Shallow to Deep Convective Transition of the MJO - Perspectives from Observations

Chidong Zhang RSMAS, University of Miami

Issues:

- Transition of cloud types vs. cloud population distribution
- Asymmetry in shallow to deep to shallow transitions
- Convective self-sustainment (moisture feedback) vs. large-scale forcing
- Sources of moisture: convective detrainment, local surface evaporation, large-scale advection and convergence
- Effects of moisture vs. other factors: vertical wind shear, temperature perturbations





Transition of Cloud Types vs. Cloud Population Distribution







FIG. 5. (a) Total horizontal pixel cover and (b) normalized horizontal pixel cover percentage for each EO type per MJO pinwheel phase. (c) Absolute difference between the phase with maximum (max) horizontal pixel cover and the phase with minimum (min) horizontal pixel cover for each EO type. The phase with the max horizontal pixels and min horizontal pixels per EO type is as follows: narrow deep precipitating (ndp) max phase is 4 and min phase is 7; wide deep precipitating (wdp) max phase is 5 and min phase is 1; anvil (an) max phase is 6 and min phase is 3; cirrus (ci) max phase is 6 and min phase is 1; cumulus congestus (cg) max phase is 5 and min phase is 8; altocumulus (ac) max phase is 5 and min phase is 2; stratocumulus (sc) max phase is 6 and min phase is 4; and cumulus (cu) max phase is 6 and min phase is 4. (d) The fractional increase from the minimum horizontal pixels to the maximum horizontal pixels for each EO type. Riley et al. (2011)



Cloud Distributions through MJO Phases as Observed by KAZR at Manus



Illustration of S-Polka scanning. Colors are S-band reflectivity at the top PPI and RHIs.



KAZR

SMART-R

S-PolKa



Asymmetry in Shallow – Deep - Shallow Transitions

FIG. 1. MJO composite vertical profile of cloud frequency of occurrence vs lag relative to the peak for all 10 events in the domain in GEOPROF-lidar data. (top) Absolute occurrence frequencies and (bottom) anomaly relative to the longitudinal mean at each altitude.

Del Genio et al. (2012)



FIG. 5. Joint PDF of Tb and echo-top height over equatorial western Pacific: (a) mean state of the eight MJO phases and (b)–(i) difference between JPDF of each of phases 1-8 (P1–P8) and the mean state. Positive values are color shaded and negative values are in clear contours. The unit for the mean state is in 0.01% of the total occurrence counts. For P1–P8, the unit is number of counts.

Lau and Wu (2010)







Riley et al. (2011)

Moisture Feedback





Kikuchi and Takayabu (2004)





FIG. 4. Joint pdf of relative occurrence frequency (on a logarithmic scale) of convective cloud-top height and column water vapor for the MJO shallow–deep transition region (defined as lag -14 to lag -10 days). (top) Individual points in the pdf represent the 5°N–10°S mean convective cloud-top height and mean column water vapor for a given satellite pass through the region. (bottom) Individual points in the pdf represent single-satellite footprints containing a convective cloud.

Del Genio et al. (2012)



RH, U and precipitating cloud fraction of MJO at Gan (Day 0: Maximum column precipitable water)

Courtesy of M. Deng



Manus KAZR

Manus RH





Manus: Deviations from the Mean PDF







Courtesy of R. Johnson



Courtesy of S. Powell









Scientific Questions :

- What is the cloud population distribution in a given large-scale environment and how does it vary when its large-scale environment changes?
- How different are the factors governing a shallow to deep convective transition from those governing a deep to shallow transition?
- To what extent a shallow to deep convective transition can be managed by convective clouds themselves (self-sustainment), and to what extent external large-scale influences are needed? What are those external large-scale influences?
- What are other essential factors for transitions of cloud population distributions in addition to moisture?
- Shallow-deep convective transition = isolated-organized convective transition?







Fig. 11. Time-height sections of the composite rain top height (RTH) anomaly averaged from 10°N to 10°S. Left panels, (a) and (c) are those for over ocean, and those on the right, (b) and (d) are for over land. Also, top panels, (a) and (b) are for the convective rain and bottom, (c) and (d) are for the stratiform rain. Positive (negative) values are depicted with dark (light) shades and solid (dotted) contours. The contour interval is 0.2% and zero contours are suppressed. (Morita et al. 2006)



Moisture Feedback



FIG. 4. Joint pdf of relative occurrence frequency (on a logarithmic scale) of convective cloud-top height and column water vapor for the MJO shallow–deep transition region (defined as lag -14 to lag -10 days). (top) Individual points in the pdf represent the 5°N–10°S mean convective cloud-top height and mean column water vapor for a given satellite pass through the region. (bottom) Individual points in the pdf represent single-satellite footprints containing a convective cloud.

Del Genio et al. (2012)



R. Johnson



