# **CLWG Closing Plenary**



**Breakout Summaries** 

Deep Convection

Cirrus / High Cloud

Low Clouds and Boundary Layer

Instrument or Data Product Focus Groups



#### **Focus Group Discussion**

What do you think about the general concept?

Number of FGs? How much can we realistically cover at once?

Size of FGs? Sufficient participation vs. Unmanageable

Scope of the focus issues? Is a ~5 yr time horizon reasonable?

Developing Focus Groups will take some time



#### **Instrument Priorities**

Future of the SWACR? Suggested deployment vertically at Darwin.

Scanning Strategies? Need a small group to produce initial plan for each site. Need to establish a means for deciding on future strategies Vollunteers?

Others?



# **VAP Discussion and Prioritization**

What is a Value Added Product?

 Geophysical parameters that are not directly measured but can be derived from measurements

• Corrections or improvements to some basic measurements

- Methods are impractical or inefficient for individual PI application
- Provides benefit to a broader audience (not single PIs)

Dave Turner's discussion in CAPI meeting was a great summary



# **The VAP Prioritization Issue**

- Different prioritization dependent upon WG or user
- Value to DOE and outside community
- Who is the user community?
- Routine vs. periodic implementation
- Time, space, and other "resolutions". Could be multiple targets
- Different labs involved and have identified their "turf"
- Historical inertia
- Some measurement streams require a VAP
- VAP interdependencies
- VAPs cannot be produced w/o underlying algorithms/methods
- Limited resources.... Often a zero sum situation
- Variable time invested by science and infrastructure
- Development vs. implementation imbalance

Clearly this is complicated!



#### **Current VAP Situation**

Consult the web.....http://www.arm.gov/data/vaps

Current "Cloud" VAPs **AERIPROF** ARSCL BAEBBR **BEFLUX/QCRAD** MFRSRCLDOD MPLAVG/MPLCBH **MWRAVG/MWRRET RLPROOF RWPTEMP** 

Current Eval Products BBHRP CLDCLASS MERGESONDE MICROARSCL MPLCOD VARANAL WACRARSCL



### **Geophysical Parameters Approach**

•WG leadership developed a list of geophysical parameters that might be useful to understand about the atmospheric system.

•Each group prioritized the value of these parameters relative to individual WG perspectives.

•These prioritizations were then compared to priorities from other WGs and existing VAP activities

•Identified high priority areas for new VAP activities



# **Our High Ranking Geophysical Parameters**

#### **Highest Ranking**

Pressure Temperature Water vapor m.r. Latent heat flux (sfc) Sensible heat flux (sfc) LWP and LWC IWP and IWC <u>Re (liquid)</u> Deg (ice) **Cloud** location Cloud fraction profile

High Ranking (but not as high) Horiz. wind speed & dir. Advect. Tend of T and q Large scale pressure vert. vel. Ice crystal concentration Cloud phase Precip rate at surface Broadband SW & LW flux at sfc. Vertical velocity Cloud optical depth Drizzle rate Liquid drop concentration IN concentration SW & LW heating rate profiles

ASR Atmospheric System Research

#### **New Potential VAP Priorities**

# **CLWG Priorities**

•Rain/Precipitation Rate

•Drizzle characterization

Vertical velocity profiles

Cloud type classification

<u>CAPI Priorities</u> (that will also serve our needs)

•Add 90 GHz channel to MWRRET

•Droplet number concentration

•Boundary layer height

Assr Atmospheric System Research

#### **VAP Discussion**

Are these proposed VAP priorities appropriate?

Are there other VAPs / products that are desired and what is their priority?



# **Meeting Design Feedback**

**General Impressions?** 

Balance of talks vs. discussion

Enough time for organizational activities

Preferred approach:

- \* Serial WG meetings (as this time)
- \* Parallel meetings at the same time/location
- \* 3 distinct WG meetings, different times/locations
- \* 2 WG meetings, CAPI alternates with ALWG and CLWG

