OMB Control Number: XXXX-XXXX

S2: ALL AGENCIES PROGRAM INFORMATION SURVEY

This data is being collected to conduct a process evaluation of the Weatherization Assistance Program at the local level. The data you supply will be used to characterize the program in Program Year 2010, unless otherwise noted.

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average eight hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Office of the Chief Information Officer, Records Management Division, IM-11, Paperwork Reduction Project (XXXX-XXXX), U.S. Department of Energy, 1000 Independence Ave SW, Washington, DC, 20585-1290; and to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB), OIRA, Paperwork Reduction Project (XXXX-XXXX), Washington, DC 20503.

All of the information obtained from this survey will be protected and will remain confidential. The data will be analyzed in such a way that the information provided cannot be associated back to your state, your agencies, or the housing units and clients that your state served. Again, please note that the questions refer to PY 2010 unless otherwise noted.

Part 1. General Information

1.	Please identify your state.
2.	Please identify your local agency.
	Which of the following best characterizes your agency? (Please check the one answer that best plies):
	Local Non-Profit Organization Local Government Agency County Government Agency Indian Tribe Other entity not eligible for CSBG funding Other (please specify)
	For how many years had the current director of your local Weatherization Program served in at capacity prior to PY 2010?
	What agency, office, or department was responsible for reviewing the performance of your real Weatherization Program in PY 2010?

- 6. How many layers of management or supervision were there between your weatherization crews and the director of your local Weatherization Program in PY 2010? ____ [If your weatherization crews reported directly to the Program director, the answer should be 0.]
- 7. Please indicate other energy-related, housing, and other programs that cooperated with your agency's weatherization program, by source of funding in PY 2010. Please check all that apply.

Type of Program Federal State Utility Other Funding Funding Funding Funding

Energy bill paying assistance Housing re-habilitation Home emergency repairs Hardship funds (other than for energy bill paying) Fuel delivery in crisis Fair housing Health and safety **Energy education (other than** client education delivered by weatherization program) Home buying education Rehabilitation loan Mortgage loan **Emergency food Emergency safety** Other (please specify) Other (please specify)

Part 2. Leveraging

1. Please list weatherization funding received during PY 2010 by completing the table below.

Column A lists potential sources of weatherization funding. As a reference, Column B lists the amount of PY 2008 funding reported by your agency in the retrospective evaluation S2 survey. If the PY 2008 funding amount is incorrect, please list the correct amount in Column B. In Column C, please indicate whether your agency received funding from each source in PY 2010. In Column D, enter the total funding amount received in PY 2010 from each source received. Please allocate the total funding amount listed in Column D to the sub-categories listed in Columns E through G.

A	В	(C	D	E	F	G
Funding source	Funds received from this source in PY 2008	on f from	eive erizati unds this in PY	Total funds received from this source in PY 2010	Funding for program operations ²	Funding for administration/ program management	Funding for training and technical assistance (T&TA)
DOE ¹	Pre- populated	Yes No		← Selecting	no will gray	columns to	right
LIHEAP	Pre- populated	Yes No		← Selecting	yes will drive	skip patterns	in Q12-23
Petroleum Violation Escrow (PVE)	Pre- populated	Yes No					
Other Federal Programs	Pre- populated	Yes No					
State Public Benefit Funds	Pre- populated	Yes No					
Other State Programs	Pre- populated	Yes No					
Utilities	Pre- populated	Yes No					
Program Income	Pre- populated	Yes No					
In-Kind	Pre- populated	Yes No					
Non-Profits	Pre- populated	Yes No					
Third Party (e.g., Foundations, Lenders)	Pre- populated	Yes No					
All other (Please specify)	Pre- populated	Yes No					
TOTAL	Pre- populated						

^{1.} Include WAP and ARRA funds in this row.

^{2.} List all funding for weatherization program operations that was passed on to subgrantees, including amounts spent on measures, labor, health and safety, financial audits, liability insurance, vehicles and equipment.

2. Of the Program Year 2010 funds retained by your Agency's Weatherization Program for Program Management (see Column F total in Question 1), how much was used for each function listed below?

Type of Management Function	Total
Administration*	
Agency monitoring	
Other (specify)	
TOTAL	

^{*} Includes planning, finance and accounting, clerical support, outreach, and evaluation.

3. Did your agency classify its expenditures for client intake, audits, and post-weatherization inspections as program management costs or as allowable costs used in the calculation of average cost per unit in PY 2010? Please indicate your answer for each type of expenditure by checking the appropriate cell in the table below.

Type of Expenditure	Classified as Program Management Costs?	Classified as Allowable Costs for Purpose of Calculating Average Cost per Unit?
Expenditures for Client		
Intake		
Expenditures for Audits		
Expenditures for Post-		
Weatherization Quality		
Control Inspections		

4. Of the TOTAL amount spent by your	agency in Program Y	Year 2010 using	g funds fro	m all
sources (see Column D total in Question	1), please give your	best estimate of	of how muc	ch was
spent on Audits and Inspections.	\$			

5. Please divide your agency's Program Year 2010 expenditures on program operations (see Column E total in Question 1) into in-house expenditures and contractor expenditures, as shown in the following table.

Type of Expenditure	PY 2010 In-house Expenditur es (in \$)	PY 2010 Contractor Expenditures (in \$)	PY 2010 Total Expenditures on program operations (in \$)
Expenditures for Health and Safety, Repairs, and			
Other Non Cost-Effective Measures			
All Expenditures Defined as Allowable Costs for			
Purpose of Calculating Average Cost per Unit			
TOTAL FUNDS			

6. Of your agency's total Program Year 2010 expenditures on program operations (see Column E total in Question 1), please give your best estimate of how much was for labor, how much for materials, and how much for other expenses.

Type of Expenditure	PY 2010 Expenditures on program operations (in \$)
Labor	
Materials	
Other Expenses (e.g., costs for vehicles,	
transportation, maintenance, and storage)	
TOTAL FUNDS	

7. Please provide the following information about ALL low-income dwelling units weatherized by your agency in Program Year 2010.

Number of Units Weatherized in PV 2010

		Number of Units Weatherized in PY 2010		
		Non-DOE Units ²		
Type of Unit Weatherized	DOE Units ¹	Comprehensive Weatherization ³	Non-comprehensive Weatherization ⁴	TOTAL Units
Single Family Attached and				CIIIUS
Detached				
Small Multi-family (2-4				
units)				
Multifamily (5 or More				
Units per Building)				
Mobile Home				
Shelter				
TOTAL UNITS				
¹ These are dwelling units that ye ² These are dwelling units that ye	our agency weather	rized and reported to the	State as "DOE Units"	
proportion of potential energy-sa ⁴ Non-comprehensive weatheriz baseload electric measures only; entity.	ation units are thos			
of the following as their modwelling unit) in the winter Natural gas Fuel oil Electricity Propane/LP Kerosene on Wood Other (please	r prior to weath	erization?	ing most of the heat re	——————————————————————————————————————
	high-priority cli ecording to you e 60 and older)		ve blank if do not kno	-
10. Did your state have off in PY 2010? Yes No	icial definitions	of "high energy exp	penditure" or "high en	ergy burden"

11. Of all the DOE units weatherized by your agency in Program Year 2010, how many met your state's definition of having "high energy expenditures" and "high energy
burden"? (leave blank if do not know or if state did not have that definition)
[Q12 will be activated by 'yes' responses in the associated funding categories of Question 1 and skipped for any 'no' responses in those categories].

12. In the categories below, please specify the name of each funder and the total amount of weatherization funding received in PY 2010.

	Funding entity (please enter names)	Total weatherization funding administered in PY 2010 (please enter amounts)
Utilities		
Utility 1		
Utility 2		
Utility 3		
All other utilities		
In-kind contributions		
In-kind 1		
In-kind 2		
In-kind 3		
All other in-kind		
Nonprofits		
Nonprofit 1		
Nonprofit 2		
Nonprofit 3		
All other nonprofits		
Other		
Other 1		
Other 2		
Other 3		
All others		

[Q13 will be activated by 'yes' responses in the LIHEAP category of Question 1 and deactivated (skipped) for 'no' responses in that category.]

13a. When did agency first receive weatherization funds from LIHEAP?
13b. Number of years that agency worked to achieve this leveraging relationship (leave blank if unknown):
13c. Please describe the change in the leveraging relationship between your agency's low-income weatherization program and LIHEAP during the ARRA period. Extremely positive Positive No change Negative Extremely negative
13d. How do you expect leveraged funding from this source to change from PY 2010 to the post ARRA period in PY 2012? increase decrease stay the same
13e. If leveraged funding from LIHEAP decreased from PY 2008, was the change attributable to increased ARRA funding? Yes No Not applicable
13f. Did you require local agencies to follow DOE rules when spending weatherization funds from LIHEAP in PY 2010? Yes No
[13g will appear if respondent answered 'no' in 13f] 13g. What were the major differences in the rules governing the expenditure of LIHEAP funds in comparison to the rules governing the expenditure of DOE funds?
13h. Did your agency experience any delays or other difficulties in spending funds from LIHEAP in PY 2010? Yes No
If yes, please provide a short description of the reasons for the delays or other difficulties:

[Q14 will be activated by 'yes' responses in the PVE category of Question 1 and deactivated (skipped) for 'no' responses in that category.]

14a. When did agency first receive weatherization funds from Petroleum Violation Escrow (PVE)?
14b. Number of years that agency worked to achieve this leveraging relationship (leave blank if unknown):
14c. Please describe the change in leveraging relationships between your agency's low-income weatherization program and PVE during the ARRA period. Extremely positive Positive No change Negative
Extremely Negative
14d. How do you expect leveraged funding from this source to change from PY 2010 to the post ARRA period in PY 2012? increase decrease stay the same
14e. If leveraged funding from PVE decreased from PY 2008, was the change attributable to increased ARRA funding? Yes No Not applicable
14f. Did you require local agencies to follow DOE rules when spending weatherization funds from PVE in PY 2010? Yes No
[14g will appear if respondent answered 'no' in 14f] 14g. What were the major differences in the rules governing the expenditure of PVE funds in comparison to the rules governing the expenditure of DOE funds?
14h. Did your agency experience any delays or other difficulties in spending funds from PVE in PY 2010? Yes No
No If yes, please provide a short description of the reasons for the delays or other difficulties:

[Q15 will be activated by 'yes' responses in the Other Federal Programs category of Question 1 and deactivated (skipped) for 'no' responses in that category.]

15a. When did agency first receive weatherization funds from Other Federal Programs?
15b. Number of years that agency worked to achieve this leveraging relationship (leave blank if unknown):
15c. Please describe the change in leveraging relationships between your agency's low-income weatherization program and Other Federal Programs during the ARRA period. Extremely positive Positive No change
Negative Extremely Negative
15d. How do you expect leveraged funding from this source to change from PY 2010 to the post ARRA period in PY 2012? increase decrease stay the same
15e. If leveraged funding from Other Federal Programs decreased from PY 2008, was the chang attributable to increased ARRA funding? Yes No Not applicable
15f. Did you require local agencies to follow DOE rules when spending weatherization funds from Other Federal Programs in PY 2010? Yes No
[15g will appear if respondent answered 'no' in 15f] 15g. What were the major differences in the rules governing the expenditure of Other Federal Programs funds in comparison to the rules governing the expenditure of DOE funds?
15h. Did your agency experience any delays or other difficulties in spending funds from Other Federal Programs in PY 2010? Yes No.
No If yes, please provide a short description of the reasons for the delays or other difficulties:

[Q16 will be activated by 'yes' responses in the State Public Benefit Funds category of Question 1 and deactivated (skipped) for 'no' responses in that category.]

16a. When did agency first receive weatherization funds from State Public Benefit Funds?
16b. Number of years that agency worked to achieve this leveraging relationship (leave blank if unknown):
16c. Please describe the change in leveraging relationships between your agency's low-income weatherization program and State Public Benefit Funds during the ARRA period. Extremely positive Positive No change Negative Extremely Negative
16d. How do you expect leveraged funding from this source to change from PY 2010 to the post-ARRA period in PY 2012? increase decrease stay the same
16e. If leveraged funding from State Public Benefit Funds decreased from PY 2008, was the change attributable to increased ARRA funding? Yes No Not applicable
16f. Did you require local agencies to follow DOE rules when spending weatherization funds from State Public Benefit Funds in PY 2010? Yes No
[16g will appear if respondent answered 'no' in 16f] 16g. What were the major differences in the rules governing the expenditure of State Public Benefit Funds in comparison to the rules governing the expenditure of DOE funds?
16h. Did your agency experience any delays or other difficulties in spending funds from State Public Benefit Funds in PY 2010? Yes No

If yes, please provide a short description of the reasons for the delays or other difficulties: [Q17 will be activated by 'yes' responses in the Other State Programs category of Question 1 and deactivated (skipped) for 'no' responses in that category.] 17a. When did agency first receive weatherization funds from Other State Programs? _____ 17b. Number of years that agency worked to achieve this leveraging relationship (leave blank if unknown): _____ 17c. Please describe the change in leveraging relationships between your agency's low-income weatherization program and Other State Programs during the ARRA period. ____ Extremely positive ____ Positive ____ No change ____ Negative ____ Extremely Negative 17d. How do you expect leveraged funding from this source to change from PY 2010 to the post-ARRA period in PY 2012? increase ____ decrease stay the same 17e. If leveraged funding from Other State Programs decreased from PY 2008, was the change attributable to increased ARRA funding? _____ Yes ____ No Not applicable 17f. Did you require local agencies to follow DOE rules when spending weatherization funds from Other State Programs in PY 2010? Yes No [17g will appear if respondent answered 'no' in 17f] 17g. What were the major differences in the rules governing the expenditure of Other State Programs funds in comparison to the rules governing the expenditure of DOE funds? 17h. Did your agency experience any delays or other difficulties in spending funds from Other State Programs in PY 2010?

____ Yes

No If yes, please provide a short description of the reasons for the delays or other difficulties:
[Q18 will be activated by 'yes' responses in the Utilities category of Question 1 and deactivated (skipped) for 'no' responses in that category.]
18a. When did agency first receive weatherization funds from Utilities?
18b. Number of years that agency worked to achieve this leveraging relationship (leave blank if unknown):
18c. Please describe the change in leveraging relationships between your agency's low-income weatherization program and Utilities during the ARRA period. Extremely positive Positive No change Negative Extremely Negative
18d. How do you expect leveraged funding from this source to change from PY 2010 to the post-ARRA period in PY 2012?increasedecreasestay the same
18e. If leveraged funding from Utilities decreased from PY 2008, was the change attributable to increased ARRA funding? Yes No Not applicable
18f. Did you require local agencies to follow DOE rules when spending weatherization funds from Utilities in PY 2010? Yes No
[18g will appear if respondent answered 'no' in 18f] 18g. What were the major differences in the rules governing the expenditure of Utilities funds in comparison to the rules governing the expenditure of DOE funds?
18h. Did your agency experience any delays or other difficulties in spending funds from Utilities in PY 2010? Yes

No If yes, please provide a short description of the reasons for the delays or other difficulties:
[Q19 will be activated by 'yes' responses in the Program Income category of Question 1 and deactivated (skipped) for 'no' responses in that category.]
19a. When did agency first receive weatherization funds from Program Income?
19b. Number of years that agency worked to achieve this leveraging relationship (leave blank if unknown):
19c. Please describe the change in leveraging relationships between your agency's low-income weatherization program and Program Income during the ARRA period. Extremely positive Positive No change Negative Extremely Negative
19d. How do you expect leveraged funding from this source to change from PY 2010 to the post-ARRA period in PY 2012?increase decrease stay the same
19e. If leveraged funding from Program Income decreased from PY 20019, was the change attributable to increased ARRA funding? Yes No Not applicable
19f. Did you require local agencies to follow DOE rules when spending weatherization funds from Program Income in PY 2010? Yes No
[19g will appear if respondent answered 'no' in 19f] 19g. What were the major differences in the rules governing the expenditure of Program Income funds in comparison to the rules governing the expenditure of DOE funds?
19h. Did your agency experience any delays or other difficulties in spending funds from Program Income in PY 2010? Yes

No If yes, please provide a short description of the reasons for the delays or other difficulties:
[Q20 will be activated by 'yes' responses in the In-Kind Contributions category of Question 1 and deactivated (skipped) for 'no' responses in that category.]
20a. When did agency first receive weatherization funds from In-Kind Contributions?
20b. Number of years that agency worked to achieve this leveraging relationship (leave blank if unknown):
20c. Please describe the change in leveraging relationships between your agency's low-income weatherization program and In-Kind Contributions during the ARRA period. Extremely positive Positive No change Negative Extremely Negative
20d. How do you expect leveraged funding from this source to change from PY 2010 to the post-ARRA period in PY 2012? increase decrease stay the same
20e. If leveraged funding from In-Kind Contributions decreased from PY 2008, was the change attributable to increased ARRA funding? Yes No Not applicable
20f. Did you require local agencies to follow DOE rules when spending weatherization funds from In-Kind Contributions in PY 2010? Yes No
[20g will appear if respondent answered 'no' in 20f] 20g. What were the major differences in the rules governing the expenditure of In-Kind Contributions in comparison to the rules governing the expenditure of DOE funds?

20h. Did your agency experience any delays or other difficulties in spending funds from In-Kind Contributions in PY 2010?

Yes No
If yes, please provide a short description of the reasons for the delays or other difficulties:
[Q21 will be activated by 'yes' responses in the Non-profits category of Question 1 and deactivated (skipped) for 'no' responses in that category.]
21a. When did agency first receive weatherization funds from Non-profits?
21b. Number of years that agency worked to achieve this leveraging relationship (leave blank if unknown):
21c. Please describe the change in leveraging relationships between your agency's low-income weatherization program and Non-profits during the ARRA period. Extremely positive Positive No change Negative Extremely Negative
21d. How do you expect leveraged funding from this source to change from PY 2010 to the post-ARRA period in PY 2012? increase decrease stay the same
21e. If leveraged funding from Non-Profits decreased from PY 2008, was the change attributable to increased ARRA funding? YesNoNot applicable
21f. Did you require local agencies to follow DOE rules when spending weatherization funds from Non-Profits in PY 2010? Yes No
[21g will appear if respondent answered 'no' in 21f] 21g. What were the major differences in the rules governing the expenditure of Non-Profits funds in comparison to the rules governing the expenditure of DOE funds?

21h. Did your agency experience any delays or other difficulties in spending funds from Non-profits in PY 2010?

Yes No If yes, please provide a short description of the reasons for the delays or other difficulties:
[Q22 will be activated by 'yes' responses in the Third Party category of Question 1 and deactivated (skipped) for 'no' responses in that category.]
22a. When did agency first receive weatherization funds from Third Parties (foundations, lenders)?
22b. Number of years that agency worked to achieve this leveraging relationship (leave blank if unknown):
22c. Please describe the change in leveraging relationships between your agency's low-income weatherization program and Third Parties during the ARRA period. Extremely positive Positive No change Negative Extremely Negative
22d. How do you expect leveraged funding from this source to change from PY 2010 to the post-ARRA period in PY 2012?increasedecreasestay the same
22e. If leveraged funding from Third Parties decreased from PY 2008, was the change attributable to increased ARRA funding? Yes No Not applicable
22f. Did you require local agencies to follow DOE rules when spending weatherization funds from Third Parties in PY 2010? Yes No
[22g will appear if respondent answered 'no' in 22f] 22g. What were the major differences in the rules governing the expenditure of Third Party funds in comparison to the rules governing the expenditure of DOE funds?

22h. Did your agency experience any delays or other difficulties in spending funds from Third Parties in PY 2010? Yes
No If yes, please provide a short description of the reasons for the delays or other difficulties:
[Q23 will be activated by 'yes' responses in All Other, Specify category of Question 1 and deactivated (skipped) for 'no' responses in that category.]
23a. When did agency first receive weatherization funds from [insert text from 'All Other, Specify']?
23b. Number of years that agency worked to achieve this leveraging relationship (leave blank if unknown):
23c. Please describe the change in leveraging relationships between your agency's low-income weatherization program and [insert text from 'All Other, Specify'] during the ARRA period. Extremely positive Positive No change Negative Extremely Negative
23d. How do you expect leveraged funding from this source to change from PY 2010 to the post-ARRA period in PY 2012?increasedecreasestay the same
23e. If leveraged funding from [insert text from 'All Other, Specify'] decreased from PY 2008, was the change attributable to increased ARRA funding? Yes No Not applicable
23f. Did you require local agencies to follow DOE rules when spending weatherization funds from [insert text from 'All Other, Specify'] in PY 2010? Yes No
[23g will appear if respondent answered 'no' in 23f] 23g. What were the major differences in the rules governing the expenditure of [insert text from 'All Other, Specify'] funds in comparison to the rules governing the expenditure of DOE funds?

23h. Did your agency experience any delays or other difficulties in spending funds from [insert text from 'All Other, Specify'] in PY 2010? Yes No
If yes, please provide a short description of the reasons for the delays or other difficulties:
24. How important were leveraged funds for your agency's Weatherization Program in PY 2010 compared to program years without additional ARRA funding? (Check best answer) Very important
Important
Not very important
Not important at all
25. Did your agency set aside funding to advocate for leveraged resources in PY 2010? Yes No
1,0
$26. \ How \ successful \ would \ you \ rate \ your \ agency's \ efforts \ to \ acquire \ leveraged \ funds \ in \ PY \ 2010?$
(Check best answer)
Very successful
Successful
Not very successful
Not successful at all
State does not seek leveraged funds
27. What factors limited the success of your agency's efforts to acquire leveraged funding in PY
2010?
28. Did your agency encounter any of the following problems in spending non-DOE funds in
general in PY 2010? (Check all that apply)
Our agency could not easily increase the number of homes weatherized during the
year in order to better spend non-DOE funds
Our agency required the expenditure of DOE weatherization funds before non-
DOE funds were expended
We had insufficient staff to manage the receipt and expenditure of non-DOE funds
We had inadequate accounting systems to manage the receipt and expenditure of
non-DOE funds
Guidance received from DOE and/or our state made it difficult to expend non-
DOE funds in a timely manner

29. Have you modified your agency's weatherization program practices or regulations in the three years prior to PY 2010 to facilitate spending and reporting on leveraged resources? Yes
No
30. How has the influx of ARRA funding impacted previously existing leveraging relationships? a. Extremely positive impact
b. Positive impactc. No Impact
d. Negative impact e. Extremely negative impact
31. Can leveraging relationships damaged or lost during ARRA be re-built post-ARRA? a. Yes, absolutely b. Yes, probably c. Uncertain d. No, probably not e. No, definitely not
32. What aspects about your agency's low-income weatherization program are most misunderstood by actual and potential leveraging partners?
33. How has your program worked to overcome these misunderstandings?
34. What information would your agency's weatherization program like to have that could be used to overcome these misunderstandings?
35. What other information would your agency's weatherization program like to have that could be used to 'sell' leveraging relationships?
36. What was the quality of the support and assistance on leveraging the Weatherization Assistance Program with other funding sources and related programs that your agency received from the state and its contractors in Program Year 2010? (Check best answer) very high quality high quality low quality very low quality very low quality not applicable
36a. If appropriate, why did you rate the quality very low or low?
37. Overall, what is your expectation for total agency leveraged for low-income weatherization funding post ARRA in PY 2012 compared to pre-ARRA PY 2008 a. Greatly increased b. Increased

- c. Same level
- d. Decreased
- e. Greatly decreased
- 38. On balance, how beneficial do you think will ARRA funding prove to be over the longer-term on your agency's ability to leverage DOE WAP-program funding for low-income weatherization?
- a. Extremely beneficial
- b. Beneficial
- c. No long-term impact
- d. Unbeneficial
- e. Extremely unbeneficial

PART 3. WAP ARRA Program Information

- 1. For many years, the national weatherization network and the state-level national weatherization networks had been relatively stable. During the ARRA period, did the composition of your agency-level weatherization network change?
- a. Yes
- b. No (Skip to Question 5)
- 2. How would you characterize the magnitude of this change?
- a. Extreme
- b. Great
- c. Moderate
- d. Small

3. Using the table below, please list the names and contributions of the major new players.

	below, pieuse hist the names and contributions of the major new players.
Name	Description of Weatherization Related Contributions (e.g.,
	provides training, sells energy efficient products)

4. On balance, how beneficial have these new players been to your agency's weatherization program? a. Extremely beneficial b. Beneficial c. Neither beneficial nor unbeneficial d. Unbeneficial e. Extremely unbeneficial Please Explain
5. During the ARRA period, did organizational responsibilities for your agency's weatherization program (e.g., reporting lines, organizational home) change? a. Yes b. No (Skip to Question 9)
6. How did the organizational responsibilities change? Please Explain
7. In your opinion, was this change a result of increased visibility of your agency's weatherization program created by the substantial funding increase? a. Yes b. No
8. On balance, how beneficial has this change been to your agency's weatherization program? a. Extremely beneficial b. Beneficial c. Neither beneficial nor unbeneficial d. Unbeneficial e. Extremely unbeneficial Please explain
9. During the ARRA period, how has state-level oversight of your agency's weatherization assistance program changed? a. Greatly increased b. Increased c. Stayed the same d. Decreased e. Greatly decreased
10. During the ARRA period, has your state passed any new laws and/or implemented any new regulations that have directly impacted your agency's weatherization assistance program? a. Yes b. No (Skip to Question 14)
11. Please describe the new laws and/or regulations

12. On balance, how beneficial have these new laws and/or regulations been to your agency's weatherization program? a. Extremely beneficial b. Beneficial c. Neither beneficial nor unbeneficial d. Unbeneficial e. Extremely unbeneficial Please explain
13. Would these laws and/or regulations have been passed with or without the increased attention on the program attributable to ARRA? a. Wholely due to ARRA b. Primarily due to ARRA c. Somewhat due to ARRA d. Would have been passed anyway without ARRA
14. During the ARRA period, how has the visibility of your agency's weatherization program changed with respect to elected officials? a. Greatly increased b. Increased c. Stayed the same d. Decreased e. Greatly decreased
15. On balance during the ARRA period, how has the public's support for your agency's weatherization assistance program changed? a. Greatly increased b. Increased c. Stayed the same d. Decreased e. Greatly decreased Please explain
16. How would you rate the public's understanding of your agency's low-income weatherization assistance program? a. Excellent b. Good c. Fair d. Poor
17. Please explain those aspects of the program that are most frequently misunderstood by the public

- 18. During the ARRA period, how has media attention on your agency's weatherization program changed?
- a. Greatly increased
- b. Increased
- c. Stayed the same
- d. Decreased
- e. Greatly decreased
- 19. Please rate the quality of the media coverage your agency's weatherization program has received during the ARRA period?
- a. very high quality
- b. high quality
- c. moderate quality
- d. low quality
- e. very low quality
- f. N/A no media coverage (skip to 22)
- g. no opinion
- 20. Please describe the overall media coverage of your agency's weatherization program during the ARRA period:
- a. very positive
- b. positive
- c. neither positive or negative
- d. negative
- e. very negative
- 21. What topics did the media mainly focus on? (check all that apply)
- a. Jobs created
- b. Energy saved
- c. Helping low income households
- d. Waste, fraud and abuse
- e. Lack of energy savings
- f. Organizational mis-steps

~ (Other		
\mathbf{v}			

- 22. Will weatherization issues identified rightly or wrongly by the media during ARRA have lasting impacts on weatherization funding for your agency in the future?
- a. could substantial reduce funding
- b. could reduce funding
- c. no impact
- d. could increase funding
- e. could substantially increase funding

23. On balance, how beneficial has been the attention paid to your agency's weatherization program during the ARRA period? a. Extremely beneficial
b. Beneficial
c. Neither beneficial nor unbeneficial d. Unbeneficial
e. Extremely unbeneficial
Please explain
1
PART 4. WAP PROGRAM IMPLEMENTATION DURING THE ARRA PERIOD
1. How have relationships changed between your state weatherization office and your local weatherization agency during ARRA?
a. become much more congenial
b. become more congenial
c. no changes d. become more strained
e. become much more strained
2. What was the quality of the administrative support and assistance that your agency received from the state and its contractors in Program Year 2010? (Check best answer) very high quality
high quality
moderate quality
low quality
very low quality
not applicable
2a. If appropriate, why did you rate the quality very low or low?
3. What was the quality of the training that your agency received from the state and its contractors in Program Year 2010? (Check best answer)
very high quality
high quality
moderate quality
low quality
very low quality
not applicable
3a. If appropriate, why did you rate the quality very low or low?

4. What was the quality of the support and assistance on client education that your agency received from the state and its contractors in Program Year 2010? (Check best answer)
very high quality
high quality
moderate quality
low quality
very low quality
not applicable
4a. If appropriate, why did you rate the quality very low or low?
5. What was the quality of the support and assistance on leveraging the Weatherization
Assistance Program with other funding sources and related programs that your agency received
from the state and its contractors in Program Year 2010? (Check best answer)
very high quality
high quality
moderate quality
low quality
very low quality
not applicable
5a. If appropriate, why did you rate the quality very low or low?
6. What was the quality of the <i>technical</i> support that your agency received from the state and its
contractors in Program Year 2010? (Check best answer)
very high quality
high quality
moderate quality
low quality
very low quality
not applicable
6a. If appropriate, why did you rate the quality very low or low?
7. How flexible did you find the DOE program rules that governed the weatherization program in
Program Year 2010? In other words, did the program rules allow your agency to tailor your
program to your needs (very flexible) or proscribe your program to only one way of operation
(very inflexible (Check best answer)
Very Flexible
Flexible
Inflexible
Very Inflexible

7a. Using PY 2010 as the reference point, how should the program rules change? Become much more flexible Stay about the same Become more inflexible Become much more inflexible
7b. In what areas should the program rules become more flexible?
7c. In what areas should the program rules become less flexible?
8. Please describe any important political issues faced by your agency's weatherization program in Program Year 2010.
9. Which statement best describes how your agency dealt with program expansion during the ARRA period? a. promoted existing weatherization staff to management positions and hired new entry level
workers b. hired new weatherization managers and hired new entry level workers c. promoted existing weatherization staff to management positions and hired contractors to ramp up production d. simply hired contractors to ramp up production
 10. Did expanding your agency's level of production result in any economies of scale benefits? a. No b. some benefits c. moderate benefits d. substantial benefits
11. How did your agency change its in-take procedures during the ARRA-period from the procedures used pre-ARRA (i.e., PY2008)? (Check Best Answer) a. No change b. Eligibility checks more stringent c. Eligibility checks less stringent d. Other
12. Were there any material, equipment or other supply chain bottlenecks during the ARRA period that negatively impacted weatherization production by your agency? a. No b. Yes If yes, what was in short supply?

Community Block Grant Program, competed for labor during the ARRA period?
a. Not at allb. a small extent
c. a moderate extent
d. a large extent
e. a very large extent
c. a very range extent
14. During the ARRA period, how has state-level oversight of your state's weatherization
assistance program changed?
a. Greatly increased
b. Increased
c. Stayed the same
d. Decreased
e. Greatly decreased
15. How did your agency manage increasing workloads and performance expectations?
Please Explain
16. Has ARRA funding allowed your agency to purchase and implement new information technologies to improve program administration? a. Yes b. No
If yes, please describe these new information technologies.
17. Has ARRA funding allowed your agency to purchase new field technologies (e.g., Infrared Thermal Imagers) to improve weatherization audits and measure installation? a. Yes b. No If yes, please describe these purchases.
18. How timely has DOE's guidance been during the ARRA period?
a. very timely
b. timely
c. not very timely
d. not timely at all
d. not timely at an
19. How clear has DOE's guidance been during the ARRA period?
a. very clear
b. clear
c. not very clear
d. not clear at all
d. not clear at an

13. To what extent have large DOE programs, WAP, State Energy Program, Energy Efficiency

- 20. During PY 2010, how much of a burden were your agency's administrative costs associated with increased oversight during the ARRA period (e.g., from DOE IG, GAO)?
- a. no burden
- b. slight burden
- c. moderate burden
- d. substantial burden
- e. extreme burden
- 21. Did your agency change its audit approach during the ARRA-period from the approach used pre-ARRA (i.e., PY2008)?
- a. Yes, went from priority list to computerized audit
- b. Yes, went from computerized audit to priority list
- c. Yes, changed from one computerized audit tool to another
- d. No
- 22. To what extent have measure costs used in SIR calculations diverted from actual measure costs experienced during ARRA in PY 2010? (choose the best description)
- a. on balance actual measure costs were much higher than SIR calculations
- b. on balance actual measure costs were higher than SIR calculations
- c. SIR calculations and actual measure costs were about the same
- d. on balance SIR calculations and actual measure costs were about the same but the actual measure costs fluctuated a great deal up and down
- e. on balance actual measure costs were lower than SIR calculations
- f. on balance actual measure costs were much lower than SIR calculations
- 23. Did your agency change it quality assurance procedures during the ARRA-period (e.g., PY 2010) from the procedures used pre-ARRA (i.e., PY2008)?
- a. Yes, procedures are much more stringent
- b. Yes, procedures are more stringent
- c. No
- 24. Did your agency change its approach to using in-house crews versus contractors during the ARRA-period (e.g., PY 2010) from the procedures used pre-ARRA (i.e., PY2008)?
- a. Contractors are performing a much higher percentage of weatherization jobs
- b. Contractors are performing a higher percentage of weatherization jobs
- c. In-House crews are performing a higher percentage of weatherization jobs
- d. In-house crews are performing a much higher percentage of weatherization jobs
- e. No
- 24a. If there was a change, what prompted the change? (choose the best description)
- a. Change was solely made to meet production targets
- b. Change was solely made to deal with Davis-Bacon
- c. Change was made to meet production targets and deal with Davis-Bacon
- d. Other (Please explain)

a. All workers were required to be trained in weatherization workb. Only in-house crews were required to be trainedc. Only contractors were required to be trained
d. No training was required.
25. Did your agency implement any innovative approaches to weatherization during the ARRA period? a. No b. Yes If yes, please describe the innovative approaches
26. Did your agency implement any other major programmatic changes during the ARRA-period? a. No b. Yes If yes, please describe these programmatic changes
27. Does your agency have a deferral policy?a. Yesb. No
28. Please estimate the number of units where weatherization was deferred during PY 2010? a. 0% b. 1-5% c. 6-10% d. 10-20% e. 21-30% f. more than 30%
28a. What was the leading cause for deferral during PY 2010?
28b. Please estimate what percentage of units where weatherization was deferred during PY 2010 will eventually be weatherized? a. 0% b. 1-25% c. 26-50% d. 51-75% e. 76-90%
f. 91-100%

24b. How did your training requirements change with the change in approach?

200. Flease describe your agency's deferral rates in F 12010 compared to F 12000.
a. very great increase
b. great increase
c. increase
d. no change
e. decrease
f. great decrease
g. very great decrease
29. How much of a priority does your agency place on health and safety when weatherizing
homes?
a. Very high priority
b. High priority
c. Medium priority
d. Low priority
e. Very low priority
f. No priority
30. What would be the ideal amount of money per home your agency would like to spend for addressing health and safety issues?
31. Of all the homes weatherized by your agency in Program Year 2010, how many did you refe to non-energy programs for additional services (e.g., nutrition; family counseling)?
32. What approaches did your local agency use to recruit new qualified, reliable and trustworthy weatherization crew members and how effective were these approaches:
33. What approaches did your local agency use to train the expanded weatherization workforce? a. Utilized existing state program-basedtraining resources, i.e. State monitors or other staff providing training to the network
b. Sent weatherization workers to one of 26 newly funded weatherization training centers c.Sent weatherization workers to one of 12 legacy weatherization training centers (i.e. COAD,
NRCERT, INCAA, BPC, PG&E Stockton, NYSWDA, FSL, AEA, etc. YY)
d. Utilized private, consultant training providers (i.e. Saturn, BMI, EMC)
e. OTHER Please explain: f. No additional training was provided
24. In your origins, how offertive your those approaches?
34. In your opinion, how effective were these approaches?
a. Highly effective
b. Very Effective
c. Somewhat effective d. No effective
35. Has your agency implemented new certification requirements for weatherization workers during the ARRA period?
a. No (go to Q28)
b. Yes

- 36. What new certifications are required? (Check all that apply)
- a. BPI Building Analyst
- b. BPI Envelope
- c. BPI Residential Building Envelope Accessible Areas Air Leakage Control Installer
- d. Residential Building Envelope Whole House Air Leakage Control Crew Chief
- e. BPI Manufactured Housing
- f. BPI Heating
- g. BPI Air Conditioning and Heat Pump
- h. BPI Multifamily
- i. HERS
- j. LEED
- k. Lead Safe Weatherization
- 1. Lead Certified Renovator
- m. NAHB Green Building
- n. Other ____
- 37. Did staff turnover change during the ARRA period as compared to the pre-ARRA period?
- a. Turnover greatly increased
- b. Turnover increased
- c. No, turnover did not change
- d. Turnover decreased
- e. Turnover greatly decreased
- 38. Which statement best describes changes in the level of employment in your agency's jurisdiction resulting from Davis-Bacon?
- a. large number of jobs created
- b. small number of jobs created
- c. no change in the number of jobs
- d. small number of jobs lost
- e. large number of jobs lost
- f. don't know
- 39. Which statement best describes the impact of Davis-Bacon on wages paid to weatherization workers by your agency?
- a. large increase in wages
- b. small increase in wages
- c. no increase in wages
- d. small decrease in wages
- e. large decrease in wages
- 40. Did Davis-Bacon paperwork requirements lead some experienced weatherization contractors who worked for your agency to leave the low-income weatherization field?
- a. No
- b. Yes, a few
- c. Yes, many

41. How much of a problem was faced by your agency in coordinating wages stipulated by Davis-Bacon for weatherization workers whose operations spanned multiple counties? a. no problem b. small problem c. moderate problem d. large problem e. very large problem f. N/A because your agency works exclusively in one county
42. Have changes in weatherization costs associated with Davis-Bacon altered choices of measures installed in homes? a. No b. Yes If yes, please explain
43. In your agency, on average, how much did Davis-Bacon rules increase the cost of weatherizing low-income multifamily buildings four stories and higher? a. no increase b. 1-10% c. 11-20% d. 21-30% e. 31+% f. N/A
44. Overall, how did Davis-Bacon impact the costs of weatherization in your agency? a. greatly increased costs b. increased costs c. no change in costs d. decreased costs e. greatly decreased costs
45. Does your state allow the weatherization of large low-income multi-family buildings? a. yes b. no (go to Q 40) If not, why not?
46. Does your agency weatherize large low-income multi-family buildings? a. No b. Yes

that apply)	rs to weatherizing large lo	ow-income multi-family	buildings? (check all	
b. lack of trained audito	a. lack of trained auditors b. lack of trained crew			
c. too expensive				
d. building owners are	uncooperative			
e. energy savings are n	ot high enough			
_	m DOE on owner contrib	outions		
g. other				
public housing authority a. Yes	w the weatherization of py?	public housing, that is, h	ousing owned by a	
b. No (go to Q. 43)				
If not, why not?				
49. Does your agency va. Nob. Yes	veatherize public housing	?		
50. What are the barrier	rs to weatherizing public	housing units?		
a. Yes b. No (go to Q46)	w the weatherization of l	_		
52. Does your agency va. Nob. Yes	veatherize HUD assisted	housing?		
53. What are the barrier	rs to weatherizing HUD a	assisted housing?		
· ·	each type were weatheriz	ı		
Type of Housing	Large Multi-family (Not Public Housing or HUD Assisted	_	HUD Assisted Multi- family	
55. Are there any DOE income multi-family but a. No b. Yes If yes, please explain	-	ged to make it easier to w	veatherize large low-	

56. Does your agency's weatherization program have an agreement with your State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO)? a. Yes b. No
57. What percentage of units weatherized by your agency in PY 2010 fell under the SHPO agreement? a. 0 b. 1-5 c. 6-10 d. 11-15 e. 15+
58. In general, have the historic preservation guidelines changed the types of measures installed in these homes? a. No b. Yes If yes, please explain
59. Has low-income weatherization had an impact in preventing home foreclosures during the ARRA period in your agency's jurisdiction? a. no b. little impact c. moderate impact d. great impact e. very great impact f. don't know
59a. Has low-income weatherization had an impact on preventing homelessness during the ARRA period in your agency's jurisdiction? a. no b. little impact c. moderate impact d. great impact e. very great impact
60. How many homes were on your wait list for weatherization in PY 2010?
61. On average, how long was a home on the wait list before it was weatherized in PY 2010?

62. In Program Year 2010, did your agency set targets and actively solicit participation by dwelling units of the types shown below? (Check all that apply)

Type of dwelling unit	Set targets for number of dwelling units of this type to weatherize	Actively sought participation by households residing in this type of dwelling unit
Single Family Attached		
and Detached		
Small Multi-family (2-4		
units)		
Multifamily (5 or More		
Units per Building)		
Mobile Home		
Shelter		
 Targeted mailing Visits to potentia Visits to landlord Advertising with Advertising in lo Radio advertising Television advertion Posting informat 	ls of potential clients other social service agencies cal newspapers or magazines g tising	
 Agency manager In-house outreach Contractor outreach In-house communication Contractor communication Other in-house st 	ment h coordinator ach coordinator nications staff nunications staff	ch efforts described above? (Check all
		ime between the client's request to atherized in PY 2010? (Check best

Not satisfied or dissatisfied
Dissatisfied

_____ Very dissatisfied

66. In general, how easy was it to schedule your visits to client homes to perform audits, weatherization, and inspections in PY 2010? (Check best answer)
Very easy
Easy
Not easy or difficult
Difficult
Very difficult
67. What percentage of households whose homes were weatherized by your agency in Program Year 2010 registered a complaint regarding the quality or nature of the weatherization job performed on their dwelling unit?
68. Of those households that filed complaints, what percentage of these required some additional work?