



**US Army Corps  
of Engineers**

Hydrologic Engineering Center

---

# **Adjustment of Peak Discharge Rates for Urbanization**

**September 1978**

# REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188

The public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to the Department of Defense, Executive Services and Communications Directorate (0704-0188). Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.

**PLEASE DO NOT RETURN YOUR FORM TO THE ABOVE ORGANIZATION.**

<b>1. REPORT DATE</b> (DD-MM-YYYY) September 1978			<b>2. REPORT TYPE</b> Technical Paper		<b>3. DATES COVERED</b> (From - To)	
<b>4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE</b> Adjustment of Peak Discharge Rates for Urbanization				<b>5a. CONTRACT NUMBER</b>		
				<b>5b. GRANT NUMBER</b>		
				<b>5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER</b>		
<b>6. AUTHOR(S)</b> David L. Gundlach				<b>5d. PROJECT NUMBER</b>		
				<b>5e. TASK NUMBER</b>		
				<b>5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER</b>		
<b>7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)</b> US Army Corps of Engineers Institute for Water Resources Hydrologic Engineering Center (HEC) 609 Second Street Davis, CA 95616-4687				<b>8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER</b> TP-54		
<b>9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)</b>				<b>10. SPONSOR/ MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)</b>		
				<b>11. SPONSOR/ MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)</b>		
<b>12. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY STATEMENT</b> Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.						
<b>13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES</b> This paper is part of the copyrighted Journal of the Irrigation and Drainage Division, Proceedings of the American Society of Civil Engineers, Vol. 104, No. IR3, September 1978.						
<b>14. ABSTRACT</b> Various investigators have shown that changes from rural to urban conditions within a watershed, in general, significantly affect flood flows. Recorded annual peak discharge rates (or peak discharge rates above a given base) for a basin that has been undergoing a change in land-use conditions represent a non-stationary time series. The series must be adjusted to a specific land-use condition (typically present conditions) prior to performing a statistical frequency analysis. It was the aim of this note to present a procedure utilizing a single event rainfall-runoff model for transforming recorded peak discharges at a gaging station to a consistent set that reflects existing land-use conditions. Methods presented can be used as a guide to determine an existing-condition discharge frequency curve of annual peaks (or peak discharge rates above a given base) when utilizing a single event rainfall-runoff model. The Multiplan-ratio option of HEC-1 permits the user to calculate systematically the hydrologic response of several storm events for a given set of land-use conditions. The adjustment procedure described is also applicable when predicting runoff for estimated future conditions.						
<b>15. SUBJECT TERMS</b> urbanization, peak discharge, hydrograph analysis, urban hydrology, model studies, watersheds (basins), floods, surface runoff, runoff, storm runoff, hydrologic aspects, analytical techniques						
<b>16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:</b>			<b>17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT</b> UU	<b>18. NUMBER OF PAGES</b> 14	<b>19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON</b>	
<b>a. REPORT</b> U	<b>b. ABSTRACT</b> U	<b>c. THIS PAGE</b> U			<b>19b. TELEPHONE NUMBER</b>	

# **Adjustment of Peak Discharge Rates for Urbanization**

**September 1978**

US Army Corps of Engineers  
Institute for Water Resources  
Hydrologic Engineering Center  
609 Second Street  
Davis, CA 95616

(530) 756-1104  
(530) 756-8250 FAX  
[www.hec.usace.army.mil](http://www.hec.usace.army.mil)

TP-54

Papers in this series have resulted from technical activities of the Hydrologic Engineering Center. Versions of some of these have been published in technical journals or in conference proceedings. The purpose of this series is to make the information available for use in the Center's training program and for distribution with the Corps of Engineers.

The findings in this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position unless so designated by other authorized documents.

The contents of this report are not to be used for advertising, publication, or promotional purposes. Citation of trade names does not constitute an official endorsement or approval of the use of such commercial products.

# ADJUSTMENT OF PEAK DISCHARGE RATES FOR URBANIZATION

By David L. Gundlach,<sup>1</sup> A. M. ASCE

## INTRODUCTION

Various investigators (1,4,5,7) have shown that changes from rural to urban conditions within a watershed, in general, significantly affect flood flows. Recorded annual peak discharge rates (or peak discharge rates above a given base) for a basin that has been undergoing a change in land-use conditions represent a nonstationary time series. The series must be adjusted to a specific land-use condition (typically present conditions) prior to performing a statistical frequency analysis. It is the aim of this note to present a procedure utilizing a single event rainfall-runoff model for transforming recorded peak discharges at a gaging station to a consistent set that reflects existing land-use conditions.

## ADJUSTMENT OF RECORDED PEAK DISCHARGE RATES

In general, when a single event rainfall-runoff computer program such as the one in Ref. 2 is utilized to develop a calibrated basin model, the procedure involves the reconstitution of several flood hydrographs at a particular streamflow gaging station. If significant urbanization has taken place in the basin over time, it becomes necessary to reconstitute flood events that have occurred in a given time frame so that the effect of land-use change on the hydrologic regime within that time frame can be considered negligible. In most urbanizing watersheds there is more hydrologic and physiographic data available for recent years, such that it is normally expedient to calibrate a hydrologic basin model for present (or existing) conditions.

Results obtained from Ref. 3 are used herein to illustrate the technique for adjusting recorded peak discharge rates. Ref. 2 was utilized to reconstitute three *recent* flood hydrographs at the United States Geological Survey recording streamflow gage, at Rahway River near Springfield. In this case the resulting calibrated hydrologic basin model represented 1970 conditions.

---

Note.—Discussion open until February 1, 1979. To extend the closing date one month, a written request must be filed with the Editor of Technical Publications, ASCE. This paper is part of the copyrighted Journal of the Irrigation and Drainage Division, Proceedings of the American Society of Civil Engineers, Vol. 104, No. IR3, September, 1978. Manuscript was submitted for review for possible publication on December 13, 1977.

<sup>1</sup>Hydr. Engr., The Hydrologic Engrg. Center, Corps of Engrs., Dept. of the Army, Davis, Calif.

By adjusting various parameters the calibrated data model can, in a representative way, simulate runoff from land-use conditions that existed in the basin prior to 1970. This is accomplished by modifying the adopted parameters that characterize the routing procedures, unit hydrographs, precipitation loss rate functions, impervious surfaces, and contributing drainage areas. Adjustment of routing criteria is dependent upon changes to the main channel system such as the construction of concrete channels, flood control structures, etc. In the Rahway study (3), unit hydrograph parameters [Clark method (2)] were modified




FIG. 1.—Simulated Flood Hydrographs for Various Land-Use Conditions




FIG. 2.—Effect of Urbanization on Peak Discharge Rates

according to the following relationships based on a multiple regression analysis of regional data:

$$\frac{(TC)_1}{(TC)_2} = \left( \frac{1 + 0.03I_2}{1 + 0.03I_1} \right)^{1.28} \dots \dots \dots (1)$$

$$\frac{(TC + R)_1}{(TC + R)_2} = \left( \frac{1 + 0.03I_2}{1 + 0.03I_1} \right)^{0.67} \dots \dots \dots (2)$$

(Eqs. 1 and 2 relate the direct runoff hydrograph parameters,  $TC$  and  $R$ , to an indice of urbanization,  $I$ , in which  $TC$  represents the time of concentration, in hours;  $R$  represents the basin storage characteristics, in hours; and  $I$  is the percentage of man-made impervious surface within a subbasin. Subscripts 1

and 2 refer to different points in time.) Loss rates were developed as functions of the percent of man-made impervious areas; and contributing drainage areas were modified over time because of changes in drainage patterns due to highway construction and channel realignment.

Since three storm events and their resulting flood hydrographs were utilized in the calibration process, it was a relatively simple matter to use these events to reconstruct flood hydrographs for prior land-use conditions in the basin. Modifying the necessary parameters, as previously cited, the hydrologic responses to the three storm events were simulated for past conditions. The results for one event are shown in Fig. 1 and the peak discharge rates for all three events are plotted versus time in Fig. 2(a).

Because of the wide spatial and temporal variation in precipitation and in the loss rate functions adopted for the specific storm events analyzed, the rate of change of peak discharge with urbanization, as reflected by the slope of the curves in Fig. 2(a), could be significantly different for other rainfall events (distributions) producing nearly the same peak runoff under existing conditions. Since the curves are approximately parallel, representative (synthetic) rainfall




FIG. 3.—Rate of Change of Peak Discharge with Time

events were developed to determine the adjustment of peak discharge rates for urbanization.

An analysis of the rainfall estimates contained in Ref. 8 for the study area indicates that a *general* and *systematic* method exists for simulating the rainfall pattern for numerous events given any single event. By taking ratios of the rainfall amounts for various durations (30-min, 1-hr, 3-hr, 6-hr, 12-hr, and 24-hr) of a specific recurrence interval, the rainfall amounts for respective durations of different recurrence intervals can be determined within reasonable limits. As an example of this procedure, a 2-yr storm pattern can be developed from a 50-yr pattern according to

$$(P_i)_2 = (P_i)_{50} \frac{R_2}{R_{50}} \dots \dots \dots (3)$$

in which  $P$  = storm rainfall amount for a specified duration, in inches;  $i$  = duration interval, in hours;  $R$  = total storm rainfall for a 24-hr period, in inches;

and subscripts 2 and 50 designate the 2-yr and 50-yr recurrence intervals.

The preceding concept of taking ratios of precipitation ordinates was utilized to develop representative storms ranging from about the 1-yr event to the 500-yr event. A representative 50-yr event (with adjustments for drainage area size according to Ref. 8) was used in conjunction with the multiplan-ratio option of HEC-1. Loss rate functions were developed based on those used in the reconstitution analysis for event 1 (Fig. 1) since the storm rainfall totals and distribution patterns were relatively similar. Seven ratios of the 50-yr storm event were computed for each of the land-use conditions analyzed (1940, 1950, 1960, and 1970) and the results plotted in Figs. 2(b) and 3.

Fig. 2(b) compares the rates of change of peak discharge with time between the specific storm events analyzed and the representative storm events developed. Fig. 3 can be used to modify the recorded peak discharge for any particular year to a peak discharge consistent with 1970 conditions. From the results shown it is apparent that urbanization has a significantly greater impact on the more frequent events.

Stankowski (7) developed regional expressions, from data which included streamflow records for the Rahway River Basin, relating the annual peak discharge for a given recurrence interval to an index of man-made impervious cover. Application of Stankowski's regional expression yields results that are in general agreement with the HEC-1 results shown in Fig. 3.

The methodology incorporated in this technical note has just recently been applied in preliminary hydrologic investigations. For example, the Red Run Drain study (6) utilized similar procedures. In that study the basin model representing past conditions was verified (where adequate data were available) by reconstituting several historical events during each representative time frame.

## SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

Methods presented in this paper can be used as a guide to determine an existing-condition discharge frequency curve of annual peaks (or peak discharge rates above a given base) when utilizing a single event rainfall-runoff model. The multiplan-ratio option of HEC-1 permits the user to calculate systematically the hydrologic response of several storm events for a given set of land-use conditions. The adjustment procedure described herein is also applicable when predicting runoff for estimated future conditions.

## APPENDIX.—REFERENCES

1. Anderson, D. G., "Effects of Urban Development of Floods in Northern Virginia," Water Supply Paper 2001, United States Geological Survey, Washington, D.C., 1970, pp. C-1-C-22.
2. *HEC-1 Flood Hydrograph Package*, Hydrologic Engineering Center, Corps of Engineers, Department of the Army, Jan., 1973.
3. *Hydrologic-Hydraulic Simulation, Rahway River Basin, New Jersey*, Preliminary report, U.S. Army Engineer District, New York, N.Y., 1976.
4. Martens, L. A., "Flood Inundation and Effects of Urbanization in Metropolitan Charlotte, North Carolina," Water Supply Paper 1591, United States Geological Survey, Washington, D.C., 1968, pp. C-1-C-60.
5. Putnam, A. L., "Effect of Urban Development on Floods in the Piedmont Province



- of North Carolina," Open-File Report, United States Geological Survey, Washington, D.C., 1972.
6. *Red Run Drain, Lower Clinton River*, Preliminary report, Phase 1, General Design Memorandum, Hydrology Appendix, United States Army Engineer District, Detroit, Mich., 1977.
  7. Stankowski, S. J., "Magnitude and Frequency of Floods in New Jersey with Effects of Urbanization," *Special Report 38*, United States Geological Survey and New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection, Division of Water Resources, 1974.
  8. *Technical Paper No. 40, Rainfall Frequency Atlas of the United States*, Department of Commerce, Washington, D.C., 1961.



## Technical Paper Series

TP-1	Use of Interrelated Records to Simulate Streamflow	TP-39	A Method for Analyzing Effects of Dam Failures in Design Studies
TP-2	Optimization Techniques for Hydrologic Engineering	TP-40	Storm Drainage and Urban Region Flood Control Planning
TP-3	Methods of Determination of Safe Yield and Compensation Water from Storage Reservoirs	TP-41	HEC-5C, A Simulation Model for System Formulation and Evaluation
TP-4	Functional Evaluation of a Water Resources System	TP-42	Optimal Sizing of Urban Flood Control Systems
TP-5	Streamflow Synthesis for Ungaged Rivers	TP-43	Hydrologic and Economic Simulation of Flood Control Aspects of Water Resources Systems
TP-6	Simulation of Daily Streamflow	TP-44	Sizing Flood Control Reservoir Systems by System Analysis
TP-7	Pilot Study for Storage Requirements for Low Flow Augmentation	TP-45	Techniques for Real-Time Operation of Flood Control Reservoirs in the Merrimack River Basin
TP-8	Worth of Streamflow Data for Project Design - A Pilot Study	TP-46	Spatial Data Analysis of Nonstructural Measures
TP-9	Economic Evaluation of Reservoir System Accomplishments	TP-47	Comprehensive Flood Plain Studies Using Spatial Data Management Techniques
TP-10	Hydrologic Simulation in Water-Yield Analysis	TP-48	Direct Runoff Hydrograph Parameters Versus Urbanization
TP-11	Survey of Programs for Water Surface Profiles	TP-49	Experience of HEC in Disseminating Information on Hydrological Models
TP-12	Hypothetical Flood Computation for a Stream System	TP-50	Effects of Dam Removal: An Approach to Sedimentation
TP-13	Maximum Utilization of Scarce Data in Hydrologic Design	TP-51	Design of Flood Control Improvements by Systems Analysis: A Case Study
TP-14	Techniques for Evaluating Long-Term Reservoir Yields	TP-52	Potential Use of Digital Computer Ground Water Models
TP-15	Hydrostatistics - Principles of Application	TP-53	Development of Generalized Free Surface Flow Models Using Finite Element Techniques
TP-16	A Hydrologic Water Resource System Modeling Techniques	TP-54	Adjustment of Peak Discharge Rates for Urbanization
TP-17	Hydrologic Engineering Techniques for Regional Water Resources Planning	TP-55	The Development and Servicing of Spatial Data Management Techniques in the Corps of Engineers
TP-18	Estimating Monthly Streamflows Within a Region	TP-56	Experiences of the Hydrologic Engineering Center in Maintaining Widely Used Hydrologic and Water Resource Computer Models
TP-19	Suspended Sediment Discharge in Streams	TP-57	Flood Damage Assessments Using Spatial Data Management Techniques
TP-20	Computer Determination of Flow Through Bridges	TP-58	A Model for Evaluating Runoff-Quality in Metropolitan Master Planning
TP-21	An Approach to Reservoir Temperature Analysis	TP-59	Testing of Several Runoff Models on an Urban Watershed
TP-22	A Finite Difference Methods of Analyzing Liquid Flow in Variably Saturated Porous Media	TP-60	Operational Simulation of a Reservoir System with Pumped Storage
TP-23	Uses of Simulation in River Basin Planning	TP-61	Technical Factors in Small Hydropower Planning
TP-24	Hydroelectric Power Analysis in Reservoir Systems	TP-62	Flood Hydrograph and Peak Flow Frequency Analysis
TP-25	Status of Water Resource System Analysis	TP-63	HEC Contribution to Reservoir System Operation
TP-26	System Relationships for Panama Canal Water Supply	TP-64	Determining Peak-Discharge Frequencies in an Urbanizing Watershed: A Case Study
TP-27	System Analysis of the Panama Canal Water Supply	TP-65	Feasibility Analysis in Small Hydropower Planning
TP-28	Digital Simulation of an Existing Water Resources System	TP-66	Reservoir Storage Determination by Computer Simulation of Flood Control and Conservation Systems
TP-29	Computer Application in Continuing Education	TP-67	Hydrologic Land Use Classification Using LANDSAT
TP-30	Drought Severity and Water Supply Dependability	TP-68	Interactive Nonstructural Flood-Control Planning
TP-31	Development of System Operation Rules for an Existing System by Simulation	TP-69	Critical Water Surface by Minimum Specific Energy Using the Parabolic Method
TP-32	Alternative Approaches to Water Resources System Simulation		
TP-33	System Simulation of Integrated Use of Hydroelectric and Thermal Power Generation		
TP-34	Optimizing flood Control Allocation for a Multipurpose Reservoir		
TP-35	Computer Models for Rainfall-Runoff and River Hydraulic Analysis		
TP-36	Evaluation of Drought Effects at Lake Atitlan		
TP-37	Downstream Effects of the Levee Overtopping at Wilkes-Barre, PA, During Tropical Storm Agnes		
TP-38	Water Quality Evaluation of Aquatic Systems		

- TP-70 Corps of Engineers Experience with Automatic Calibration of a Precipitation-Runoff Model
- TP-71 Determination of Land Use from Satellite Imagery for Input to Hydrologic Models
- TP-72 Application of the Finite Element Method to Vertically Stratified Hydrodynamic Flow and Water Quality
- TP-73 Flood Mitigation Planning Using HEC-SAM
- TP-74 Hydrographs by Single Linear Reservoir Model
- TP-75 HEC Activities in Reservoir Analysis
- TP-76 Institutional Support of Water Resource Models
- TP-77 Investigation of Soil Conservation Service Urban Hydrology Techniques
- TP-78 Potential for Increasing the Output of Existing Hydroelectric Plants
- TP-79 Potential Energy and Capacity Gains from Flood Control Storage Reallocation at Existing U.S. Hydropower Reservoirs
- TP-80 Use of Non-Sequential Techniques in the Analysis of Power Potential at Storage Projects
- TP-81 Data Management Systems of Water Resources Planning
- TP-82 The New HEC-1 Flood Hydrograph Package
- TP-83 River and Reservoir Systems Water Quality Modeling Capability
- TP-84 Generalized Real-Time Flood Control System Model
- TP-85 Operation Policy Analysis: Sam Rayburn Reservoir
- TP-86 Training the Practitioner: The Hydrologic Engineering Center Program
- TP-87 Documentation Needs for Water Resources Models
- TP-88 Reservoir System Regulation for Water Quality Control
- TP-89 A Software System to Aid in Making Real-Time Water Control Decisions
- TP-90 Calibration, Verification and Application of a Two-Dimensional Flow Model
- TP-91 HEC Software Development and Support
- TP-92 Hydrologic Engineering Center Planning Models
- TP-93 Flood Routing Through a Flat, Complex Flood Plain Using a One-Dimensional Unsteady Flow Computer Program
- TP-94 Dredged-Material Disposal Management Model
- TP-95 Infiltration and Soil Moisture Redistribution in HEC-1
- TP-96 The Hydrologic Engineering Center Experience in Nonstructural Planning
- TP-97 Prediction of the Effects of a Flood Control Project on a Meandering Stream
- TP-98 Evolution in Computer Programs Causes Evolution in Training Needs: The Hydrologic Engineering Center Experience
- TP-99 Reservoir System Analysis for Water Quality
- TP-100 Probable Maximum Flood Estimation - Eastern United States
- TP-101 Use of Computer Program HEC-5 for Water Supply Analysis
- TP-102 Role of Calibration in the Application of HEC-6
- TP-103 Engineering and Economic Considerations in Formulating
- TP-104 Modeling Water Resources Systems for Water Quality
- TP-105 Use of a Two-Dimensional Flow Model to Quantify Aquatic Habitat
- TP-106 Flood-Runoff Forecasting with HEC-1F
- TP-107 Dredged-Material Disposal System Capacity Expansion
- TP-108 Role of Small Computers in Two-Dimensional Flow Modeling
- TP-109 One-Dimensional Model for Mud Flows
- TP-110 Subdivision Froude Number
- TP-111 HEC-5Q: System Water Quality Modeling
- TP-112 New Developments in HEC Programs for Flood Control
- TP-113 Modeling and Managing Water Resource Systems for Water Quality
- TP-114 Accuracy of Computer Water Surface Profiles - Executive Summary
- TP-115 Application of Spatial-Data Management Techniques in Corps Planning
- TP-116 The HEC's Activities in Watershed Modeling
- TP-117 HEC-1 and HEC-2 Applications on the Microcomputer
- TP-118 Real-Time Snow Simulation Model for the Monongahela River Basin
- TP-119 Multi-Purpose, Multi-Reservoir Simulation on a PC
- TP-120 Technology Transfer of Corps' Hydrologic Models
- TP-121 Development, Calibration and Application of Runoff Forecasting Models for the Allegheny River Basin
- TP-122 The Estimation of Rainfall for Flood Forecasting Using Radar and Rain Gage Data
- TP-123 Developing and Managing a Comprehensive Reservoir Analysis Model
- TP-124 Review of U.S. Army corps of Engineering Involvement With Alluvial Fan Flooding Problems
- TP-125 An Integrated Software Package for Flood Damage Analysis
- TP-126 The Value and Depreciation of Existing Facilities: The Case of Reservoirs
- TP-127 Floodplain-Management Plan Enumeration
- TP-128 Two-Dimensional Floodplain Modeling
- TP-129 Status and New Capabilities of Computer Program HEC-6: "Scour and Deposition in Rivers and Reservoirs"
- TP-130 Estimating Sediment Delivery and Yield on Alluvial Fans
- TP-131 Hydrologic Aspects of Flood Warning - Preparedness Programs
- TP-132 Twenty-five Years of Developing, Distributing, and Supporting Hydrologic Engineering Computer Programs
- TP-133 Predicting Deposition Patterns in Small Basins
- TP-134 Annual Extreme Lake Elevations by Total Probability Theorem
- TP-135 A Muskingum-Cunge Channel Flow Routing Method for Drainage Networks
- TP-136 Prescriptive Reservoir System Analysis Model - Missouri River System Application
- TP-137 A Generalized Simulation Model for Reservoir System Analysis
- TP-138 The HEC NexGen Software Development Project
- TP-139 Issues for Applications Developers
- TP-140 HEC-2 Water Surface Profiles Program
- TP-141 HEC Models for Urban Hydrologic Analysis

- TP-142 Systems Analysis Applications at the Hydrologic Engineering Center
- TP-143 Runoff Prediction Uncertainty for Ungauged Agricultural Watersheds
- TP-144 Review of GIS Applications in Hydrologic Modeling
- TP-145 Application of Rainfall-Runoff Simulation for Flood Forecasting
- TP-146 Application of the HEC Prescriptive Reservoir Model in the Columbia River Systems
- TP-147 HEC River Analysis System (HEC-RAS)
- TP-148 HEC-6: Reservoir Sediment Control Applications
- TP-149 The Hydrologic Modeling System (HEC-HMS): Design and Development Issues
- TP-150 The HEC Hydrologic Modeling System
- TP-151 Bridge Hydraulic Analysis with HEC-RAS
- TP-152 Use of Land Surface Erosion Techniques with Stream Channel Sediment Models
- TP-153 Risk-Based Analysis for Corps Flood Project Studies - A Status Report
- TP-154 Modeling Water-Resource Systems for Water Quality Management
- TP-155 Runoff simulation Using Radar Rainfall Data
- TP-156 Status of HEC Next Generation Software Development
- TP-157 Unsteady Flow Model for Forecasting Missouri and Mississippi Rivers
- TP-158 Corps Water Management System (CWMS)
- TP-159 Some History and Hydrology of the Panama Canal
- TP-160 Application of Risk-Based Analysis to Planning Reservoir and Levee Flood Damage Reduction Systems
- TP-161 Corps Water Management System - Capabilities and Implementation Status

