## Wave 1 Requirements Discussion (26 total):

This document summarizes the candidate Wave 1 Tests for Urban Search and Rescue Robot Performance Standards. At the end of the list of 26 candidate requirements/tests, there is a description of a draft test method (real-time vision system acuity).



All 1<sup>st</sup> Tier requirements (21) are included. Several 2<sup>nd</sup> Tier requirements (5) are included due to their close connection with 1<sup>st</sup> Tier requirements, allowing inclusion in the same standard test methods.

Number:	03
Туре:	CHASSIS
Sub-Type:	ILLUMINATION
Requirement:	ADJUSTABLE
Metric:	YES/NO
Description:	This requirement captures the responders' expectation to use video in confined spaces and for short-range object identification, which can wash out from excessive illumination of the scene.
Test Method:	SEE REAL-TIME VISION SYSTEM ACUITY TEST
Number:	<mark>06</mark> *
Туре:	COMMUNICATIONS
Sub-Type:	N/A
Requirement:	RANGE – BEYOND LINE OF SIGHT
Metric:	METERS
Description:	This requirement captures the responders' expectation to project remote situational awareness into compromised or collapsed structures. They specifically noted that the robot should be able to ingress a specified number of meters into the worst case collapse, which was further defined as a reinforced steel structure. This requirement also covers operations around corners of buildings and other locations beyond line of sight. The responders made no distinction regarding tethered or wireless implementations to address this requirement.
Test Method:	SEE REAL-TIME VISION SYSTEM ACUITY TEST
Number:	<mark>07</mark> *
Туре:	COMMUNICATIONS
Sub-Type:	N/A
Requirement:	SECURITY
Metric:	
	I = NO security and a security only
	3 = Command security only
	$\mathfrak{I} = \mathfrak{BOIN}$ uala and command security

Description: Test Method:	This requirement captures the responders' expectation to use this system in sensitive public situations where maintaining control of remotes systems is imperative and limiting access to video images and other communications to authorized personnel is prudent. They added that the system should be shielded from jamming interference and encrypted for security, but made no distinction regarding tethered or wireless implementations to address this requirement. <b>SEE REAL-TIME VISION SYSTEM ACUITY TEST</b>
Number: Type: Sub-Type: Requirement: Metric: Description:	08 COMMUNICATIONS N/A RANGE – LINE OF SIGHT METERS This requirement captures the responders' expectation to project remote
Test Method:	made no distinction regarding tethered or wireless implementations to address this requirement. SEE REAL-TIME VISION SYSTEM ACUITY TEST
Number: Type: Sub-Type:	11 * HUMAN-SYSTEM INTERACTION N/A
Requirement:	INITIAL TRAINING
Metric:	HOURS
Description:	Inis requirement captures the responders' expectation to minimize the initial training necessary to become proficient in operation of the system. This training should include supporting material sufficient for training in the specified period and culminate in certification.
Test Method:	SEE ACCEPTABLE USABILTY TEST
	_
Number:	12 *
Sub-Type:	N/A
Requirement:	PROFICIENCY EDUCATION
Metric:	HOURS ANNUALLY
Description:	annual proficiency training necessary to maintain certification
Test Method:	SEE ACCEPTABLE USABILTY TEST
Number	
Type:	HUMAN-SYSTEM INTERACTION
Sub-Type:	N/A
Requirement:	OPERATOR RATIO
Metric:	NUMBER OF OPERATORS PER ROBOT
	number of operators necessary to operate any given system and perform
Test Method:	SEE ACCEPTABLE USABILTY TEST
Neurole e a	
Number:	14 <sup>^</sup>

Type: Sub-Type: Requirement: Metric:	HUMAN-SYSTEM INTERACTION N/A ACCEPTABLE USABILITY EFFECTIVENESS (PERCENT); USER SATISFACTION (RATING SCALE 1-5)
Description:	This requirement captures the responders' expectation to operate any given system to perform the associated tasks effectively. The metric will measure the percent of timed tasks operators can successfully complete. This metric is discussed in greater detail in the Test Methods: Human-System Interaction section of this report.
Test Method:	SÉE ACCEPTABLE USABILTY TEST
Number:	26 *
Туре:	HUMAN-SYSTEM INTERACTION
Sub-Type:	CONTEXT
Requirement:	LIGHTING CONDITIONS
Metric:	SCALE 1-5
	1 = Complete darkness
	3 = Daylight without direct glare
	5 = Direct glare on interface
Description:	This requirement captures the responders' expectation to view and use
	the operator console in different lighting conditions. They noted that
	special emphasis should be placed on "no light" conditions and "direct
	glare" onto operator displays (from sunlight, helmet lights, etc.).
lest Method:	SEE ACCEPTABLE USABLITY TEST
Number:	29
-	
Туре:	HUMAN-SYSTEM INTERACTION
Type: Sub-Type:	HUMAN-SYSTEM INTERACTION CONTEXT
Type: Sub-Type: Requirement:	HUMAN-SYSTEM INTERACTION CONTEXT PROTECTIVE CLOTHING
Type: Sub-Type: Requirement: Metric:	HUMAN-SYSTEM INTERACTION CONTEXT PROTECTIVE CLOTHING SCALE 1-5
Type: Sub-Type: Requirement: Metric:	HUMAN-SYSTEM INTERACTION CONTEXT PROTECTIVE CLOTHING SCALE 1-5 1 = No protection 3 = Minimum protection (threshold)
Type: Sub-Type: Requirement: Metric:	HUMAN-SYSTEM INTERACTION CONTEXT PROTECTIVE CLOTHING SCALE 1-5 1 = No protection 3 = Minimum protection (threshold) 5 = Complete protection (objective)
Type: Sub-Type: Requirement: Metric: Description:	HUMAN-SYSTEM INTERACTION CONTEXT PROTECTIVE CLOTHING SCALE 1-5 1 = No protection 3 = Minimum protection (threshold) 5 = Complete protection (objective) This requirement captures the responders' expectation to be operating
Type: Sub-Type: Requirement: Metric: Description:	HUMAN-SYSTEM INTERACTION CONTEXT PROTECTIVE CLOTHING SCALE 1-5 1 = No protection 3 = Minimum protection (threshold) 5 = Complete protection (objective) This requirement captures the responders' expectation to be operating the system while wearing personal protective equipment such as gloves.
Type: Sub-Type: Requirement: Metric: Description:	HUMAN-SYSTEM INTERACTION CONTEXT PROTECTIVE CLOTHING SCALE 1-5 1 = No protection 3 = Minimum protection (threshold) 5 = Complete protection (objective) This requirement captures the responders' expectation to be operating the system while wearing personal protective equipment such as gloves, helmet, eye protection, ear protection, etc. The operator should be able
Type: Sub-Type: Requirement: Metric: Description:	HUMAN-SYSTEM INTERACTION CONTEXT PROTECTIVE CLOTHING SCALE 1-5 1 = No protection 3 = Minimum protection (threshold) 5 = Complete protection (objective) This requirement captures the responders' expectation to be operating the system while wearing personal protective equipment such as gloves, helmet, eye protection, ear protection, etc. The operator should be able to maintain acceptable usability (discussed in greater detail in the Test
Type: Sub-Type: Requirement: Metric: Description:	HUMAN-SYSTEM INTERACTION CONTEXT PROTECTIVE CLOTHING SCALE 1-5 1 = No protection 3 = Minimum protection (threshold) 5 = Complete protection (objective) This requirement captures the responders' expectation to be operating the system while wearing personal protective equipment such as gloves, helmet, eye protection, ear protection, etc. The operator should be able to maintain acceptable usability (discussed in greater detail in the Test Methods: Human-System Interaction section of this report) of the system
Type: Sub-Type: Requirement: Metric: Description:	HUMAN-SYSTEM INTERACTION CONTEXT PROTECTIVE CLOTHING SCALE 1-5 1 = No protection 3 = Minimum protection (threshold) 5 = Complete protection (objective) This requirement captures the responders' expectation to be operating the system while wearing personal protective equipment such as gloves, helmet, eye protection, ear protection, etc. The operator should be able to maintain acceptable usability (discussed in greater detail in the Test Methods: Human-System Interaction section of this report) of the system while wearing the stated level of personal protective equipment
Type: Sub-Type: Requirement: Metric: Description: Test Method:	HUMAN-SYSTEM INTERACTION CONTEXT PROTECTIVE CLOTHING SCALE 1-5 1 = No protection 3 = Minimum protection (threshold) 5 = Complete protection (objective) This requirement captures the responders' expectation to be operating the system while wearing personal protective equipment such as gloves, helmet, eye protection, ear protection, etc. The operator should be able to maintain acceptable usability (discussed in greater detail in the Test Methods: Human-System Interaction section of this report) of the system while wearing the stated level of personal protective equipment SEE ACCEPTABLE USABILITY TEST
Type: Sub-Type: Requirement: Metric: Description: Test Method: Number:	HUMAN-SYSTEM INTERACTION CONTEXT PROTECTIVE CLOTHING SCALE 1-5 1 = No protection 3 = Minimum protection (threshold) 5 = Complete protection (objective) This requirement captures the responders' expectation to be operating the system while wearing personal protective equipment such as gloves, helmet, eye protection, ear protection, etc. The operator should be able to maintain acceptable usability (discussed in greater detail in the Test Methods: Human-System Interaction section of this report) of the system while wearing the stated level of personal protective equipment SEE ACCEPTABLE USABILITY TEST
Type: Sub-Type: Requirement: Metric: Description: Test Method: Number: Type:	HUMAN-SYSTEM INTERACTION CONTEXT PROTECTIVE CLOTHING SCALE 1-5 1 = No protection 3 = Minimum protection (threshold) 5 = Complete protection (objective) This requirement captures the responders' expectation to be operating the system while wearing personal protective equipment such as gloves, helmet, eye protection, ear protection, etc. The operator should be able to maintain acceptable usability (discussed in greater detail in the Test Methods: Human-System Interaction section of this report) of the system while wearing the stated level of personal protective equipment SEE ACCEPTABLE USABILITY TEST
Type: Sub-Type: Requirement: Metric: Description: Test Method: Number: Type: Sub-Type:	HUMAN-SYSTEM INTERACTION CONTEXT PROTECTIVE CLOTHING SCALE 1-5 1 = No protection 3 = Minimum protection (threshold) 5 = Complete protection (objective) This requirement captures the responders' expectation to be operating the system while wearing personal protective equipment such as gloves, helmet, eye protection, ear protection, etc. The operator should be able to maintain acceptable usability (discussed in greater detail in the Test Methods: Human-System Interaction section of this report) of the system while wearing the stated level of personal protective equipment SEE ACCEPTABLE USABILITY TEST
Type: Sub-Type: Requirement: Metric: Description: Test Method: Number: Type: Sub-Type: Requirement:	HUMAN-SYSTEM INTERACTION CONTEXT PROTECTIVE CLOTHING SCALE 1-5 1 = No protection 3 = Minimum protection (threshold) 5 = Complete protection (objective) This requirement captures the responders' expectation to be operating the system while wearing personal protective equipment such as gloves, helmet, eye protection, ear protection, etc. The operator should be able to maintain acceptable usability (discussed in greater detail in the Test Methods: Human-System Interaction section of this report) of the system while wearing the stated level of personal protective equipment SEE ACCEPTABLE USABILITY TEST
Type: Sub-Type: Requirement: Metric: Description: Test Method: Number: Type: Sub-Type: Requirement: Metric:	HUMAN-SYSTEM INTERACTION CONTEXT PROTECTIVE CLOTHING SCALE 1-5 1 = No protection 3 = Minimum protection (threshold) 5 = Complete protection (objective) This requirement captures the responders' expectation to be operating the system while wearing personal protective equipment such as gloves, helmet, eye protection, ear protection, etc. The operator should be able to maintain acceptable usability (discussed in greater detail in the Test Methods: Human-System Interaction section of this report) of the system while wearing the stated level of personal protective equipment SEE ACCEPTABLE USABILITY TEST
Type: Sub-Type: Requirement: Metric: Description: Test Method: Number: Type: Sub-Type: Requirement: Metric: Description:	HUMAN-SYSTEM INTERACTION CONTEXT PROTECTIVE CLOTHING SCALE 1-5 1 = No protection 3 = Minimum protection (threshold) 5 = Complete protection (objective) This requirement captures the responders' expectation to be operating the system while wearing personal protective equipment such as gloves, helmet, eye protection, ear protection, etc. The operator should be able to maintain acceptable usability (discussed in greater detail in the Test Methods: Human-System Interaction section of this report) of the system while wearing the stated level of personal protective equipment SEE ACCEPTABLE USABILITY TEST * HUMAN-SYSTEM INTERACTION DISPLAY DASHBOARD YES/NO ; EFFECTIVENESS (PERCENT) This requirement captures the responders' expectation to monitor
Type: Sub-Type: Requirement: Metric: Description: Test Method: Number: Type: Sub-Type: Requirement: Metric: Description:	HUMAN-SYSTEM INTERACTION CONTEXT PROTECTIVE CLOTHING SCALE 1-5 1 = No protection 3 = Minimum protection (threshold) 5 = Complete protection (objective) This requirement captures the responders' expectation to be operating the system while wearing personal protective equipment such as gloves, helmet, eye protection, ear protection, etc. The operator should be able to maintain acceptable usability (discussed in greater detail in the Test Methods: Human-System Interaction section of this report) of the system while wearing the stated level of personal protective equipment SEE ACCEPTABLE USABILTY TEST * HUMAN-SYSTEM INTERACTION DISPLAY DASHBOARD YES/NO ; EFFECTIVENESS (PERCENT) This requirement captures the responders' expectation to monitor general system health and status (e.g. orientation, communication
Type: Sub-Type: Requirement: Metric: Description: Test Method: Number: Type: Sub-Type: Requirement: Metric: Description:	HUMAN-SYSTEM INTERACTION CONTEXT PROTECTIVE CLOTHING SCALE 1-5 1 = No protection 3 = Minimum protection (threshold) 5 = Complete protection (objective) This requirement captures the responders' expectation to be operating the system while wearing personal protective equipment such as gloves, helmet, eye protection, ear protection, etc. The operator should be able to maintain acceptable usability (discussed in greater detail in the Test Methods: Human-System Interaction section of this report) of the system while wearing the stated level of personal protective equipment SEE ACCEPTABLE USABILTY TEST 30 * HUMAN-SYSTEM INTERACTION DISPLAY DASHBOARD YES/NO; EFFECTIVENESS (PERCENT) This requirement captures the responders' expectation to monitor general system health and status (e.g. orientation, communication strength, power level, etc.). They identified two types of information:
Type: Sub-Type: Requirement: Metric: Description: Test Method: Number: Type: Sub-Type: Requirement: Metric: Description:	HUMAN-SYSTEM INTERACTION CONTEXT PROTECTIVE CLOTHING SCALE 1-5 1 = No protection 3 = Minimum protection (threshold) 5 = Complete protection (objective) This requirement captures the responders' expectation to be operating the system while wearing personal protective equipment such as gloves, helmet, eye protection, ear protection, etc. The operator should be able to maintain acceptable usability (discussed in greater detail in the Test Methods: Human-System Interaction section of this report) of the system while wearing the stated level of personal protective equipment SEE ACCEPTABLE USABILITY TEST 30 * HUMAN-SYSTEM INTERACTION DISPLAY DASHBOARD YES/NO; EFFECTIVENESS (PERCENT) This requirement captures the responders' expectation to monitor general system health and status (e.g. orientation, communication strength, power level, etc.). They identified two types of information: Display of organic information: 1) system health status, i.e. power,
Type: Sub-Type: Requirement: Metric: Description: Test Method: Number: Type: Sub-Type: Requirement: Metric: Description:	HUMAN-SYSTEM INTERACTION CONTEXT PROTECTIVE CLOTHING SCALE 1-5 1 = No protection 3 = Minimum protection (threshold) 5 = Complete protection (objective) This requirement captures the responders' expectation to be operating the system while wearing personal protective equipment such as gloves, helmet, eye protection, ear protection, etc. The operator should be able to maintain acceptable usability (discussed in greater detail in the Test Methods: Human-System Interaction section of this report) of the system while wearing the stated level of personal protective equipment SEE ACCEPTABLE USABILTY TEST * HUMAN-SYSTEM INTERACTION DISPLAY DASHBOARD YES/NO; EFFECTIVENESS (PERCENT) This requirement captures the responders' expectation to monitor general system health and status (e.g. orientation, communication strength, power level, etc.). They identified two types of information: Display of organic information: 1) system health status, i.e. power, motors, sensors, comms, etc.; 2) robot pose, i.e. absolute (x,y,z) or

Test Method:	environment, i.e. inhibitors, manipulator problems, occluded or blocked sensors. Also, display of external information: 1) Hazmat; 2) Temperature; 3 ) Other payload sensors. In addition to determining if the information is present, it is advisable to performs a series of empirical tests to determine if the operator(s) can accuracy interpret the displayed information. <b>SEE DASHBOARD CHECKLIST; SEE ACCEPTABLE USABILITY TEST</b>
Number: Type: Sub-Type: Requirement: Metric: Description: Test Method:	<ul> <li>*</li> <li>LOGISTICS</li> <li>CACHE PACKAGING</li> <li>WEIGHT</li> <li>KILOGRAMS PER CONTAINER</li> <li>This requirement captures the responders' expectation to move and store all equipment using existing methods and tools.</li> <li>TBD</li> </ul>
Number: Type: Sub-Type: Requirement: Metric: Description:	<ul> <li>*</li> <li>LOGISTICS</li> <li>N/A</li> <li>MEAN TIME BEFORE FAILURE (MTBF)</li> <li>OPERATING HOURS</li> <li>This requirement captures the responders' expectation to use all equipment for the entire duration of a deployment (10 days maximum).</li> <li>Failure means major repairs of integrated components that need to be addressed by the manufacturer or other technical expert.</li> </ul>
Number: Type: Sub-Type: Requirement: Metric: Description: Test Method:	36 * LOGISTICS CACHE PACKAGING SETUP TIME MINUTES This requirement captures the responders' expectation to move and store all equipment using existing methods and tools. The setup time is from on-site delivery to operation. TBD
Number: Type: Sub-Type: Requirement: Metric:	<ul> <li>*</li> <li>LOGISTICS</li> <li>CACHE PACKAGING</li> <li>VOLUME PER CONTAINER</li> <li>SCALE 1-5</li> <li>1 = Pelican 1650 box</li> <li>3 = Hardigg box checkable on commercial aircraft</li> <li>5 = Ropack model 4048, 4039 with drop door</li> </ul>
Description:	This requirement captures the responders' expectation to move and store all equipment using existing methods and tools.
Test Method:	TBD

Number: Type: Sub-Type: Requirement: Metric: Description:	39 * LOGISTICS FIELD MAINTENANCE SPARES AND SUPPLIES PERCENT OF ROBOT WEIGHT This requirement captures the responders' expectation to be self- sustaining for 72 hours without re-supply. Field maintenance can be performed at the base of operations.
Test Method:	TBD
Number: Type: Sub-Type: Requirement: Metric: Description:	40 * LOGISTICS FIELD MAINTENANCE DURATION MINUTES This requirement captures the responders' expectation to minimize the amount of time required to perform routine maintenance operations in the field, potentially in-situ on a rubble pile or other awkward location.
Test Method:	TBD
Number: Type: Sub-Type: Requirement: Metric:	41 * LOGISTICS FIELD MAINTENANCE TOOLS SCALE 1-5 1 = Requires special tools 3 = Simple tools (e.g., screw driver) 5 = No tools required
Description:	This requirement captures the responders' expectation to minimize the need for specialized tools to perform field maintenance at the base of operations.
Test Method:	TBD
Number: Type: Sub-Type: Requirement: Metric:	42 * LOGISTICS FIELD MAINTENANCE INTERVALS SCALE 1-5 1 = 12 hours 3 = 24 hours 4 = 72 hours 5 = 10 days
Description:	This requirement captures the responders' expectation to minimize the mean time between required field maintenance performed at the base of operations.
Test Method:	TBD
Number: Type: Sub-Type: Requirement: Metric:	57 * OPERATING ENVIRONMENT N/A WATER SCALE 1-4

	1 = Not water resistant 2 = Wash down
	3 = Submersible
Description:	4 = Water resistant to 12 meters This requirement cantures the responders' expectation for the system to
Besonption	maintain operations in wet environments.
Test Method:	TBD
Number:	67 *
Туре:	POWER
Sub-Type:	N/A
Requirement:	WORKING TIME
weuric.	1 = 1 hour
	3 = 4 hours
	5 = 12 hours
Description:	This requirement captures the responders' expectation to maintain
	(see mobility requirements within terrain types). The system must have
	sufficient power to operate for the specified number of hours, assuming
	one power charge for one out and back mission.
Test Method:	TBD
Number:	68 *
Туре:	POWER
Sub-Type:	
Requirement:	SUSTAINMENT SCALE 1-5
metric.	1 = 12 hours
	3 = 24 hours
	4 = 72 hours
Description:	5 = 10 days This requirement cantures the responders' expectation to maintain
Description.	operations in the field before re-supply is needed. The system must have
	sufficient power to operate for the specified number of hours/days before
	needing re-supply.
lest Method:	IBD
Number:	<b>69</b> *
Туре:	POWER
Sub-Type:	N/A
Requirement:	
Description:	This requirement captures the responders' expectation to manage power
2000.1910.011	resources to effectively plan mission durations, points of no return, and
	other important power considerations. The operator display must inform
	the operator of the remaining power level as a percentage of total
Test Method:	TBD
Number:	96 SENSING
туре:	JENJING

Requirement:       SYSTEM ACUITY - NEAR         Metric:       MILLIMETERS         Description:       This requirement captures the responders' expectation to use video for key tasks such as maneuvering (hence the real-time emphasis), object identification (hence the color emphasis), and detailed inspection (hence the emphasis on short-range system acuity). The responders noted the need to consider the entire system, including possible communications signal degradation and display quality, when testing this capability. They also noted that this requirement is closely tied to the need for adjustable illumination to avoid washing out the image of close objects. The responders made no display quality, when testing this capability. They also noted that this requirement.         Test Method:       SEE REAL-TIME VISION SYSTEM ACUITY TEST         Number:       If * Type:         SENSING       Sub-Type:         Requirement:       SYSTEM ACUITY - FAR Metric:         Metric:       METERS         Description:       This requirement captures the responders' expectation to use video for key tasks such as maneuvering (hence the real-time emphasis), object identification (hence the color emphasis), and path planning (hence the emphasis on long-range system acuity). The responders noted the need to consider the entire system, including possible communications signal degradation and display quality, when testing this capability. They also noted that the limiting case for long-range system acuity) is probably assessment of structural integrity of buildings. This requirement.         Test Method:       SEE REAL-TIME VISION SYSTEM ACUITY TEST         Number:	Sub-Type:	REAL-TIME COLOR VIDEO
Metric:       MILLIMETERS         Description:       This requirement captures the responders' expectation to use video for key tasks such as maneuvering (hence the real-time emphasis), object identification (hence the color emphasis), and detailed inspection (hence the emphasis) on short-range system acuity). The responders noted the need to consider the entire system, including possible communications signal degradation and display quality, when testing this capability. They also noted that this requirement is closely tied to the need for adjustable illumination to avoid washing out the image of close objects. The responders made no distinction regarding tethered or wireless implementations to address this requirement.         Test Method:       SEE REAL-TIME VISION SYSTEM ACUITY TEST         Number:       Implementize the color emphasis), and path planning (hence the emphasis). Object identification (hence the color emphasis), and path planning (hence the emphasis) object identification (hence the color emphasis), and path planning (hence the emphasis) object identification (hence the color emphasis), and path planning (hence the emphasis) object identification (hence the color emphasis), and path planning (hence the emphasis on long-range system acuity). The responders noted the need to consider the entire system, including possible communications signal degradation and display quality, when testing this capability. They also noted that the limiting case for long-range system acuity) is probably assessment of structural integrity of buildings. This requirement.         Test Method:       SEE REAL-TIME VISION SYSTEM ACUITY TEST         Number:       101         Type:       SENSING         Sub-Type:       REAL-TIME VISION SYSTEM	Requirement:	SYSTEM ACUITY - NEAR
Description:       This requirement captures the responders' expectation to use video for key tasks such as maneuvering (hence the real-time emphasis), object identification (hence the color emphasis), and detailed inspection (hence the emphasis on short-range system acuity). The responders noted the need to consider the entire system, including possible communications signal degradation and display quality, when testing this capability. They also noted that this requirement is closely tied to the need for adjustable illumination to avoid washing out the image of close objects. The responders made no distinction regarding tethered or wireless implementations to address this requirement.         Test Method:       SEE REAL-TIME VISION SYSTEM ACUITY TEST         Number:       Sit * Type:         SENSING         Sub-Type:       REAL-TIME COLOR VIDEO Requirement:         Metric:       METERS         Description:       This requirement captures the responders' expectation to use video for key tasks such as maneuvering (hence the real-time emphasis), object identification (hence the color emphasis), and path planning (hence the emphasis on long-range system acuity). The responders noted the need to consider the entire system, including possible communications signal degradation and display quality, when testing this capability. They also noted that the limiting case for long-range system acuity is probably assessment of structural integrity of buildings. This requires identifying and measuring cracks in walls, inspecting the tops/bottoms of load bearing columns, and generally assessing the squareness of walls, ceilings, and floors. The responders made no distinction regarding tethered or wireless implementations to address this requirement.         Test M	Metric:	MILLIMETERS
Test Method:       SEE REAL-TIME VISION SYSTEM ACUITY TEST         Number:       Image: SENSING         Sub-Type:       REAL-TIME COLOR VIDEO         Requirement:       SYSTEM ACUITY - FAR         Metric:       METERS         Description:       This requirement captures the responders' expectation to use video for key tasks such as maneuvering (hence the real-time emphasis), object identification (hence the color emphasis), and path planning (hence the emphasis on long-range system acuity). The responders noted the need to consider the entire system, including possible communications signal degradation and display quality, when testing this capability. They also noted that the limiting case for long-range system acuity is probably assessment of structural integrity of buildings. This requires identifying and measuring cracks in walls, inspecting the tops/bottoms of load bearing columns, and generally assessing the squareness of walls, ceilings, and floors. The responders made no distinction regarding tethered or wireless implementations to address this requirement.         Test Method:       SEE REAL-TIME VISION SYSTEM ACUITY TEST         Number:       101         Type:       SENSING         Sub-Type:       REAL-TIME COLOR VIDEO         Requirement:       FIELD OF VIEW         Metric:       DEGREES         Description:       This requirement captures the responders' expectation to use real-time video for a variety of tasks. The responders noted that this requirement is closely tied to requirements addressing independent pan/tilt capabilities.	Description:	This requirement captures the responders' expectation to use video for key tasks such as maneuvering (hence the real-time emphasis), object identification (hence the color emphasis), and detailed inspection (hence the emphasis on short-range system acuity). The responders noted the need to consider the entire system, including possible communications signal degradation and display quality, when testing this capability. They also noted that this requirement is closely tied to the need for adjustable illumination to avoid washing out the image of close objects. The responders made no distinction regarding tethered or wireless implementations to address this requirement.
Number:       9 *         Type:       SENSING         Sub-Type:       REAL-TIME COLOR VIDEO         Requirement:       SYSTEM ACUITY - FAR         Metric:       METERS         Description:       This requirement captures the responders' expectation to use video for key tasks such as maneuvering (hence the real-time emphasis), object identification (hence the color emphasis), and path planning (hence the emphasis on long-range system acuity). The responders noted the need to consider the entire system, including possible communications signal degradation and display quality, when testing this capability. They also noted that the limiting case for long-range system acuity is probably assessment of structural integrity of buildings. This requires identifying and measuring cracks in walls, inspecting the tops/bottoms of load bearing columns, and generally assessing the squareness of walls, ceilings, and floors. The responders made no distinction regarding tethered or wireless implementations to address this requirement.         Type:       SER REAL-TIME VISION SYSTEM ACUITY TEST         Number:       101         Type:       SENSING         Sub-Type:       REAL-TIME COLOR VIDEO         Requirement:       FIELD OF VIEW         Metric:       DeGREES         Description:       This requirement captures the responders' expectation to use real-time video for a variety of tasks. The responders noted that this requirement is closely tied to requirements addressing independent pan/tilt capabilities.	lest Method:	SEE REAL-TIME VISION SYSTEM ACUITY TEST
Number:       101         Type:       SER REAL-TIME COLOR VIDEO         Number:       101         Type:       SENSING         Sub-Type:       REAL-TIME COLOR VIDEO         Requirement:       Description:         Test Method:       Description:         Test Method:       SEE REAL-TIME VISION SYSTEM ACUITY TEST	Number: Type: Sub-Type:	99 * SENSING REAL-TIME COLOR VIDEO
Netric:       METERS         Description:       This requirement captures the responders' expectation to use video for key tasks such as maneuvering (hence the real-time emphasis), object identification (hence the color emphasis), and path planning (hence the emphasis on long-range system acuity). The responders noted the need to consider the entire system, including possible communications signal degradation and display quality, when testing this capability. They also noted that the limiting case for long-range system acuity is probably assessment of structural integrity of buildings. This requires identifying and measuring cracks in walls, inspecting the tops/bottoms of load bearing columns, and generally assessing the squareness of walls, ceilings, and floors. The responders made no distinction regarding tethered or wireless implementations to address this requirement.         Test Method:       SEE REAL-TIME VISION SYSTEM ACUITY TEST         Number:       101         Type:       SENSING         Sub-Type:       REAL-TIME COLOR VIDEO         Requirement:       FIELD OF VIEW         Metric:       DEGREES         Description:       This requirement captures the responders' expectation to use real-time video for a variety of tasks. The responders noted that this requirement is closely tied to requirements addressing independent pan/tilt capabilities.         Test Method:       SEE REAL-TIME VISION SYSTEM ACUITY TEST	Requirement:	SYSTEM ACHITY - FAR
Description:This requirement captures the responders' expectation to use video for key tasks such as maneuvering (hence the real-time emphasis), object identification (hence the color emphasis), and path planning (hence the emphasis on long-range system acuity). The responders noted the need to consider the entire system, including possible communications signal degradation and display quality, when testing this capability. They also noted that the limiting case for long-range system acuity is probably assessment of structural integrity of buildings. This requires identifying and measuring cracks in walls, inspecting the tops/bottoms of load bearing columns, and generally assessing the squareness of walls, ceilings, and floors. The responders made no distinction regarding tethered or wireless implementations to address this requirement.Test Method:SEE REAL-TIME VISION SYSTEM ACUITY TESTNumber:101 Type: SENSING Sub-Type: REAL-TIME COLOR VIDEO FIELD OF VIEW Metric:DegREES Description:Description:This requirement captures the responders' expectation to use real-time video for a variety of tasks. The responders noted that this requirement is closely tied to requirements addressing independent pan/tilt capabilities.Test Method:SEE REAL-TIME VISION SYSTEM ACUITY TEST	Metric:	METERS
Test Method:SEE REAL-TIME VISION SYSTEM ACUITY TESTNumber:101Type:SENSINGSub-Type:REAL-TIME COLOR VIDEORequirement:FIELD OF VIEWMetric:DEGREESDescription:This requirement captures the responders' expectation to use real-time video for a variety of tasks. The responders noted that this requirement is closely tied to requirements addressing independent pan/tilt capabilities.Test Method:SEE REAL-TIME VISION SYSTEM ACUITY TEST	Description:	This requirement captures the responders' expectation to use video for key tasks such as maneuvering (hence the real-time emphasis), object identification (hence the color emphasis), and path planning (hence the emphasis on long-range system acuity). The responders noted the need to consider the entire system, including possible communications signal degradation and display quality, when testing this capability. They also noted that the limiting case for long-range system acuity is probably assessment of structural integrity of buildings. This requires identifying and measuring cracks in walls, inspecting the tops/bottoms of load bearing columns, and generally assessing the squareness of walls, ceilings, and floors. The responders made no distinction regarding tethered or wireless implementations to address this requirement.
Number:101Type:SENSINGSub-Type:REAL-TIME COLOR VIDEORequirement:FIELD OF VIEWMetric:DEGREESDescription:This requirement captures the responders' expectation to use real-time video for a variety of tasks. The responders noted that this requirement is closely tied to requirements addressing independent pan/tilt capabilities.Test Method:SEE REAL-TIME VISION SYSTEM ACUITY TEST	Test Method:	SEE REAL-TIME VISION SYSTEM ACUITY TEST
Type:SENSINGSub-Type:REAL-TIME COLOR VIDEORequirement:FIELD OF VIEWMetric:DEGREESDescription:This requirement captures the responders' expectation to use real-time video for a variety of tasks. The responders noted that this requirement is closely tied to requirements addressing independent pan/tilt capabilities.Test Method:SEE REAL-TIME VISION SYSTEM ACUITY TEST	Number:	101
Sub-Type:REAL-TIME COLOR VIDEORequirement:FIELD OF VIEWMetric:DEGREESDescription:This requirement captures the responders' expectation to use real-time video for a variety of tasks. The responders noted that this requirement is closely tied to requirements addressing independent pan/tilt capabilities.Test Method:SEE REAL-TIME VISION SYSTEM ACUITY TEST	Туре:	SENSING
Requirement:       FIELD OF VIEW         Metric:       DEGREES         Description:       This requirement captures the responders' expectation to use real-time video for a variety of tasks. The responders noted that this requirement is closely tied to requirements addressing independent pan/tilt capabilities.         Test Method:       SEE REAL-TIME VISION SYSTEM ACUITY TEST	Sub-Type:	REAL-TIME COLOR VIDEO
Metric:       DEGREES         Description:       This requirement captures the responders' expectation to use real-time video for a variety of tasks. The responders noted that this requirement is closely tied to requirements addressing independent pan/tilt capabilities.         Test Method:       SEE REAL-TIME VISION SYSTEM ACUITY TEST	Requirement:	FIELD OF VIEW
Description:This requirement captures the responders' expectation to use real-time video for a variety of tasks. The responders noted that this requirement is closely tied to requirements addressing independent pan/tilt capabilities.Test Method:SEE REAL-TIME VISION SYSTEM ACUITY TEST	Metric:	DEGREES
Test Method: SEE REAL-TIME VISION SYSTEM ACUITY TEST	Description:	video for a variety of tasks. The responders' expectation to use real-time is closely tied to requirements addressing independent pan/tilt capabilities.
	Test Method:	SEE REAL-TIME VISION SYSTEM ACUITY TEST

## DRAFT TEST METHOD: REAL-TIME VISION SYSTEM ACUITY

This test protocol (Figure 1) and results reporting sheet (Figure 2) show an example of how to test the performance of the robot's vision system. The test as shown could address requirements listed in Table 1.

3.	Chassis	Illumination	Adjustable
6.	Communications		Range: Beyond Line of Sight
7.	Communications		Security
8.	Communications		Range: Line of Sight
96.	Sensing	Real-Time Video	Real time remote video system (near)
99.	Sensing	Real-Time Video	Real time remote video system (far)
101	. Sensing	Real-Time Video	Field of View

Table 1: Requirements Addressed by Example Test



Figure 1: Set Up for Test

SYST	EM VIDEO	RESO		ITESTI	NG		DATE:		
TEST LOCATION					OCATION:				
	Communica	ommunication Mode (Circle One)					TEST LEADER:		
					ROBOT:				
	Tethered		wireless			Nobel 1			
Horizontal Distance Orientation Lights On			Lights Off						
Brotunoe		T1 Acuity	T2 Acuity	T3 Acuity	Time	T1 Acuity	T2 Acuity	T3 Acuity	Time
		/18	/18	/0.4		/18	/18	/0.4	
18m		/18	/18	/0.4		/18	/18	/0.4	
	19-18	/18	/18	/0.4		/18	/18	/0.4	
	and the second se	/18	/18	/0.4		/18	/18	/0.4	
		/9	/9	/0.4		/9	/9	/0.4	
900		/9	/9	/0.4		/9	/9	/0.4	
	1000	/9	/9	/0.4		/9	/9	/0.4	
	and the second	/9	/9	/0.4		/9	/9	/0.4	
		/3	/3	/0.4		/3	/3	/0.4	
3m		/3	/3	/0.4		/3	/3	/0.4	
	19-9	/3	/3	/0.4		/3	/3	/0.4	
	J.	/3	/3	/0.4		/3	/3	/0.4	
NO									

Figure	2:	Test	Results	Report	Sheet
--------	----	------	---------	--------	-------