OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR OF NATIONAL INTELLIGENCE

SIRIUS Overview Office of Incisive Analysis IARPA

LEADING INTELLIGENCE INTEGRATION

Dr. Rita Bush Program Manager



INTEGRATION

INTELLIGENCE

EADING

SIRIUS Goals/Objectives

- **Goal:** Create experimental Serious Games to train participants and measure their proficiency in recognizing and mitigating the cognitive biases that commonly affect all types of intelligence analysis.
- Objectives:
 - Experimentally manipulate variables in Serious Games and determine whether and how such variables might enable playerparticipant recognition and persistent mitigation of cognitive biases
 - Provide a basis for experimental repeatability and independent validation of effects, and identify critical elements of design for effective analytic training in Serious Games
 - Examine 6 cognitive biases of particular interest to IC:
 (1) Confirmation Bias, (2) Fundamental Attribution Error, (3) Bias
 Blind Spot, (4) Anchoring Bias, (5) Representativeness Bias, and (6)
 Projection Bias



Why Games?

- Serious Games provide...
 - Experiential learning "learning by doing"
 - A safe environment where learning from failure is OK
 Repetition, repetition
- Use of games & simulations is typical in military training...but not the Intelligence Community
- Other education & training applications (e.g., medical, clinical, STEM) show promise



INTEGRATION

INTELLIGENCE

LEADING



When Heuristics Cause Mistakes

- **Confirmation Bias**: The tendency to search for or interpret information in a way that confirms one's preconceptions. Often preceded by priming.
- **Fundamental Attribution Error**: The tendency for people to over-emphasize personality-based explanations for behaviors observed in others while under-emphasizing the role and power of situational influences on the same behavior (also called attribution bias).
- **Bias Blind Spot**: The tendency for an individual to be unaware of their own cognitive biases, even when the individual can recognize cognitive biases in others.
- Anchoring Bias: The tendency to rely too heavily, or "anchor," on one trait or piece of information when making decisions (related to focalism or focusing illusion).
- **Representativeness Bias**: The tendency for people to judge the probability or frequency of a hypothesis by considering how much the hypothesis resembles available data. Also sometimes referred to as the "small numbers" bias.
- **Projection Bias**: The tendency to unconsciously assume that others share one's current emotional states, thoughts and values.



Research Challenges

- Cognitive biases are notoriously resistant to traditional training methods
- Prior research on Serious Games treats the game as a "black box" -- we don't have a scientific understanding of what it is about games that makes them effective training tools
- No standard measure of cognitive bias

INTEGRATION

INTELLIGENCE

SIRIUS Program Structure

- Program kicked off October 2011
- Two main phases carried out over 50 months, each comprised of three research cycles that will focus on the following cognitive biases:
 - Phase 1: Confirmation Bias, Fundamental Attribution Error, and Bias Blind Spot
 - Phase 2: Anchoring Bias, Representativeness Bias, and Projection Bias
- Follow-up tests of training effectiveness at 8 weeks (Phase 1) and 12 weeks (Phase 2) to test for persistence of effect

OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR OF NATIONAL INTELLIGENCE LLIGENCE

Independent Variables Being Studied

Character customization ٠

LEADING

- Communication type, ۲ frequency, style
- **Fantasy elements** ٠
- Fidelity/abstraction of task, ٠ social, visual, or audio features
- First vs. third person view ۲
- Game session duration/repetition
- **Priming of participants** ٠

Real-time feedback

INTEGRATION

- **Reward structure**
- Scaffolding
- Single player vs. multiplayer
- **Structured Analytic** ٠ **Techniques**
- Student Modeling •
- **Time Pressure**
- Type of narrative

OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR OF NATIONAL INTELLIGENCE

Program Metrics

	Target Percentage Reduction in Cognitive Bias Compared to Pre-Test	
Weeks After Post-Test	Phase 1	Phase 2
0	50%	75%
8	35%	
12		65%

	Target Engagement Metrics (Percentage of Play Session)	
Program Phase	Individual	All Subjects
Phase 1, all Cycles	≥50%	≥75%
Phase 2, all Cycles	≥75%	≥90%

Johns Hopkins University/Applied Physics Lab will conduct Independent Validation & Verification (IV&V) to replicate performers' results

OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR OF NATIONAL INTELLIGENCE

LEADING INTELLIGENCE INTEGRATION

Sirius Program Structure

