

**UNITED STATES INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION**  
**Washington, D.C. 20436**

**In the Matter of**

**CERTAIN DC-DC CONTROLLERS AND  
PRODUCTS CONTAINING SAME**

**Investigation No. 337-TA-698**

**NOTICE OF COMMISSION DECISION NOT TO REVIEW THE  
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE'S INITIAL DETERMINATION  
THAT THE IMPORTATION REQUIREMENT HAS BEEN MET**

**AGENCY:** U.S. International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review the presiding administrative law judge's initial determination ("ID") (Order No. 29) granting complainants' motion for summary determination that the importation requirement of section 337(a)(1)(B), 19 U.S.C. § 1337(a)(1)(B), has been satisfied against certain respondents.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Sidney A. Rosenzweig, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20436, telephone (202) 708-2532. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at <http://www.usitc.gov>. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Commission instituted this investigation on December 29, 2009, based on a complaint filed by Richtek Technology Corp. (Taiwan) and Richtek USA, Inc. (San Jose, Cal.) (collectively "Richtek"), alleging a violation of section 337 in the importation, sale for importation, and sale within the United States after importation of certain DC-DC controllers by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent Nos. 7,315,190 ("the '190 patent"); 6,414,470; and 7,132,717, and by reason of trade secret misappropriation. 75 *Fed. Reg.* 446 (Jan. 5, 2010). The complaint, as amended, names eight respondents: uPI Semiconductor Corp. (Taiwan) ("uPI"); Advanced Micro Devices, Inc. (Sunnyvale, Cal.); Sapphire Technology Ltd. (Hong Kong) ("Sapphire"); Best Data Products d/b/a Diamond Multimedia (Chatsworth, Cal.); Eastcom, Inc. D/b/a XFX Technology USA (Rowland Heights, Cal.); Micro-Star International Co., Ltd. (Taiwan); MSI Computer Corp.

(City of Industry, Cal.); and VisionTek Products LLC (Inverness, Ill.) (“VisionTek”). *See* Second Am. Compl. ¶¶ 12-34 (May 20, 2010).

On May 27, 2010, Richtek moved for summary determination that the importation requirement of section 337(a)(1)(B) has been satisfied against each respondent except VisionTek (which had not yet entered an appearance in the investigation at the time of the motion). *See* 19 U.S.C. § 1337(a)(1)(B). On June 7, 2010, uPI and Sapphire responded essentially to state that they did not oppose the motion. That same day, the Commission’s investigative attorney responded in support of the motion. No other parties filed responses. On June 18, 2010, the ALJ granted Richtek’s motion. Order No. 29 (June 18, 2010).

No petitions for review of the ID were filed. The Commission has determined not to review the ID.

The authority for the Commission’s determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. § 1337), and in section 210.42 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 C.F.R. § 210.42).

By order of the Commission.

/s/  
Marilyn R. Abbott  
Secretary to the Commission

Issued: July 13, 2010