OMB Control Number: XXXX-XXXX

S1: ALL STATES PROGRAM INFORMATION SURVEY

This data is being collected to conduct a process evaluation of the Weatherization Assistance Program at the state level. The data you supply will be used to characterize program activities during Program Year 2010.

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average sixteen hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Office of the Chief Information Officer, Records Management Division, IM-11, Paperwork Reduction Project (XXXX-XXXX), U.S. Department of Energy, 1000 Independence Ave SW, Washington, DC, 20585-1290; and to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB), OIRA, Paperwork Reduction Project (XXXX-XXXX), Washington, DC 20503.

All of the information obtained from this survey will be protected and will remain confidential. The data will be analyzed in such a way that the information provided cannot be associated back to your state, your agencies, or the housing units and clients that your state served. Again, please note that the questions refer to PY 2010 unless otherwise noted.

1. Please identify your state:	

PROGRAM CHARACTERIZATION

2. It is important to collect information about the weatherization of homes beyond the standard single family homes that are heated with natural gas or electricity. Please review the following information for accuracy about each of the local agencies (subgrantees) that you fund to provide weatherization services in your state. Please add any additional grantees and funding amount in the extra space at the bottom of the table:

Local Agency (Subgrantee) Name	Active Subgrantee (Y/N)	Amount of DOE Funds Received by Agency in Program Year 2010	Correct Amount (Y/N) If NO, Please Insert Correct Amount

Local Agency (Subgrantee) Name	Subgrantee (Y/N)	Funds Received by Agency in Program Year 2010	(Y/N) If NO, Please Insert Correct Amount
3. During Program Year 2010, was the director best answer): a civil servant political appointee elected official	or of your Stat	e's Weatherization	Program (Check
4.During Program Year 2010, did the director (Check best answer): civil servant, political appointee elected official	of your State	's Weatherization F	Program <i>report to</i> a
5. For how many years had the current director that capacity prior to PY 2010?	or of your Stat	e's Weatherization	Program served in
6. Did your State's Weatherization Program s	et annual perf	ormance goals for l	PY 2010?

7. Please list other important housing and/or energy-related programs for low-income residents that were administered by the same office that is in charge of your state's Weatherization Assistance Program.

6a. What agency, office, or department was responsible for reviewing the annual performance

goals and achievement of goals of your State's Weatherization Program? _____

_____ No (go to Question 7)

8. What weatherization program data did your state require its weatherization agencies to provide in PY2010? (Check all that apply) Number of homes weatherized Number of homes weatherized for high priority categories
DOE weatherization funds expended
Non-DOE weatherization funds expended Descriptive statistics on demographics of households weatherized Descriptive statistics on weatherization measures installed in households weatherized Descriptive statistics on energy use/savings of households weatherized Copy of audits performed on the households weatherized Results of certain diagnostic tests
Number of homes deferred for weatherization
Other
9. Does your state maintain an electronic state weatherization program data base? a. Yes b. No (Go to Q13)
10. If what does this database contain these weatherization related-elements? (check all that apply) a. number of homes weatherized b. number of homes weatherized by type c. costs of measures installed d. billing records for weatherized homes e. audit records f. measures installed by unit g. other
11. Does your database also contains these types of information: (check all that apply) a. LIHEAP records b. household demographics c. other
12. Who has access to this database? (check all that apply) a. state weatherization office staff b. other state employees c. local weatherization agency staff d. other

13. Please indicate the number of staff that supported your State's Weatherization Program and their work effort in Program Year 2010. In considering the number of staff, please include everyone who worked full- or part-time or who worked with the weatherization program as well as other state programs. Also include any non-agency staff supporting the state program that work under contract to the state.

Type of Administrative Function	Number of Staff (# persons)	Total Staff Work Effort (# of FTE)
Management/administration		
Agency monitoring		
Training and Technical Assistance		
Other (specify)		
TOTAL		

14. For the in-house staff working on your state's weatherization program in each of the following functional areas in Program Year 2010, please indicate their collective level of experience with the weatherization program:

	Very High	High	Medium	Low	Very Low
Management/administration					
Field monitoring/auditing					
Training and Technical					
Assistance					
Other (specify)					

15. For the in-house staff working in your state's weatherization program in each of the functional areas listed below, please indicate the amount of turnover in staff **from the beginning of PY 2009 to the end of PY 2010**. (Please check appropriate box representing the level of turnover for each functional area.)

	No Turnover (all staff in this functional area at the beginning of PY 2009 were in the same functional area by the end of PY 2010)	Some turnover (1-15% of the staff in this functional area at the beginning of PY 2009 did not remain in the same functional area by the end of PY 2010)	Substantial turnover (more than 15% of the staff in this functional area at the beginning of PY 2009 remained in the same functional area at the end of PY 2010)
Management/ administration			
Field monitoring/ Auditing			
Training and Technical Assistance			
Other (specify)			

16. The Federal Regulations governing the	Weatherization Assistance Program	define children
as "dependents not exceeding 19 years or a	lesser age set forth in the State plan.	"What age did
your state use in your state's definition of c	children in PY 2010?	

17. Did your state use a high energy burden category to prioritize the provision of weatherization services in PY 2010?
Yes
No (go to Question 18)
17a. How was 'high energy burden' defined?
18. Did your state use a high energy expenditure category to prioritize the provision of weatherization services in PY 2010? Yes No (go to Question 19)
18a. How was 'high energy expenditure' defined?
19. What were the income guidelines for households to be eligible for your state's weatherization program in PY 2010? (Check all that apply) 150% of Federal Poverty Guidelines 200% of Federal Poverty Guidelines More than 200% of Federal Poverty Guidelines 60% of state median income Other:

LEVERAGING RELATIONSHIPS

1. Please list weatherization funding received during PY 2010 by completing the table below.

Column A lists potential sources of weatherization funding. As a reference, Column B lists the amount of PY 2008 funding reported by your state in the retrospective evaluation S1 survey. If the PY 2008 funding amount is incorrect, please list the correct amount in Column B. In Column C, please indicate whether your state administered each funding source in PY 2010. In Column D, enter the total funding amount administered in PY 2010 from each source received. Please allocate the total funding amount listed in Column D to the sub-categories listed in Columns E through I.

A	В		$\overline{\mathbf{c}}$	D	E	F	G	Н	I
					Funds retained	and spent by		L	montoo.c
	Б 1	Did	state		sta	te	Funds	s passed on to subg	rantees
Funding Source	Funds administered from this source in PY 2008	weather fund this so	inister erization s from ource in 2010	Total funds administered from this source in PY 2010	Grantee administration and leveraging	Grantee training and technical assistance (T&TA)	Subgrantee funding for program operations ²	Subgrantee administration and leveraging	Subgrantee training and technical assistance (T&TA)
DOE ¹	Pre-	Yes		← Selecting	"no" will	gray out all	columns to the	right in	online survey
DOL	populated	No		Coelecting	lio win	gray out an	columns to the	right in	omme survey
LIHEAP	Pre-	Yes		← Selecting	"yes" will	drive skip	patterns in	Q1b-13	
	populated	No		Coccerning	yes wiii	drive ship	patterns	Q15 10	
Petroleum	Pre-	Yes							
Violation Escrow	populated	No							
(PVE)		- 1.0							
Other Federal	Pre-	Yes							
Programs	populated	No							
State Public	Pre-	Yes							
Benefit Funds	populated	No							
Other State	Pre-	Yes							
Programs	populated	No							
Utilities	Pre-	Yes							
	populated	No							
Program Income	Pre-	Yes							
	populated	No							
In-Kind	Pre-	Yes							
	populated	No							
Non-Profits	Pre-	Yes							
	populated	No							
Third Party	Pre-	Yes							
(e.g., Foundations, Lenders)	populated	No							
All other	Pre-	Yes							
(Please specify)	populated	No							
TOTAL	Pre- populated								

^{1.} Include WAP and ARRA funds in this row.

^{2.} List all funding for weatherization program operations that was passed on to subgrantees, including amounts spent on measures, labor, health and safety, financial audits, liability insurance, vehicles and equipment.

1a. Of the Program Year 2010 funds retained by your state's weatherization program for management functions, how much was used for each function listed below?

Type of Management Function	Total
Administration*	
Agency monitoring	
Other (specify)	
TOTAL	

^{*} Includes planning, finance and accounting, clerical support, outreach, and evaluation.

[1b will be activated by 'yes' responses in the associated funding categories of Question 1 and skipped for any 'no' responses in those categories].

1b. In the categories below, please specify the name of each funder and the total amount of weatherization funding provided in PY 2010.

	Funding entity (please enter names)	Total weatherization funding administered in PY 2010 (please enter amounts)
Utilities		
Utility 1		
Utility 2		
Utility 3		
All other utilities		
In-kind contributions		
In-kind 1		
In-kind 2		
In-kind 3		
All other in-kind		
Nonprofits		
Nonprofit 1		
Nonprofit 2		
Nonprofit 3		
All other nonprofits		
Other		
Other 1		
Other 2		
Other 3		
All others		

[Q2 will be activated by 'yes' responses in the LIHEAP category of Question 1 and deactivated (skipped) for 'no' responses in that category.]

2a. When did you first receive weatherization funds from LIHEAP?
2b. If known, number of years that state worked to achieve this leveraging relationship (leave blank if unknown):
2c. Please describe the change in the leveraging relationship between your state's low-income weatherization program and LIHEAP during the ARRA period. Extremely positive
Positive
No change
Negative
Extremely negative
2d. How do you expect leveraged funding from this source to change from PY 2010 to the post-ARRA period in PY 2012? increase decrease
stay the same
2e. If leveraged funding from LIHEAP decreased from PY 2008, was the change attributable to increased ARRA funding?Yes
ics No
Not applicable
2f. Did you require local agencies to follow DOE rules when spending weatherization funds from LIHEAP in PY 2010?
Yes
No
[2g will appear if respondent answered 'no' in 2f] 2g. What were the major differences in the rules governing the expenditure of LIHEAP funds in comparison to the rules governing the expenditure of DOE funds?
[Q3 will be activated by 'yes' responses in the PVE category of Question 1 and deactivated (skipped) for 'no' responses in that category.]
3a. When did state first receive weatherization funds from Petroleum Violation Escrow (PVE)?
3b. If known, number of years that state worked to achieve this leveraging relationship (leave blank if unknown):

3c. Please describe the change in leveraging relationships between your state's low-income
weatherization program and PVE during the ARRA period.
Extremely positive Positive
Positive No change
No change Negative
Extremely Negative
Externely regarde
3d. How do you expect leveraged funding from this source to change from PY 2010 to the post-
ARRA period in PY 2012?
increase
decrease
stay the same
3e. If leveraged funding from PVE decreased from PY 2008, was the change attributable to increased ARRA funding?
Yes
No
Not applicable
3f. Did you require local agencies to follow DOE rules when spending weatherization funds from PVE in PY 2010? Yes
No
140
[3g will appear if respondent answered 'no' in 3f]
3g. What were the major differences in the rules governing the expenditure of PVE fund in comparison to the rules governing the expenditure of DOE funds?
[Q4 will be activated by 'yes' responses in the Other Federal Programs category of Question 1 and deactivated (skipped) for 'no' responses in that category.]
4a. When did state first receive weatherization funds from Other Federal Programs?
4b. If known, number of years that state worked to achieve this leveraging relationship (leave blank if unknown):
4c. Please describe the change in leveraging relationships between your state's low-income weatherization program and Other Federal Programs during the ARRA period. Extremely positive
Positive
No change
Negative
Extremely Negative

4d. How do you expect leveraged funding from this source to change from PY 2010 to the post-
ARRA period in PY 2012?
increase
decrease
stay the same
4e. If leveraged funding from Other Federal Programs decreased from PY 2008, was the change attributable to increased ARRA funding? Yes
No
Not applicable
4f. Did you require local agencies to follow DOE rules when spending weatherization funds from Other Federal Programs in PY 2010? Yes
110
[4g will appear if respondent answered 'no' in 4f] 4g. What were the major differences in the rules governing the expenditure of Other Federal Programs funds in comparison to the rules governing the expenditure of DOE funds?
[Q5 will be activated by 'yes' responses in the State Public Benefit Funds category of Question 1 and deactivated (skipped) for 'no' responses in that category.]
Question 1 and deactivated (skipped) for 'no' responses in that category.]
Question 1 and deactivated (skipped) for 'no' responses in that category.] 5a. When did state first receive weatherization funds from State Public Benefit Funds? 5b. If known, number of years that state worked to achieve this leveraging relationship (leave

5e. If leveraged funding from State Public Benefit Funds decreased from PY 2008, was the

10

Yes	
Not applicable	
5f. Did you require local agencies to follow DOE rules when spending weatherization funds from State Public Benefit Funds in PY 2010? Yes No	
110	
[5g will appear if respondent answered 'no' in 5f] 5g. What were the major differences in the rules governing the expenditure of State Public Benefit Funds in comparison to the rules governing the expenditure of DOE funds?	
[Q6 will be activated by 'yes' responses in the Other State Programs category of Question and deactivated (skipped) for 'no' responses in that category.]	_ ı 1
6a. When did state first receive weatherization funds from Other State Programs?	
6b. If known, number of years that state worked to achieve this leveraging relationship (leave blank if unknown):	
6c. Please describe the change in leveraging relationships between your state's low-income weatherization program and Other State Programs during the ARRA period. Extremely positive Positive	
No change	
Negative Extremely Negative	
6d. How do you expect leveraged funding from this source to change from PY 2010 to the post ARRA period in PY 2012?	-
decrease stay the same	
6e. If leveraged funding from Other State Programs decreased from PY 2008, was the change attributable to increased ARRA funding? Yes No Not applicable	
6f. Did you require local agencies to follow DOE rules when spending weatherization funds from Other State Programs in PY 2010? Yes	

No
[6g will appear if respondent answered 'no' in 6f] 6g. What were the major differences in the rules governing the expenditure of Other State Programs funds in comparison to the rules governing the expenditure of DOE funds?
[Q7 will be activated by 'yes' responses in the Utilities category of Question 1 and deactivated (skipped) for 'no' responses in that category.]
7a. When did state first receive weatherization funds from Utilities?
7b. If known, number of years that state worked to achieve this leveraging relationship (leave blank if unknown):
7c. Please describe the change in leveraging relationships between your state's low-income weatherization program and Utilities during the ARRA period. Extremely positive Positive No change Negative Extremely Negative
7d. How do you expect leveraged funding from this source to change from PY 2010 to the post-ARRA period in PY 2012? increase decrease stay the same
7e. If leveraged funding from Utilities decreased from PY 2008, was the change attributable to increased ARRA funding? Yes No Not applicable
7f. Did you require local agencies to follow DOE rules when spending weatherization funds from Utilities in PY 2010? Yes No
[7g will appear if respondent answered 'no' in 7f] 7g. What were the major differences in the rules governing the expenditure of Utilities funds in comparison to the rules governing the expenditure of DOE funds?

[Q8 will be activated by 'yes' responses in the Program Income category of Question 1 and deactivated (skipped) for 'no' responses in that category.]

8a. When did state first receive weatherization funds from Program Income?
8b. If known, number of years that state worked to achieve this leveraging relationship (leave blank if unknown):
8c. Please describe the change in leveraging relationships between your state's low-income weatherization program and Program Income during the ARRA period. Extremely positive
Positive
No change
Negative
Extremely Negative
8d. How do you expect leveraged funding from this source to change from PY 2010 to the post-ARRA period in PY 2012?
increase
decrease stay the same
stay the same
8e. If leveraged funding from Program Income decreased from PY 2008, was the change attributable to increased ARRA funding? Yes
1cs No
Not applicable
8f. Did you require local agencies to follow DOE rules when spending weatherization funds from Program Income in PY 2010?
Yes
No
[8g will appear if respondent answered 'no' in 8f] 8g. What were the major differences in the rules governing the expenditure of Program Income funds in comparison to the rules governing the expenditure of DOE funds?
[Q9 will be activated by 'yes' responses in the In-Kind Contributions category of Question 1 and deactivated (skipped) for 'no' responses in that category.]
9a. When did state first receive weatherization funds from In-Kind Contributions?
9b. If known, number of years that state worked to achieve this leveraging relationship (leave blank if unknown):

9c. Please describe the change in leveraging relationships between your state's low-income weatherization program and In-Kind Contributions during the ARRA period.
Extremely positive
Positive
No change
Negative Extremely Negative
Extremely negative
9d. How do you expect leveraged funding from this source to change from PY 2010 to the post-ARRA period in PY 2012?
increase
decrease stay the same
stay the same
9e. If leveraged funding from In-Kind Contributions decreased from PY 2008, was the change attributable to increased ARRA funding?
Yes
NoNot applicable
Not applicable
9f. Did you require local agencies to follow DOE rules when spending weatherization funds from In-Kind Contributions in PY 2010?
Yes
No
[9g will appear if respondent answered 'no' in 9f] 9g. What were the major differences in the rules governing the expenditure of In-Kind Contributions in comparison to the rules governing the expenditure of DOE funds?
[Q10 will be activated by 'yes' responses in the Non-profits category of Question 1 and deactivated (skipped) for 'no' responses in that category.]
10a. When did state first receive weatherization funds from Non-profits?
10b. If known, number of years that state worked to achieve this leveraging relationship (leave blank if unknown):
10c. Please describe the change in leveraging relationships between your state's low-income weatherization program and Non-profits during the ARRA period. Extremely positive
Positive
No change
Negative
Extremely Negative

Od. How do you expect leveraged funding from this source to change from PY 2010 to the post-
RRA period in PY 2012? increase
decrease
stay the same
stay the same
De. If leveraged funding from Non-Profits decreased from PY 2008, was the change attributable increased ARRA funding?
Yes Y
No
Not applicable
Of. Did you require local agencies to follow DOE rules when spending weatherization funds om Non-Profits in PY 2010? Yes
No
[10g will appear if respondent answered 'no' in 10f] 10g. What were the major differences in the rules governing the expenditure of Non-Profits funds in comparison to the rules governing the expenditure of DOE funds?
11 will be activated by 'yes' responses in the Third Party entegory of Question 1 and
Q11 will be activated by 'yes' responses in the Third Party category of Question 1 and eactivated (skipped) for 'no' responses in that category.]
eactivated (skipped) for 'no' responses in that category.]
eactivated (skipped) for 'no' responses in that category.] I.a. When did state first receive weatherization funds from Third Parties (foundations, lenders)? I.b. If known, number of years that state worked to achieve this leveraging relationship (leave
la. When did state first receive weatherization funds from Third Parties (foundations, lenders)? 1b. If known, number of years that state worked to achieve this leveraging relationship (leave ank if unknown): 1c. Please describe the change in leveraging relationships between your state's low-income eatherization program and Third Parties during the ARRA period Extremely positive
la. When did state first receive weatherization funds from Third Parties (foundations, lenders)? [Ib. If known, number of years that state worked to achieve this leveraging relationship (leave ank if unknown): [Ic. Please describe the change in leveraging relationships between your state's low-income eatherization program and Third Parties during the ARRA period Extremely positive Positive
la. When did state first receive weatherization funds from Third Parties (foundations, lenders)? Ib. If known, number of years that state worked to achieve this leveraging relationship (leave ank if unknown): Ic. Please describe the change in leveraging relationships between your state's low-income eatherization program and Third Parties during the ARRA period. Extremely positive Positive No change
la. When did state first receive weatherization funds from Third Parties (foundations, lenders)? 1b. If known, number of years that state worked to achieve this leveraging relationship (leave ank if unknown): 1c. Please describe the change in leveraging relationships between your state's low-income eatherization program and Third Parties during the ARRA period. Extremely positive Positive No change Negative
la. When did state first receive weatherization funds from Third Parties (foundations, lenders)? In the content of the cont

11e. If leveraged funding from Third Parties decreased from PY 2008, was the change

attributable to increased ARRA funding?
Yes No
Not applicable
11f. Did you require local agencies to follow DOE rules when spending weatherization funds from Third Parties in PY 2010? Yes No
[11g will appear if respondent answered 'no' in 11f] 11g. What were the major differences in the rules governing the expenditure of Third Party funds in comparison to the rules governing the expenditure of DOE funds?
[Q12 will be activated by 'yes' responses in All Other, Specify category of Question 1 and deactivated (skipped) for 'no' responses in that category.]
12a. When did state first receive weatherization funds from [insert text from 'All Other, Specify']?
12b. If known, number of years that state worked to achieve this leveraging relationship (leave blank if unknown):
12c. Please describe the change in leveraging relationships between your state's low-income weatherization program and [insert text from 'All Other, Specify'] during the ARRA period. Extremely positive Positive
No change
Negative Extremely Negative
Extremely Negative
12d. How do you expect leveraged funding from this source to change from PY 2010 to the post-ARRA period in PY 2012? increase decrease
stay the same
12e. If leveraged funding from [insert text from 'All Other, Specify'] decreased from PY 2008, was the change attributable to increased ARRA funding? Yes No
Not applicable
12f. Did you require local agencies to follow DOE rules when spending weatherization funds from [insert text from 'All Other, Specify'] in PY 2010?

Yes No						
[12g will app 12g. What we	re the major diffe Other, Specify']	t answered 'no' in rences in the rules funds in compariso	governing the exp	penditure of [insert erning the		
13. You indicated you during PY 2010. For the post-ARRA perio	each funding sour	rce, please indicate				
	Expectations for funding in the post-ARRA period beginning in PY 2012					
- u a		Funding will	Funding will increase			
Funding Source Populate from 'no' response	No change	increase	greatly	<u>Uncertain</u>		
in Q1 Populate from 'no' response						
in Q1 Populate from 'no' response						
in Q1 Populate from 'no' response						
in Q1						
14. How important w compared to program Very important important Not very ir Not important Solution Not important Not yes Yes No (Go to	years without adreamt mportant tant at all aside funding to	ditional ARRA fur	nding? (Check bes	t answer)		
16. What organization Your state offi Your state's ag Non-profit org Other 17. How successful w (Check best answer) Very success	ce gencies anizations funded yould you rate you	l by your state				
Successful						

Not very successful
Not successful at all
State does not seek leveraged funds
18. What factors limited the success of your state's efforts to acquire leveraged funding in PY 2010?
19. Have you modified your state's weatherization program practices or regulations since PY 2008 to facilitate spending and reporting on leveraged resources? YesNo
20. Overall, how has the influx of ARRA funding impacted previously existing leveraging relationships? a. Extremely positive impact b. Positive impact c. No Impact d. Negative impact
e. Extremely negative impact
21. Can leveraging relationships damaged or lost during ARRA be re-built post-ARRA? a. Yes, absolutely b. Yes, probably c. Uncertain d. No, probably not e. No, definitely not
22. Do you see state-level Renewable Portfolio Standards programs benefitting low-income weatherization? If so, how? If not, why not?
23. What aspects about your state's low-income weatherization program are most misunderstood by actual and potential leveraging partners?
24. How has your program worked to overcome these misunderstandings?
25. What information would your state's weatherization program like to have that could be used to overcome these misunderstandings?
26. What other information would your state's weatherization program like to have that could be used to 'sell' leveraging relationships?
27. Overall, what is your expectation for total state leveraged funding for low-income weatherization funding post ARRA in PY 2012 (post- ARRA) compared to PY 2008 (pre-ARRA)? a. Greatly increased b. Increased c. Same level

А	1	\Box	Δ	<u></u>	re	2	c.	۵,	4
(.,	_		-	1		—.	

e. Greatly decreased

28. On balance, how beneficial do you think ARRA funding will prove to be over the longer-term on your state's ability to leverage DOE WAP-program funding for low-income weatherization?

- a. Extremely beneficial
- b. Beneficial
- c. No long-term impact
- d. Unbeneficial
- e. Extremely unbeneficial

1.	Using the following scale, how adequate was the Program Year 2010 funding received by your state from ALL funding sources for weatherizing the stock of eligible low-income dwelling units in your state in a timely fashion? (Check all that apply) Very Adequate Adequate Inadequate Very Inadequate
	What was the quality of the management support that your state received from DOE and its contractors in Program Year 2010? (Check all that apply) very high quality high quality moderate quality low quality very low quality very low quality not applicable
	What was the quality of the training that your state received from DOE and its contractors in Program Year 2010? (Check all that apply) very high quality high quality moderate quality low quality very low quality not applicable
	What was the quality of the support and assistance on client education that your state received from DOE and its contractors in Program Year 2010? (Check all that apply) very high quality high quality moderate quality low quality very low quality

 not applicable
4a. If appropriate, why did you rate the quality very low or low?
 What was the quality of the support and assistance on leveraging the Weatherization Assistance Program funding provided by DOE with other funding sources in Program Year 2010? (Check all that apply) very high quality high quality moderate quality low quality very low quality not applicable
5a. If appropriate, why did you rate the quality very low or low?
 What was the quality of the <i>technical</i> support (e.g., measure selection and installation) that your state received from DOE and its contractors in Program Year 2010? (Check all that apply) very high quality high quality moderate quality low quality very low quality very low quality not applicable 6a. If appropriate, why did you rate the quality very low or low?
 How flexible did you find the DOE program rules that governed the weatherization program in Program Year 2010? In other words, did the program rules allow your state to tailor your program to your needs (very flexible) or proscribe your program to only one way of operation (very inflexible)? (Check all that apply) Very Flexible Inflexible Very Inflexible

b. No (Skip to Question 4)2. How many new local weatherization agencies were added?3.Why were these agencies added? (check all that apply)a. To meet increased production targets	
7b. In wha	at areas should the program rules become more flexible?
7c. In wha	t areas should the program rules become less flexible?
	• 1 1
AddImpAddImpAddImp	itional administrative support and assistance from DOE roved training from DOE and its contractors litional assistance from DOE and its contractors with leveraging resources roved technical support from DOE and its contractors litional funding for the delivery of weatherization services roved data and information systems for the delivery of weatherization services
PROGRA	M IMPLEMENTATION DURING THE ARRA PERIOD
sub-grante a. Yes	es) to its low-income weatherization program?
2. How m	any new local weatherization agencies were added?
3.Why we	re these agencies added? (check all that apply)
a. To meet	increased production targets
	ace non-performing subgrantees
	I synergies between the state weatherization program and other community service in community action agencies that did not provide weatherization services

4. For many years, the national weatherization network and the state-level national weatherization networks had been relatively stable. During the ARRA period, beyond subgrantees, did the composition of your state-level weatherization network change? a. Yes b. No (Skip to Question 8)				
· •	rerize the magnitude of this change?			
6. Using the table below, p	Description of Weatherization Related Contributions (e.g., provides training, sells energy efficient products)			
7. Please rate the quality of a. very high quality b. high quality c. fair quality d. low quality e. very low quality	f services and products provided by the new major players:			
<u> </u>	t estimate for how many new low-income weatherization-related your state during the ARRA period:			
	vanies new to the weatherization network tried to change state and local during the ARRA period?			

10. On balance, how beneficial have these new players been to your state's weatherization program?
a. Extremely beneficial
b. Beneficial
c. Neither beneficial nor unbeneficial d. Unbeneficial
e. Extremely unbeneficial
Please Explain
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
11. During the ARRA period, did organizational responsibilities for your state's weatherization program (e.g., reporting lines, organizational home) change? a. Yes
b. No (Skip to Question 13)
12. How did the organizational responsibilities change? Please Explain
13. How have relationships changed between your state weatherization office and local weatherization agencies during ARRA? a. become much more congenial b. become more congenial
c. no changes
d. become more strained e. become much more strained
e. become much more strained
14. Which statement best describes how the existing local weatherization agencies (i.e., subgrantees) dealt with program expansion during the ARRA period? a. promoted existing weatherization staff to management positions and hired new entry level
workers h. bired new weatherization managers and bired new entry level workers
b. hired new weatherization managers and hired new entry level workersc. promoted existing weatherization staff to management positions and hired contractors to ramp up production
d. hired contractors to ramp up production
15. Were there any material, equipment or other supply chain bottlenecks during the ARRA period that negatively impacted weatherization production in your state? a. No
b. Yes If yes, what was in short supply?
If yes, what was in short supply?
16. To what extent have large DOE/OWIP programs, WAP, State Energy Program, and Energy Efficiency Community Block Grant Program competed for labor during the ARRA period? a. Not at all
b. a small extent
c. a moderate extent
d. a large extent
e. a very large extent
17. During the ARRA period, how has state-level oversight of your state's weatherization

assistance program changed? a. Greatly increased b. Increased c. Stayed the same d. Decreased e. Greatly decreased
18. Has ARRA funding allowed your state program to purchase and implement new information technologies to improve program administration? a. Yes b. No If yes, please describe these new information technologies
19 During PY 2010, how much of a burden were your state program's administrative costs allocated to dealing with increased oversight during the ARRA period (e.g., from DOE IG, GAO)? a. no burden b. slight burden c. moderate burden d. substantial burden e. extreme burden
20. Did your state change its audit approach during the ARRA-period from the approach used pre-ARRA (i.e., PY2008)? a. Yes, went from priority list to computerized audit b. Yes, went from computerized audit to priority list c. Yes, changed from one computerized audit tool to another d. No e. Other
21. During the ARRA period, has your state passed any new laws and/or implemented any new regulations that have directly impacted your state's weatherization assistance program? a. Yes b. No (Skip to Question 25)
22. Please describe the new laws and/or regulations
23. On balance, how beneficial have these new laws and/or regulations been to your state's weatherization program? a. Extremely beneficial b. Beneficial c. Neither beneficial nor unbeneficial
d. Unbeneficial e. Extremely unbeneficial Please explain 24. Would these laws and/or regulations have been passed without the increased attention on the
program attributable to ARRA?

- a. No, Wholly due to ARRA
- b. No, Primarily due to ARRA
- c. No, Somewhat due to ARRA
- d. Yes, Would have been passed anyway without ARRA

25. Did your state change the way it provides technical assistance to its subgrantees during the ARRA period?
a. No
b. Yes
If yes, how?
•
26. Has your state implemented new certification requirements for weatherization workers during the ARRA period?
a. No (go to Q28)
b. Yes
27. What new certifications are required? (Check all that apply)
a. BPI Building Analyst
b. BPI Envelope
c. BPI Residential Building Envelope Accessible Areas Air Leakage Control Installer
d. Residential Building Envelope Whole House Air Leakage Control Crew Chief
e. BPI Manufactured Housing
f. BPI Heating
g. BPI Air Conditioning and Heat Pump
h. BPI Multifamily
i. HERS
j. LEED
k. Lead Safe Weatherization
1. Lead Certified Renovator
m. NAHB Green Building
n Other

- 28. Which statement best describes changes in the level of employment in your state resulting from Davis-Bacon?
- a. large number of jobs createdb. small number of jobs created
- c. no change in the number of jobs
- d. small number of jobs lost e. large number of jobs lost
- f. don't know

29. Which statement best describes the impact of Davis-Bacon on wages paid to weatherization
workers in your state?
a. large increase in wages (over 10% increase)
b. moderate increase in wages (between 5 and 10% increase)
c. small increase in wages (between 1 and 5% increase)

- d. no increase in wages
- e. small decrease in wages(between 1 and 5% decrease)
- f. moderate decrease in wages(between 5 and 10% decrease)
- g. large decrease in wages (over 10% decrease)
- 30. How much of a problem was there in your state in coordinating wages stipulated by Davis-Bacon for local weatherization agencies whose operations spanned multiple counties?
- a. no problem
- b. small problem
- c. moderate problem
- d. large problem
- e. very large problem
- 31. In your state, on average, how much did Davis-Bacon rules increase the cost of weatherizing low-income multifamily buildings four stories and higher?
- a. no increase
- b. 1-10%
- c. 11-20%
- d. 21-30%
- e. 31+%
- f. N/A
- 32. Overall, how did Davis-Bacon impact the costs of weatherization in your state?
- a. greatly increased costs
- b. increased costs
- c. no change in costs
- d. decreased costs
- e. greatly decreased costs

33. Does your state allow the weatherization of large low-income multi-family	buildings?
a. yes	
b. no	
If not, why not?	

34. What are the barriers to weatherizing large low-income multi-family buildings in your state? (check all that apply) a. lack of trained auditors b. lack of trained crew c. too expensive d. building owners are uncooperative e. energy savings are not high enough f. unclear guidance from DOE or other agencies on owner contributions g. other				
35. Does your state allow public housing authority a. Yes b. No If not, why not?	?		ousing owned by a	
36. Does your state allow a. Yes b. No If not, why not?		C		
	Large Multi-family (Not Public Housing or	Public Housing Multi-		
	HUD Assisted			
38. Are there any DOE r income multi-family buil a. Yes. Describe: b. No 39. Does your state's we Preservation Office (SHI	ldings? atherization program ha			
a. Yesb. No40. What percentage of u	units weatherized in PY	2010 fell under the SHP	Ο agreement?	
a. 0 b. 1-5 c. 6-10 d. 11-15 e. 15+	mis weatherized in 1 1	2010 foil under the BHI	o agrocinone.	

41. Has low-income weatherization had an impact in preventing home foreclosures in your state
during the ARRA period?
a. no
b. little impact
c. moderate impact
d. great impact
e very great impact

- 42. Does your state have a deferral policy?
- a. Yes

f. don't know

- b. No
- 43. Please estimate the number of units where weatherization was deferred during PY 2010?
- a. 0%
- b. 1-5%
- c. 6-10%
- d. 10-20%
- e. 21-30%
- f. more than 30%
- 44. Please estimate what percentage of units where weatherization was deferred during PY 2010 will eventually be weatherized?
- a. 0%
- b. 1-25%
- c. 26-50%
- d. 51-75%
- e. 76-90%
- f. 91-100%
- 45. Please describe your states deferral rates in PY2010 compared to PY2008:
- a. very great increase
- b. great increase
- c. increase
- d. no change
- e. decrease
- f. great decrease
- g. very great decrease
- 46. How has the visibility of your state's weatherization program changed vis-à-vis state elected officials during the ARRA period?
- a. greatly increased
- b. increased
- c. no change
- d. decreased
- e. greatly decreased

- 47. How has the visibility of your state's weatherization program changed vis-à-vis the general public during the ARRA period?
- a. greatly increased
- b. increased
- c. no change
- d. decreased
- e. greatly decreased
- 48. Please rate the quality of the media coverage your state's weatherization program has received during the ARRA period?
- a. very high quality
- b. high quality
- c. moderate quality
- d. low quality
- e. very low quality
- f. N/A no media coverage (skip to 52)
- g. no opinion
- 49. Please describe the overall media coverage of your state's weatherization program during the ARRA period:
- a. very positive
- b. positive
- c. neither positive or negative
- d. negative
- e. very negative
- 50. What topics did the media mainly focus on? (check all that apply)
- a. Jobs created
- b. Energy saved
- c. Helping low income households
- d. waste, fraud and abuse
- e. Lack of energy savings
- f. Organizational mis-steps

	0.1			
σ	Other			

- 51. Will weatherization issues identified rightly or wrongly by the media during ARRA have lasting impacts on leveraging weatherization funding for your state in the future?
- a. could substantially reduce funding
- b. could reduce funding
- c. no impact
- d. could increase funding
- e. could substantially increase funding

- 52. On balance, how beneficial has been the attention paid to your state's weatherization program during the ARRA period?
- a. Extremely beneficial
- b. Beneficial
- c. Neither beneficial nor unbeneficial
- d. Unbeneficial
- e. Extremely unbeneficial

53.	Please explain	

TRAINING

1. For those staff working in your state's weatherization office who need to have knowledge about the following list of weatherization topics, how well trained were they in each area in PY 2010? Please use the following scale: 1– not at all well trained; 2 – not well trained; 3 – moderately well trained; 4 –well trained; 5 – very well trained; 6 – not applicable *Circle best answer*.

(1) Diagnostic procedures	1	2	3	4	5	6
(2) Insulation						
single family dwellings	1	2	3	4	5	6
multifamily dwellings	1	2	3	4	5	6
mobile homes	1	2	3	4	5	6
(3) Space heating, ventilation, air conditioning						
single family dwellings	1	2	3	4	5	6
multifamily dwellings	1	2	3	4	5	6
mobile homes	1	2	3	4	5	6
(4) Infiltration measures						
single family dwellings	1	2	3	4	5	6
multifamily dwellings	1	2	3	4	5	6
mobile homes	1	2	3	4	5	6
(5) Doors and windows						
single family dwellings	1	2	3	4	5	6
multifamily dwellings	1	2	3	4	5	6
mobile homes	1	2	3	4	5	6
(6) Hot water heating						
single family dwellings	1	2	3	4	5	6
multifamily dwellings	1	2	3	4	5	6
mobile homes	1	2	3	4	5	6
(7) Baseloads (e.g., lighting, refrigerators)						
single family dwellings	1	2	3	4	5	6
multifamily dwellings	1	2	3	4	5	6
mobile homes	1	2	3	4	5	6

1a. For those staff working in your state's weatherization office who need to have knowledge about the following list of administrative-related topics, how well trained were they in each area? Please use the following scale: 1– not at all well trained; 2 – not well trained; 3 – moderately well trained; 4 –well trained; 5 – very well trained; 6 – not applicable *Circle best answer*.

(1) Management	1	2	3	4	5	6
(2) Client education	1	2	3	4	5	6
(3) Auditing/estimating						
single family dwellings	1	2	3	4	5	6
multifamily dwellings	1	2	3	4	5	6
mobile homes	1	2	3	4	5	6
(4) Monitoring/quality control	1	2	3	4	5	6
(5) Financial topics	1	2	3	4	5	6
(6) Outreach and communications	1	2	3	4	5	6
(7) Other (please specify)	1	2	3	4	5	6

1b. For those staff working in your state's weatherization office who need to have knowledge about the following list of health and safety topics, how well trained were they in each area? Please use the following scale: 1– not at all well trained; 2 – not well trained; 3 – moderately well trained; 4 –well trained; 5 – very well trained; 6 – not applicable *Circle best answer*.

(1) Fire safety	1	2	3	4	5	6
(2) Indoor air quality	1	2	3	4	5	6
(3) Measures to increase security of housing unit	1	2	3	4	5	6
(4) Measures to reduce common household hazards	1	2	3	4	5	6
(5) Mold and mildew	1	2	3	4	5	6
(6) Lead	1	2	3	4	5	6
(7) Asbestos	1	2	3	4	5	6
(8) Vermiculite	1	2	3	4	5	6
(9) General crew safety	1	2	3	4	5	6
(10) Other health and safety	1	2	3	4	5	6
(11) Other (please specify	1	2	3	4	5	6

1c. For categories receiving answers of (1)-not at all well trained, or (2)-not well trained to the above questions, what were the barriers for receiving this training:

	_	1	•	
a.	Fu	nd	lın	Q

b. Time	

c. Not a priority

d. Not available

e. Other

2. On which of the following weatherization subjects did st	aff working in your state's
weatherization office receive training in Program Year 201	0 from DOE, your state, or other
entities? (Check all that apply)	
(1) Diagnostic procedures	
(2) Insulation	
single family dwellings	
multifamily dwellings	
mobile homes	
(3) Space heating, ventilation, air conditioning	
single family dwellings	
multifamily dwellings	
mobile homes	
(4) Infiltration measures	
single family dwellings	
multifamily dwellings	
mobile homes	
(5) Doors and windows	
single family dwellings	
multifamily dwellings	
mobile homes	
(6) Hot water heating	
single family dwellings	
multifamily dwellings	
mobile homes	
(7) Baseloads (e.g., lighting, refrigerators)	
single family dwellings	
multifamily dwellings	
mobile homes	
moone nomes	
2a. On which administration-related topics did staff working	g in your state's weatherization office
receive training in Program Year 2010 from DOE, your sta	
apply)	te, or other entities. (enter air that
(1) Management	
(2) Client education	
(3) Auditing/estimating	
single family dwellings	
multifamily dwellings	
mobile homes	
(4) Monitoring/quality control	
(5) Financial topics	
(6) Outreach and communications	
(7) Other (please specify)	
(1) Other (pieuse specify)	

3. For those staff working in your state's weatherization office who need to have knowledge about the following list of diagnostic topics, how well trained were they in each area in PY 2010? Please use the following scale: 1– not at all well trained; 2 – not well trained; 3 – moderately well trained; 4 –well trained; 5 – very well trained; 6 – not applicable *Circle best answer*.

Pr	essure diagnostics:						
•	Blower door (house air leakage rate)	1	2	3	4	5	6
•	Zonal pressure measurements	1	2	3	4	5	6
•	Room-to-room pressure measurements	1	2	3	4	5	6
•	Duct pressure pan measurements	1	2	3	4	5	6
•	Duct blower measurements (duct air leakage rate)	1	2	3	4	5	6
Sp	ace-heating system:						
•	Flue gas analysis (steady-state efficiency measureme	nts)1	2	3	4	5	6
•	Heat rise measurements	1	2	3	4		6
•	CO measurements in flues	1	2	3	4	5	6
•	Draft/spillage (normal operation)	1	2	3	4	5	6
Ai	r-conditioning system:						
•	Refrigerant charge (e.g., superheat, subcooling)	1	2	3	4	5	6
H	VAC components and cross-cutting diagnostics:						
•	Air handler flow rate	1	2	3	4		6
•	Thermostat anticipator current	1	2	3	4		6
•	Worst case draft/spillage (CAZ)	1	2	3	4	5	6
H	ot-water (water-heating) system:						
•	Flue gas analysis (steady-state efficiency measureme	nts)1	2	3	4	5	6
•	CO measurements in flues	1	2	3	4		6
•	Draft/spillage (normal operation)	1	2	3	4		6
•	Water flow rates (showerheads and faucets)	1	2	3	4	5	6
Ot	ther CO measurements:						
•	CO measurements in equipment rooms	1	2	3	4	5	6
•	Cooking stove	1	2	3	4		6
•	CO measurements in living areas	1	2	3	4	5	6
O	ther diagnostics and inspections:						
•	Refrigerator energy use	1	2	3	4	5	6
•	Exhaust fan air flow rate measurement	1	2	3	4		6
•	Infrared scanning (camera)	1	2	3	4		6
•	Radon testing	1	2	3	4		6
•	Lead testing	1	2	3	4		6
•	Mold and mildew testing	1	2	3	4	5	6
•	Moisture context testing	1	2	3	4		6
•	Other (please specify)	1	2	3	4	5	6

3a. For categories receiving answers of (1)-not at all well trained, or (2)-not w	vell trained to the
above question, what were the barriers for receiving this training:	
a. Funding	
b. Time	
c. Not a priority	
d. Not available	
e. Other	
4. On which of the following diagnostic procedures did staff working in your weatherization office receive training in Program Year 2010 from DOE, your entities? (Check all that apply.)	
Pressure diagnostics:	
 Blower door (house air leakage rate) 	
 Zonal pressure measurements 	
 Room-to-room pressure measurements (distribution balancing) 	
 Duct pressure pan measurements 	
 Duct blower measurements (duct air leakage rate) 	
Space-heating system:	
 Flue gas analysis (steady-state efficiency measurements) 	
 Heat rise measurements 	
 CO measurements in flues 	
 Draft/spillage (normal operation) 	
Air-conditioning system:	
 Refrigerant charge (e.g., superheat, subcooling) 	
HVAC components and cross-cutting diagnostics:	
 Air handler flow rate 	
 Thermostat anticipator current 	
 Worst case draft/spillage (CAZ) 	
Hot-water (water-heating) system:	
 Flue gas analysis (steady-state efficiency measurements) 	
 CO measurements in flues 	
 Draft/spillage (normal operation) 	
 Water flow rates (showerheads and faucets) 	
Other CO measurements:	
 CO measurements in equipment rooms 	
 Cooking stove 	
 CO measurements in living areas 	
Other diagnostics and inspections:	
 Refrigerator energy use 	
 Exhaust fan air flow rate measurement 	
• Infrared scanning (camera)	
Radon testing	
• Lead testing	
Mold and mildew testing	
 Moisture context testing 	

•	Other (please specify)	
---	------------------------	--

5. For each broad subject listed in the left-most column of the following table, put a check mark in the appropriate cell(s) to indicate which training method(s) you believe were most effective for imparting key skills and information in that area to your state's in-house staff and any non-agency staff supporting the state program who work under contract to the state in PY 2010:

Subject	Conferences	Primarily Classroom Training	Primarily Field Training	In-person expert visits	Web casts	Other (specify)
Management						
Weatherization skills and methods						
Auditing/Estimating/ Measure selection						
Monitoring and quality control						
Financial topics						
Outreach and communications						
Health and safety						
Diagnostic procedures						
Client education						
Other (specify)						

6. For each broad subject listed in the left-most column of the following table, please indicate the quality of training received in Program Year 2010 at the training venues listed in the column headings. Please leave cells blank were your in-house staff did not receive training during this period of time. Please use the following scale: 1-very low; 2 - low; 3-medium; 4- high; 5-very high

	National Weatherization Program Conference	Affordable Comfort Conference	Regional Weatherization Conference	State Weatherization Conference	State/ Regional Training Center	Training Provided by Your Own State
Subject						
Management						
Weatherization skills and methods						
Auditing/ Estimating						
Monitoring/ quality control						
Financial topics						
Outreach and communications						
Health and safety						
Diagnostic procedures						
Procedures for selecting weatherization measures						
Client education						
Other (specify)						

7. On which of the following weatherization topics did you	r state provide training to your state's
local weatherization agencies or their contractors in Progra	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
(1) Diagnostic procedures	(
(2) Insulation	
single family dwellings	
	
multifamily dwellings	
mobile homes	
(3) Space heating, ventilation, air conditioning	
single family dwellings	
multifamily dwellings	
mobile homes	
(4) Infiltration measures	
single family dwellings	
multifamily dwellings	
mobile homes	
(5) Doors and windows	
single family dwellings	
multifamily dwellings	
mobile homes	
	
(6) Hot water heating	
single family dwellings	
multifamily dwellings	
mobile homes	
(7) Baseloads (e.g., lighting, refrigerators)	
single family dwellings	
multifamily dwellings	
mobile homes	
7a. On which of the following administrative-related topics	s did your state provide training to
your state's local weatherization agencies or their contractor	• •
that apply)	(
(1) Management	
(2) Client education	
	
(3) Auditing/estimating	
single family dwellings	
multifamily dwellings	
mobile homes	
(4) Monitoring/quality control	
(5) Financial topics	
(6) Outreach and communications	
(7) Other (please specify)	

7b. On which of the following health and safety topics did your state provide trai	ning to your
state's local weatherization agencies or their contractors in Program Year 2010?	(Check all that
apply)	
Fire safety	
Indoor air quality	
Measures to increase security of housing unit	
Measures to reduce common household hazards	
Mold and mildew	
Lead	
Asbestos	
Vermiculite	
General crew safety	
Other health and safety	
Other (please specify)	

8. On which of the following diagnostic procedures did your state provide training to your state's local weatherization agencies or their contractors in Program Year 2010? (Check all that apply) **Pressure diagnostics:** • Blower door (house air leakage rate) • Zonal pressure measurements • Room-to-room pressure measurements (distribution balancing) • Duct pressure pan measurements • Duct blower measurements (duct air leakage rate) **Space-heating system:** • Flue gas analysis (steady-state efficiency measurements) • Heat rise measurements • CO measurements in flues • Draft/spillage (normal operation) **Air-conditioning system:** • Refrigerant charge (e.g., superheat, subcooling) **HVAC** components and cross-cutting diagnostics: • Air handler flow rate • Thermostat anticipator current • Worst case draft/spillage (CAZ) **Hot-water (water-heating) system:** • Flue gas analysis (steady-state efficiency measurements) • CO measurements in flues • Draft/spillage (normal operation) • Water flow rates (showerheads and faucets) Other CO measurements: • CO measurements in equipment rooms Cooking stove • CO measurements in living areas Other diagnostics and inspections: • Refrigerator energy use • Exhaust fan air flow rate measurement • Infrared scanning (camera)

Other (please specify) _______

Radon testingLead testing

Mold and mildew testingMoisture context testing

9. Which of the following types of personnel did your state use to provide training local weatherization agencies or their contractors in Program Year 2010? (Check a DOE staff	•
DOE contractor	
• State staff	
• State contractor	
 Staff from another state 	
 State training center staff 	
 Local agency staff from your state 	
 Agency staff from another state 	
 Manufacturer representative 	
 Utility staff 	
 Representative from trade organization 	
 Consultant 	
Other (please specify)	
provide training to your state's local weatherization agencies or their contractors in Year 2010? (Check all that apply) • Technical certification • Extensive weatherization field experience • Construction experience • Extensive management experience • Extensive experience with financial matters • Other (please specify)	
10a. Using the scale below, please indicate how important each credential was for have in PY 2010?	trainers to
 1= Very Unimportant; 2=Unimportant; 3= Important; 4=Very Important Technical certification 	
 Extensive weatherization field experience 	
 Construction experience 	
 Extensive management experience 	
 Extensive experience with financial matters 	
• Other (please specify)	

training events that your state provided (e.g., funded, organized) to your state's loweatherization agencies or their contractors in Program Year 2010?	cal
State weatherization conference	
Other state conference	
 State/regional training center class 	
State-sponsored class taught at central location	
• In-person expert visit (e.g., peer exchange, consultant)	
 Instruction given to individual agency during an agency visit 	
• Web cast	
Other (please specify)	

11. How many of your state's weatherization office staff acted as instructors at the following

12. For each broad subject listed in the left-most column of the following table, put a check mark in the appropriate cell(s) to indicate which training method(s) you believe were most effective for imparting key skills and information in that area to your local weatherization agencies or their contractors in PY 2010:

Subject	State weatheriza tion conference	Other state conference	State/ regional training center class	State- sponsored class taught at central location	In- person expert visit	Instruction given to individual agency	Web casts	Other (specify)
Management								
Weatherization skills and methods								
Auditing/Estimating/ Measure selection								
Monitoring and quality control								
Financial topics								
Outreach and communications								
Health and safety								
Diagnostic procedures								
Client education								
Other (specify)								

13. On average, how well trained were local weatherization crews (both agency and contractor) in your state in the following weatherization topics in PY 2010? Please use the following scale: 1– not at all well trained; 2 – not well trained; 3 – moderately well trained; 4 –well trained; 5 – very well trained; 6 – not applicable *Circle best answer*.

very went trained, or not applicable eviete best t						
(1) Diagnostic procedures	1	2	3	4	5	6
(2) Insulation						
single family dwellings	1	2	3	4	5	6
multifamily dwellings	1	2	3	4	5	6
mobile homes	1	2	3	4	5	6
(3) Space heating, ventilation, air conditioning						
single family dwellings	1	2	3	4	5	6
multifamily dwellings	1	2	3	4	5	6
mobile homes	1	2	3	4	5	6
(4) Infiltration measures						
single family dwellings	1	2	3	4	5	6
multifamily dwellings	1	2	3	4	5	6
mobile homes	1	2	3	4	5	6
(5) Doors and windows						
single family dwellings	1	2	3	4	5	6
multifamily dwellings	1	2	3	4	5	6
mobile homes	1	2	3	4	5	6
(6) Hot water heating						
single family dwellings	1	2	3	4	5	6
multifamily dwellings	1	2	3	4	5	6
mobile homes	1	2	3	4	5	6
(7) Baseloads (e.g., lighting, refrigerators)						
single family dwellings	1	2	3	4	5	6
multifamily dwellings	1	2	3	4	5	6
mobile homes	1	2	3	4	5	6

13a. On average, how well trained were local weatherization crews (both agency and contractor) in your state in the following administrative-related topics in PY 2010? Please use the following scale: 1– not at all well trained; 2 – not well trained; 3 – moderately well trained; 4 –well trained; 5 – very well trained; 6 – not applicable *Circle best answer*.

J J T I						
(1) Management	1	2	3	4	5	6
(2) Client education	1	2	3	4	5	6
(3) Auditing/estimating						
single family dwellings	1	2	3	4	5	6
multifamily dwellings	1	2	3	4	5	6
mobile homes	1	2	3	4	5	6
(4) Monitoring/quality control	1	2	3	4	5	6
(5) Financial topics	1	2	3	4	5	6
(6) Outreach and communications	1	2	3	4	5	6
(7) Other (please specify)	1	2	3	4	5	6

	ately w	ell trai	ined; 4	-wel	l trained;
wer.					
1	2.	3	4	5	6
					6
					6
					6
					6
1					6
1			4		6
1	2	3	4	5	6
1			4		6
1	2		4		6
1	2	3	4	5	6
ization o	crews	in PY 2	2010? ((Chec	k best
	wer. 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 vell train	wer. 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1	wer. 1 2 3	wer. 1 2 3 4 1 3 4 1 4 1 5 Training:	wer. 1 2 3 4 5

13b. On average, how well trained were local weatherization crews (both agency and contractor) in your state in the following health and safety topics in PY 2010? Please use the following

 Which of the following types of post-weatherization quality control inspection on weatherized dwelling units in Program Year 2010? (Check a Visual inspection of installed measures 	•
 Verification of insulation depths/quantities 	
 Verification of operation of measures installed 	
Assessment of quality of measures installed	
Identification of needed measures that were not installed	
Blower door test	
 Heating system efficiency test (flue gas analysis) 	
 Draft/spillage tests of heating systems 	
Carbon monoxide (CO) monitoring	
Infrared scanning	
 Identification of unresolved health and safety issues 	
 Discussion with occupants 	
Other (specify)	
 3. Please indicate which types of post-weatherization quality control inspe were initiated since ARRA PY 2009. (Check all that apply) Visual inspection of installed measures Verification of insulation depths/quantities Verification of operation of measures installed Assessment of quality of measures installed Identification of needed measures that were not installed Blower door test Heating system efficiency test (flue gas analysis) Draft/spillage tests of heating systems Carbon monoxide (CO) monitoring Infrared scanning Identification of unresolved health and safety issues Discussion with occupants Other (specify) 	ction listed below

4. Please indicate the cost, the amount of training needed, the amount of time needed and the effectiveness of the following types of post-weatherization quality control inspection procedures relative to each other for PY 2010. Please use the following scale: 1 – very low; 2 – low; 3 – medium; 4 – high; 5 – very high.

Type of Post-Weatherization Quality	Cost	Training	Time	Effectiveness
Control Inspection		Needed	Needed	
Visual inspection of installed measures				
Verification of insulation depths/quantities				
Verification of operation of measures				
installed				
Assessment of quality of measures installed				
Identification of needed measures that were				
not installed				
Blower door test				
Heating system efficiency test (flue gas				
analysis)				
Draft/spillage tests of heating systems				
Carbon monoxide (CO) monitoring				
Infrared scanning				
Identification of unresolved health and				
safety issues				
Discussion with occupants				
Other (specify)				

other (speerry)				
5. On average, how many hours were spent conducting post-weatherization quality c Year 2010?	•			
 6. What types of credentials or experience control inspectors in your state weatherized apply) Technical certification Extensive experience performing Extensive experience performing Extensive experience supervising Construction experience Other (please specify) 	zation offices gpre-weath	ce in Program	n Year 2010'	

7.	Please indicate their level of experience of the post-weatherization quality control inspectors
	in your state weatherization office for each of the following areas in Program Year 2010.

	Very High	High	Medium	Low	Very Low
Performing pre-weatherization audits					
Performing weatherization work					
Supervising weatherization work					
Working in construction					
Performing post-weatherization					
inspections					
Other (specify)					

	Other (specify)					
8.	On average, how frequently did state weatherization program office staff visit each local agency to conduct post-weatherization quality control inspections in Program Year 2010? (Check best answer) Weekly Monthly Quarterly Annually Other (please specify)					
9.	On how many dwelling units did your state perform post-weatherization quality control inspections in Program Year 2010?					
	9a. Of those inspected, approximately how many were found to have a problem significant enough to require a return visit by local agency weatherization crews?					
	9b. Of those requiring a return visit, how many had work done that probably resulted in more energy savings?					
9c. What were the three most common problems found in the dwelling units inspected b your state in Program Year 2010?						
10.	In those cases where a Program Year 2010 post-weatherization quality control inspection revealed a problem with the job performed, what single action was most commonly taken in response to that finding? (Check best answer) • Made agency send crew back to correct problem • Made agency send crew supervisor to correct problem • Sent someone from state office to correct problem • No action taken					
	• Other (please specify)					

 11. What <i>other</i> actions were taken in Program Year 2010 in response to problem with the weatherization job performed? (Check all that approximate of the problem of the problem	oly)
 12. How often did the inspection reveal a misuse of the priority list? a. Never b. 1-5% of the time c. 6-10% of the time d. 11-20% of the time e. More than 20% of the time f. N/A 	
 13. How often did the inspection reveal a misuse of the computer audit a. Never b. 1-5% of the time c. 6-10% of the time d. 11-20% of the time e. More than 20% of the time f. N/A 	?
14. Which of the following monitoring tasks did your state perform in check on the administration of local weatherization efforts? (Check all Verification of number of dwelling units weatherized Verification of clients' income eligibility Verification of average expenditure per weatherized unit Verification of material expenditures Verification that installed measures had an SIR of 1.0 or gree Examination of vehicle costs Examination of other equipment costs Examination of training and technical assistance (T&TA) costs Examination of administrative costs Examination of material inventory Interviews with agency staff Interviews with agency contractor staff Interviews with agency clients Other (please specify)	eater

15. Please indicate which types of monitoring tasks listed below were initiated	since ARRA to
PY 2009. (Check all that apply)	
 Verification of number of dwelling units weatherized 	
 Verification of clients' income eligibility 	
 Verification of average expenditure per weatherized unit 	
 Verification of material expenditures 	
 Verification that installed measures had an SIR of 1.0 or greater 	
 Examination of vehicle costs 	
 Examination of other equipment costs 	
 Examination of training and technical assistance (T&TA) costs 	
 Examination of administrative costs 	
 Examination of material inventory 	
 Interviews with agency staff 	
 Interviews with agency contractor staff 	
 Interviews with agency clients 	
• Other (please specify)	

16. Please indicate the cost, the amount of training needed, the amount of time needed and the effectiveness of the following types of monitoring tasks relative to each other for PY 2010. Please use the following scale: 1 – very low; 2 – low; 3 – moderate; 4 –high; 5 – very high.

Type of Monitoring Tasks	Cost	Training Needed	Time Needed	Effectiveness
Verification of number of dwelling units				
weatherized				
Verification of clients' income eligibility				
Verification of average expenditure per				
weatherized unit				
Verification of material expenditures				
Verification that installed measures had an				
SIR of 1.0 or greater				
Examination of vehicle costs				
Examination of other equipment costs				
Examination of training and technical				
assistance (T&TA) costs				
Examination of administrative costs				
Examination of material inventory				
Interviews with agency staff				
Interviews with agency contractor staff				
Interviews with agency clients				
Other (specify)				

Other (specify)				
17. On average, how many hours were spent blocal agency monitoring agency administrativ	•			
18. What types of credentials or experience w administration of local weatherization efforts apply.	-			
 Technical certification 				
 Extensive experience performing p 	re-weath	erization aud	dits	
 Extensive experience performing v 	veatheriz	ation work		
 Extensive experience supervising v 	weatheriz	ation work		
 Construction experience 				
 Extensive management experience 				
 Extensive finance experience 				
Extensive experience administeringOther (please specify)	g local w	eatherization	n programs	

19. Please indicate their level of experience of the local agency monitors in your state weatherization office for each of the following areas in Program Year 2010.

	Very High	High	Moderate	Low	Very Low
Management					
Finance					
Administration of local weatherization					
programs					
Other (specify)					

20. On average, how frequently did state weatherization program office staff visi agency to monitor administrative activities in Program Year 2010? (Check best a Weekly Monthly Quarterly Annually Other (please specify)	
21. For how many of the local weatherization agencies monitored in your state in 2010 was an administrative problem found that required corrective actions above acceptable findings and recommendations?	
22. What were the three most common problems requiring corrective actions aboacceptable findings and recommendations found in the local weatherization agen in your state in Program Year 2010?	
23. In those cases where state monitoring of the administration of local weatherize Program Year 2010 revealed an administrative problem requiring corrective actions beyond acceptable findings and recommendations, what actions were taken in restall that apply)	ons above and
 Sent written report to local agency 	
 Required corrective action 	
 Made presentation to local agency 	
 Sent someone from state office to help correct problem 	
Sent state contractor to help correct problem	
No action taken	
Other (please specify)	

24 Did the observation of problems with the quality of weatherization work lead to changes in weatherization training for local agency staff?	l
Yes	
No	
24a. If Yes, what changes were made?	
25. Does your state observe weatherization training sessions to help identify potential problem areas for monitoring in the field (e.g., with respect to installation of measures that trainees see to have trouble understanding)? Yes No	
25a. If Yes, briefly describe how your in-field monitoring activities were affected by your training session observations.	