Distribution of Major Herbicides in Ground Water of the United States

By Jack E. Barbash, Gail P. Thelin, Dana W. Kolpin, *and* Robert J. Gilliom

Abstract

Information on the concentrations and spatial distributions of pesticides and their transformation products, or degradates, in the hydrologic system is essential for managing pesticide use in both agricultural and nonagricultural settings to protect water resources. This report examines the occurrence of selected herbicides and their degradates in ground water, primarily on the basis of results from two large-scale, multistate investigations by the U.S. Geological Survey—the National Water-Quality Assessment (NAWQA) Program and the Midwest Pesticide Study (MWPS). The NAWQA pesticide data were derived from 2,227 sites (wells and springs) sampled in 20 major hydrologic basins across the United States from 1993 to 1995; the MWPS data were obtained from the sampling of 303 wells in a 12-state area of the northern midcontinent from 1991 to 1994. Data are presented for seven high-use herbicides: five of current interest to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency for designing Pesticide Management Plans (atrazine, cyanazine, simazine, alachlor and metolachlor), a largely nonagricultural herbicide (prometon), and an agricultural herbicide first registered in 1994 for use in the United States (acetochlor).

Six of the herbicides (all except acetochlor) were detected by the U.S. Geological Survey studies in shallow ground water—that is, ground water recharged within the past 10 years—in a variety of agricultural and nonagricultural settings, as well as in several aquifers that are sources of drinking-water supply. Acetochlor was not detected by the MWPS in the summer of 1994, but was detected in shallow ground water during the NAWQA Program by early 1995, and during another U.S. Geological Survey study in Iowa during the summers of 1995 and 1996. The acetochlor observations suggest that, in agreement with results from previous field studies, some pesticides may be detected in shallow ground water within 1 year following their application.

In accord with the results from other large-scale multistate studies of pesticides in ground water, more than 98 percent of the detections during the NAWQA and MWPS investigations were at concentrations of less than 1 microgram per liter. Consequently, water quality criteria for drinking water—that is, standards established to protect human health—were exceeded at fewer than 0.1 percent of the sites sampled by NAWQA (all of these exceedances involving atrazine alone) and at none of those sampled in 1992 by the MWPS. These criteria, however, may not accurately reflect the overall health risks associated with pesticide detections in water resources because they have been established only for a relatively small number of pesticides and they do not account for the additive or synergistic effects of mixtures, impacts on the health of aquatic ecosystems, or the effects of pesticide degradates. Among the sites sampled during the NAWQA and MWPS investigations, 19.7 and 13.8 percent, respectively, had detections of two or more of the herbicides of interest. Furthermore, for most of the herbicides for which degradates were examined, detection frequencies for major degradates were typically higher than for their

respective parent compounds, particularly for the herbicides that are less persistent in aerobic soil.

Frequencies of detection at or above 0.01 microgram per liter in shallow ground water beneath agricultural areas during the NAWQA study were significantly correlated with agricultural use in those areas for atrazine, cyanazine, alachlor, and metolachlor ($P<0.05$; Spearman rank correlations), but not for simazine ($P>0.05$). In urban areas, overall frequencies of detection of these five herbicides in shallow ground water were positively correlated with their total nonagricultural use nationwide ($P=0.026$; simple linear correlation). Multivariate statistical analysis indicated that frequencies of detection in shallow ground water beneath agricultural areas were positively correlated with half-lives for transformation in aerobic soil and agricultural use of the compounds ($P\leq0.0001$ for both parameters). Although frequencies of detection were not significantly correlated with their subsurface mobility (K_{oc}; $P=0.19$) or the median well depths of the sampled networks ($P=0.72$), the range of K_{oc} values among the five herbicides and the range of well depths were limited.

INTRODUCTION

The widespread use of synthetic organic pesticides over the past several decades has led to their frequent detection in ground water (Barbash and Resek, 1996), surface water (Larson and others, 1997), aquatic biota and sediment (Lisa Nowell, U.S. Geological Survey, written commun., 1998) and the atmosphere (Majewski and Capel, 1995). (In this report, the word "pesticides" is used to refer to all "economic poisons" [Meister Publishing Company, 1998], including herbicides, insecticides, fungicides, fumigants and other chemicals used to kill, repel, or otherwise control unwanted organisms.) Concerns about the potential impacts of pesticides on human health, as well as on terrestrial and aquatic ecosystems, have led to a wide range of monitoring and management programs by state and federal agencies. For the protection of ground water, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) is proposing legislation to require that individual states

and tribes develop a Pesticide Management Plan (PMP) for each of several pesticides (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1991).

In 1991, the USEPA published *Pesticides and Ground-Water Strategy*, the principal goal of which was "to manage the use of pesticides in order to prevent adverse effects on human health and the environment and to protect the environmental integrity of the Nation's ground-water resources. The centerpiece of this Pesticides Strategy is the development and implementation of State Management Plans [now referred to as Pesticide Management Plans, or PMPs] for specific pesticides of concern that will ultimately form an integrated part of state [and tribal] Ground-Water Protection Programs" (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1991). These plans are to be implemented for any pesticide deemed to have a high leaching potential—and for which national label or restricted use requirements are unlikely to ensure adequate protection of ground water—but whose use is not cancelled on a national basis (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1991). Guidelines for the development and implementation of these plans are summarized by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (1993) and Browner (1996).

Initially, the proposed PMPs will focus on five predominantly agricultural pesticides—the triazine herbicides atrazine, cyanazine, and simazine; and the acetanilide herbicides alachlor and metolachlor. (At the time of this writing, the removal of cyanazine from this list was under consideration; discussion of this compound, however, has been retained here because data on its occurrence in ground water provide valuable information on the manner in which the use and properties of pesticides influence the likelihood of their detection in ground water.) According to the proposed rule (Browner, 1996), the initial focus of the PMPs on these compounds is based on a variety of concerns, including (1) their widespread detection in ground water, sometimes at concentrations exceeding water-quality standards; (2) their association with serious and irreversible toxicological effects, including carcinogenicity; (3) their extensive, broad-spectrum use, especially in agricultural settings; and (4) the widespread availability of analytical methods for their detection. As the PMPs evolve, their analytical scope may expand to include additional pesticides and, perhaps, their transformation products,

or *degradates*. For this report, the five herbicides upon which the currently proposed PMPs focus are referred to as the PMP herbicides, and the term "pesticide compounds" is used to refer to pesticides in conjunction with any of their degradates.

This report summarizes current understanding on the occurrence of atrazine, cyanazine, prometon, simazine, acetochlor, alachlor, and metolachlor—and some of their degradates—in ground water of the United States, primarily on the basis of results from two large-scale studies by the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS), the National Water-Quality Assessment (NAWQA) Program and the Midwest Pesticide Study (MWPS). In addition to the five PMP herbicides, this list includes two other parent compounds. The first is prometon, an herbicide that is of interest because it has been used almost exclusively—and, as will be seen, widely detected—in nonagricultural settings; its inclusion expands the scope of this analysis beyond predominantly agricultural pesticides. The second is acetochlor, an herbicide first introduced in the United States in 1994 (Kolpin and others, 1996a) to partly replace the use of atrazine and alachlor. Data on the occurrence of acetochlor in ground water provide an indication of the time required for an agricultural pesticide to reach detectable concentrations in ground water, if it does so at all, following initiation of its widespread use. Prometon and acetochlor were also included because of their chemical similarity to the other five compounds. Prometon, like atrazine, cyanazine, and simazine, is a triazine herbicide, whereas acetochlor, like alachlor and metolachlor, is an acetanilide herbicide. This discussion includes (1) a summary of the overall frequencies of detection and concentrations of these compounds observed in ground water during the USGS investigations and comparisons with the results from other multistate studies; (2) an examination of the extent to which detections of these herbicides in shallow ground water during the NAWQA Program were correlated with their use, their physical and chemical properties, and well depth; and (3) an overview of the spatial distributions of the herbicides reported in ground water by the USGS studies in agricultural and urban settings, as well as in areas of mixed land use, in relation to their geographic patterns of agricultural use.

FACTORS THAT INFLUENCE PESTICIDE OCCURRENCE IN GROUND WATER

Many factors, both natural and anthropogenic, affect the likelihood of detecting pesticides in ground water (table 1). Among these, the factors examined in this report are related to study design, pesticide properties, and pesticide use. To the extent possible, the effects of study design are accounted for in each part of the data analysis. Current understanding of the effects of pesticide use, pesticide properties, and hydrogeologic setting on pesticide occurrence are reviewed below as a background for this analysis. Correlations between frequencies of pesticide detection in ground water and many of the other factors listed in table 1—for these and many other pesticide compounds—have been discussed in previous summaries of the MWPS results (for example, Burkart and Kolpin, 1993; Kolpin and others, 1994; Kolpin, 1997) and will be examined in subsequent publications for the NAWQA Program.

History and Patterns of Use

It is reasonable to suppose that the more intensively a pesticide is used in a given area, the more likely it is to be detected in ground water, but the evidence in support of this hypothesis is remarkably sparse (for example, Barbash and Resek, 1996; Kolpin and others, 1998a). This may, in part, be a consequence of the limitations in the spatial and temporal resolution of the data currently available on pesticide use in the United States. At present, the finest scale at which pesticide use information can be obtained across the Nation is on a countywide basis, and only for their applications within agricultural settings (Gianessi and Anderson, 1995). Data on nonagricultural pesticide use are considerably more limited and are available only at a national scale (Gianessi and Puffer, 1990).

Historical trends in nationwide use for the seven herbicides in agricultural settings are shown in figure 1. Because use information was not available for every year, the figure displays data only for the years 1964 (Eichers and others, 1968), 1966 (Eichers and others, 1970), 1971 (Andrilenas, 1974), 1976 (Eichers and others, 1978), 1988 (Gianessi and Puffer, 1990), 1992, and 1994 (acetochlor only). These data indicate that national agricultural use of atrazine and alachlor

Table 1. Factors associated with pesticide detections in ground water and the nature of supporting evidence in the literature

[Adapted from Barbash and Resek, 1996. K_{oc}, soil organic carbon partition coefficient]

Factors associated with increased likelihood of pesticide detection	Nature of published evidence supporting relation	
	Qualitative evidence only	Statistically significant quantitative relations
Study Design:		
Lower analytical detection limits		√
Targeting areas of higher presumed or known vulnerability		√
Targeting areas of known or suspected contamination	√	
Pesticide Properties:		
Greater pesticide mobility (lower K_{oc})		√
Greater pesticide persistence (lower reactivity)		√
Agricultural Management Practices:		
Higher pesticide use		√
Increasing proximity to pesticide application areas		√
Reductions in depth or frequency of tillage	√	
Well Characteristics:		
Decreasing well depth		√
Dug or driven (versus drilled) wells		√
Poorer integrity of surficial or annular well seals		√
Hydrogeologic and Edaphic Factors:		
Unconsolidated aquifer materials (versus bedrock)		√
Decreasing depth of upper surface of aquifer		√
Decreasing thickness or absence of confining layers		√
Higher hydraulic conductivity		√
Higher soil permeability	√	
Increased karstification	√	
Increased recharge (from precipitation or irrigation)		√
Younger ground-water age		√

Figure 1. Total nationwide agricultural use of the seven herbicides of interest from 1964 to 1994. Use of prometon for hay and forage in 1976 was 20,000 pounds active ingredient per year.

increased sharply between 1964 and 1976, then generally declined from that time until 1992—precipitously for alachlor, but less dramatically for atrazine. For simazine, cyanazine, and metolachlor, agricultural use increased monotonically from the year when initial data were available for each compound until 1992. The only data shown for acetochlor are for 1994, when its use commenced in the United States.

Table 2 lists the primary agricultural and nonagricultural uses of the seven herbicides, as well as recent estimates of the total amounts applied and areas treated nationwide on an annual basis, if available. The data on use in agricultural areas are based on information gathered for the years 1991–1995. Expressed in terms of the total amounts applied annually, the use of atrazine and metolachlor in agricultural areas exceeds that in nonagricultural areas by one to two orders of magnitude, whereas simazine use is of the same order of magnitude in the two settings. Cyanazine and alachlor are used exclusively for agricultural purposes. Applications of acetochlor are also likely to be restricted to agricultural settings, but no data on its nonagricultural use are available. By

Table 2. Annual nationwide agricultural and nonagricultural use of the herbicides of interest to this report

[Data for agricultural use obtained for the years 1991–1995 (Gianessi and Anderson, 1995); those for nonagricultural use obtained for the years 1987 and 1989–1990 (Gianessi and Puffer, 1990). Acetochlor data for 1994 only. Information on application settings obtained from Gianessi and Puffer (1990) for agricultural use, various sources for nonagricultural use. "Pounds a.i. applied per treated acre per year" computed as the quotient of the two preceding parameters. a.i., active ingredient; —, no data available from sources consulted]

Parameter	Atrazine	Cyanazine	Prometon	Simazine	Acetochlor	Alachlor	Metolachlor
Agricultural use (principal crops)							
	Field crops, pasture	Field crops	Rangeland, hay, forage	Field crops, orchards, vegetables	Field crops	Field crops	Field crops, vegetables
Millions of pounds a.i. applied per year	63.9	29.5	—	4.8	23.8	25.6	57.9
Millions of acres treated per year	57.0	15.8	—	3.4	11.8	14.5	31.3
Pounds a.i. applied per treated acre per year	1.1	1.9	—	1.4	2.0	1.8	1.9
Nonagricultural use (principal settings)							
	Turf, sod farms, roads, forests, plantations, rights-of-way	None	Asphalt, rights-of-way, fence rows	Rights-of-way, lawns, forests, plantations, sod farms, ponds and aquaria	—	None	Turf, hedgerows, fencerows, landscaping
Millions of pounds a.i. applied per year	1.6–2.4	0	—	1.9–3.3	—	0	0.8
Millions of acres treated per year	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

contrast, prometon is an almost exclusively nonagricultural herbicide, but no data are available on its nationwide use in either agricultural or nonagricultural settings.

Estimates of the average amounts applied annually per treated acre in agricultural settings (obtained by dividing the total pounds applied per year by the total number of treated acres) suggest that the intensity of agricultural use, averaged across the Nation, is relatively consistent—that is, within a factor of two—among the six herbicides for which such data are available (table 2). Figure 1 and table 2 also indicate that, despite its recent introduction in 1994, the use of acetochlor in the United States in that year was nearly as extensive as that of alachlor. Geographic distributions of use for the six predominantly agricultural herbicides (that is, all but prometon) will be examined in a later section, in conjunction with discussions of their individual patterns of occurrence in ground water.

Physical and Chemical Properties

All other factors being equal, the likelihood of detecting one pesticide in ground water compared to another is directly related to the degree of partitioning into the aqueous phase, relative to soil organic matter or soil gas (which, in turn, affects relative mobility in the aqueous phase), and the relative resistance to chemical transformation in soil, with or without mediation by microorganisms. (The influences of other factors related to pesticide detections in ground water, such as study design, pesticide use, recharge, hydrogeologic setting, and soil properties [table 1] are discussed later in this report.) For each of the seven herbicides, table 3 summarizes recent data on some of the principal physical and chemical properties most often used to characterize pesticide mobility and persistence in ground water.

Data on the occurrence of the principal degradates of a given pesticide in ground water can provide additional information regarding the areas where ground-water quality has been affected by its use. As will be shown later in this report, such data can also be used to test hypotheses on the importance of persistence—relative to nontransforming processes such as sorption and dilution—in governing frequencies of detection of the parent compound. For these reasons, table 3 also lists for the seven herbicides most of the known degradates that have been looked for and, where applicable, detected in ground water.

Most of the quantitative data in table 3 were obtained from the U.S. Department of Agriculture–Agricultural Research Service's (USDA–ARS)

Table 3. Selected physical and chemical properties of the seven parent compounds of interest to this report and their degradates examined in ground water

[Most of the data for soil organic-carbon partition coefficient (K_{oc}), water solubility (S_w), aerobic soil half-life, and Henry's law constant (H) obtained from U.S. Department of Agriculture (1995); see text for methods used to select data from among multiple values in database. S_w values were measured at temperatures ranging from 20° to 25°C; H was measured at 25°C; no data on temperatures of measurement were available from the sources consulted for K_{oc} or half-life in aerobic soils. Properties listed for acetochlor obtained from U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (1994). Information on degradates obtained from Potter and Carpenter (1995); Barbash and Resek (1996); Kolpin and others (1997); and Balu and others (1998). DEA, deethylatrazine; DIA, deisopropylatrazine; ESA, ethanesulfonic acid; mg/L, milligram per liter; mL/g, milliliter per gram; OA, oxanilic acid; Pa-m^3/mol, pascal per mole per cubic meter. ~, approximately]

Pesticide	Selected physical/chemical properties (parents only)				Degradates		
	K_{oc} (mL/g)	S_w (mg/L)	Half-life in aerobic soil (days)	H (Pa-m^3/mol)	Compound	Looked for in ground water?	Detected in ground water?
Atrazine	147	33	146	0.00025	DEA	√	√
					DIA[1]	√	√
					Didealkylatrazine	√	√
					Hydroxyatrazine	√	√
					Deethyl hydroxyatrazine	√	√
					Deisopropyl hydroxyatrazine	√	√
					Didealkyl hydroxyatrazine	√	√
Cyanazine	218	170	17	0.0000003	Deethylcyanazine	√	
					Cyanazine amide	√	√
					Deethylcyanazine amide	√	
					DIA[1]	√	√
Prometon	95	720	932	0.00032	None reported		
Simazine	140	6.2	91	0.000098	DIA[1]	√	√
Acetochlor	239	223	14	0.00709	Acetochlor ESA	√	√
					Acetochlor OA	√	√
Alachlor	124	240	~ 21	0.0021	Alachlor ESA	√	√
					2,6-Diethylaniline	√	√
					Alachlor OA	√	√
					18 others[2]	√	
Metolachlor	70	488	26	0.00244	Metolachlor ESA	√	√
					Metolachlor OA	√	√

[1]DIA may be produced from the transformation of either atrazine, cyanazine or simazine.
[2]Eighteen additional products of alachlor transformation identified by Potter and Carpenter (1995).

Pesticide Properties Database (U.S. Department of Agriculture, 1995). When more than one value was available for a given parameter in the USDA–ARS database, the number chosen for display was the value recommended by the database authors. For aerobic soil half-life, when multiple values were available in the USDA–ARS database for a given herbicide, but none was selected by the database authors, the value measured in a loam soil (silty loam, loamy silt, or silty clay loam) was the one chosen for display in table 3. The parameter values given for acetochlor in the table were taken from the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (1994) because none of these data were available for acetochlor in the USDA–ARS database.

Although all of the parameters listed in the table are known to vary considerably with temperature, no data on the temperature(s) of measurement were available for two of the four variables listed, a situation commonly encountered in the literature (Barbash and Resek, 1996).

The soil organic carbon partition coefficient (K_{oc}), a measure of the tendency of a compound to partition into soil organic carbon from aqueous solution, provides a quantitative, inverse indication of its anticipated mobility in ground water. Water solubility is often invoked as a measure of the relative likelihood of pesticides to be detected in ground water and is included in table 3 for this reason. Water

solubility is less appropriate for this purpose than K_{oc}, however, because unlike the latter parameter, water solubility does not account for sorptive interactions between the compound and solid-phase organic matter in the subsurface (Barbash and Resek, 1996). Table 3 also includes estimates of the Henry's law constant, a parameter that quantifies the relative degree of partitioning between gas and aqueous phases in the unsaturated zone; these data suggest that the acetanilide herbicides have a greater tendency to volatilize from aqueous solution than do the triazines.

The parameter used most commonly to quantify the environmental persistence of pesticides in soil is the field dissipation half-life, which represents the amount of time required for the concentration of a compound measured in a field soil to decrease to half of its initial value. Despite its widespread use, however, this parameter is of only limited utility for understanding the rates and mechanisms of the underlying processes responsible for dissipation in soil because it does not distinguish between decreases in concentration caused by the actual transformation of the parent compound and those caused by its transport away from the site of measurement in air, ground water, or surface water (Barbash and Resek, 1996). Consequently, for the purposes of this report, persistence was quantified by using transformation half-lives in aerobic soil. Aerobic soil half-lives are measured in a laboratory and, thus, are less representative of field conditions than the field dissipation half-life. However, because aerobic soil half-lives are measured under conditions that are considerably more controlled and standardized—and unaffected by offsite transport—comparisons among different compounds and different studies are more reliable for aerobic soil half-lives than for field dissipation half-lives. According to the data listed in table 3, the time scales of transformation of these herbicides in aerobic soil may vary from weeks to years.

Hydrogeologic Setting

As is the case for other surface-derived contaminants, the hydrogeologic factors that influence the movement of pesticides to ground water (table 1) are primarily those that control the movement of water. Thus, pesticide detections in shallow ground water tend to be more common in areas with permeable soils than in areas covered by glacial tills,

clays, and other low-permeability geologic materials. In addition, higher levels of organic carbon in soils and other subsurface materials may diminish the likelihood of pesticide contamination of ground water by slowing pesticide migration (through sorption) and, for compounds susceptible to biotransformation, by enhancing microbial activity. Pesticide detections generally are more common in unconsolidated and solution-weathered (karst) aquifers than in relatively unweathered bedrock aquifers. Unconfined aquifers are more susceptible to contamination than those that are confined. In general, pesticide contamination tends to be more likely, and more temporally variable, in shallow ground water than in deep ground water (Barbash and Resek, 1996). Ground water in alluvial aquifers associated with rivers carrying substantial pesticide loads often contains detectable levels of pesticides (Squillace and others, 1993), particularly where the infiltration of the river water is enhanced by the pumping of nearby wells (Blum and others, 1993).

STUDY DESIGNS

This report focuses primarily on the results from recent studies by the USGS, the designs of which are summarized later in this section. To facilitate comparisons of the USGS results with those from other work, however, the designs of other large-scale studies and their various sampling strategies are briefly described below.

Overview of Non-USGS Regional and National Studies of Pesticides in Ground Water

More than 120 studies to date have examined the distributions of pesticides in ground water across areas ranging from individual watersheds, metropolitan areas or counties, to entire states. Of these, at least 26 in at least 17 states have involved statewide sampling. Studies involving the sampling of ground water for pesticides across multistate areas, however, have been much more limited (Barbash and Resek, 1996). To date, the results from fewer than 10 multistate studies have been reported, not including assemblages of small-scale field studies carried out in multiple locations in different states for individual pesticides such as aldicarb (Jones and others, 1986), atrazine (Komor and Emerson, 1994), thiodicarb

(Jones and others, 1989) and simazine (Roux and others, 1991b).

General Features

The principal characteristics of the seven multistate studies whose results have been reported most widely are listed in table 4. Five of the investigations listed in the table obtained their samples exclusively from wells; although the NAWQA Program and Cooperative Private Well Testing Program, or CPWTP, focus primarily on wells, they also include the sampling of selected springs (Gilliom and others, 1995; Richards and others, 1996; Kolpin and others, 1998a). Whereas four of the studies in table 4 have been completed, the MWPS (Kolpin and others, 1995, 1996b), NAWQA, and CPWTP are still underway. Consequently, the results discussed for the latter three investigations will include those drawn from the most recent compilations available from each. Typically, the findings from each of the multistate studies have been reported in multiple publications; the references cited in table 4 contain the most comprehensive descriptions of the study design

Table 4. Principal characteristics of multistate studies

[Adapted from Barbash and Resek, 1996. Studies listed in chronological order of initiation. Study names (and principal references): CGAS, Ciba-Geigy atrazine study (Balu and others, 1998); CPWTP, Cooperative Private Well Testing Program (Baker and others, 1994; Richards and others, 1996); MWPS, Midwest Pesticide Study (Burkart and Kolpin, 1993; Kolpin and others, 1993, 1995, 1996a,b,c; Kolpin and Thurman, 1995); MMS, Metolachlor Monitoring Study (Roux and others, 1991a); NAWQA, National Water-Quality Assessment (Gilliom and others, 1995; Kolpin and others, 1998a); NAWWS, National Alachlor Well-Water Survey (Holden and others, 1992); NPS, National Pesticide Survey (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1990, 1992). Sampling period for CPWTP uncertain. Number of sites given for NAWQA represents total number of sites sampled for the land-use studies and subunit surveys discussed in this report. Numbers of pesticide analytes include pesticide degradates. Other abbreviations: CWSW, community water-supply wells; NG, not given; RDW, rural domestic wells; uncs, unconsolidated aquifers; †, not applicable; µg/L, microgram per liter]

Study name	Sampling phase	Number of states sampled	Number of sites sampled	Number of pesticide analytes	Method detection limits for selected herbicides (µg/L)						
					Atra-zine	Cyan-azine	Prom-eton	Sima-zine	Aceto-chlor	Ala-chlor	Metola-chlor
CPWTP	1987–1995 (Triazines)	17	14,044	†	0.05 (total triazine residue)					†	
	1987-1995 (Acetanilides)	17	12,539	†	†				0.2 (total acetanilide residue)		
MMS	4/88–6/89	4	240	1	†	†	†	†	†	†	0.10
NPS	4/88–2/90			126	0.12	2.4	0.15	0.38	†	0.50	0.75
	CWSW	50	540								
	RDW	38	752								
NAWWS	6/88–5/89	26	1,430	5	0.03	0.1	†	0.03	†	0.03	0.03
MWPS	3–4/91 (Preplanting)	12	299	13	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	†	0.05	0.05
	7–8/91 (Postplanting)	12	290	13	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	†	0.05	0.05
	7–8/92 (Random selection, postplanting)	9	94	65	0.003	0.008	0.01	0.005	†	0.002	0.002
	9–10/93 (Postflood)	9	110 (uncs only)	14	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	†	0.05	0.05
	7–8/94 (More degradates)	8	38 (uncs only)	14	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05
NAWQA	6/93–3/95	37	2,227 (953 for acetochlor)	85	0.001	0.004	0.018	0.005	0.002	0.002	0.002
CGAS	NG	19	1,505	8	0.1	†	†	†	†	†	†

and principal findings for each investigation. Most of the primary design features of these studies are compared in table 5.

Analytical Coverage of the Subject Compounds

All of the multistate investigations examined at least one of the herbicides of interest (table 4), and most analyzed for one or more of their degradates (tables 5 and 6). The analytical results from the CPWTP, however, cannot be compared directly with those from the other multistate studies because, unlike the compound-specific analytical methods employed by the other studies, the relatively inexpensive immunoassay techniques employed by the CPWTP have exhibited extensive cross-reactivity among structurally related compounds, reliably identifying the pesticides of interest only in terms of their principal chemical class (that is, triazines or acetanilides) rather than as individual compounds.

Spatial Scope

Of all the multistate studies undertaken to date, the most spatially extensive was the National Pesticide Survey (NPS) undertaken by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (1990, 1992). The NPS was the only investigation to sample wells in all of the 50 states (table 4). In contrast, the most geographically restricted of the multistate studies was the Metolachlor Monitoring Study (MMS; Roux and others, 1991a), which sampled wells in only four states. The MMS also sampled the fewest wells (240) of all the multistate studies, whereas the CPWTP sampled the largest number to date (at least 14,044 wells sampled for triazine herbicides, as of 1993). Maps showing the

Table 5. Comparison of principal design features of the multistate investigations of pesticides in ground water

[Study Feature: PMP, Pesticide Management Plan. Study and Study Source: CGAS, Ciba-Geigy atrazine study (Balu and others, 1998); CPWTP, Cooperative Private Well Testing Program (Baker and others, 1994); USEPA, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency; MMS, Metolachlor Monitoring Study (Roux and others, 1991a); MWPS, Midwest Pesticide Study (Burkart and Kolpin, 1993; Kolpin and others, 1993, 1995, 1996a,b); NAWQA, National Water-Quality Assessment Program (Gilliom and others, 1995; Kolpin and others, 1998a); NAWWS, National Alachlor Well-Water Survey (Holden and others, 1992); NPS, National Pesticide Survey (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1990, 1992); USGS, U.S. Geological Survey; μg/L, microgram per liter]

Study feature	Study						
	CPWTP	MMS	CGAS	NAWWS	NPS	MWPS	NAWQA
Study source (authors, company, institution or Federal agency):	Heidelberg College	Roux and others, 1991a	Ciba-Geigy, Inc.	Monsanto, Inc.	USEPA	USGS	USGS
Employed consistent procedures for sampling and chemical analysis among all sites	√	√	√	√	√	√	√
Examined all five PMP herbicides				√	√	√	√
Examined one or more PMP herbicide degradates			√		√	√	√
Employed analytical detection limits of 0.05 μg/L or lower for individual compounds				√ (except cyanazine)		√	√
Stratified random selection of sampling sites				√	√	√	√
Sampled 1,000 or more sites	√		√	√	√		√
Controlled for variations in:							
Well type					√	√	√
Land use					√	√	√
Hydrogeologic setting		√	√			√	√
Well depth						√	√
Involve repeated sampling over time at individual sites						√ (annual)	√ (decadal)
Examined effects on pesticide detections of:							
Hydrogeologic setting						√	√
Land-use setting					√	√	√
Intensity of pesticide use						√	√
Timing of pesticide application						√	

spatial distributions of sampling for all of the multistate investigations, except for the Ciba-Geigy atrazine study (CGAS; Balu and others, 1998) and NAWQA study, have been provided by Barbash and Resek (1996).

Spatial Bias Toward Potentially Contaminated Areas

Studies that target areas where ground-water contamination by specific compounds is more likely—either because of higher chemical use, enhanced ground-water vulnerability to surface-derived contamination, shallower or more poorly constructed wells, or other circumstances—generally detect the compounds more frequently than nontargeted studies (Barbash and Resek, 1996; Ryker and Williamson, 1996). A summary of the criteria used by each of the multistate investigations to select sampling locations is given in table 7.

Among the multistate studies, the NPS had the lowest degree of spatial bias toward areas vulnerable to pesticide contamination (table 7). Through stratified random site selection, the NPS provided the most statistically representative summary available to date of pesticide and nitrate occurrence in community water-supply and rural domestic wells of the United States. However, because the wells used for the NPS were selected without controlling for variations in well characteristics (for example, well construction or depth) or hydrogeologic setting, the NPS constituted a nationwide assessment of well-water quality rather than ground-water quality (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1992). The CGAS, MMS and National Alachlor Well-Water Survey, or NAWWS (Holden and others, 1992), had the most pronounced bias toward areas where detections of the target compounds were

Table 6. Degradates examined by the multistate studies for the seven herbicides

[Study Name: CGAS, Ciba-Geigy atrazine study (Balu and others, 1998); CPWTP, Cooperative Private Well Testing Program (Baker and others, 1994); MMS, Metolachlor Monitoring Study (Roux and others, 1991a); MWPS, Midwest Pesticide Study (Burkart and Kolpin, 1993; Kolpin and others, 1993, 1995, 1996a,b,c; Kolpin and Thurman, 1995); NAWQA, National Water-Quality Assessment Program (Gilliom and others, 1995; Kolpin and others, 1998a); NPS, National Pesticide Survey (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1990, 1992). DEA, deethylatrazine; DIA, deisopropylatrazine; ESA, ethanesulfonic acid. †, not applicable. Parent Compound for Degradates Examined: Detection limits for degradates, in micrograms per liter, are noted in brackets. Note: DIA may be produced from either atrazine, cyanazine, or simazine]

Study name	Sampling phase (if applicable)	Parent compound for degradates examined						
		Atrazine	Cyanazine	Prom-eton	Sima-zine	Aceto-chlor	Alachlor	Meto-lachlor
CGAS	†	DEA, DIA, didealkyl, hydroxy, deethylhydroxy, deisopropyl hydroxy, and didealkyl hydroxyatrazine [0.1 for all]	†	†	†	†	†	†
CPWTP	†	†	†	†	†	†	†	†
MMS	†	†	†	†	†	†	†	None
MWPS	1991 (Preplanting and postplanting)	DEA [0.05], DIA [0.05]	None	None	None	†	None	None
	7–8/92 (Random selection, postplanting)	DEA [0.015], DIA [0.05]	deethylcyanazine [0.05], cyanazine amide [0.05], deethylcyanazine amide [0.05]	None	None	†	Alachlor ESA [0.10], 2,6-Diethylaniline [0.003]	None
	9–10/93 (Postflood)	DEA [0.05], DIA [0.05]	None	None	None	†	Alachlor ESA [0.10]	None
	7–8/94 (More degradates)	DEA [0.05], DIA [0.05]	Cyanazine amide [0.05]	None	None	None	Alachlor ESA [0.10], 2,6-Diethylaniline [0.003]	None
NAWQA	†	DEA [0.002]	None	None	None	None	2,6-Diethylaniline [0.003]	None
NPS	†	DEA[2.2]	None	None	None	†	None	None

Table 7. Criteria used by multistate studies for selection of sampling sites

[Study name and abbreviations: CDP, construction data preferred; CDR, construction data required; CGAS, Ciba-Geigy atrazine study (Balu and others, 1998); CPWTP, Cooperative Private Well Testing Program (Baker and others, 1994); DRASTIC, scoring system used to predict vulnerability of ground water to surface-derived contamination (Aller and others, 1987); LUS, land-use studies; MMS, Metolachlor Monitoring Study (Roux and others, 1991a); MWPS, Midwest Pesticide Study (Burkart and Kolpin, 1993; Kolpin and others, 1993, 1995, 1996a,b); NAWQA, National Water-Quality Assessment Program (Gilliom and others, 1995; Kolpin and others, 1998a); NAWWS, National Alachlor Well-Water Survey (Holden and others, 1992); NPS, National Pesticide Survey (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1990, 1992); SUS, subunit surveys. >, greater than]

Study name	Study component	Targeted land-use setting(s)	Site type(s)	Targeted hydrogeologic setting(s)	Methods and additional criteria used for site selection
CGAS		None	Rural domestic and other wells	Areas vulnerable to ground-water contamination	Non-random selection of wells in areas of known atrazine contamination, but away from known point sources; CDP.
CPWTP		None	Rural domestic wells, springs	None	Self-selection by individual homeowners.
MMS		Areas of high known metolachlor use	Shallow wells	Areas vulnerable to ground-water contamination (from DRASTIC)	Wells in targeted settings within 500 feet of known application areas; CDR.
MWPS		Cropland (corn and soybeans)	Wells	Near-surface unconsolidated and bedrock aquifers (top of aquifer within 50 feet of land surface)	Midwestern counties with >25 percent of cropland in corn or soybeans; >25 percent of land within 2 miles of well planted in corn or soybeans; CDR.
NAWQA	LUS	Agriculture, urban, undeveloped	Shallow wells (new or existing), springs	Principal settings of interest in each of the sampled areas	Randomly selected sites, stratified by land-use, physiographic, and hydrogeologic settings; CDR.
	SUS	None	Existing wells, springs	Aquifers representing major current or future ground-water resources	Randomly selected wells and springs throughout broad geographic areas; CDR.
NAWWS		Counties with alachlor sales in 1986	Rural domestic wells	None	Randomly selected wells, stratified by DRASTIC vulnerability scores, alachlor use, and recharge.
NPS		None	Community water-supply and rural domestic wells	None	Randomly selected wells, stratified by well type, pesticide use, and DRASTIC vulnerability scores.

more likely. The CGAS placed a major emphasis on sampling wells with known atrazine detections, whereas the MMS and NAWWS focused their sampling on areas where the pesticides of primary interest—metolachlor and alachlor, respectively— were known to have been used (MMS) or purchased (NAWWS). In addition, the MMS and CGAS focused specifically on areas presumed to be more vulnerable to pesticide contamination because of high pesticide use and greater susceptibility to surface-derived

contamination (for example, because of a shallower water table, sandier soils, or more permeable subsurface materials).

The CPWTP, NAWQA, and MWPS investigations were designed with an intermediate level of bias toward areas where pesticide detections in ground water would be more likely. Although these three studies have focused primarily on areas of the country dominated by agricultural activities (thereby increasing the likelihood of detecting agricultural pesticides in ground water), they have not specifically targeted areas that are highly vulnerable to contamination or where particular compounds were used or known to have been detected. (The first full-scale phase of NAWQA, the phase examined for this report, involved a pronounced emphasis on agricultural areas, but subsequent stages of the program have involved a greater focus on nonagricultural settings, especially urban areas.) However, while the NAWQA and MWPS investigations selected their sampling locations using consistent study designs based on well characteristics, hydrogeologic setting, and land use (table 7), the sampling for the CPWTP program was done by individual homeowners interested in having their well or spring water tested for the presence of agrichemicals. Thus, like the NPS, the CPWTP is an assessment of well- and spring-water quality, rather than of ground-water quality, in the areas sampled (Richards and others, 1996).

### Comparisons Between Agricultural and Nonagricultural Areas

The use of pesticides and, by consequence, their detection in the environment, has most commonly been associated with agricultural areas. Pesticide applications in nonagricultural areas may be considerable (table 2), however, as is the range of different nonagricultural settings in which pesticides have been detected in ground water (Barbash and Resek, 1996). Among the multistate studies, only the NAWQA Program explicitly targeted urban areas for extensive sampling (table 7). However, the NPS and MWPS also examined relations between land-use setting and pesticide detections (table 5), and have provided additional insights into how patterns of pesticide occurrence in nonagricultural areas compare with those in agricultural areas.

National Water-Quality Assessment (NAWQA)

The principal objectives of the NAWQA Program are "to describe the status of and trends in the quality of the Nation's ground-water and surface-water resources and to link assessment of status and trends with an understanding of the natural and human factors that affect the quality of water" (Gilliom and others, 1995). This ongoing assessment examines water quality in 59 major hydrologic basins, or *study units*, across the United States (fig. 2), representing approximately 60 to 70 percent of the ground-water and surface-water use in the Nation.

To maintain a consistent level of effort from one year to the next, the NAWQA Program concentrates most of its sampling into a 3-year high-intensity phase in approximately one-third of the study units at any point in time. Long-term variations in water quality are examined through the use of a rotating cycle in each study unit—3 years of intensive sampling followed by 6 years of relatively low-intensity activity. The water-quality conditions observed in a given 3-year high-intensity phase are then reexamined during the next high-intensity phase beginning 6 years later (Gilliom and others, 1995).

The ground-water quality assessment for NAWQA consists of three components: the subunit survey (SUS), the land-use study (LUS), and the flowpath study (Gilliom and others, 1995; Squillace and others, 1996). Subunit surveys provide large-scale spatial descriptions of the quality of water drawn primarily from aquifers representing current or future sources of drinking water (referred to as drinking-water aquifers, or DWA, in this report) through the sampling of existing wells of widely varying depths and selected springs (ground water of widely varying ages) across large subsections of individual study units, referred to as aquifer subunits (table 7). Land-use studies assess the quality of shallow ground water (recharged within approximately the past 10 years) through the sampling of either existing or newly installed wells in more limited areas characterized by specific types of land use. (Because the SUS boundaries are established by hydrogeologic rather than cultural features, most of them sample areas with mixed land use.) Flowpath studies employ the sampling of special monitoring wells to examine the evolution of shallow ground-water quality along inferred flowpaths in the subsurface. A national assessment of ground-water quality, and the processes that control it, is obtained by combining the results

Figure 2. Study units of the NAWQA Program (adapted from Gilliom and others, 1995). Full names of the study units examined in this report (shown in yellow) are provided in table 8.

reported by the individual NAWQA study-unit investigations from across the country.

This report summarizes SUS and LUS results from the first intensive data collection phase of the NAWQA Program (1993–1995). These studies were conducted in the study units begun in fiscal year 1991 (shown in yellow in fig. 2). Figure 3 shows the areas sampled for the LUSs of interest, whereas figure 4 shows those sampled for the SUSs. Some of the principal characteristics of these LUSs and SUSs are summarized in table 8. Although the 1993–1995 LUSs also focused on other land-use settings, only those undertaken in agricultural and urban areas were sufficiently numerous to merit discussion here. (For the purposes of this report, the term "urban" includes suburban, as well as more densely populated urban settings, but generally excludes heavily industrialized areas.) Furthermore, the only LUSs or SUSs examined here, or included in table 8, are those for which 10 or more sites (wells or springs) were sampled for pesticide analyses. The pesticide occurrence data

summarized in this report for the NAWQA Program were compiled in May 1998.

Chemical analyses for all of the pesticide compounds discussed in this report for the NAWQA study were carried out using solid-phase extraction onto C-18 cartridges followed by capillary-column gas chromatography/mass spectrometry, or GC/MS (Zaugg and others, 1995). As noted by Kolpin and others (1998a), the method detection limits (MDL) for the NAWQA Program (table 4) provide an indication of the relative sensitivities of the analytical methods to the different compounds examined, but were not used as lower thresholds for reporting detections. Instead, pesticide detections were reported when specific analytical identification criteria were met on the basis of gas chromatographic retention times and mass spectral peak areas, rather than concentration thresholds (Zaugg and others, 1995). For this reason, concentration values presented for individual pesticides from the NAWQA Program are, in some instances, lower than the MDLs given in table 4.

Figure 3. Areas sampled for the NAWQA land-use studies discussed in this report.

Figure 4. Areas sampled for the NAWQA subunit surveys discussed in this report.

Table 8. Principal characteristics of the NAWQA land-use studies and subunit surveys discussed in this report

[Adapted from Gilliom and others (1998). Study-area code: First four letters denote study unit, followed by three letters indicating study component (land-use study [LUS] or subunit survey [SUS]). Other abbreviations: aeol, aeolian; ag, agriculture; b, open-borehole wells; c, commercial wells; d, domestic wells; DWA, drinking-water aquifer; e, existing wells (type not specified); in, inactive wells; inst, institutional wells; ir, irrigation wells; lac, lacustrine; m, existing monitoring wells; n, new wells; p, public-supply wells; s, springs; SGW, shallow ground water; st, stock wells; uncf, unconfined; uncs, unconsolidated; w, wells. Well depth data not yet complete for some networks]

Study-area code (see fig. 2 for study unit location)	Number of sites sampled for pesticides	Type of resource	Type(s) of sites sampled	Primary land use	Geographic setting	Hydrogeologic setting(s) sampled	Median well depth (feet)
Albemarle–Pamlico Drainage (ALBE)							
albelus1	17	DWA, SGW	w (n)	ag	Coastal Plain	Surficial aquifer	11.9
albelusur	13	DWA, SGW	w (e)	urban	Coastal Plain (Virginia Beach)	Surficial aquifer	65
albesus7	15	DWA	w (n,p)	mixed	Inner Coastal Plain	Various	30.9
albesus8	17	DWA	w (n,p)	mixed	Outer Coastal Plain	Various	24
Apalachicola–Chattahoochee–Flint Basin (ACFB)							
acfblusag1	17	SGW	w (n)	ag	Coastal Plain	Limestone (Upper Floridan and Claiborne aquifers)	38.6
acfbluscr1	10	SGW	w (n)	ag	Upper Coastal Plain	Clastic limestone (Claiborne aquifer)	55.7
acfbluscr2	10	SGW	w (n)	ag	Lower Coastal Plain	Karstic limestone (Upper Floridan aquifer)	56.4
acfblusur	37	SGW	w (e), s	urban	Piedmont Province (Atlanta)	Crystalline bedrock (Providence aquifer)	30.6
acfbsus	41	DWA	w (d,m), s	mixed	Coastal Plain	Limestone (Upper Floridan aquifer)	124
Central Columbia Plateau (CCPT)							
ccptlusag1	28	DWA, SGW	w (n,d)	ag	Palouse River Basin	Basalt (Yakima aquifer [d]); loess (n)	80
ccptlusag2	49	DWA, SGW	w (n,d)	ag	Quincy and Pasco River Basins	Glacial sand and gravel (Ringold aquifer)	100
ccptlusor1	40	DWA, SGW	w (n,d)	ag (orchards)	Quincy and Pasco River Basins	Glacial sand and gravel (Ringold aquifer)	73
ccptsus1d	107	DWA	w (p)	mixed	Central Columbia Plateau	Various	197
Central Nebraska Basins (CNBR)							
cnbrsus1	11	DWA, SGW	w (e)	ag	Platte River Valley	Alluvial aquifer	19
Connecticut, Housatonic, and Thames River Basins (CONN)							
connlusag	39	SGW	w (e)	ag	New England Upland valleys	Stratified glacial drift aquifers	20.7
connlusur	40	SGW	w (e)	urban	New England Upland valleys (30 towns)	Stratified glacial drift aquifers	21.8
connsus1	29	DWA	w (e)	mixed	New England Upland valleys	Fractured, crystalline bedrock	235
Georgia–Florida Coastal Plain (GAFL)							
gaflluscr	23	SGW	w (n)	ag	Coastal Plain	Surficial aquifer	27

Table 8. Principal characteristics of the NAWQA land-use studies and subunit surveys discussed in this report —*Continued*

Study-area code (see fig. 2 for study unit location)	Number of sites sampled for pesticides	Type of resource	Type(s) of sites sampled	Primary land use	Geographic setting	Hydrogeologic setting(s) sampled	Median well depth (feet)
gafllusur3a	16	SGW	w (m)	urban	Central Florida Ridge (Tampa and Ocala)	Sand and limestone aquifer	23.5
gaflsus	35	SGW	w (e)	mixed	Georgia–Florida Coastal Plain	Various	31.2
Hudson River Basin (HDSN)							
hdsnlusag	16	SGW	w (n), s	ag	Mohawk River Valley	Glaciolacustrine sand and gravel aquifer	19.6
hdsnlusur	26	DWA, SGW	w (n,e)	urban	Mohawk River Valley	Clifton Park aquifer	21
hdsnsus	49	DWA	w (e), s	mixed	Hudson River Valley	Various	122.5
Lower Susquehanna River Basin (LSUS)							
lsuslus1	30	DWA, SGW	w (b)	ag	Piedmont carbonate area	Regolith	160
lsuslus2	30	DWA, SGW	w (b)	ag	Appalachian Mountain carbonate area	Regolith	172.5
lsuslus3	30	DWA, SGW	w (b)	ag	Great Valley carbonate area	Regolith	159
lsuslus4	20	DWA, SGW	w (n, b)	urban	Great Valley carbonate area	Regolith	108
lsussus1	29	DWA, SGW	w (e)	mixed	Appalachian Mountain siliciclastic area	Various	155
lsussus2	30	DWA, SGW	w (e)	mixed	Piedmont crystalline area	Various	146.5
Nevada Basin and Range (NVBR)							
nvbrlusag1	20	SGW	w (n, m)	ag	Carson Valley	Alluvial aquifer	19.5
nvbrlusag2	10	SGW	w (m)	ag	Carson Desert	Uncs, lac, aeol aquifer	15
nvbrlusur1	32	SGW	w (n, m)	urban	Las Vegas	Basin-fill aquifer (uncs, uncf)	25
nvbrlusur2	28	SGW	w (n, m)	urban	Reno–Sparks	Alluvial aquifer	29
nvbrsus1	22	DWA	w (e)	mixed	Las Vegas	Deep Las Vegas aquifers	840
nvbrsus2	18	DWA	w (e)	mixed	Reno–Sparks	Deep Reno–Sparks aquifers	442.5
nvbrsus3	17	DWA	w (e)	mixed	Carson Valley	Deep Carson Valley area aquifers	145
Ozark Plateaus (OZRK)							
ozrklusag1	40	DWA, SGW	w (d), s	ag (poultry)	Springfield Plateau	Springfield Plateau aquifer (uncf)	170
ozrklusag2	40	DWA, SGW	w (d), s	ag (cattle)	Springfield Plateau	Springfield Plateau aquifer (uncf)	180
ozrksus2a	63	DWA, SGW	w (d), s	mixed	Salem Plateau	Ozark aquifer (uncf)	196
ozrksus2b	34	DWA, SGW	w (d), s	mixed	Springfield Plateau	Springfield Plateau aquifer (uncf)	140

Table 8. Principal characteristics of the NAWQA land-use studies and subunit surveys discussed in this report —*Continued*

Study-area code (see fig. 2 for study unit location)	Number of sites sampled for pesticides	Type of resource	Type(s) of sites sampled	Primary land use	Geographic setting	Hydrogeologic setting(s) sampled	Median well depth (feet)
Potomac River Basin (POTO)							
potolusag1	29	DWA, SGW	w (d)	ag	Ridge and Valley Province	Great Valley carbonate aquifer	144
potolusag2	25	DWA, SGW	w (d)	ag	Ridge and Valley Province	Great Valley noncarbonate aquifer	122
potosus1	25	DWA, SGW	w (e)	mixed	Piedmont Province	Various	134
potosus2	23	DWA, SGW	w (e)	mixed	Triassic Lowlands	Triassic aquifer	147
Red River of the North (REDN)							
rednlus1	25	DWA, SGW	w (n,d,m)	ag	Otter Tail River Basin	Surficial aquifer (uncf sand and gravel outwash)	25.9
rednlus2	29	DWA, SGW	w (n, m)	ag	Sheyenne Delta	Surficial aquifer (uncf deltaic sand and gravel)	14.9
rednsus1	18	DWA	w (e)	mixed	Agassiz Lake Plain	Surficial aquifer (uncf sand and gravel)	43.2
rednsus2	22	DWA	w (e)	mixed	Minnesota Moraine	Surficial aquifer (uncf sand and gravel)	56.5
rednsus3	14	DWA	w (e)	mixed	North Dakota	Surficial aquifer (uncf sand and gravel)	40
Rio Grande Valley (RIOG)							
rioglusag	30	SGW	w (n)	ag	Rincon/Hatch area	Alluvial aquifer	19.9
riogluscr	35	SGW	w (n)	ag	San Luis Valley	Surficial aquifer (uncf)	19.7
rioglusur	22	SGW	w (n, m)	urban	Albuquerque area	Alluvial aquifer	26.8
riogsus	29	DWA	w (e)	mixed	Rio Grande Valley	Basin-fill aquifer (sedimentary/volcanic)	178
San Joaquin–Tulare Basins (SANJ)							
sanjlus41	20	DWA, SGW	w (d)	ag (vine-yards)	San Joaquin Valley	Surficial alluvial aquifer	175
sanjlus51	20	DWA, SGW	w (d)	ag (almond orchards)	San Joaquin Valley	Surficial alluvial aquifer	147.5
sanjlus61	20	DWA, SGW	w (d)	ag (row-crops)	San Joaquin Valley	Surficial alluvial aquifer	145
sanjsus1	28	DWA	w (e)	mixed	San Joaquin Valley	Surficial alluvial aquifer	182
South Platte River Basin (SPLT)							
spltluscr	28	SGW	w (n, m)	ag	South Platte Valley	South Platte alluvial aquifer	23
spltlusur	30	SGW	w (n, d, m)	urban	South Platte Valley (Denver area)	South Platte alluvial aquifer	27.2
spltsus1	25	DWA	w (e)	mixed	Rocky Mountains (Front Range)	Fractured crystalline rock aquifer	225
Trinity River Basin (TRIN)							
trinlusur1	19	SGW	w (n)	urban	Eastern Cross Timbers (4 cities)	Woodbine aquifer	25.5
trinsus1	23	DWA	w (e)	mixed	Western Cross Timbers	Trinity aquifer	160

Table 8. Principal characteristics of the NAWQA land-use studies and subunit surveys discussed in this report —*Continued*

Study-area code (see fig. 2 for study unit location)	Number of sites sampled for pesticides	Type of resource	Type(s) of sites sampled	Primary land use	Geographic setting	Hydrogeologic setting(s) sampled	Median well depth (feet)
trinsus2	23	DWA	w (e)	mixed	Texas Claypan	Carrizo–Wilcox aquifer	160
trinsus3	24	DWA	w (e)	mixed	Eastern Timberlands Coastal Prairie and Marsh	Gulf Coast aquifer	140
Upper Snake River Basin (USNK)							
usnkluscr1	29	DWA, SGW	w (d,ir,in)	ag	Minidoka County	Snake River alluvial aquifer (Burley perched zones)	33
usnkluscr2	31	DWA, SGW	w (d, ir, c, in)	ag	A & B Irrigation District	East Snake River Plain aquifer (basalt/ sedimentary)	225
usnkluscr3	30	DWA, SGW	w (d, st, ir)	ag	Jerome and Gooding counties	East Snake River Plain aquifer (basalt/ sedimentary)	200
usnkluscr4	14	DWA, SGW	w (e)	ag	East of Eden	East Snake River Plain aquifer (basalt/ sedimentary)	377.5
usnksus1	41	DWA	w (e)	mixed	Snake River Plain	East Snake River Plain aquifer (basalt/ sedimentary)	260
usnksus2	38	DWA	w (e)	mixed	Tributaries of the Snake River Plain	Alluvial aquifers	203.5
usnksus3	18	DWA	w (e)	mixed	Jackson Hole area	Alluvial aquifers	118
White River Basin (WHIT)							
whitlus1	22	SGW	w (n)	ag	Tipton till plain	Sand and gravel lenses	28
whitlus2	22	SGW	w (n)	ag	Wabash glacial lowland	Dune sand (some clay layers)	22.2
whitlus3	24	DWA, SGW	w (n)	ag	Fluvial outwash	Fluvial aquifer (uncf)	20.5
whitlus4	25	DWA, SGW	w (n)	urban	Fluvial outwash (3 cities)	Fluvial aquifer (uncf)	29
Willamette Basin (WILL)							
willlus1	15	SGW	w (d)	ag	Willamette Valley	Alluvial aquifer	50
willlus2	28	SGW	w (d)	ag	Willamette Valley	Alluvial aquifer	64
willlusur	10	SGW	w (n)	urban	Willamette Valley	Alluvial aquifer	111
willsus	26	DWA	w (d)	mixed	Willamette Valley	Alluvial aquifer	60
Western Lake Michigan Drainages (WMIC)							
wmiclusag1	28	SGW	w (n), s	ag	Southeastern Wisconsin Till Plain	Surficial aquifer (till)	30
wmiclusag2	30	SGW	w (n)	ag	Central Wisconsin Sand Plain	Surficial aquifer (sand and gravel)	40
wmicsus1	29	DWA	w (d,p, inst)	mixed	Central Lowlands (Interior Plains)	Cambrian–Ordovician aquifer, west of Maquoketa shale	170

Pesticide detections for the other multistate studies (including the MWPS), however, were based strictly on the reporting limits summarized in table 4.

The results from the NAWQA LUSs and SUSs provide information on the quality of shallow ground water, as well as water obtained from drinking-water aquifers. However, because shallow ground water is a source of supply in many of the areas examined, each LUS or SUS in table 8 was designated as an investigation of the quality of either shallow ground water (SGW), a DWA, or both. While all of the LUSs were, by design, classified as SGW, only those sampling DWAs were classified as both SGW and DWA. An SUS that sampled a recently recharged DWA may also have been designated as both SGW and DWA (Gilliom and others, 1998), provided its median well depth was less than or comparable to those for the LUSs undertaken in the same study unit, or if other evidence suggested that the wells in the SUS sampled ground water that was susceptible to surface-derived contamination.

Midwest Pesticide Study (MWPS)

The MWPS was designed to investigate the spatial distributions in ground water of the principal herbicides used for corn and soybean cultivation, and some of the major degradates of these herbicides, in the northern midcontinent (fig. 5; tables 4 through 7). Successive rounds of sampling during the program also have provided opportunities to examine changes in these distributions between preplanting and postplanting periods of the growing season, as well as from one year to the next. The study region is restricted to the set of contiguous counties in the 12 states of the northern midcontinent where, at the time of well selection, 25 percent or more of the cropland was devoted to the cultivation of corn and soybeans (table 7). Sampling focuses exclusively on near-surface aquifers, defined as those for which "the top of aquifer material [is] within 50 ft of land surface, regardless of whether the material is saturated or unsaturated" (Kolpin and Burkart, 1991). All wells are screened in a single near-surface aquifer, either unconsolidated or bedrock, and were chosen such that at least 25 percent of the surrounding area within a 2-mile radius was planted in corn or soybeans during the growing season immediately preceding the period of well selection. The resulting reconnaissance network consists of 303 wells, one per county for each of the

two aquifer types (where available) in the 12-state study area (Kolpin and others, 1996b). Because of geologic variations across the northern midcontinent, bedrock aquifers are encountered more commonly in the central and southeastern part of the study area than in the western and northeastern areas (fig. 5). Chemical analyses for the seven herbicides of interest, as well as for the atrazine degradates deethylatrazine (DEA) and deisopropylatrazine (DIA), have been carried out using the same analytical method employed for these compounds during the NAWQA study, that is, solid-phase extraction onto C-18 cartridges followed by capillary-column GC/MS (Kolpin and others, 1995). Additional methods (Kolpin and others, 1995, 1996b) have been used for the analysis of selected degradates of alachlor and cyanazine, as well as for all analyses of the seven herbicides and their degradates that employed reporting limits lower than 0.05 μg/L for the 1992 sampling (tables 4 and 6).

As indicated in table 4, data from five rounds of sampling over a 4-year period (1991–1994) have been reported to date for the MWPS. For the first year (1991), the entire network (with some minor attrition from the original set of 303 available wells) was sampled twice—once before, and once following most

Figure 5. Hydrogeologic settings tapped by the 94 wells sampled in 1992 for the MWPS. Data from Kolpin and others (1993).

of the spring herbicide applications (Kolpin and others, 1994). In 1992 (Kolpin and others, 1995), samples from a randomly selected subset of 94 wells from the network were subjected to more sophisticated chemical analyses that involved a broader range of pesticide compounds, including a threefold increase in the number of degradates examined (table 6) and considerably lower analytical detection limits (table 4). The 1993 sampling was designed to examine the effects of the 1993 Mississippi River floods on the occurrence of herbicides and their degradates in the near-surface unconsolidated aquifers within the inundated parts of the study area, focusing on sites that received greater than 150 percent of normal rainfall that spring (Kolpin and Thurman, 1995). Thirty-eight of the wells screened in unconsolidated aquifers were sampled in 1994 (Kolpin and others, 1996b). A comprehensive description of the overall design of the MWPS, including the methods employed for well selection, sampling, chemical analysis, and quality assurance, has been provided by Kolpin and others (1994).

Adjustment of Detection Frequencies to a Common Reporting Limit

All other factors being equal, studies that employ lower reporting limits for a given pesticide generally observe higher frequencies of its detection than those using higher reporting limits (for example, Burkart and Kolpin, 1993; Barbash and Resek, 1996). This inverse relation makes it difficult to compare detection frequencies among different compounds, different studies, or different phases of the same study if reporting limits are not uniform. To compensate for this, detection frequencies for the USGS studies are computed on the basis of a common reporting limit whenever such comparisons are made in this report, as has been done in previous discussions of the results from the MWPS (for example, Kolpin and others, 1994) and NAWQA investigations (Kolpin and others, 1998a). Comparisons of the USGS results with those from the other multistate studies are also carried out on the basis of common reporting limits.

Comparisons of NAWQA and MWPS Results

Because the MWPS has involved five rounds of sampling, the results from a single phase of the study were chosen for comparison with those from the

NAWQA investigation. The 1992 MWPS sampling was selected for this purpose because it was the study phase that was closest in time to the NAWQA sampling (1993–1995), while avoiding both the unusual climatic conditions represented by the 1993 floods (Kolpin and Thurman, 1995) and the much more limited sampling of 1994 (Kolpin and others, 1996b). Another reason why the 1992 data from the MWPS were chosen for these comparisons was that among all of the phases of the MWPS to date, the analytical scope and detection limits of the 1992 sampling were most similar to those employed for the NAWQA Program (table 4). To facilitate comparisons with the NAWQA data, frequencies of pesticide detection from the 1992 MWPS sampling were computed using a reporting limit of 0.01 µg/L. Because higher detection limits were employed at other times during the MWPS (table 4), however, comparisons among the results from different phases of the MWPS were conducted using a higher reporting limit of 0.05 µg/L.

OCCURRENCE OF MAJOR HERBICIDES AND THEIR DEGRADATES IN GROUND WATER

Most of the pesticide compounds examined in this report were among those detected most frequently in ground water during the NAWQA study. This is evident from figure 6, which displays the 10 pesticide analytes with the highest frequencies of detection at or above 0.05 µg/L at the agricultural LUS sites during the NAWQA Program. Also shown are the frequencies with which these compounds were detected in shallow ground water sampled in urban areas (urban LUSs) and in ground water sampled in areas of mixed land use (SUSs). The detection frequencies in figure 6 were calculated for a reporting limit of 0.05 µg/L, rather than 0.01 µg/L, to accommodate the higher reporting limits associated with a second analytical method employed by NAWQA for approximately half of the pesticide compounds examined—that is, high-pressure liquid chromatography with spectrophoto-metric detection (Werner and others, 1996) rather than GC/MS. Although many of the NAWQA sites were sampled more than once for pesticides, the frequencies of detection shown in figure 6 were computed using data from only one sample per site on the basis of the most recent compilation for the NAWQA pesticide results (U.S. Geological Survey, 1998).

SHALLOW GROUND WATER
(Land-use studies)

SHALLOW AND DEEP
GROUND WATER
(Subunit surveys)

Agricultural   Urban   Mixed land use

Percentage of sites with detections at or above 0.05 microgram per liter

Figure 6. Pesticide compounds detected most frequently in ground water for the NAWQA study. Compounds of interest to this report are underlined. Data shown are based on preliminary results (U.S. Geological Survey, 1998). DEA, deethylatrazine.

In general, variations in the frequencies of detection among compounds, settings, and study phases for the NAWQA and MWPS investigations reflect the effects of differences in the rates, timing, and settings of pesticide use; contrasts in the environmental persistence of the compounds; and variations in climatic conditions and sampling depth. The frequencies of detection of atrazine, cyanazine, prometon, simazine, acetochlor, alachlor, and metolachlor in ground water during the NAWQA Program are presented in figure 7, relative to a common reporting limit of 0.01 µg/L. For each herbicide, overall detection frequencies are shown for four sampling components from the NAWQA ground-water studies—shallow ground water sampled in areas of agricultural, urban, and mixed land use (agricultural LUSs, urban LUSs, and SUSs sampling shallow ground water, respectively), and deeper ground water sampled beneath areas of mixed land use (remaining SUSs).

In figure 7, the NAWQA data are also compared with the results from the 1992 sampling for the MWPS, using the same reporting limit of 0.01 µg/L. Consistent with the fact that the MWPS sampled shallow ground water in predominantly agricultural areas, the relative frequencies of pesticide detection for this study are more similar to those observed for the agricultural LUSs than for any of the other three components of the NAWQA program. (These similarities will be examined in greater detail in later sections of this report.) In addition, the patterns of pesticide detection in the areas of mixed land use for the NAWQA program are more similar to those observed for the agricultural LUSs than those observed for the urban LUSs, reflecting the predominance of agricultural areas sampled during the first phase of the NAWQA program.

The predominance of atrazine relative to prometon in shallow ground water beneath agricultural areas (fig. 7) is consistent with the primarily agricultural use of atrazine, whereas the predominance of prometon relative to atrazine in the urban areas reflects the primarily nonagricultural use of prometon. The relatively common occurrence of prometon in

Figure 7. Frequencies of herbicide detection in ground water during the NAWQA (1993-1995) and MWPS (1992) investigations. Numbers of sites sampled for acetochlor given in brackets.

agricultural settings, however, suggests that pesticide applications for nonagricultural purposes (table 2)—such as for treating pavement, fence rows, rights-of-way, and other commercial and residential areas—may still be relatively extensive in agricultural areas. (No nationwide data on prometon use are available to test this hypothesis, however.) The similarity in simazine detection frequencies between the agricultural and urban areas (fig. 7) is consistent with the fact that the nationwide use of this herbicide in nonagricultural settings is nearly as high as in agricultural locations (table 2).

The detections in urban areas of alachlor (albeit at a concentration of less than 0.01 µg/L, as noted in a later section) and cyanazine, herbicides with no known uses in nonagricultural settings (table 2), may have been the result of historical use, atmospheric deposition, or transport of the herbicides from nearby application areas, either in the air (through spray drift) or in ground water. The other three agricultural herbicides detected in the urban areas (atrazine, simazine, and metolachlor) may also have entered the shallow ground water by atmospheric or subsurface transport from nearby agricultural applications. Indeed, recent detections of alachlor, atrazine, cyanazine, and metolachlor in rainfall and stormwater runoff in a small urban watershed in Minneapolis, Minnesota, where none of these compounds had been applied (Capel and others, 1998), demonstrate that agricultural pesticides may be carried by atmospheric transport from nearby application areas into a watershed where they have not been used. However, because atrazine, simazine, and metolachlor are also used for nonagricultural purposes (table 2), the possibility that some of the detections of these compounds in the urban areas during the NAWQA LUSs could have resulted from their nonagricultural use near the sampled areas cannot be ruled out.

Figure 8 also shows the relative frequencies of herbicide detection among the NAWQA study components, but from a perspective different from that of figure 7. While figure 7 displays the frequencies of detection of each herbicide across all sites for a given study component (for example, all shallow ground

Figure 8. Frequencies of herbicide detection for individual ground-water studies of NAWQA (see table 8 for study characteristics) relative to land-use setting and well depth. Fewer studies sampled for acetochlor than for the other herbicides.

Figure 9. Percentages of sampled sites with multiple herbicide detections, on the basis of their original reporting limits (table 4), for the NAWQA and MWPS investigations.

water sampled in urban settings), figure 8 provides an indication of the variability in pesticide detection frequencies among the individual studies carried out for each study component. Indeed, figure 8 demonstrates that, for several of the herbicides in some of the study components, this variability was substantial.

Co-occurrence

During both the NAWQA and MWPS investigations, the detection of one of the pesticide compounds at an individual site was commonly accompanied by the detection of others. Two or more of the herbicides of interest were detected in ground water at 19.7 percent of the 2,227 sites sampled for NAWQA, and at 13.8 percent of the 94 wells sampled in 1992 for the MWPS (fig. 9). As shown in figure 9, the frequency distributions for multiple herbicide detections were similar between the two studies.

The specific combinations of pesticide compounds detected together in ground water were also similar between the two investigations. For both studies, the pair of compounds detected together most frequently consisted of atrazine and DEA, as shown in table 9. The fact that atrazine was one of the two pesticide compounds detected together most often was not unexpected because it was the pesticide detected most frequently by both studies. The observation that DEA was the other compound was also not surprising, for at least four reasons: (1) DEA is an atrazine degradate, (2) the two compounds have similar transport characteristics (Mills and Thurman, 1994), (3) DEA is relatively persistent in ground water, and

Table 9. Co-occurrence of herbicide compounds at sites with two or more of the compounds detected at or above their original reporting limits (tables 4 and 6) during the NAWQA and MWPS investigations

[NAWQA data derived from all land-use studies and subunit surveys listed in table 8. For reasons described in text, results do not include data for acetochlor or, for the 1992 MWPS data, 2,6-diethylaniline. DEA, deethylatrazine]

Target compound	Percentage of sampled sites where target compound was detected with:						
	Atrazine	DEA	Simazine	Metolachlor	Prometon	Alachlor	Cyanazine
NAWQA Data (2,227 sites)							
DEA	24.6						
Simazine	12.0	11.0					
Metolachlor	9.43	8.49	4.98				
Prometon	8.17	7.45	6.15	4.45			
Alachlor	1.66	1.44	0.76	1.39	0.67		
Cyanazine	0.90	0.90	0.76	0.81	0.58	0.18	
2,6-Diethylaniline	0.22	0.22	0.09	0.13	0.04	0.18	0.09
1992 MWPS Data (94 wells)							
DEA	22.3						
Simazine	12.8	4.26					
Metolachlor	8.51	6.38	1.06				
Prometon	6.38	4.26	2.13	4.26			
Alachlor	4.26	4.26	0	3.19	1.06		
Cyanazine	1.06	1.06	0	1.06	0	1.06	

(4) DEA was the second most frequently detected pesticide compound during both studies.

Other than the atrazine-DEA pair, the herbicides detected together most frequently during the NAWQA and MWPS investigations were those applied in both agricultural and nonagricultural settings (table 2)—atrazine (and thus DEA), simazine, and metolachlor. Among the pesticides of interest, those detected least often with other compounds were the exclusively agricultural herbicides alachlor and cyanazine. These two herbicides may also have been detected less frequently with other compounds because of the more limited range of agricultural settings in which they are used (table 2) and their relatively low persistence (table 3). Data for acetochlor are not included in table 9 because sampling for it was carried out in only a subset of the wells sampled by NAWQA, and in none of the wells sampled in 1992 for the MWPS (table 4). Similarly, although 2,6-diethylaniline was examined during the 1992 MWPS sampling (table 6), the MWPS results are not shown for this alachlor degrade in table 9 because analyses for it were carried out on only a subset of the 94 wells sampled that year (Kolpin and others, 1996c).

Concentrations

Detection frequencies relative to the original reporting limits (table 4) were less than 50 percent for all seven herbicides during all phases of both the NAWQA and MWPS investigations. Consequently, upper 90th-percentile values, rather than medians, were used to summarize the herbicide concentrations measured in ground water during the two studies. The data in table 10 indicate that cyanazine, acetochlor, and alachlor were detected at fewer than 10 percent of the sites sampled for these herbicides during either the NAWQA study or the 1992 MWPS sampling. Among the other four herbicides, at least 90 percent of the concentrations measured were less than 0.1 µg/L for all of the study components shown, except for the atrazine detected by NAWQA in shallow ground water beneath agricultural areas. Indeed, consistent with observations reported by previous large-scale studies of pesticide concentrations in ground water (Barbash, 1996), over 98 percent of the detections reported by either of these two investigations were at concentrations less than 1 µg/L for all seven herbicides.

Degradates

The frequencies of detection of all the degradates examined for the seven herbicides during the NAWQA, MWPS, and CGAS investigations are summarized in table 11. (Data from the CGAS were included in the table because of the large number of degradates examined by this study.) The results are presented using varying reporting limits to facilitate comparisons among different compounds or different study components. To date, however, the investigation that has measured the concentrations of degradates in ground water for the largest number of herbicides was a 1996 statewide sampling of 88 municipal wells in Iowa by Kolpin and others (1998b). Results from this study are presented in table 12 to provide a broader analytical perspective on the occurrence of herbicide degradates in ground water, albeit within a smaller

Table 10. Upper 90th-percentile concentrations of the seven herbicides of interest measured in ground water by the NAWQA and MWPS investigations

[Numbers of sites sampled by each study phase given in table 13. Study Name: NAWQA, National Water-Quality Assessment; MWPS, Midwest Pesticide Study. Sampling Phase: DWA, drinking-water aquifers; SGW, shallow ground water. NA, not analyzed. ‡, compound detected at fewer than 10 percent of the sites sampled]

Study name	Sampling phase	Upper 90th-percentile herbicide concentrations measured in ground water, in microgram per liter						
		Atrazine	Cyanazine	Prometon	Simazine	Acetochlor	Alachlor	Metolachlor
NAWQA	SGW (agricultural areas)	0.21	‡	0.008	0.013	‡	‡	0.006
	SGW (urban areas)	0.017	‡	0.078	0.010	‡	‡	‡
	SGW (mixed land-use areas)	0.010	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡	0.001
	Deeper aquifers	0.008	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡
	DWA	0.056	‡	0.003	0.009	‡	‡	0.002
MWPS	7–8/92 (Random selection, postplanting)	0.086	‡	‡	0.002	NA	‡	0.003

area than that covered by any of the multistate studies. For the purpose of comparison with other data presented in this report, table 12 also summarizes the detection frequencies for prometon and simazine, which were also included in the Iowa study, but for which no degradates were specifically examined. Both tables 11 and 12 demonstrate that, as will be discussed in greater detail below, the degradates for the herbicides of interest were, in many instances, detected in ground water above a given reporting level more frequently than their respective parent compounds.

Factors Affecting Herbicide Occurrence

The overall frequencies of detection at or above 0.01 µg/L for the five PMP herbicides in shallow ground water beneath urban areas during the NAWQA study were significantly correlated with their respective intensities of nonagricultural use across the Nation (R^2=0.85; P=0.026; simple linear correlation), as shown in figure 10. (All statistical tests for this report were evaluated at a significance level [α] of 0.05.) Neither acetochlor nor prometon were included in this analysis because of the absence of quantitative

Table 11. Frequencies of detection of herbicide degradates in comparison with those for the corresponding parent compounds

[CGAS, Ciba-Geigy atrazine study; MWPS, Midwest Pesticide Study; NAWQA, National Water-Quality Assessment. MWPS data from Kolpin and others (1996b). CGAS data from Balu and others (1998). ag, agriculture; DEA, deethylatrazine; DIA, deisopropylatrazine; ESA, ethanesulfonic acid; RL, reporting limit; SGW, shallow ground water. µg/L, microgram per liter. —, no data available from sources consulted]

| Pesticide compound (degradates indented) | Frequency of detection during different study phases (percent of sites) | | | | | | | | |
| | NAWQA | | | | | MWPS | | CGAS | |
	RL (µg/L)	SGW (ag)	SGW (urban)	SGW (mixed)	Deeper aquifers	RL (µg/L)	1991–1994	RL (µg/L)	All wells
Alachlor	0.01	1.4	0	0.98	0.85	—	—	—	—
	0.05	0.5	0	0.98	0.42	0.05	3.3	—	—
2,6-Diethylaniline	0.003	1.0	0	0	0	0.003	16.0	—	—
	0.01	0.4	0	0	0	—	—	—	—
Alachlor ESA	—	—	—	—	—	0.10	45.8	—	—
Atrazine	0.01	31.1	14.5	10.3	7.8	—	—	—	—
	0.05	19.5	6.3	3.9	2.8	0.05	22.4	—	—
	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.10	23.9
DEA	0.01	28.2	10.4	17.2	6.1	—	—	—	—
	0.05	17.5	1.3	2.5	1.5	0.05	22.8	—	—
	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.10	28.8
DIA[1]	—	—	—	—	—	0.05	10.2	—	—
	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.10	14.9
Didealkylatrazine	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.10	24.1
Hydroxyatrazine	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.10	4.5
Deethyl hydroxyatrazine	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.10	2.8
Deisopropyl hydroxyatrazine	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.10	0.3
Didealkyl hydroxyatrazine	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.10	0.5
Cyanazine	0.01	1.2	0.9	0	0.1	—	—	—	—
	0.05	0.5	0	0	0	0.05	2.3	—	—
Cyanazine amide	—	—	—	—	—	0.05	11.0	—	—
Deethylcyanazine	—	—	—	—	—	0.05	0	—	—
Deethylcyanazine amide	—	—	—	—	—	0.05	0	—	—

[1]DIA may be produced from the transformation of either atrazine, cyanazine, or simazine.

data on their nationwide use in nonagricultural settings (table 2).

An estimate of agricultural use in each of the NAWQA LUS areas, available only for the five PMP herbicides, was obtained for each compound by adding together the estimated total amount of active ingredient applied to agricultural crops and pasture within a 1-kilometer radius surrounding each of the sampled sites for which use data were available, and summing use for all sites in each LUS area. These total use estimates were divided by the total area of the circles of 1-kilometer radius surrounding all of the sites to yield an average rate of use for each LUS area. Five sites were excluded from these computations because of a lack of data on agricultural use: two in albelus1 and one each in nvbrlusag1, rednlus2, and willlus2 (table 8). Although classified as an SUS, the cnbrsus1 investigation (table 8) was included among

Table 12. Frequencies of detection of the herbicides of interest and selected degradates during the 1996 statewide sampling of 88 municipal wells in Iowa

[Detection frequencies adjusted to a common reporting limit of 0.20 microgram per liter. Data from Kolpin and others (1998b) and Dana Kolpin, U.S. Geological Survey, written commun., 1998. Degradate: DEA, deethylatrazine; DIA, deisopropylatrazine; ESA, ethanesulfonic acid; OA, oxanilic acid. µg/L, microgram per liter]

Parent herbicide	Degradate	Detection frequency at or above 0.20 µg/L (percent)
Atrazine		17.0
	DEA	14.8
	DIA[1]	8.0
	Hydroxyatrazine	8.0
	DEA, DIA[1], or hydroxyatrazine	23.9
	Atrazine, DEA, DIA[1], or hydroxyatrazine	30.7
Cyanazine		1.1
	Cyanazine amide	11.4
	Cyanazine or cyanazine amide	11.4
Prometon		6.8
Simazine		0
Acetochlor		1.1
	Acetochlor ESA	9.1
	Acetochlor OA	3.4
	Acetochlor ESA or acetochlor OA	10.2
	Acetochlor, acetochlor ESA, or acetochlor OA	10.2
Alachlor		1.1
	Alachlor ESA	50.0
	Alachlor OA	21.6
	Alachlor ESA or alachlor OA	53.4
	Alachlor, alachlor ESA, or alachlor OA	53.4
Metolachlor		8.0
	Metolachlor ESA	59.1
	Metolachlor OA	23.9
	Metolachlor ESA or metolachlor OA	59.1
	Metolachlor, metolachlor ESA, or metolachlor OA	59.1

[1]DIA may be produced from the transformation of either atrazine, cyanazine, or simazine.

Figure 10. Frequencies of PMP herbicide detection in shallow ground water beneath urban areas for the NAWQA study in relation to nationwide nonagricultural use (table 2).

the studies examined for this analysis because it involved the sampling of shallow ground water in an area dominated by row-crop agriculture.

In agricultural areas, the relations between detection frequency at or above 0.01 µg/L in shallow ground water and intensity of agricultural use for the five PMP herbicides during the NAWQA study (fig. 11) were consistent with results from previous investigations (Barbash and Resek, 1996). Frequencies of detection were generally lower in areas of low use for all of the herbicides, whereas the highest detection frequencies were usually encountered in areas of more intensive use. However, areas with higher use also tended to show greater variability in detection frequencies than areas with lower use. Thus, in general, high use was a necessary, but not a sufficient condition for the frequent detection of an herbicide in shallow ground water beneath agricultural areas.

As is often the case for anthropogenic contaminants in environmental media, the frequencies of detection of most of the PMP herbicides among the different study areas were strongly skewed toward low values; the intensities of agricultural use in the individual study areas were also similarly distributed. Consequently, both parameters were subjected to a log (base 10) transformation in order to obtain distributions that more closely approximated normality before examining statistical correlations between occurrence and agricultural use for the NAWQA study.

To accommodate this transformation, for each agricultural LUS where an herbicide was not detected at or above 0.01 µg/L, the detection frequency for the compound was assigned a value of 1 percent (smaller than the lowest nonzero detection frequency for any of the herbicides in any of the study areas) before the transformation was applied. Similarly, for every network in which the total agricultural use of a given herbicide within a 1-kilometer radius from all sampled sites was estimated to be zero, the agricultural use was assigned a value of 0.001 kg a.i./km^2 (smaller than the smallest use value for any herbicide in any LUS network) to accommodate the log transformation. As noted earlier, any sites for which agricultural use data were not available were excluded from the univariate or multivariate statistical analyses.

Figure 11 indicates that atrazine (P=0.0028), and metolachlor (P=0.0006) have statistically significant linear correlations between their frequencies of detection in shallow ground water and their use in agricultural areas, whereas simazine, alachlor, and cyanazine do not (P>0.05; log-transformed variables). When these relations were examined from a nonparametric perspective, however, the rank correlations between detection frequency and agricultural use were found to be statistically significant (P<0.02; Spearman rank correlations) for all herbicides except simazine. Nevertheless, the considerable scatter in the data shown in figure 11, and the correspondingly low R^2 values, indicate that herbicide detection frequencies in shallow ground water are governed by other factors in addition to use. Consequently, multiple regression analysis was used to explore the influence of other factors on herbicide detection frequencies.

Initial analysis of the NAWQA LUS results by Kolpin and others (1998a) indicated that among the 20 pesticides detected at or above 0.01 µg/L in shallow ground water beneath agricultural areas, the frequencies of detection were significantly related to the agricultural use and subsurface mobility (K_{oc}) of the compounds (P<0.05; Spearman rank correlations), but not to their field dissipation half-lives (P>0.05). Through the use of multivariate correlations, the present report extends this analysis for the five PMP herbicides to examine the degree to which their detection frequencies in shallow ground water beneath agricultural areas were correlated with their agricultural use, K_{oc} and aerobic soil half-lives (table 3), and with the median well depths of the sampled

Figure 11. Frequencies of PMP herbicide detection in shallow ground water for the 39 NAWQA studies undertaken in agricultural areas (table 8) in relation to agricultural use within a 1-kilometer radius surrounding all sites sampled for each study. To accommodate log scale, agricultural use was assigned a value of 0.001 kg/km^2 in all study areas where agricultural use was estimated to be zero for the herbicide of interest (see text). Numbers of networks with zero estimated agricultural use and no detections are given in brackets. a.i., active ingredient. R^2, coefficient of determination for simple linear correlations; ρ, Spearman rank correlation coefficient; $t_{1/2}$, half-life for herbicide transformation in aerobic soil (table 3); *, correlation significant at the P<0.05 level; **, correlation significant at the P<0.001 level.

networks (table 8). As with the previous multivariate analysis of the NAWQA LUS data presented by Kolpin and others (1998a), and for the reasons noted earlier, these computations were carried out following log transformation of all variables.

The multivariate analysis indicates that the frequencies with which the PMP herbicides were detected in shallow ground water for the agricultural LUSs were significantly correlated with their agricultural use in the individual LUSs and their aerobic soil half-lives ($P \leq 0.0001$ for each parameter), but not with K_{oc} ($P=0.19$) or the median well depth of the sampled networks ($P=0.72$). Overall, variations in agricultural use and aerobic soil half-life accounted for 36 percent of the observed variability in PMP herbicide detection frequencies in shallow ground water among the agricultural LUSs ($R^2=0.36$).

The nonsignificant relations with K_{oc} and median well depth were likely caused in part by the relatively narrow range spanned by both parameters (tables 3 and 8). The lack of significant correlation between detection frequencies and K_{oc} during the multivariate analysis is in marked contrast to the significant, inverse relation observed by Kolpin and others (1998a) between the two parameters for the NAWQA LUS data. However, this contrast is not necessarily surprising—only five herbicides were examined for the present case, with K_{oc} values varying by only a factor of three (table 3), whereas Kolpin and others (1998a) examined all 20 pesticides detected at or above 0.01 µg/L in the agricultural LUSs, a set of compounds for which K_{oc} values spanned more than two orders of magnitude. Similarly, as with the nonsignificant relation seen here between herbicide detection frequencies and the median depths of the wells in the sampled networks, a lack of a significant correlation between herbicide detection frequencies in near-surface aquifers and well depths during the first year of the MWPS was attributed by Burkart and Kolpin (1993) to the relatively narrow range of well depths examined.

The relations between detection frequency and agricultural use for the PMP herbicides (fig. 11) illustrate the combined influence of persistence and use identified by the multivariate correlation results. Maximum frequencies of detection, most commonly observed in high-use areas, diminished with decreasing aerobic soil half-life among the five compounds. Furthermore, metolachlor, alachlor, and cyanazine—agricultural herbicides with similar

half-lives—displayed similar relations between detection frequency and use over the range from 1 to 20 kg/km^2.

Results from the statewide sampling of municipal wells in Iowa (table 12 and fig. 12) support the hypothesis that the relatively low frequencies with which cyanazine and the three acetanilides were detected in shallow ground water beneath agricultural areas during the NAWQA study, despite their high use in many of these areas, may have been related to the comparatively low persistence of these herbicides in soil. Figure 12 indicates that, to a first approximation, the more the reactive the herbicide (that is, the shorter its transformation half-life) in aerobic soil, the greater the frequency with which any of the degradates examined for that herbicide were detected in ground water during the Iowa study, relative to the detection frequency for the parent compound (all at or above 0.2 µg/L).

The absence of a significant correlation between detection frequency and use for simazine (fig. 11) was caused, in part, by its relatively high frequencies of detection in some of the study areas with low agricultural use—a potential consequence of its extensive use in nonagricultural settings (table 2). Substantial nonagricultural use may also explain why atrazine was detected frequently in some areas with low agricultural use. Additionally, the relatively high frequencies of atrazine and simazine detection in two of the studies with the lowest use of both compounds (usnkluscr1 and usnkluscr2, encircled in fig. 11) may have resulted from the extensive irrigation employed in the Upper

Figure 12. Ratio of the frequency of detection of any degradate of a given herbicide to the frequency of detection of the parent compound (all at or above 0.2 µg/L) in 88 municipal wells in Iowa (table 12) in relation to the transformation half-life of the parent compound in aerobic soil (table 3).

Snake River Basin, where these two studies were undertaken (table 8). Excluding the data from these two networks, however, did not affect the overall conclusions from any of the statistical analyses.

The fact that variations in persistence and agricultural use, in addition to well depth and pesticide mobility, accounted for less than 40 percent of the variability in detection frequencies observed in shallow ground water for the herbicides of interest during the NAWQA studies in agricultural areas demonstrates the need to incorporate a broader range of explanatory factors into this analysis. Future work with the NAWQA data will examine the effects of several additional parameters of interest in this regard, such as those related to hydrogeologic setting, soil properties, climate, and agricultural management practices (table 1).

Contrasts in the frequencies of herbicide detection reported by the different phases of the MWPS (using a common reporting limit of 0.05 µg/L to facilitate comparisons) suggest the potential influence of other factors, in addition to the properties and use of these compounds, on herbicide occurrence in ground water. Comparisons between the detection frequencies observed by the MWPS during the preplanting and postplanting samplings in 1991 (table 13 and fig. 13) indicated a general increase in the frequency of detection following planting for most of the compounds examined (Burkart and Kolpin, 1993), including all four of the triazine herbicides of interest to this report. Similarly, other studies have demonstrated that the likelihood of detecting pesticides in shallow ground water is generally highest during the first few weeks following application (Barbash and Resek, 1996).

The MWPS results also support the conclusion, reported by other investigators (Barbash and Resek, 1996), that pesticide detection frequencies in ground water increase with higher amounts of recharge. Results from sampling carried out for the MWPS in 1993, following the Mississippi River floods (Kolpin and Thurman, 1995), showed increases in the frequency of detection relative to the previous year for five of the herbicides of interest; essentially no change in detection frequency was observed for atrazine. Frequencies of detection of pesticides and other

Table 13. Frequencies of detection of the seven herbicides of interest at or above 0.05 microgram per liter during the NAWQA and MWPS investigations

[Total number of sites listed for NAWQA exceeds the total given in table 4 because of the assignment of some networks to both the shallow ground water (SGW) and drinking-water aquifer (DWA) categories (table 8). Because analyses for acetochlor were introduced after the initiation of sampling, fewer sites were sampled for acetochlor than for the other pesticides; number of sites sampled for acetochlor given in parentheses in the acetochlor column. µg/L, microgram per liter; NA, not analyzed]

Study name	Sampling phase	Number of sites sampled for pesticides	Frequency of herbicide detection at or above 0.05 µg/L, in percent						
			Atrazine	Cyanazine	Prometon	Simazine	Alachlor	Metolachlor	Acetochlor
NAWQA	SGW (agricultural areas)	995	19.5	0.5	3.4	4.3	0.5	3.0	0 (417 sites)
	SGW (urban areas)	318	6.3	0	13.2	3.5	0	0.9	0 (131 sites)
	SGW (mixed land-use areas)	204	3.9	0	1.0	0.5	0.9	2.8	3.2 (31 sites)
	Deeper aquifers	710	2.8	0	1.0	0.6	0.4	0.4	0 (372 sites)
	DWA	1,573	10.6	0.3	3.0	3.1	0.5	1.6	0.1 (727 sites)
MWPS	3–4/91 (preplanting)	299	14.7	0.3	4.0	0.7	2.3	3.0	NA
	7–8/91(postplanting)	290	20.4	1.1	6.1	1.4	1.1	2.5	NA
	7–8/92 (random site selection, postplanting)	94	24.0	0	7.0	1.0	3.0	3.0	NA
	9–10/93 (postflood; unconsolidated)	110	23.6	3.6	8.2	1.8	4.5	6.4	NA
	7–8/94 (more degradates; unconsolidated)	38	18.4	5.3	7.9	2.6	2.6	7.9	0