APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION

A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): March 1, 2012

B. DISTRICT OFFICE, FILI	E NAME.	AND N	UMBER:
--------------------------	---------	-------	--------

Denver Regulatory Office Compark Development 199780436

C	DDOIECT I	OCATION	ANDDA	CKCDOLIND	INFORMATION:
().	PROJECTI	JUCA LIUN		(K(+K() N)	INHURWALION

State: Co County/parish/borough: Douglas City: Parker
Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 39.554033 N; Long. -104.824038 W
Name of nearest waterbody: Happy Canyon Creek
Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) into which the aquatic resource flows: N/A
Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): 10190003

Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.

Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc...) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.

D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

Office (Desk) Determination. Date: February 16, 2012
Field Determination. Date(s): December 15, 2011

SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There Are no "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area. [Required]

Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.

Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce.

B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There Are no "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area. [Required]

1. Waters of the U.S.

a.	Indica	te presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply): 1
		TNWs, including territorial seas
		Wetlands adjacent to TNWs
		Relatively permanent waters ² (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
		Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
		Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
		Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
		Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
		Impoundments of jurisdictional waters
		Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands

b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area:

Non-wetland waters: linear feet: width (ft) and/or acres. Wetlands: acres.

c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction based on: Pick List

Elevation of established OHWM (if known):

2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable):³

Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain: This wetland is at the upper end of a drainage approximately 8,600 feet up-gradient from Happy Canyon Creek. The soil along the reach of this drainage is sandy and porus, which allows most normal flows to dissapate into the ground before reaching Happy Canyon Creek. Sporadic wetlands exist down-gradient, associated with culverts and grade control structures. No channel or tributary OHWM features exist down-gradient. 3,500 feet of upland swale, with no wetland inclusions, exists up-gradient of Happy Canyon Creek.

¹ Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.

² For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).

Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS

B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):

This section summarizes information regarding characteristics of the tributary and its adjacent wetlands, if any, and it helps determine whether or not the standards for jurisdiction established under *Rapanos* have been met.

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over non-navigable tributaries of TNWs where the tributaries are "relatively permanent waters" (RPWs), i.e. tributaries that typically flow year-round or have continuous flow at least seasonally (e.g., typically 3 months). A wetland that directly abuts an RPW is also jurisdictional. If the aquatic resource is not a TNW, but has year-round (perennial) flow, skip to Section III.D.2. If the aquatic resource is a wetland directly abutting a tributary with perennial flow, skip to Section III.D.4.

A wetland that is adjacent to but that does not directly abut an RPW requires a significant nexus evaluation. Corps districts and EPA regions will include in the record any available information that documents the existence of a significant nexus between a relatively permanent tributary that is not perennial (and its adjacent wetlands if any) and a traditional navigable water, even though a significant nexus finding is not required as a matter of law.

If the waterbody⁴ is not an RPW, or a wetland directly abutting an RPW, a JD will require additional data to determine if the waterbody has a significant nexus with a TNW. If the tributary has adjacent wetlands, the significant nexus evaluation must consider the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands. This significant nexus evaluation that combines, for analytical purposes, the tributary and all of its adjacent wetlands is used whether the review area identified in the JD request is the tributary, or its adjacent wetlands, or both. If the JD covers a tributary with adjacent wetlands, complete Section III.B.1 for the tributary, Section III.B.2 for any onsite wetlands, and Section III.B.3 for all wetlands adjacent to that tributary, both onsite and offsite.

1. Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

(i)	Wat Drai Ave	neral Area Conditions: tershed size: 1815 square miles tinage area: 2 square miles trage annual rainfall: 14 inches trage annual snowfall: 40 inches
(ii)		rsical Characteristics: Relationship with TNW: ☐ Tributary flows directly into TNW. ☐ Tributary flows through 0 tributaries before entering TNW.
		Project waters are 5-10 river miles from TNW. Project waters are 2-5 river miles from RPW. Project waters are 5-10 aerial (straight) miles from TNW. Project waters are 2-5 aerial (straight) miles from RPW. Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain:
		Identify flow route to TNW ⁵ : There is no continuous flow route to a TNW. As such, this drainage/swale is not considered a tributary. The soil along the reach of this drainage is sandy and porus, which allows most normal flows to dissapate into the ground before reaching Happy Canyon Creek. No channel or tributary OHWM features exist downgradient. 3,500 feet of upland swale, with no wetland inclusions, exists up-gradient of Happy Canyon Creek.
		Tributary stream order, if known: .
	(b)	General Tributary Characteristics (check all that apply): This drainage/swale displays no OHWM physical characteristics Tributary is: Natural Artificial (man-made). Explain: Manipulated (man-altered). Explain:
		Tributary properties with respect to top of bank (estimate): Average width: feet Average depth: 1 feet Average side slopes: 3:1.

⁴ Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid West.

⁵ Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW.

		Primary tributary substrate composition (check all that apply): Silts Sands Concrete Cobbles Gravel Muck Bedrock Vegetation. Type/% cover: Other. Explain:
		Tributary condition/stability [e.g., highly eroding, sloughing banks]. Explain: stable. Presence of run/riffle/pool complexes. Explain: Tributary geometry: Meandering Tributary gradient (approximate average slope): 0.5 %
	(c)	Flow: Tributary provides for: Ephemeral flow Estimate average number of flow events in review area/year: Unknown Describe flow regime: There is no continuous flow route to a TNW. As such, this drainage/swale is not considered a tributary. The soil along the reach of this drainage is sandy and porus, which allows most normal flows to dissapate into the ground before reaching Happy Canyon Creek. No channel or tributary OHWM features exist down-gradient. 3,500 feet of upland swale, with no wetland inclusions, exists up-gradient of Happy Canyon Creek.
		Other information on duration and volume: Flows from the project site would reach Happy Canyon Creek only during a 5 – 10 flow year event.
		Surface flow is: Overland sheetflow. Characteristics: The soil along the reach of this drainage is sandy and porus, which allows most normal flows to dissapate into the ground before reaching Happy Canyon Creek.
		Subsurface flow: Unknown. Explain findings: Dye (or other) test performed:
		Tributary has (check all that apply): This drainage/swale displays no OHWM physical characteristics Bed and banks OHWM ⁶ (check all indicators that apply): clear, natural line impressed on the bank changes in the character of soil destruction of terrestrial vegetation the presence of wrack line vegetation matted down, bent, or absent sediment sorting leaf litter disturbed or washed away scour sediment deposition multiple observed or predicted flow events water staining abrupt change in plant community other (list): wetland only Discontinuous OHWM. Explain:
		If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction (check all that apply): High Tide Line indicated by:
(iii)	Cha	emical Characteristics: aracterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.). Explain: There is no continuous flow route to a TNW. As such, this drainage/swale is not considered a tributary. The soil along the reach of this drainage is sandy and porus, which allows most normal flows to dissapate into the ground before reaching Happy Canyon Creek. No channel or tributary OHWM features exist down-gradient. 3,500 feet of upland swale, with no wetland inclusions, exists up-gradient of Happy Canyon Creek. https://doi.org/10.1001/10.10
(iv)	Bio	logical Characteristics. Channel supports (check all that apply): Riparian corridor. Characteristics (type, average width): upland grass and weeds Wetland fringe. Characteristics:

⁶A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody's flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break.

⁷Ibid.

			Habitat for: Federally Listed species. Explain findings: Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings: Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings: Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings: wetland habitat for wildlife adapted to life on the high plains. Corridor generally has upland vegetation throughout the upland swales, supporting natural high plains wildlife and birds.
2.	Cha	aract	eristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW
	(i)		Sical Characteristics: General Wetland Characteristics: Properties: Wetland size: 1.36 acres Wetland type. Explain: PEM. Wetland quality. Explain: Poor. Project wetlands cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain:
		(b)	General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW: Flow is: No Flow. Explain: There is no continuous flow route to a TNW. As such, this drainage/swale is not considered a tributary. The soil along the reach of this drainage is sandy and porus, which allows most normal flows to dissapate into the ground before reaching Happy Canyon Creek. No channel or tributary OHWM features exist down-gradient. 3,500 feet of upland swale, with no wetland inclusions, exists up-gradient of Happy Canyon Creek
			Surface flow is: Overland sheetflow Characteristics: There is no continuous flow route to a TNW. As such, this drainage/swale is not considered a tributary. The soil along the reach of this drainage is sandy and porus, which allows most normal flows to dissapate into the ground before reaching Happy Canyon Creek. No channel or tributary OHWM features exist down-gradient. 3,500 feet of upland swale, with no wetland inclusions, exists up-gradient of Happy Canyon Creek.
			Subsurface flow: Unknown. Explain findings: Dye (or other) test performed:
		(c)	Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW: This wetland is at the upper end of a drainage approximately 8,600 feet up-gradient from Happy Canyon Creek. The soil along the reach of this drainage is sandy and porus, which allows most normal flows to dissapate into the ground before reaching Happy Canyon Creek. Sporadic wetlands exist downgradient, associated with culverts and grade control structures. No channel or tributary OHWM features exist downgradient. 3,500 feet of upland swale, with no wetland inclusions, exists up-gradient of Happy Canyon Creek. These wetlands are not adjacent to any tributary. Directly abutting Not directly abutting Discrete wetland hydrologic connection. Explain: Ecological connection. Explain: Separated by berm/barrier. Explain:
		(d)	Proximity (Relationship) to TNW Project wetlands are 5-10 river miles from TNW. Project waters are 5-10 aerial (straight) miles from TNW. Flow is from: No Flow. Estimate approximate location of wetland as within the Pick List floodplain.
	(ii)	Cha	emical Characteristics: aracterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed characteristics; etc.). Explain: artify specific pollutants, if known:
	(iii)	Biol	logical Characteristics. Wetland supports (check all that apply): Riparian buffer. Characteristics (type, average width): Vegetation type/percent cover. Explain: Habitat for: Federally Listed species. Explain findings: Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings: Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings: Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings:

3. Characteristics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any) All wetland(s) being considered in the cumulative analysis: 2

Approximately (1.36) acres in total are being considered in the cumulative analysis.

For each wetland, specify the following:

Directly abuts? (Y/N)	Size (in acres)	Directly abuts? (Y/N)	Size (in acres)
Wetland 1	1.10		
Wetland 2	0.26		

Summarize overall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed: The biological function provides habitat for micro and macro invertebrates including annelids, arthropods, arachnids and amphibians, which may be a food source for birds, rodents, small carnivorous mammals and reptiles. The vegetation may provide cover and a food source for rabbits and certain birds and other wildlife associated with the high plains. Chemical function is most likely insignificant given that flows from these wetlands would rarely, if ever, reach a downstream TNW.

C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Draw connections between the features documented and the effects on the TNW, as identified in the *Rapanos* Guidance and discussed in the Instructional Guidebook. Factors to consider include, for example:

- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to carry pollutants or flood waters to TNWs, or to reduce the amount of pollutants or flood waters reaching a TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), provide habitat and lifecycle support functions for fish and other species, such as feeding, nesting, spawning, or rearing young for species that are present in the TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to transfer nutrients and organic carbon that support downstream foodwebs?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have other relationships to the physical, chemical, or biological integrity of the TNW?

Note: the above list of considerations is not inclusive and other functions observed or known to occur should be documented below:

Findings of absence of significant nexus.

Drainage (locally called Green Acres Tributary):

This wetland is at the upper end of a drainage approximately 8,600 feet up-gradient from Happy Canyon Creek. The soil along the reach of this drainage is sandy and porus, which allows most normal flows to dissapate into the ground before reaching Happy Canyon Creek. Sporadic wetlands exist down-gradient, associated with culverts and grade control structures at E-470, Compark Blvd, and South Chambers Road. No channel or tributary OHWM features exist down-gradient. 3,500 feet of upland swale, with no wetland inclusions, exists up-gradient of Happy Canyon Creek.

During a January 9, 2012 discussion with the Town of Parker's Engineering Manager, it was determined the flows from the project site would reach Happy Canyon Creek only during a 5-10 flow year event. He stated that he has driven over Jordon Road at the intersection of this drainage and Happy Canyon Creek for several years and has never seen water flowing from this drainage into Happy Canyon Creek.

Wetlands at the project site are approximately 8,600 feet up-gradient of Happy Canyon Creek. From this intersection, Happy Canyon Creek, and ephemeral non-RPW, flows for approximately 2,800 feet to its confluence with Cherry Creek, an RPW. From this confluence, Cherry Creek flows for approximately 5.45 miles to Cherry Creek Reservoir, a TNW.

The entire Cherry Creek drainage including East and West Cherry Creek is 400 square miles. The drainage comprises less than 0.001% of the total Cherry Creek watershed, which includes Cherry Creek Reservoir.

The composition of both the drainage basin and stream substrates is highly porous alluvial sand and gravel, and both rainfall and any accumulated flows quickly disappear into the ground. Only during less-frequent, high-precipitation storms would flows gather and negotiate through the broad upland swale to reach Happy Canyon Creek. Predominantly upland characteristics, along with the absence of normal aquatic resource characteristics at the lower end of the drainage help demonstrate that surficial flows to Happy Canyon Creek are rare. There are not even signs of high flows, such as drift deposits, reaching Happy Canyon Creek. Based on topography of the land and physical evidence it appears unlikely that water in this drainage flows to Happy Canyon Creek, the downstream tributary, on any routine basis.

The hydrologic nexus to the Cherry Creek Reservoir is so minimal as to be insubstantial. There is also no evidence of a significnat biological or ecological nexus, such as ESA habitat or aquatic life movement.

F.

F.		N-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements. Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce. Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based solely on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR). Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain: See Section C Other: (explain, if not covered above):
	fact	vide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the <u>sole</u> potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR ors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional gment (check all that apply): Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): Lakes/ponds: acres. Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: . Wetlands: acres.
		vide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such adding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply): Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet, width (ft). Lakes/ponds: acres. Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: . Wetlands: acres.
	UP	N IV: DATA SOURCES. PORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked requested, appropriately reference sources below):
		Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: Smith Environmental Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant. Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report. Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report. Data sheets prepared by the Corps: Corps navigable waters' study: U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: USGS NHD data. USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps. U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: 1:24000, Parker USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: State/Local wetland inventory map(s): FEMA/FIRM maps: 100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929) Photographs: Aerial (Name & Date): or Other (Name & Date): Project site
Pro		Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter: Applicable/supporting case law: Rapanos and Carabell cases. Applicable/supporting scientific literature: Other information (please specify): t area map



