary for the proposed work.
- Data and Research Resources Sharing Plan: Describe how data and resources generated during the performance of the project will be shared with the research community. Refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 4, for more information about expectations for making data and research resources publicly available.
- Current Quad Chart: Provide a current Quad Chart in the same format as the pre-application. If no changes have been made to the project, the same Quad Chart submitted with the pre-application may be used.

- **Attachment 3: Technical Abstract (one-page limit):** Upload as "TechAbs.pdf."

Technical abstracts should be written using the outline below. The technical abstract is used by all reviewers; however, programmatic reviewers do not typically have access to the full application and rely on the technical abstract for appropriate description of the project's key aspects.

- Background: Present the ideas and reasoning behind the proposed work.
- Objective/Hypothesis: State the objective/hypothesis to be tested. Provide evidence or rationale that supports the objective/hypothesis.
- Specific Aims: State the specific aims of the study.
- Study Design: Briefly describe the study design including appropriate controls.
- Clinical Impact: Briefly describe how the proposed project will have an impact on the restoration of vision, hearing, and/or balance following traumatic injuries.

- **Attachment 4: Lay Abstract (one-page limit):** Upload as "LayAbs.pdf."

Lay abstracts should be written using the outline below.]

- Clearly describe, in a manner readily understood by lay persons, the rationale and objective for the proposed work.
 - Do not duplicate the technical abstract.
- Describe the ultimate applicability and impact of the research.
 - What types of patients will it help, and how will it help them?
 - What are the potential clinical applications, benefits, and risks?
 - What is the projected time it may take to achieve a patient-related outcome?

- What are the likely contributions of this study in advancing health care needs for military Service Members and U.S. Veterans recovering from traumatic injury?
- **Attachment 5: Statement of Work (SOW) (three-page limit):** Upload as “SOW.pdf.” Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section II.C., for detailed information.
- **Attachment 6: Human Subject Recruitment and Safety Procedures (no page limit):** Upload as “HumSubProc.pdf.” The Human Subject Recruitment and Safety Procedures attachment should include the components listed below.
 - a. **Study Population:** Describe the target population (to whom the study findings will be generalized) and the nature, approximate number, and pertinent demographic characteristics of the accessible population at the study site (population from which the sample will be recruited/drawn). Demonstrate that the research team has access to the proposed study population. Furthermore, discuss past efforts in recruiting human subjects from the target population for previous clinical trials (if applicable). Address any potential barriers to accrual and plans for addressing unanticipated delays. Include justification of any age, race, ethnicity, or sex limitations provided.
 - b. **Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria:** List the inclusion and exclusion criteria for the proposed clinical trial. Inclusion/exclusion criteria should take into consideration the specific risk profile of the studies to be conducted and the standard of care for that patient population. Provide detailed justification for exclusions.

Inclusion of Women and Minorities in Study. Consistent with the Belmont Report, “Ethical Principles and Guidelines for the Protection of Human Subjects,” and Congressional legislation, special attention is given to inclusion of women and/or minorities in studies funded or supported by the USAMRMC. This policy is intended to promote equity both in assuming the burdens and in receiving the benefits of human subjects research. Include an appropriate justification if women and/or minorities will be excluded from the clinical trial.
 - c. **Description of the Recruitment Process:** Explain methods for identification of potential human subjects (e.g., medical record review, obtaining sampling lists, health care provider identification).
 - Describe the recruitment process in detail. Address who will identify potential human subjects, who will recruit them, and what methods will be used to recruit them.
 - Include a detailed description of and justification for the compensation plan if the human subjects will be compensated for participation in the study.
 - Describe the recruitment and advertisement materials. The recruitment materials should not be coercive or offer undue inducements and should accurately reflect the study.
 - d. **Description of the Informed Consent Process:** Specifically describe the plan for obtaining informed consent from human subjects.

- ***For the proposed study, provide a draft, in English, of the Informed Consent Form.***
- Identify who is responsible for explaining the study, answering questions, and obtaining informed consent. Include a plan for ensuring that human subjects' questions will be addressed during the consent process and throughout the trial.
- Include information regarding the timing and location of the consent process.
- If accessing active duty Service Members, describe how this special population will be approached, and what steps will be taken to avoid the introduction of potential bias in recruiting subjects. Consider the use of an Ombudsman during recruitment and informed consent procedures.
- If accessing Veterans through the VA Medical System, ensure that potential subjects are made aware that their on-going health care is not dependent upon participation in the study. Consider the use of an Ombudsman during recruitment and informed consent procedures.
- Address issues relevant to the mental capacity of the potential human subject (e.g., altered capacity due to administration of any mind-altering substances such as tranquilizers, conscious sedation or anesthesia, brain injury, stress/life situations, or human subject age), if applicable.
- Address how privacy and time for decision making will be provided and whether or not the potential human subject will be allowed to discuss the study with anyone before making a decision.
- Consider the need for obtaining ongoing consent or for re-assessing capacity over the course of a long-term study and describe any relevant procedures to assure continued consent.
- Describe the plan for the consent of the individual's Legally Authorized Representative (LAR) to be obtained prior to the human subject's participation in the study. State law defines who may act as the LAR. The local IRB of record should be consulted for guidance regarding who can serve as LAR for research at the study site. Note: The PI must describe a clear intent to benefit for human subjects who cannot give their own consent to participate in the proposed clinical trial to be in compliance with Title 10 United States Code Section 980 (10 USC 980) (<http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/granule/USCODE-2011-title10/USCODE-2011-title10-subtitleA-partII-chap49-sec980/content-detail.html>). If applicable, please refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 5, for more information.
- ***Assent.*** If minors or other populations that cannot provide informed consent are included in the proposed clinical trial, a plan to obtain assent (agreement) from those with capacity to provide it, or a justification for a waiver of assent, should be provided. PIs should consult with their local IRB to identify the conditions necessary for obtaining assent.

- e. **Screening Procedures:** List and describe any evaluations (e.g., laboratory procedures, history, or physical examination) that are required to determine eligibility/suitability for study participation and the diagnostic criteria for entry. Please note that some screening procedures may require a separate consent or a two-stage consent process. Informed consent must be obtained prior to initiation of any procedures for the purpose of determining eligibility.
- f. **Risks/Benefits Assessment:**
- **Foreseeable risks:** Clearly identify all study risks. Study risks include any risks that the human subject is subjected to as a result of participation in the clinical trial. Consider psychological, legal, social, and economic risks as well as physical risks. If the risks are unknown, this should be stated. If applicable, any potential risk to the study personnel should be identified.
 - **Risk management and emergency response:**
 - Describe all safety measures to minimize and/or eliminate risks to human subjects and study personnel or to manage unpreventable risks. Include safeguards and planned responses such as dose reduction or stopping criteria based on toxicity grading scales or other predetermined alert values.
 - Discuss the overall plan for provision of emergency care or treatment for an adverse event for study-related injuries, to include who will be responsible for the cost of such care.
 - Address any special precautions to be taken by the human subjects before, during, and after the study (e.g., medication washout periods, dietary restrictions, hydration, fasting, pregnancy prevention).
 - Describe any special care (e.g., wound dressing assistance, transportation due to side effects of study intervention impairing ability to drive) or equipment (e.g., thermometers, telemedicine equipment) needed for human subjects enrolled in the study.
 - **Potential benefits:** Describe known and potential benefits of the study to the human subject, active duty Service Members and/or Veterans, or society.
 - **Attachment 7: Device/Intervention (no page limit):** Upload as “Intervention.pdf.” The Intervention attachment should include the components listed below.
 - a. **Description of the Device/Intervention:** As applicable, the description of the device/intervention should include the following components: complete name and composition, storage and handling information, source, schedule, duration of the intervention, and concomitant medications allowed. If applicable, summarize key preclinical findings and other clinical studies that examine the safety of the intervention. Description of devices should include detailed operational instructions, any potential risks to users, and intended benefits. Other types of interventions should be fully described.
 - b. **Study Procedures:** Describe the interaction with the human subject to include the study intervention that he/she will experience. Provide sufficient detail in

chronological order for a person uninvolved in the study to understand what the human subject will experience. Provide a schedule (e.g., flowchart or diagram) of study evaluations and follow-up procedures. Discuss how compliance with Good Clinical Practices, Good Manufacturing Practices, and other regulatory considerations will be established, monitored, and maintained, as applicable.

- **Attachment 8: Data Management (no page limit):** Upload as “Data_Manage.pdf.” The Data Management attachment should include the components listed below.
 - a. **Data Management:** Describe all methods used for data collection to include the following:
 - **Identifiers:** Describe the unique identifiers or specific code system to be used to identify human subjects, if applicable.
 - **Confidentiality:**
 - Explain measures taken to protect the privacy of study human subjects and maintain confidentiality of study data. Strategies to protect the privacy and confidentiality of study records, particularly those containing identifying information, should be addressed.
 - Address who will have access to study records, data, and specimens, including an acknowledgment that representatives of USAMRMC are eligible to review study records.
 - Address requirements for reporting sensitive information to state or local authorities.
 - **Disposition of data:** Describe where data (both electronic and hard copy) will be stored, who will keep the data, how the data will be stored, and the length of time data will be stored.
 - **Sharing study results:** In cases where the human subject could possibly benefit medically or otherwise from the information, explain whether or not the results of screening and/or study participation will be shared with human subjects or their primary care provider, to include results from any screening or diagnostic tests performed as part of the study.
 - b. **Laboratory Evaluations:**
 - **Specimens to be collected, schedule, and amount.** All specimens that will be collected for study purposes must be clearly stated. The collection schedule and amount of material collected must also be clearly described.
 - **Evaluations to be made.** Describe all evaluations that will be made for study purposes. Explain how the results of laboratory evaluations will be used to meet the objectives of the study (or to monitor safety of human subjects).
 - **Storage.** Describe specimen storage, to include location of storage, how long specimens will be stored, any special conditions required, labeling, and disposition. Outline the plan to store specimens for future use to include

considerations for informed consent and providing human subjects with an opportunity to decline participation in the study.

- **Laboratories performing evaluations and special precautions.** Identify the laboratory performing each evaluation, as well as any special precautions that should be taken in handling the samples. Special precautions that should be taken by the human subject before, during, or after the laboratory procedure should be clearly defined. If transport of samples is required, describe provisions for ensuring proper storage during transport.
- **Attachment 9: Study Personnel and Organization (no page limit):** Upload as “Personnel.pdf.” The Study Personnel and Organization attachment should include the components listed below.
 - a. **Principal Investigator/Study Staff:** Provide an organizational chart identifying key members of the study team including institution/center/department. Briefly describe their roles on the project. A medical monitor (external to the study and not reimbursed by the study) and study coordinator(s) should be included.
 - b. **Study Management Plan:** Provide a plan for ensuring the standardization of procedures among staff and across sites (if applicable). If the proposed clinical trial is multi-institutional, plans for communication and data transfer between the collaborating institutions, as well as how data, specimens, and/or imaging products obtained during the study will be handled, should be included. Provide a plan for real-time communication among collaborating institutions (if applicable).
- **Attachment 10: Surveys, Questionnaires, and Other Data Collection Instruments, if applicable (no page limit):** Upload as “Surveys.pdf.” The Surveys, Questionnaires, and Other Data Collection Instruments attachment should include a copy of the most recent version of surveys, questionnaires, data collection forms, rating scales, interview guides, or other instruments. For each instrument, describe how the information collected is related to the objectives of the study.
- **Attachment 11: Impact Statement (one-page limit).** Upload as “Impact.pdf.”
 - Identify the volunteer population(s) that will participate in the proposed intervention, and describe the potential impact of the proposed clinical trial on the outcomes of individuals within the targeted population.
 - Describe the short-term impact: Detail the anticipated outcomes that will be directly attributed to the results of the proposed clinical trial. Outcomes should be specific and measurable and should include a definition of the end user.
 - Describe the long-term impact: Explain the long-range vision for implementation of the intervention in the clinic or field, and describe the anticipated long-term benefits for the targeted population.
 - Describe any relevant controversies or treatment issues that will be addressed by the proposed clinical trial.

- Describe any potential issues that might limit the impact of the proposed clinical trial.
- Compare the proposed intervention to technologies, devices, and/or clinical guidance currently available, if applicable.
- **Attachment 12: Transition Plan (two-page limit).** Upload as “Transition.pdf.” Provide information on the methods and strategies proposed to move the product to the next phase of clinical trials and/or delivery to the military or civilian market after successful completion of the award. The transition plan should include the following components:
 - Intellectual Property
 - Background and Proprietary Information (if applicable): Provide a list of all background intellectual property relevant to the project. Identify any proprietary information that will be provided to the Government and whether the applicant will require a waiver of Government purpose rights.
 - Intellectual and Material Property Plan: Provide a plan for resolving intellectual and material property issues among participating organizations.
 - Commercialization Strategy: Describe the commercialization plan for this technology. The plan should include intellectual property, market size, financial analysis, strengths and weaknesses, barriers to market, regulatory strategy to obtain FDA review and approval/clearance, competitors and management team. Discuss the significance of this development effort, when it can be anticipated and the potential commercial use for the technology being developed.
 - Details of the funding strategy that will be used to bring the outcomes to the next level of clinical trials and/or delivery to the military or civilian market (e.g., specific potential industry partners, specific funding opportunities to be applied for).
 - A description of collaborations and other resources that will be used to provide continuity of development.
 - A brief schedule and milestones for bringing the outcome(s) to the next phase of clinical trials and/or delivery to the military or civilian market.
 - A risk analysis for cost, schedule, manufacturability, and sustainability.
- **Attachment 13: IDE Documentation Form:** If submitting multiple documents, start each document on a new page. Combine and upload as a single file named “IDE.pdf.”
 - Complete the IND/IDE Documentation Form, which is available for download on the Full Announcement page for this Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity on Grants.gov.
 - If an IDE has been submitted, an explanation of the status of the IDE should be provided (e.g., past the critical 30-day period, pending response to questions raised by the Agency, on clinical hold). Inclusion of a copy of the Agency meeting minutes is encouraged but not required. If the IDE application is not

yet submitted, provide evidence that an IDE will be submitted within 60 days of award. Examples include a pre-IDE meeting with the FDA, a pre-IDE meeting request to the FDA, or other communication with the FDA. Provide the anticipated date of IDE submission. If an IDE is not required for the proposed study, provide evidence in the form of communication from the FDA or the IRB of record to that effect.

- **Attachment 14: Military Relevance Statement** (one-page limit): Upload as “MilRel.pdf.”
 - Describe how the proposed study is responsive to the health care needs of military Service Members and Veterans recovering from traumatic injury. Provide information about the incidence and/or prevalence of the disease or condition to be studied in military Service Members and/or Veterans, if appropriate and available. Show how the proposed study complements ongoing DoD and VA areas of research interest.
 - If active duty military and/or Veteran population(s) will be used in the proposed research project, describe the population(s), the appropriateness of the population(s) for the proposed study, and the feasibility of accessing the population. If a non-military population will be used for the proposed research project, explain how the population simulates the targeted population (i.e., military Service Members or Veterans).
 - **Attachment 15: Letters Confirming Access to Target Military or VA Patient Population(s), if applicable:** Upload as “Access.pdf.”
 - If applicable, provide a letter(s) signed by the lowest ranking person with approval authority for studies involving active duty military, Veterans, military and/or VA-controlled study materials, and military and/or VA databases.
- 3. Research & Related Senior/Key Person Profile (Expanded):** Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section II.C., for detailed information.
- PI Biographical Sketch (four-page limit): Upload as “Biosketch_LastName.pdf.”
 - PI Current/Pending Support (no page limit): Upload as “Support_LastName.pdf.”
 - Key Personnel Biographical Sketches (four-page limit each): Upload as “Biosketch_LastName.pdf.”
 - Key Personnel Current/Pending Support (no page limit): Upload as “Support_LastName.pdf.”
- 4. Research & Related Budget:** Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section II.C., for detailed information.
- Budget Justification (no page limit): Upload as “BudgetJustification.pdf.”
- 5. Project/Performance Site Location(s) Form:** Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section II.C., for detailed information.

- 6. R & R Subaward Budget Attachment(s) Form (if applicable):** Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section II.C., for detailed information.

D. Submission Dates and Times

All submission dates and times are indicated on the [title page](#) of this Program Announcement/ Funding Opportunity. Pre-application and application submissions are required. Failure to meet any one of the deadlines will result in application rejection.

E. Other Submission Requirements

Refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 2, for detailed formatting guidelines.

All applications must be submitted through Grants.gov. Applicant organizations and all subaward organizations must have a Data Universal Numbering System (DUNS) number to submit applications to Grants.gov. The applicant organization must also be registered in the Entity Management functional area of the System for Award Management (SAM) with an “Active” status to submit applications through the Grants.gov portal. Refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 3, for information on Grants.gov requirements.

III. APPLICATION REVIEW INFORMATION

A. Application Review and Selection Process

All applications are evaluated by scientists, clinicians, and consumer advocates using a two-tier review process. The first tier is a peer review of applications against established criteria for determining technical merit. The second tier is a programmatic review that makes recommendations for funding to the Office of the Assistant Secretary of Defense, Health Affairs, based on technical merit, the relevance to the mission of the DHP and DMRDP, and the specific intent of the award mechanism. The highest-scoring applications from the first tier of review are not automatically recommended for funding. Additional information about the two-tier review process used by the DMRDP can be found at <http://cdmrp.army.mil/about/fundingprocess.shtml>.

All DMRDP review processes are conducted confidentially to maintain the integrity of the merit-based selection process. Panel members sign a non-disclosure statement certifying that application and evaluation information will not be disclosed outside the panel. Violations of the non-disclosure statement can result in the dissolving of a panel(s) and other corrective actions. In addition, personnel at the applicant or collaborating organizations are prohibited from contacting persons involved in the review process to gain protected evaluation information or to influence the evaluation process. Violations of these prohibitions will result in the administrative withdrawal of the organization’s application. Violations by panel members or applicants that compromise the confidentiality of the review process may also result in suspension or debarment. Furthermore, in accordance with Title 18 United States Code 1905, the unauthorized disclosure of confidential information of one party to another third party is a crime.

B. Application Review Criteria

1. Peer Review: To determine technical merit, all applications will be evaluated according to the following scored criteria, which are of equal importance:

- **Clinical Impact**

- How relevant the anticipated outcomes of the proposed clinical trial are to active duty Service Members and/or Veterans suffering from serious, debilitating visual, auditory and/or vestibular injuries due to trauma.
- How the results of the proposed clinical trial will affect the magnitude and scope of potential clinical applications for active duty Service Members and/or Veterans.
- How well the sample population represents the targeted patient population that might benefit from the proposed intervention.
- How the potential outcomes of the proposed clinical trial will provide/improve the short-term benefits for individuals.
- How significantly the long-term benefits for implementation of the intervention may impact patient care and/or quality of life.

- **Ethical Considerations**

- How the level of risk to human subjects is minimized and whether there is sufficient evidence of a monitoring plan that is appropriate for the level of risk.
- How well the evidence shows that the procedures are consistent with sound research design and, when appropriate, that these procedures are already in use for diagnostic or treatment purposes.
- To what degree privacy issues are appropriately considered.
- To what degree the process for seeking informed consent is appropriate and whether safeguards are in place for vulnerable populations.

- **Intervention**

- Whether there is evidence of support, indicating availability of the device/intervention from its source, for the duration of the proposed clinical trial (if applicable).
- To what degree the intervention addresses the clinical need(s) described.
- To what degree the PI has provided preclinical and/or clinical evidence to support the safety of the intervention.
- How the intervention compares with currently available interventions and/or standards of care.
- Whether a member of the study team holds the IDE and whether the timeline proposed for IDE application is appropriate (if applicable).
- To what degree the data collection instruments (e.g., surveys, questionnaires), if applicable, are appropriate to the proposed study.

- **Recruitment, Accrual, and Feasibility**
 - How well the PI addresses the availability of human subjects for the clinical trial and the prospect of their participation.
 - Whether the PI has demonstrated access to the proposed human subjects population.
 - The degree to which the recruitment, informed consent, screening, and retention processes for human subjects will meet the needs of the proposed clinical trial.
 - How well the application identifies possible delays (e.g., slow accrual, attrition) and presents adequate contingency plans to resolve them.
 - To what extent the proposed clinical trial might affect the daily lives of the individual human subjects participating in the study (e.g., Will human subjects still be able to take their regular medications while participating in the clinical trial? Are human subjects required to stay overnight in a hospital?).
- **Research Strategy**
 - How well the scientific rationale for testing the intervention is supported by the preliminary data, critical review and analysis of the literature, and/or laboratory/preclinical evidence.
 - How well the study aims, hypotheses or objectives, experimental design, methods, data collection procedures, and analyses are designed to clearly answer the clinical objective and achieve the study endpoints.
 - How well the inclusion and randomization criteria meet the needs of the proposed clinical trial.
 - How well the exclusion criteria are justified.
- **Statistical Plan**
 - To what degree the statistical model and data analysis plan are suitable for the planned study.
 - How the statistical plan, including sample size projections and power analysis, is adequate for the study and all proposed correlative studies.
- **Transition Plan**
 - Whether the funding strategy described to bring the outcome(s) to the next level of clinical trials and/or delivery to the military or civilian market is appropriate.
 - Whether appropriate collaborations and other resources for providing continuity of development are established and/or well described.
 - How the schedule and milestones for bringing the outcome(s) to clinical trial and/or delivery to the military or civilian market is appropriate.
 - How well the potential risk analysis for cost, schedule, manufacturability, and sustainability is developed.
 - How well the application identifies intellectual property ownership, describes any appropriate intellectual and material property plan among participating

organizations (if applicable), and addresses any impact of intellectual property issues on product development and subsequent Government access to products supported by this Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity.

In addition, the following unscored criteria will also contribute to the overall evaluation of the application:

- **Personnel and Communication**

- Whether the composition of the study team (e.g., study coordinator, statistician) is appropriate.
- To what degree the study team's background and expertise are appropriate to accomplish the proposed work (e.g., statistical expertise, expertise in the disease, and clinical studies).
- How the levels of effort of the study team members are appropriate for successful conduct of the proposed trial.
- How well the logistical aspects of the proposed clinical trial (e.g., communication plan, data transfer and management, standardization of procedures) meet the needs of the proposed clinical trial.

- **Environment**

- To what degree the scientific environment, clinical setting, and the accessibility of institutional resources support the clinical trial at each participating center or institution (including collaborative arrangements).
- Whether there is evidence for appropriate institutional commitment from each participating institution.
- If applicable, how the intellectual and material property plan that is agreed upon by each participating institution is appropriate for the proposed clinical trial.

- **Budget**

- Whether the budget is appropriate for the proposed research and within the limitations of this Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity.

- **Application Presentation**

- To what extent the writing, clarity, and presentation of the application components influenced the review.

2. **Programmatic Review:** To determine the application's relevance to the mission of the DHP and DMRDP, as well as to make funding recommendations, the following equally considered criteria are used by programmatic reviewers:

- Adherence to the intent of the award mechanism
- Military relevance
- Program portfolio composition
- Ratings and evaluations of the peer reviewers
- Relative impact

C. Recipient Eligibility

Refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 1, for additional information on organization and Government agency requirements.

D. Application Review Dates

All application review dates and times are indicated on the [title page](#) of this Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity.

E. Notification of Application Review Results

Each PI and organization will receive notification of the funding recommendation. Each PI will receive a peer review summary statement on the strengths and weaknesses of the application.

IV. ADMINISTRATIVE ACTIONS

After receipt of pre-applications from eReceipt or applications from Grants.gov, the following administrative actions may occur:

A. Rejection

The following will result in administrative rejection of the pre-application:

- Preproposal Narrative exceeds page limit.
- Preproposal Narrative is missing.

The following will result in administrative rejection of the application:

- Project Narrative exceeds page limit.
- Project Narrative is missing.
- Budget is missing.
- Submission of the same research project to different funding opportunities within the same program and fiscal year.
- Attachment 6, Human Subject Recruitment and Safety Procedures, is missing.
- Attachment 7, Device/Intervention, is missing.
- Attachment 8, Data Management, is missing.
- Submission of an application for which a letter of invitation was not received.

B. Modification

- Pages exceeding the specified limits will be removed prior to review for all documents other than the Preproposal Narrative and the Project Narrative.
- Documents not requested will be removed.

- Following the application deadline, the PI may be contacted via email with a request to provide certain missing supporting documents (excluding those listed in Section IV.A., Rejection). The missing documents must be provided by 5:00 p.m. ET on the second full business day following the date the email was sent. Otherwise, the application will be reviewed as submitted.

C. Withdrawal

The following may result in administrative withdrawal of the pre-application or application:

- The application does not conform to this Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity description to an extent that precludes appropriate review.
- Total costs as shown on the Research & Related Budget exceed the maximum allowed by this Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity.
- Inclusion of URLs with the exception of links in References Cited and Publication and/or Patent Abstract sections.
- Page size is larger than 8.5 inches x 11.0 inches (approximately 21.59 cm x 27.94 cm).
- The proposed research is not a clinical trial.
- The application does not provide evidence that an IDE has been submitted, or will be submitted within 60 days of the award date, or that the device is exempt from an IDE.
- Personnel from applicant or collaborating organizations are found to have contacted persons involved in the review process to gain protected evaluation information or to influence the evaluation process.

D. Withhold

Applications that appear to involve research misconduct will be administratively withheld from further consideration pending institutional investigation. The institution will be required to provide the findings of the investigation to the USAMRAA Grants Officer for a determination of the final disposition of the application.

V. AWARD ADMINISTRATION INFORMATION

A. Award Notice

Awards will be made no later than September 30, 2014. Refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 4, for additional award administration information.

B. Administrative and National Policy Requirements

Refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 4, Section D, for general information regarding administrative and national policy requirements.

C. Reporting

Refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 4, Section E, for general information on reporting requirements.

Quarterly technical progress reports will be required.

D. Award Transfers

The transfer of an award to another institution is strongly discouraged. A transfer will not be allowed for any institution that includes a study site/clinical trial at its location. Approval of a transfer request from an institution that does not include a study site at its location will be at the discretion of the Grants Officer.

E. Pre-Award Meeting

At the Government's discretion, the PI and Clinical Study Coordinator or other personnel may be requested to participate in a pre-award meeting at the Government's expense.

VI. AGENCY CONTACTS

A. Help Desk

Questions related to Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity content or submission requirements as well as questions related to the submission of the pre-application through the eReceipt System should be directed to the Help Desk, which is available Monday through Friday from 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. ET. Response times may vary depending upon the volume of inquiries.

Phone: 301-682-5507

Email: help@cdmrp.org

B. Grants.gov Contact Center

Questions related to application submission through the Grants.gov portal should be directed to the Grants.gov Contact Center, which is available 24 hours a day, 7 days a week (closed on U.S. Federal holidays). Note that the Help Desk is unable to provide technical assistance with Grants.gov submission.

Phone: 800-518-4726

Email: support@grants.gov

Sign up on Grants.gov for “send me change notification emails” by following the link on the Synopsis page for the Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity or by responding to the prompt provided by Grants.gov when first downloading the application package. If the application package is updated or changed, the original version of the application package may not be accepted by Grants.gov.

1 VII. APPLICATION SUBMISSION CHECKLIST

Grants.gov Application Components	Action	Completed
SF-424 (R&R) Application for Federal Assistance Form	Complete form as instructed.	
Attachments Form	Upload Project Narrative (ProjectNarrative.pdf) as Attachment 1.	
	Upload Supporting Documentation (Support.pdf) as Attachment 2.	
	Upload Technical Abstract (TechAbs.pdf) as Attachment 3.	
	Upload Lay Abstract (LayAbs.pdf) as Attachment 4.	
	Upload Statement of Work (SOW.pdf) as Attachment 5.	
	Upload Human Subject Recruitment and Safety Procedures (HumSubProc.pdf) as Attachment 6.	
	Upload Device/Intervention (Intervention.pdf) as Attachment 7.	
	Upload Data Management (Data_Manage.pdf) as Attachment 8.	
	Upload Study Personnel and Organization (Personnel.pdf) as Attachment 9.	
	Upload Surveys, Questionnaires, and Other Data Collection Instruments (Surveys.pdf), if applicable, as Attachment 10.	
	Upload Impact Statement (Impact.pdf) as Attachment 11.	
	Upload Transition Plan (Transition.pdf) as Attachment 12.	
	Upload IDE Documentation (IDE.pdf) as Attachment 13.	
	Upload Military Relevance Statement (MilRel.pdf) as Attachment 14.	
	Upload Letters Confirming Access to Target Military or VA Patient Population(s) (Access.pdf), if applicable, as Attachment 15.	
Research & Related Senior/Key Person Profile (Expanded)	Attach PI Biographical Sketch (Biosketch_LastName.pdf) to the appropriate field.	
	Attach PI Current & Pending Support (Support_LastName.pdf) to the appropriate field.	
	Attach Biographical Sketch (Biosketch_LastName.pdf) for each senior/key person to the appropriate field.	
	Attach Current & Pending Support (Support_LastName.pdf) for each senior/key person to the appropriate field.	
Research & Related Budget	Complete as instructed. Attach Budget Justification (BudgetJustification.pdf) to the appropriate field.	
Project/Performance Site Location(s) Form	Complete form as instructed.	
R & R Subaward Budget Attachment(s) Form	Complete form as instructed.	