## CANCER PROMOTION EFFECT AFTER THE CHERNOBYL ACCIDENT IN FINLAND?

Päivi Kurttio<sup>1</sup>\*, Karri Seppä<sup>2</sup>, Kari Pasanen<sup>3,4</sup>, Toni Patama<sup>2</sup>, Keith Baverstock<sup>4</sup>, Anssi Auvinen<sup>1,5</sup>, Eero Pukkala<sup>2,5</sup>, Sirpa Heinävaara<sup>1</sup>, Hannu Arvela<sup>1</sup>, Timo Hakulinen<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Radiation and Nuclear Safety Authority (STUK), Helsinki, Finland

<sup>3</sup> National Institute for Health and Welfare, Kuopio, Finland

<sup>4</sup> University of Eastern Finland, Kuopio, Finland

<sup>5</sup> Tampere School of Public Health, Tampere, Finland

\*Päivi Kurttio, STUK, Laippatie 4, P.O.Box 14, FI-00881 Helsinki, Finland, paivi.kurttio@stuk.fi

**Background and Aims:** 25 years after the accident at Chernobyl nuclear power plant people are still concerned about potential adverse health effects related to exposure to radiation. In order to test a hypothesis on cancer promotion we studied whether total cancer incidence due to the Chernobyl fallout was increased within the first eleven years after the accident.

**Methods**: A registry based cohort was constructed from the Finnish population with a stable residence in the first post-Chernobyl year. Exposure assessment was based on measurements of dose-rate made between May 1986 and August 1987 performed during a mobile survey with a route of 19,000 km. The analysis comprises overall cancer incidence in four exposure areas. Person-years and number of cases were stratified by sex; age group; house type; and socioeconomic status in 1985. A pre-Chernobyl period of 1981-85 was used as a baseline which reflects the underlying differences in cancer incidence between the exposure areas and represents the aggregate effect of confounding factors. Incidence rate ratios between the exposure areas in 1981-1985, 1988-1992 and 1993-1997 were estimated using the Poisson regression.

**Results:** In 1981-1985, the total cancer incidence rates were lower in the highest exposure area than in the other three areas where the incidences were close to each other. In 1988-1992 and in 1993-1997, the incidence rate ratios did not differ statistically significantly from those in the pre-Chernobyl period. **Conclusions:** The results do not support the promotion effect of cancers due to low doses from the Chernobyl fallout in Finland.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Finnish Cancer Registry, Helsinki, Finland