Robots for Urban Search and Rescue

Performance Metrics and Standards

ASTM E54.08.01 June 27, 2007







Scope Statement

- The scope of the task group is to specify a set of performance requirements, test methods, and associated standards for robot systems used in urban search and rescue applications. Emergency responders, pertinent technology developers, and interested government officials have defined these standards to provide an objective measure of robot performance for representative urban search and rescue applications. Results from such performance tests can be considered against specific purchaser/user performance objectives for envisioned applications.
 - These standards specify a variety of performance criteria and associated test methods for urban search and rescue robots. Several representative applications of robots used in urban search and rescue have been considered in defining these test methods. These representative applications, although comprehensive, are certainly not complete.
 - The standards developed by this task group will provide a means to ensure that a robot meets the performance requirements stated. Successful completion of the tests should not be construed as an ability to successfully operate in environments other than those specifically identified in the test methods.
 - These standards do not address special applications outside the stated requirements, such as certain extreme weather conditions for example. To ensure performance for such applications, additional requirements need to be established along with associated standards.



US&R Robot Standards: The Big Picture



Working Groups within E54.08.01

- Logistics Bob McKee, FEMA Texas TF-1
- Communications Kate Remley/Galen Koepke, NIST
- Human-System Interaction Sal Schipani, NIST
- Sensing John Evans, John Evans LLC
- Mobility Bill McBride, SwRI
- Safety Mark Micire, UML and American Standard Robotics
- Operating Environment Glen Keller, Allentown Fire Department
- Power TBD
- Terminology Hui-Min Huang, NIST

Standards Process Status

- 6 Work Items introduced; 3 balloted
 - ⇒ Terminology
 - ⇒ Visual Acuity and Field of View
 - ⇒ Logistics, Cache Packaging
 - Human-System Interaction: Usability
 - Communications: Line of sight and Non-line of sight wireless
 - Mobility
- Additional ones in queue
 - Safety, Directed Perception, Grasping Dexterity, Aerial Stationkeeping

Standards Process Status

 14 test methods (some covering multiple requirements) have been exercised at least once; comments taken, refinements made

Standards Process Status

- Terminology 2nd set of terms balloted
- Logistics, Cache Packaging
 - 1 negative vote
 - -1 comment
- Visual Acuity
 - 1 negative ballot (resolved)
 - Repeatability data being collected
 - Discussion: John Evans

Disaster City Exercise June 18-22, 2007



Participating Robots

- Remington Technologies EyeBall
- Omnitech Robotics, LLC ToughBot
- Vortex LLC VRMP
- Robotic FX, Inc Negotiator
- First Response Robotics, LLC Hazardous Environment Robot Observer (HERO)
- Innovative Response Technologies, Inc. BomBot2
- iRobot Corp. PackBot Explorer
- iRobot Corp. PackBot EOD
- Mesa Robotics, Inc. Matilda
- Foster-Miller, Inc./Automatika, Inc. Dragon Runner

- Foster-Miller, Inc. TALON Hazmat
- Telerob, GmbH TeleMAX
- Segway Robotic Mobility Platform (RMP 200/INL: Autonomous Mapping)
- Segway Modular Logistics Platform (MLP 200/Hybrid: Ride-on or Teleop)
- Segway Robotic Mobility Platform (RMP 400)
- Tohoku University, Tadokoro Laboratory, Japan Active Scope Camera and Hokuyo Hi-URG 3D laser scanner
- AirRobot, GmbH AirRobot

Participating FEMA US&R Task Forces

- CA-TF1
- CO-TF1
- IN-TF1
- MA-TF1
- MD-TF1
- NE-TF1
- NY-TF1
- **PA**-**TF**1
- TX-TF1
- VA-TF1
- VA-TF2
- WA-TF1



Some commercial products are shown for illustration purposes. This does not imply endorsement by NIST.

Testing the Test Methods



General Feedback on Tests

- Note in detail the configuration of the robots at test time
 - For example, there was discussion regarding a robot's ability to bring and deploy equipment to cross gaps. The consensus was that if the robot carried and deployed a "kit" it should be okay, but would need to be asterisked in the data that it requires the optional "kit."
 - We need to capture all the options used in the robot spec sheets.
 - In general, configuration of robot during test must be noted. For example, whether it is carrying fiber spool, which could affect ramp or other test performance.





Developing

Standard Test Methods For Response Robots Version: 2007.4



| VISUAL AC | UITY and | d FIELD OF | |
|-------------------|---------------|------------------|-------------|
| ROBOT: | | Т | ETHER RADIO |
| OPERATOR: | | ORG: | |
| TRAINING TIME: | 0-24 HRS | 24-100 HRS | > 100 HRS |
| | | | |
| ADMINISTRATOR: 1) | NOTE THE CAME | ERA LOCATION AND | ASSOCIATED |

ADMINISTRATION: 1) NOTE THE CAMERALOCATION AND ASSOCIATED FEATURES. 2) PLACE THE SNELLEN CHARTS AT THE PROPER DISTANCES: FAR FIELD = 6 M AND NEAR FIELD = 40 CM. 3) NOTE THE LUX LEVEL OF LIGHTED AND DARK CHARTS. 4) CIRCLE THE DECIMAL EQUIVALENT FOR THE SMALLEST CORRECT LINE READ NORMALLY AND WITH ZOOM LENS IN AMBIENT LIGHT. 6) REPEAT WITH LIGHTS OUT (ILLUMINATION <1 LUX).

FOV:____° PAN:____° TILT:____° ZOOM:____x LIGHT: Y | N VARIABLE: Y | N

FAR FIELD TEST (DISTANCE = 6.0 METERS) TEST LIGHTED CHART DARK CHART DISTANCE LUX) LUX) 6 M (20 FT) NORMAL ZOOM NORMAL ZOOM AERIAL CHART NONE NONE NONE NONE 0.07 0.07 0.07 6/90 (20/300) 0.07 6/75 (20/250) 0.08 0.08 0.08 0.08 (20/200) 0.10 6/60 0.10 0.10 0.10 6/45 (20/150) 0.13 0.13 0.13 0.13 FAR FIELD CHART (6M) 6/30 (20/100) 0.20 0.20 0.20 0.20 6/24 (20/80) 0.25 0.25 0.25 0.25 6/18 (20/60) 0.33 0.33 0.33 0.33 6/15 (20/50) 0.40 0.40 0.40 0.40 6/12 (20/40)0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 6/9 (20/30) 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 6/7.5 (20/25) 0.80 0.80 0.80 0.80 6/6 (20/20) 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 6/4.8 (20/16) 1,25 1.25 1.25 1.25 6/3.8 (20/12) 1.7 1.7 1.7 1.7 6/3.0 (20/10) 2.0 2,0 2.0 2.0 6/2.4 (20/8) 2.5 2.5 2.5 2.5 6/1.7 (20/6) 3.3 3.3 3.3 3.3 4.0 6/1.5 (20/5) 4.0 4.0 4.0 (BOTTOM NINE LINES ADJUSTED TO NEAR FIELD CHART 6/1.25 (20/4) 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 6/1.00 (20/3.3) 6.0 6.0 6.0 6.0 6/0.8 (20/2.7) 7.5 7.5 : 7.5 7.5 6/0.6 (20/2.0) 10 10 10 10 6/0.5 (20/1.7) 12 12 12 12 6/0.40 (20/1.3) 15 15 15 15 6/0.3 (20/1.1) 20 20 20 20 6/0.25 (20/.08) 24 24 24 24 6/0.20 (20/.07) 30 30 30 30

TEST LEADER

VISUAL ACUITY RATIOS NOTED MEAN: READABLE AT ACTUAL TEST DISTANCE READABLE DISTANCE WITH STANDARD VISION CIRCLE DECIMAL EQUIVALENT IN EACH COLUMN

NEAR FIELD TEST (DISTANCE = 0.40 METER)

|) | EQUI | EQUIVALENT | | D CHART | DARK | DARK CHART | |
|-----|------------|------------|-------|---------|-------|------------|--|
|) | DIST | DISTANCE | | LUX) | (| LUX) | |
| , | <u>6 M</u> | (20 FT) | NORM/ | AL ZOOM | NORMA | AL ZOOM | |
|) | NEAR FIE | LD CHART | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | |
|) | 6/120 | (20/400) | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 | |
| 5 | 6/96 | (20/320) | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.06 | |
| | 6/75 | (20/250) | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.08 | |
| | 6/60 | (20/200) | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.10 | |
| | 6/48 | (20/160) | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.12 | |
| | 6/38 | (20/125) | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.16 | |
| | 6/30 | (20/100) | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.20 | |
| 6M) | 6/24 | (20/80) | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | |
| | 6/19 | (20/63) | 0.32 | 0.32 | 0.32 | 0.32 | |
| | 6/15 | (20/50) | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.40 | |
| | 6/12 | (20/40) | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 | |
| | 6/9.5 | (20/32) | 0.63 | 0.63 | 0.63 | 0.63 | |
| | 6/7.5 | (20/25) | 0.80 | 0.80 | 0.80 | 0.80 | |
| | 6/6.0 | (20/20) | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | |
| | 6/4.8 | (20/16) | 1.25 | 1.25 | 1.25 | 1.25 | |
| | 6/3.8 | (20/12) | 1.60 | 1.60 | 1.60 | 1.60 | |
| | 6/3.0 | (20/10) | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | |
| D | ATE | | • | | N | OTES | |







Developing

Standard Test Methods For Response Robots



TEST L

Logistics - Cache Packaging

| ROBOT: | | RF | | | | |
|---|------------------------|----|--|--|--|--|
| OPERATOR: | ORG: | | | | | |
| SKILL LEVEL: Dovice | □ Intermediate □ Exper | t | | | | |
| INSTRUCTIONS: 1) Note the number and weight of each packaging container | | | | | | |

necessary for robot to deploy for 10 days, without re-supply for the first 96 hours. 2) Time the setup process until ready to go downrange. 3) Weigh the deployable robot and operator control unit. 4) Note the tools needed to perform setup and repair.

Planning for a 10 day deployment, without resupply for the first 72 hours

| Number of packages | Pelicans | kg | or | | _ Ib |
|-----------------------|-------------------|------|----|---|------|
| plus total weight for | Hardiggs | kg | or | | _ lb |
| each type of package | Ropacks | kg | or | _ | lb |
| | Pallets | kg | or | | _ lb |
| | Pallet dimension: | x mm | (| x | in) |
| | Total Weight: | kg | or | | _ lb |

| Setup Time: | | Start Time: | |
|-------------------|----------|---|--|
| | | Elapsed: minutes | |
| Down-Range Weigh | nt: | | |
| Robot: | _ kg | Operator Control Unit:kg Total:kg | |
| Robot: | _ lbs | Operator Control Unit: Ibs Total: Ibs | |
| Setup and Repairs | can be p | erformed at the base of operation | |
| Tools Needed: | | None | |
| | | Typical Toolbox: Metric or English (circle one) | |
| | | Any Specialized Tools: Describe: | |
| | | Describe: | |
| | | | |





INSTRUCTIONS: TRAVERSE THE TWO PAITERNS SHOWN WITH THE CENTER OF GRAVITY OF THE ROBOT ROUGHLY PASSING OVER EACH TARGET. ADMINISTRATOR: 1) FOR EACH ANGLE, CHECK IF COMPLETE OR NOTE THE NUMBER OF SEGMENTS COMPLETED IN ORDER. TARGETS TOUCHED OUT OF ORDER IS INCOMPLETE. 2) TIME THE SEQUENCE. 4) INCREASE THE ANGLE UNTIL INCOMPLETES REPEAT. THE PREVIOUS COMPLETE ANGLE WILL BE CONSIDERED AS THE MAXIMUM.











Version: 2007.4

Developing

Standard Test Methods For Response Robots

STAIRS



| | ROBOT: | | DTETH | DTETHER | | |
|---|----------------|----------|------------|---------|-----------|--|
| à | OPERATOR: | | ORG: | | | |
| | TRAINING TIME: | 0-24 HRS | 24-100 HRS | | > 100 HRS | |

INSTRUCTIONS: ASCEND THE STAIRS TO THE TOP, TURN AND DESCEND BACK TO THE START POINT.

ADMINISTRATOR: 1) NOTE THE AVERAGE STEP RISER AND TREAD DIMENSIONS. 2) NOTE THE WALL CONDITIONS AS SOLID OR OPEN. 3)NOTE THE NUMBER OF STAIRS AND LANDINGS (SHOWN IN GREY). 4)TIME THE SEQUENCE.









Developing

Standard Test Methods For Response Robots



STEP WITH EDGE

| HEIGHT | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | ELAPSED TIME |
|----------|---|---|---|---|---|--------------|
| 🗆 100 cm | | | | | | m:s |
| 🗆 90 cm | | | | | | m:s |
| □ 80 cm | | | | | | m:s |
| 🗆 70 cm | | | | | | m:s |
| 🗆 60 cm | | | | | | m:s |
| 🗆 50 cm | | | | | | m:s |
| 🗆 40 cm | | | | | | m:s |
| 🗆 30 cm | | | | | | m:s |
| 🗆 20 cm | | | | | | m:s |
| 🗆 10 cm | | | | | | m:s |

STEP WITH PIPE

| HEIGHT | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | ELAPSED TIME |
|-------------|---|---|---|---|---|--------------|
| 🗆 100 cm | | | | | | m:s |
| □ 90 cm | | | | | | m:s |
| □ 80 cm | | | | | | m:s |
| □ 70 cm | | | | | | m:s |
| 🗆 60 cm | | | | | | m:s |
| □ 50 cm | | | | | | m:s |
| □ 40 cm | | | | | | m:s |
| □ 30 cm | | | | | | m:s |
| 🗆 20 cm | | | | | | m:s |
| 🗆 10 cm | | | | | | m:s |
| TEST LEADER | | | | | | |

GAP WITH NO STEP

| | HEIGHT | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | ELAPSED TIME |
|--|--------|---|---|---|---|---|--------------|
| | 100 cm | | | | | | m:s |
| | 90 cm | | | | | | m:s |
| | 80 cm | | | | | | m:s |
| | 70 cm | | | | | | m:s |
| | 60 cm | | | | | | m:s |
| | 50 cm | | | | | | m:s |
| | 40 cm | | | | | | m:s |
| | 30 cm | | | | | | m:s |
| | 20 cm | | | | | | m:s |
| | 10 cm | | | | | | m:s |
| | | | | | | | |

GAP WITH 20CM STEP

| HEIGHT | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | ELAPSED TIME |
|----------|---|---|---|---|---|--------------|
| □ 100 cm | | | | | | m:s |
| □ 90 cm | | | | | | m:s |
| □ 80 cm | | | | | | m:s |
| □ 70 cm | | | | | | m:s |
| □ 60 cm | | | | | | m:s |
| □ 50 cm | | | | | | m:s |
| □ 40 cm | | | | | | m:s |
| □ 30 cm | | | | | | m:s |
| □ 20 cm | | | | | | m:s |
| □ 10 cm | | | | | | m:s |
| | | | | | | NOTES |

Version: 2007.4

STEP/GAP

| ROBOT: | | DTETHER DRADI | | | | |
|----------------|----------|---------------|------|---------|--|--|
| OPERATOR: | | ORG: | | | | |
| TRAINING TIME: | 0-24 HRS | 24-100 HRS | □> ' | 100 HRS | | |

INSTRUCTIONS: TRAVERSE THE OBSTACLE AND RETURN TO START POINT (ONE REPETITION). REPEAT FIVE TIMES CONTINUOUSLY. ADMINISTRATOR: 1) FOR EACH OBSTACLE, INCREASE OBSTACLE UNTIL UNSUCCESSFUL IN ONE OF FIVE REPETITIONS. CIRCLE THE MAXIMUM OBSTACLE DIMENSION WITH FIVE CONTINUOUS REPETITIONS. 2) NOTE THE ELAPSED TIME FOR FIVE CONTINUOUS TRAVERSES.







Developing

Standard Test Methods For Response Robots



PITCH/ROLL RAMPS

| START TIME: | |
|----------------|-----|
| END TIME: | |
| ELAPSED TIME: | m:s |
| TOTAL PALLETS: | |





FULL CUBIC (RED) STEPFIELDS





Version: 2007.4

MOBILITY/ENDURANCE

| ROBOT: | | | ER | RADIO |
|---------------|----------|------------|----|--------------|
| OPERATOR: | | ORG: | | |
| RAINING TIME: | 0-24 HRS | 24-100 HRS | | > 100 HRS |

INSTRUCTIONS: TRAVERSE THE FIGURE-8 WITHOUT BUMPING THE WALLS FOR ONE COMPLETE BATTERY CYCLE. REPAIRS ARE ALLOWED BUT MUST BE DONE IN PLACE TO CONTINUE TEST.

ADMINISTRATOR: 1) COUNT THE NUMBER OF LAPS (16 PALLETS PER LAP). 2) NOTE THE ELAPSED TIME. THE CLOCK SHOULD STOP FOR SWITCHING OF OPERATORS AND REPAIRS. 3) NOTE THE NUMBER OF REPAIRS, TYPE OF REPAIRS, AND TOOLS USED.







6 8 6

Developing

Standard Test Methods For Response Robots

Version: 2007.4



GRASPING DEXTERITY

| ROBOT: | | | | □RADIO | | |
|--------|----------------|------------|------------|--------|-----------|--|
| | OPERATOR: | | ORG: | | | |
| | TRAINING TIME: | □ 0-24 HRS | 24-100 HRS | | > 100 HRS | |

INSTRUCTIONS: 1) TRACE GROUND TERRAIN AND STACK HEIGHTS. 2) TIME SEQUENCE TO REMOVE AS MANY BLOCKS FROM EACH LEVEL AS POSSIBLE (DROPPING BLOCKS ONTO FLOOR IS OKAY). 3) TRACE WHICH ANGLED BLOCKS ("B") AND CENTERED OBJECTS ("O") ARE REMOVED. 4) NOTE ELAPSED TIME.



BLOCKS REMOVED BY LEVEL (CENTER)







Developing

Standard Test Methods For Response Robots

| | the MAZE (Traverse and Search) |
|---------------------------------------|--|
| | Operator: |
| | |
| | Course Time: |
| MAZE Configuration # 2 Date | ☐ <i>Time</i> Decision_point <i>A</i> Correct Exit?: "Y" "N" ☐ <i>Time</i> Decision point <i>B</i> ☐ <i>Time</i> Decision point <i>F</i> ☐ <i>Time</i> Decision point <i>G</i> |
| Run | Correct Exit?: "Y" "N" Correct Exit?: "Y" "N" ☐ <i>Time</i> Decision point C ☐ <i>Time</i> Decision point H |
| Forward Reverse | Correct Exit?: "Y" "N" Correct Exit?: "Y" "N" ⊂ <i>Time</i> Decision point <i>D</i> |
| | © Correct Exit?: "Y" "N" © Correct Exit?: "Y" "N" |
| □ <u>Hits</u> = | Correct Exit?: "Y" "N" |
| □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ | \square |
| 1 - 8 - 14 - 19 | |
| 2 - 10 - 12 - 17 | $\mathbf{N} = \mathbf{N} + $ |
| 3 - 6 - 15 - 18 - 21 | 21 13 Point "A" |
| OXIDIZER 4 - 9 - 11 - 16 Point "B | |
| 5 - 7 - 13 - 20 | |
| MMENTS: | |
| 8 | $\textcircled{\bullet} \land \land \land \land \land \lor$ |
| PROCTOR: | |

- First maze experiment (Montgomery County exercise) addressed the 'theory' of using a maze as a test of telerobotic navigation, currently in press (see "Discussion" section on following page).
- Second experiment (Texas) addressed test validity (whether the resultant data answered research questions posed), and reliability (whether experimental results may be replicated).
- Measured during current exercise (i.e., Dependent Variables):
- TIME: Completion of maze, forward and reverse (two iterations each);
- TIME- SITUATION AWARENESS {decision making time}: Movement dwells, measured when encountering and negotiating methods out of designated isolation points (i.e., navigation 'traps', noted as circled letters on data collection form);
- ERRORS: Correct or incorrect direction of travel throughout (after having been given cue to use as 'mental model' for correct direction of traverse);
- ERRORS: Correct vs. incorrect direction of travel upon exiting 'traps';
- ERRORS: Erroneous wall encounters, causing interruption in direction of traverse, designated as "hits" on data collection form;
- DETECTION: Percentages of hazard warning label 'targets' located, and correctly recognized, noted as numbers on data collection form;
- DEMOGRAPHICS: Level of prior experience with robot manipulation, computer gaming, general office computer usage, age, education, current physical conditioning and alertness, and confidence in







Developing Standard Test Methods For Response Robots

OPERATOR:

Version: 2007.4



RADIO COMMS (LINE-OF-SIGHT)

| ROBOT: | DTETHER | RADIO |
|--------|-------------|--------------|

ORG:

TRAINING TIME: 0-24 HRS 24-100 HRS > 100 HRS

INSTRUCTIONS: WHILE TRAVERSING THE PATH SHOWN, STOP AND READ THE SMALLEST COMPLETE LINE ON THE VISUAL ACUITY TARGETS UNTIL PERFORMANCE DEGRADES TO UNUSABLE. THEN RETURN READING ALL THE SAME TARGETS IN REVERSE ORDER. ANTENNA HEIGHT < 2 METERS.

ADMINISTRATOR: 1) NOTE ALL RADIO INFORMATION. 2) NOTE THE DISTANCES FROM THE START POINT TO EACH EQUALLY SPACED TARGET. 3) NOTE THE TIME ON TARGET TO POINT TO AND READ THE SMALLEST CORRECT LINE. 4) CIRCLE LAST LINE MARKER IF FARTHEST RANGE IS BETWEEN TARGETS.

Add checkboxes: •For antenna type •Signal type

Remove tether checkbox

Add slalom to catch latency issues in control channel





Add checkboxes: •For antenna type •Signal type

Remove tether checkbox

3) NOTE THE TIME ON TARGET TO POINT TO AND READ THE SMALLEST CORRECT LINE. 4) CIRCLE LAST LINE MARKER IF FARTHEST RANGE IS (decimal) (decimal) (decimal) 4TH TARGET: meters Content: ARRIVAL TIME: m:s MHz W TIME ON TARGET m:s cm antenna height SMALLEST ACUITY: (decimal) Content: MHz W **5TH TARGET:** meters cm antenna height ARRIVAL TIME: m:s TIME ON TARGET m:s SMALLEST ACUITY: (decimal) 7 DATE NOTES TEST LEADER



AERIAL - VTOL STATION KEEPING

| | | TETHER RADIO |
|----------|------------|---------------------|
| | | |
| 0-24 HRS | 24-100 HRS | > 100 HRS |
| | | |
| | 0-24 HRS | 0-24 HRS 24-100 HRS |

INSTRUCTIONS: TRAVERSE THE PATH SHOWN AND STATION KEEP IN FRON T OF EACH WINDOW TO READ FLUSH MOUNTED AND RECESSED VISUAL ACUITY CHARTS.

ADMINISTRATOR: 1) START WITH THE OPERATOR IN THE YELLOW CIRCLE SHOWN AND DO NOT LET THE HELO PASS THAT LINE UNTIL TOTAL CONTROL IS DEMONSTRATED. 2) FOLLOW THE PATHS SHOWN WITH THE OPERATOR LOCATIONS NOTED. 3) NOTE THE SMALLEST COMPLETE LINE.









Requirements Addressed in Wave 1

| Requirement #* | Requirement |
|----------------|---|
| | |
| 38 | Logistics-Cache Packaging-Volume |
| 34 | Logistics-Cache Packaging-Weight |
| 36 | Logistics-Cache Packaging-Setup Time |
| 96 | Sensing-Vision System-Acuity, Near |
| 99 | Sensing-Vision System-Acuity, Far |
| 101 | Sensing-Vision System-Field of View |
| 14 | Human-System Interaction - Acceptable Usability |
| 3 | Chassis - Adjustable Illumination |
| 6 | Communications-Range NLOS |
| 8 | Communications-Range LOS |

* References original requirements in Preliminary Report. See http:// www.isd.mel.nist.gov/US&R_Robot_Standards

Requirements Addressed in Wave 1

| Requirement # * | Requirement |
|-----------------|--|
| | |
| 59 | Payload-Manipulation |
| 65 | Payload-Retrieval |
| 60 | Payload-Manipulation-Sensor Manipulation |
| 45-47 | Mobility-Locomotion-sustained speed |
| 44 | Mobility-Aerial-Stationkeeping |
| new | Mobility-Vertical Climbing |
| new | Mobility-Locomotion-Random Step Fields |
| new | Mobility-Stair Climbing |
| new | Mobility-Ramps |
| new | Mobility-Confined Space Access |
| new | Sensing-Vision System-Acuity, Aerial |

⁺ References original requirements in Preliminary Report. See http://www.isd.mel.nist.gov/US&R_Robot_Standards DHS Standard Test Methods for Performance and Use of US&R Robots For More Information

Project Web Site:

http://www.isd.mel.nist.gov/US&R_Robot_Standards

Email

usar.robots@nist.gov