

TABLE OF CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY S-1

1. PURPOSE AND NEED 1-1

 1.1 Study Area 1-1

 1.2 History of Fort Belvoir Access 1-2

 1.3 Study History 1-2

 1.4 Transportation Need and Deficiency 1-3

 1.5 Project Relationship to State, Regional, and Local Plans 1-3

 1.6 Project Purpose 1-4

2. ALTERNATIVES 2-1

 2.1 Alternatives Development Process 2-1

 2.1.1 USACE Screening 2-1

 2.1.2 NEPA Scoping 2-1

 2.1.3 FHWA Screening of USACE Alternatives 2-2

 2.1.4 Facility Size 2-3

 2.1.5 Early Concepts - Old Mill Road 2-3

 2.2 Other Transportation Alternatives Considered but Rejected 2-4

 2.2.1 Transit 2-4

 2.2.2 Transportation System Management (TSM) 2-4

 2.2.3 Transportation Demand Management (TDM) 2-4

 2.3 Alternatives Carried Forward – No Build Alternate 2-4

 2.4 Alternatives Carried Forward – Build Alternate 4CR 2-5

 2.4.1 Typical Section 2-5

 2.4.2 Termini Considerations 2-6

 2.4.3 Costs 2-7

3. AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT 3-1

 3.1 Land Use, Plans, Aesthetics and Coastal Zone Management 3-1

 3.1.1 Existing Land Use 3-1

 3.1.1.1 Institutional Land Use - Fort Belvoir and HEC 3-1

 3.1.1.2 Residential 3-2

 3.1.1.3 Commercial 3-2

 3.1.1.4 Open Space/Undeveloped Areas 3-3

 3.1.1.5 Prime and Unique Farmland 3-3

 3.1.2 Comprehensive and Master Plans 3-3

 3.1.2.1 Fort Belvoir and HEC 3-3

 3.1.2.2 Fairfax County 3-4

 3.1.3 Zoning 3-5

 3.1.4 Force Protection 3-5

 3.1.5 Visual Environment 3-6

 3.1.5.1 Views to the Road 3-6

 3.1.5.2 Views from the Road 3-6

 3.1.6 Coastal Zone Management 3-7

 3.2 Natural Resources 3-8

 3.2.1 Physiography 3-8

 3.2.1.1 Topography 3-9

 3.2.1.2 Geology and Soils 3-9

 3.2.2 Water Resources 3-9

 3.2.2.1 Groundwater 3-10

 3.2.2.2 Surface Water 3-10

3.2.2.3	Water Quality	3-11
3.2.3	Floodplains	3-12
3.2.4	Natural Environmentally Sensitive Areas	3-12
3.2.4.1	Forest and Wildlife Corridor	3-12
3.2.4.2	Chesapeake Bay Preservation Areas	3-14
3.2.4.3	Jackson Miles Abbott Wetland Refuge	3-15
3.2.4.4	Huntley Meadows Park	3-15
3.2.5	Wetlands	3-16
3.2.5.1	Study Area Wetland Features	3-16
3.2.6	Vegetation and Wildlife Habitats	3-17
3.2.6.1	Aquatic Habitat	3-18
3.2.6.2	Terrestrial Habitat	3-18
3.2.6.3	Wildlife	3-19
3.2.6.4	Threatened and Endangered Species	3-19
3.3	Cultural Resources	3-21
3.3.1	Methodology	3-21
3.3.2	Historic Resources	3-21
3.3.3	Archaeological Resources	3-23
3.4	Hazardous Substances	3-24
3.5	Air Quality	3-25
3.6	Noise	3-26
3.6.1	Traffic Noise	3-27
3.6.2	Noise Monitoring	3-27
3.6.3	Noise Sensitive Areas	3-28
3.7	Infrastructure and Utilities	3-28
3.8	Socioeconomics	3-29
3.8.1	Demographics	3-29
3.8.2	Income and Employment	3-29
3.8.3	Housing Characteristics	3-30
3.9	Community Facilities and Services	3-30
3.9.1	Facilities and Services	3-30
3.9.2	Parks and Recreation Facilities	3-31
3.10	Transportation and Traffic	3-31
3.10.1	Highway and Street Network	3-31
3.10.2	Traffic Analysis Methodology	3-32
3.10.3	Existing Traffic Conditions	3-33
3.10.4	Crash Information	3-34
3.11	Energy	3-34
4.	IMPACTS OF THE PROPOSED ACTION	4-1
4.1	Land Use, Plans, Right-of-Way, and the Visual Environment	4-1
4.1.1	Land Use	4-1
4.1.1.1	Existing Land Use	4-1
4.1.1.2	Planned Land Use	4-2
4.1.2	Right-of-Way and Relocation	4-3
4.1.3	Visual Environment	4-4
4.1.3.1	Southern Terminus Area	4-4
4.1.3.2	Northern Terminus Area	4-5
4.1.3.3	Mainline Component	4-6
4.2	Natural Resources	4-6
4.2.1	Physiographic Impacts	4-6
4.2.2	Water Resources	4-7
4.2.2.1	Stream Impacts	4-7
4.2.2.2	Stream Mitigation	4-8
4.2.2.3	Groundwater Impacts	4-9
4.2.2.4	Groundwater Mitigation	4-9

4.2.2.5	Stormwater Impacts	4-9
4.2.2.6	Stormwater Mitigation	4-9
4.2.3	Floodplains	4-10
4.2.3.1	Floodplain Impacts	4-10
4.2.3.2	Floodplain Mitigation	4-10
4.2.4	Natural Environmentally Sensitive Areas	4-10
4.2.4.1	Environmentally Sensitive Area Impacts	4-10
4.2.4.2	Environmentally Sensitive Area Mitigation	4-11
4.2.5	Wetlands	4-12
4.2.5.1	Wetlands Impacts	4-12
4.2.5.2	Wetlands Mitigation	4-13
4.2.6	Vegetation and Wildlife Habitats	4-13
4.2.6.1	Habitat Impacts	4-13
4.2.6.2	Habitat Mitigation	4-14
4.2.7	Threatened and Endangered Species	4-16
4.2.7.1	Threatened and Endangered Species Impacts	4-16
4.2.7.2	Threatened and Endangered Species Mitigation	4-16
4.3	Cultural Resources	4-17
4.3.1.1	Determining Adverse Effects - Regulatory Framework	4-17
4.3.1.2	Consulting Parties	4-18
4.3.1.3	National Historic Landmark Status	4-18
4.3.1.4	Impacts to Historic Properties	4-19
4.3.1.5	Assessment of Adverse Effects	4-21
4.3.1.6	Mitigation	4-22
4.4	Hazardous Materials	4-25
4.5	Air Quality	4-25
4.6	Noise	4-26
4.6.1	Noise Sensitive Areas	4-26
4.6.2	Noise Impacts	4-27
4.6.3	Noise Mitigation	4-27
4.6.3.1	Alignment Modification and Traffic Management	4-28
4.6.3.2	Noise Attenuation Devices (Sound Walls)	4-28
4.7	Infrastructure and Utilities Impacts	4-29
4.8	Socioeconomic Impacts	4-29
4.9	Community Facilities and Services Impacts	4-30
4.10	Traffic	4-30
4.10.1	Methodology	4-31
4.10.2	Traffic Impacts	4-34
4.10.3	Traffic Mitigation	4-34
4.11	Considerations for Pedestrians and Bicyclists	4-35
4.12	Antiterrorism and Force Protection Requirements	4-36
4.13	Indirect and Cumulative Impacts	4-36
4.13.1	Indirect Impacts	4-36
4.13.2	Cumulative Impacts	4-37
4.13.2.1	Past Pattern of Settlement and Development	4-37
4.13.2.2	Present Conditions	4-38
4.13.2.3	Future Plans	4-39
4.13.2.4	Cumulative Impacts Assessment	4-41
4.14	Construction Impacts	4-42
4.14.1	Land Use and Access	4-43
4.14.2	Wildlife and Habitat	4-43
4.14.3	Soil Erosion/Storm Water Controls	4-43
4.14.4	Resource Protection Areas, Stream Crossings, and Wetlands	4-44
4.14.5	Hazardous Materials	4-44
4.14.6	Air Quality	4-44
4.14.7	Noise	4-45

4.15 Relationship between Local Short-term Use of the Environment and the Enhancement of Long-Term Productivity	4-45
4.16 Irreversible and Irretrievable Commitments of Resources.....	4-45
5. REFERENCES	5-1
6. LIST OF PREPARERS.....	6-1
7. DISTRIBUTION LIST.....	7-1
8. COORDINATION.....	8-1
4(f) STATEMENT WOODLAWN HISTORIC DISTRICT	
4(f) STATEMENT FOREST AND WILDLIFE CORRIDOR	

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Follows Page
Figure 1-1	Regional Project Location	1-2
Figure 1-2	Project Locale	1-2
Figure 1-3	NEPA Study Area	1-2
Figure 2-1	USACE Feasibility Study Alternatives	2-2
Figure 2-2	Alternative C Variations	2-2
Figure 2-3	Alignment 4CR	2-6
Figure 2-4	Typical Sections	2-6
Figure 2-5	Southern Terminus	2-6
Figure 2-6a	Northern Terminus	2-8
Figure 2-6b	Northern Terminus	2-8
Figure 3-1	Existing Land Use	3-2
Figure 3-2	Steep Slopes and Poor Soils	3-10
Figure 3-3	Watersheds	3-10
Figure 3-4	Floodplains and Wetlands	3-12
Figure 3-5	Natural Environmentally Sensitive Areas	3-12
Figure 3-6	General Habitat Areas	3-18
Figure 3-7	Historic Resources	3-22
Figure 3-8	Woodlawn Historic District	3-22
Figure 3-9	Study Area Census Tracts	3-30
Figure 3-10	Existing (2005) Peak-Hour Volumes	3-32
Figure 4-1	Southern Terminus Concept	4-4
Figure 4-2	Environmental Constraints	4-10
Figure 4-3	Noise Sensitive Receptors	4-28
Figure 4-4	Future ADT Volumes	4-34

LIST OF TABLES

Table S-1: Preferred Alternative Key Resources Impacts and Mitigation S-7
Table 3-1: Potentially Eligible NRHP Archeological Sites3-23
Table 3-2: Federal Noise Abatement Criteria.....3-26
Table 3-3: Peak-Hour Noise Monitoring Location Summary.....3-28
Table 3 4: Level-Of-Service Criteria for Intersections3-32
Table 3-5: Existing Level of Service Results Signalized Intersection Analysis3-33
Table 3-6: Existing Level of Service Results Unsignalized Intersection Analysis3-33
Table 4-1: Estimated Right-of-Way Impacts.....4-3
Table 4-2: Impacted Wetlands4-13
Table 4-3: Summary of Cultural Resources within the project APE.....4-19
Table 4-4: Preferred Alternative Summary of Changes to Woodlawn Plantation4-22
Table 4-5: Signalized Intersection Analysis Results4-32
Table 4-6: Unsignalized Intersection Analysis Results4-33