Briefing to the Biomass R&D Board Technical Advisory Committee

USDA Sustainability Assessment Prototype

Discussion of purpose, resources, and structure



Washington, DC Dec. 15, 2010

This document is confidential and is intended solely for the use and information of the client to whom it is addressed.

Agenda

- Background & overview
- Analytical approach
- Conceptual outputs and applications
- Discussion

The object of this task is to recommend an approach to assessing the sustainability impact of USDA energy RD&D investments



What we've been asked to do

- "Sustainability" is a new frontier and one that has eluded clear definition, quantification
- Many sustainability models exist in the public domain; few, if any, comprehensively address the theme
- USDA has asked us to help identify and evaluate the use of sustainability-related:
 - Data sources
 - Models
 - Reports
 - Evaluation tools
- It also asked us to recommend a prototype for applying the most relevant resources

Since September, we have evaluated hundreds of potential resources, and have nearly completed a draft prototype framework

The scope of work entails four primary phases...

...With several likely applications

		Data Collection/	300+ sustainability	Objectives			
•		Inventory	tools identified globally	Primary Objective			
I				Provide a consistent, workable framework for assessing USDA programs			
\checkmark	Oct. 22	Assessment/ Screening	All 300+ tools evaluated, categorized, prioritized for consideration	Secondary Objective			
				 Support development of USDA strategic planning exercises 			
	Dec.	Prototype	Tools most relevant to this effort aggregated into a framework	 Support reporting to external stakeholders on contributions toward Department objectives 			
×	14	Development		 Advance application of these complex concents on an interagency basis 			
	Jan. 2011	Vetting, testing, briefing	Gather expert perspectives, run case studies, report outcomes				

Our intent is to leverage work already done – not duplicate other efforts

The development of the prototype itself has been broken down into four analytical components





In the first phase we identified over 337 resources, and reduced the high-potential candidates down to less than 50



Define the Resources Criteria Understand the Program context Prototype

We then determined which indicators could be operationalized – 3 criteria served to define which should be included in the prototype



Based on multi agency feedback and our own analysis, we believe that the prototype must effectively address multiple considerations



The prototype is based on a tiered analytical protocol designed to facilitate effective, least-cost sustainability_{assessments}

	Design Co	nsiderations			Analyti	cal Consideratio	ns
Flexibility Rigor		stitutional Scale	Impact Scale		Innovation mission	Supply Chain Mission	
	Evaluation Tier	Description			Example		
al burden	None Required	Analysis likely not needed or appropriate for all indicators		riate	 R&D projects (reporting only) An investment in feedstocks will likely have minimal impact on criteria pollutants 		
	Prerequisite	Indicators not amenable to modeling may be captured as essential elements of the funding application		may the	 US has robust institutional standards to address non-quantifiable variables (e.g. worker safety) 		
g analytic	Score-card	Applica on tiers of quest	nt reported, based tioning, validated by re panels	Self report or use, tillage p realism, com	port on key issues su llage practices; comn n, completeness	uch as fertilizer nittee assesses for	
Increasing	Index	Certain indicators are amenable to mapping or data threshold comparisons		o ons	 Geospatial representation of areas prone to erosion, or critical habitats RFS II, CARB GHG assessments 		
	Analysis	Deeper and assessmer	Deeper and/or specialized impact assessment using modeling tools		Program-level impacts on jobs, rural GDP		

This structure aides the specification of analytical methodologies for any permutation of the design considerations noted earlier



The team has selected strawman/default inputs for these elements of the framework; they can be adapted as required by context, expert review, or availability of new data

Default indicators and associated methodologies have been selected

Each pillar	is broken into a sub-element	and assigned a specific indicator	and methodologies			
Economics	Macroeconomic	Job creationEconomic activity	SEBAS, IMPLAN, OR USDA Economic outreach tool			
Leonomics	Microeconomic	 Financial viability 	Business viability assessmentsDeployment viability assessment			
	Air	GHG emissionsCriteria air pollutants	• GREET			
Environment	Water	 Quality Supply	SWAT, RUSLE, ASPEN, APEX, AGNPS, geospatial data			
	Land	 Land use Soil Quality Biodiversity Solid waste 	RUSLE, APEX, geospatial data			
	Cross-cutting	Assessment of management sophistication / preparedness				
	Community Impacts	Legal and Institutional Compliance	Labor rights, Public Health & Safety, Legal compliance included as prerequisites			
		Public Health & Safety	• Avail. training and health management plan			
		Public Outreach	Local community involvement			
Social	Human Development	Environmental Justice	Proximity to disadvantaged communities			
		Equity	Self identification of underserved community & locally produced products			
		Capacity Building	Availability of training programs			
	Food security	Food	 % of income spent on staple crops Modeling using AGLINK-COSIMO 			
		10				

As a next step, we have begun processing case studies to illuminate how the prototype might work and how it could be used



At present, we are considering the utility of defined reporting outputs at both the project and program levels



Discussion

- Adequacy of indicators
- Appropriateness of the associated analytical tools
- Other sustainability-related initiatives we should be aware of

Next Steps

- Complete prototype development activities now underway
 - Finalize test cases to demonstrate outputs and potential uses
 - Review proposed indicators, analytical methodologies
 - o Develop output reports
- Convene expert reviews
- Contact Information
 - Joel Fetter 202.651.7747 or fetter_joel@bah.com
 - Mike Miller 202.651.7752 or miller_michael@bah.com