U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
NATIONAL OCEANIC AND ATMOSPHERIC ADMINISTRATION
NATIONAL WEATHER SERVICE
NATIONAL CENTERS FOR ENVIRONMENTAL PREDICTION
5200 Auth Road
Camp Springs, Maryland 20746-4304

TECHNICAL NOTE

A ONE MONTH EVALUATION of REAL-TIME QuikSCAT, and OTHER SATELLITE DERIVED OCEAN SURFACE WIND DATA

William H. Gemmill
Environmental Modeling Center
Ocean Modeling Branch

JUNE 2000

This is an Unreviewed Manuscript, Primarily Intended for Informal Exchange of Information Among NCEP Staff members

OMB CONTRIBUTION No. 193

List of Abstracts, Reports, Articles, etc. by members of the Branch. The numbers are referred to as OPC Contribution Numbers from Number 1 to 110 and as OMB Contribution Numbers from Number 111 and greater.

No. 1. Burroughs, L. D., 1987: Development of Forecast Guidance for Santa Ana Conditions. National Weather Digest, 12, 7pp.

No. 2. Richardson, W. S., D. J. Schwab, Y. Y. Chao, and D. M. Wright, 1986: Lake Erie Wave Height Forecasts Generated by Empirical and Dynamical Methods -- Comparison and Verification. Technical Note, 23pp.

No. 3. Auer, S. J., 1986: Determination of Errors in LFM Forecasts Surface Lows Over the Northwest Atlantic Ocean. Technical Note/NMC Office Note No. 313, 17pp.

No. 4. Rao, D. B., S. D. Steenrod, and B. V. Sanchez, 1987: A Method of Calculating the Total Flow from A Given Sea Surface Topography. NASA Technical Memorandum 87799, 19pp.

No. 5. Feit, D. M., 1986: Compendium of Marine Meteorological and Oceanographic Products of the Ocean Products Center. NOAA Technical Memorandum NWS/NMC No.68, 93pp.

No. 6. Auer, S. J., 1986: A Comparison of the LFM, Spectral, and ECMWF Numerical Model Forecasts of Deepening Oceanic Cyclones During One Cool Season. Technical Note/NMC Office Note No. 312, 20pp.

No. 7. Burroughs, L. D., 1987: Development of Open Fog Forecasting Regions. Technical Note/NMC Office Note, No. 323, 36pp.

No. 8. Yu, T. W., 1987: A Technique of Deducing Wind Direction from Satellite Measurements of Wind Speed. Monthly Weather Review, 115, 1929-1939.

No. 9. Auer, S. J., 1987: Five-Year Climatological Survey of the Gulf Stream System and Its Associated Rings. Jour. Geophy. Res., 92, 11, 709-726.

No. 10. Chao, Y. Y., 1987: Forecasting Wave Conditions Affected by Currents and Bottom Topography. Technical Note, 11pp.

No. 11. Esteva, D. C., 1987: The Editing and Averaging of Altimeter Wave and Wind Data. Technical Note, 4pp.

No. 12. Feit, D. M., 1987: Forecasting Superstructure Icing for Alaskan Waters. National Weather Digest, 12, 5-10.

No. 13. Sanchez, B. V., D. B. Rao, and S. D. Steenrod, 1987: Tidal Estimation in the Atlantic and Indian Oceans. Marine Geodesy,10, 309-350.

No. 14. Gemmill, W. H., T. W. Yu, and D. M. Feit 1988: Performance of Techniques Used to Derive Ocean Surface Winds. Technical Note/NMC Office Note No. 330, 34pp.

No. 15. Gemmill, W. H., T. W. Yu, and D. M. Feit 1987: Performance Statistics of Techniques Used to Determine Ocean Surface Winds. *Conference Preprint, Proc. AES/CMOS 2nd Workshop on Operational Meteorology*, Halifax, Nova Scotia, 234-243.

No. 16. Yu, T. W., 1988: A Method for Determining Equivalent Depths of the Atmospheric Boundary Layer Over the Oceans. Jour. Geophy. Res., 93, 3655-3661.

No. 17. Yu, T. W., 1987: Analysis of the Atmospheric Mixed Layer Heights Over the Oceans. *Conference Preprint, Proc. AES/CMOS 2nd Workshop on Operational Meteorology*, Halifax, Nova Scotia, 2, 425-432.

No. 18. Feit, D. M., 1987: An Operational Forecast System for Superstructure Icing. *Proc. Fourth Conference Meteorology and Oceanography of the Coastal Zone*, 4pp.

No. 19. Esteva, D. C., 1988: Evaluation of Preliminary Experiments Assimilating Seasat Significant Wave Height into a Spectral Wave Model. Jour. Geophy. Res., 93, 14,099-14,105.

No. 20. Chao, Y. Y., 1988: Evaluation of Wave Forecast for the Gulf of Mexico. *Proc. Fourth Conference on Meteorology and Oceanography of the Coastal Zone*, 42-49.

I. INTRODUCTION

The QuikSCAT satellite (NASA) was launched into a polar orbit in June 1999 with a SeaWinds scatterometer on board to provide ocean surface wind vectors. The data from the sensor were made available in "real-time" for operational use since February 2000, through Central Operations of the National Centers for Environmental Predictions (NCEP). These wind vector data are processed at a 25 km nominal resolution across a 1800 km swath (72 cells). The design of SeaWinds uses a scanning radar which is different from earlier scatterometers, which used fixed stick antennas. There are two spot beams with HH and VV polarization, which operate in the Ku band (14.6 GHz) at angles of approximately of 46 and 54 degrees, respectively, which scan the ocean surface to a distance of 700 km and 900 km from nadir (figure 1) and provides four different backscatter measurements (two fore and two aft) per target cell. The radar measures the backscatter which is dependent upon the roughness elements of the ocean surface. The roughness of the ocean surface is related to wind stress from which wind speed and direction can be deduced. Figure 2 summarizes the characteristics of current satellites European Remote-sensing Satellite (ERS-2), the Special Sensor Microwave/Imager (SSM/I) series and QuikSCAT, that provide "real-time" ocean surface winds. QuikSCAT has the capability for providing a much larger aerial coverage (1800km swath) of the ocean surface than ERS-2 (500 km swath). And, QuikSCAT has the capability for providing wind vector data through a higher range of wind speeds than the SSM/I which is also limited to only wind speed retrievals.

For each new satellite system that enters the NCEP operational environment, a period of evaluation is required before the data can be used at NCEP. The specified requirements of accuracy for ocean surface wind measurements are that the RMS should be less than 2m/s for wind speeds up to 20 m/s or 10% for wind speeds above 20 m/s, and 20 degrees for directions for all weather conditions. Past experiences at validating and preparing new satellite retrievals for use at an operational center, NCEP, have demonstrated that careful inspection of the new "fast-delivery" data is required (Gemmill, et al. 1999). Quality control problems must be determined and the adequacy of the transfer function under all weather conditions must be validated.

Because the design of QuikSCAT is new to operational meteorology, there are several concerns about the accuracy of the wind vector retrievals from radar backscatter measurements that need to be addressed. Further, backscatter in the Ku-band will be affected by atmospheric attenuation due to rain and cloud liquid water, as well as distortions at the ocean surface due to rain. And, there is the well-known liability for scatterometers in general, the inversion process from backscatter measurements to a wind vector is not unique, and may provide up to four solutions.

For each solution, a Most Likelihood Estimate (MLE) is calculated and then the solutions are ranked with the highest MLE first, and in a descending order of MLE for the others. However, selecting the wind vector based on the highest MLE does not always provide the "best" wind. The MLE often fails to discriminate correctly between two wind vectors opposite to each other, and a wrong wind vector is ranked first. Experience with the ERS-1 scatterometer demonstrated the problem with the MLE (Rufenach, 1998). A quick calculation demonstrates that even if the data were almost perfect, it takes only one wind direction reversal in nine to give an RMS error of 60 degrees. A common technique to improve the selection of the "best" wind vector has been the application of an ocean surface wind field as auxiliary (background) information which is used to re-rank the solutions. For QuikSCAT data, a 6-hour forecast ocean surface wind field from NCEP's global numerical weather forecast model is used to provide the background winds. The first re-ranked solution in this process is called the "nudged" solution. Obviously, if the background wind field contains serious errors, so would the selected "nudged" satellite wind vectors. Techniques have been proposed and developed for determining the "best" satellite derived wind field based on the consistency of the satellite wind retrievals by themselves (i.e. Stoffelen and Anderson, 1997).

2) EVALUATION of OCEAN SURFACE WIND DATA

This evaluation is made to determine and understand the characteristics (strengths and limitations) of the QuikSCAT ocean surface wind vector data, so that the data may be used to its fullest potential. This evaluation is performed in two parts: 1) the standard buoy, model and satellite collocation match up comparisons at a given locations, and 2) a satellite and model match up comparison by cell location across the swath. In addition, the standard buoy, model and satellite collocation match up comparisons are made for the NCEP reprocessed ERS2 scatterometer wind vector retrievals and also, NCEP neural network SSM/I wind speed retrievals from four satellites, F11, f13, f14 and F15, in order to make inter-comparisons of wind data quality between other operational satellite systems.

a) Buoy, Satellite and Model Analysis Evaluations

Satellite wind data are collocated four times a day at 00,06,12,18 UTC with buoy wind data that are within +/- three hours temporally and with 50km spatially. Model surface wind analysis wind data is valid at the same time of the buoys, but is interpolated to the collocated satellite location measurement. For this study, only buoys that are more than 100km from the coast and report a wind that is equivalent to 10 m above the sea level are used. This greatly reduces the number of buoys used, but their accuracy is more assured. An implicit assumption is that the buoy measurements are close to "truth." The dates for the match ups are from April 10, 2000 through May 10, 2000.

Figures 3-12 are a series of scatter plots of satellite retrievals against the buoy data for speed and direction, for various categories of cell location and rain flags (Huddleston and Stiles, 2000). Figures 3-4 are plots for speed and direction, respectively, for all the data. For wind speed data, the dashed diagonal line is the perfect fit line, and the solid diagonal lines above and below are the 2 m/s RMS error lines. Although the bias between the satellite and buoy data is close to zero, and the RMS is 1.6 m/s there are a number of satellite wind speeds that are well outside the 2 m/s error zone. For direction data, the dashed diagonal line is the perfect fit line, with the 20 degree error line above and below, 180 degree error line also above and below. The directions also show an acceptable RMS of about 25 degrees (for wind speed above 3.5 m/s), but the scatter is well outside the 20 degree error envelope. “Nudging” seems to have worked well, as there is no indication in the Quikscat data of the 180-degree error.

Figures 5-6 are for the situation where the edge cells (only one antenna) are excluded and the probability of rain is given as zero. Now most of the extreme satellite wind speeds are not present, and the bias is slightly negative m/s and the RMS is 1.3 m/s But, there is also an exclusion of the higher wind speeds (> 15 m/s). The directions improve only slightly. Wind direction RMS errors are less than 25 degrees.

Figures 7-8 are for again no edge data, but for the probability of rain greater than zero but less than 0.1, which is labeled here as light rain. The speed bias is now slightly above zero and the RMS increases to 1.5 m/s, but still quite acceptable. The RMS for wind direction is now just below 20 degrees.

Figures 9-10 are for no edge data, but for the probability of rain from 0.1 to 1.00, which is labeled as moderate rain. These data are obviously not useful, since the influence of rain increases the speed bias to +3.9 m/s, and the RMS to 6.6 m/s, while the direction RMS is increased to 32.0 degrees.

Figures 11-12 are for retrievals where the rain probability could not be determined, which includes all the edge data because there is only one antenna, but occasionally for interior swath data for other reasons. The speed bias for these data is only slightly high (+0.2 m/s), and its RMS is 1.8 m/s, but the RMS for direction is now 33.0 degrees which is too large for reliable use.

Table 2 summarizes the results presented in the above scatter plots. The top part of the table presents the mean and maximum wind speeds and number of data points for the buoy and quikscat data for the various categories of data. The bottoms part of the table presents the bias and RMS differences between the satellite and buoy data. The table suggests that the edge data and data with probability of rain in the range of 0.1 to 1.0 should be excluded.

Table 3 is included to compare the quikscat data with other ocean surface wind data. Here we present the wind speed data retrieved from SSM/I and wind vector data from ERS2. The SSM/I wind speed data used here were derived using neural networks (Krasnopolsky et al, 1999), and the ERS2 winds are the NCEP reprocessed winds (Gemmill et al, 1999). The QuikSCAT retrievals are presented for the two categories, 1) no edge data and there is no rain and 2) no edge data and there is only light rain. Using QuikSCAT data from both categories, the wind speeds are comparable to the SSM/I and ERS2. One unfortunate limitation to the validation of the ERS data in this region is that when approaching the north American continent the scatterometer mode is turned off and the SAR mode is turned on, so that wind comparisons with buoys are not always possible. This table shows that the quikscat wind speed data are slightly better than the SSM/I and ERS-2 data, and the wind direction data are slightly better than the ERS-2 scatterometer data.

b) Satellite and Model Analysis Evaluation

The other part of the evaluation is to collocate model analyses four times a day at 00,06,12,18 UTC by interpolating an analysis directly to each of the satellite cells across the swath, but only within +/- 45 minutes of the analysis. The QuikSCAT data are extremely dense, so the time window was reduced to conserve storage, but this should have little effect on the results. Even though wind field analyses by themselves are not real observations, such as those provided by the anemometers on board ships and buoys, it is generally accepted that they most often provide a reasonably accurate description of the wind field and its characteristics. Hence, it is possible to use the information from the analyzed wind fields to examine the quality of satellite wind retrievals across the swath which would not be possible to do with buoy measurements. In the later case, an exceedingly long period of time would be required to assemble a large enough collocated data base to compute reliable statistics. And, even with an adequate data base, buoys cannot represent the true relative nature from cell to cell because the cell matchups will be from different buoys at different times. Figures 13-24 are a series of plots comparing satellite and model wind speed and direction data across for each cell the swath.

Figures 13-14 show the comparisons of the first choice of the MLE for all the wind vector retrievals. These data show that there is a problem of speeds on the outer edges of the swath, but the rest of the swath has accurate wind speeds, although the accuracy decreases slightly near nadir. But, it is clear that the MLE is not a reliable measure to be used to obtain the correct direction. As expected, the selection problems of direction from the outer edges and near nadir are clearly evident. And, even at its best, the RMS of 60 degrees based on MLE over the rest of the swath is not acceptable.

Figures 15-16 show the comparisons for all the "nudged" retrievals. Wind speeds are improved along the edges, and there is a dramatic improvement of directions across the swath, close to 20 degrees, as opposed to 60 degrees with MLE solution.

Figures 17-18 show the comparisons for the "nudged" retrievals, but only for where the probability of rain is zero. These retrievals do not include edge data. Cells 1-8 and cells 65-72 are on the edges of the swath which are scanned with just one antenna, so no rain probability is determined. For the interior cells, cells 9-64, the wind speed data have a slight negative bias of -0.5 m/s and RMS of near 1.5 m/s. The direction RMS differences are now around 23 degrees except near the outer cells of the inner antenna.

Figures 19-20 show the comparisons for the "nudged" retrievals, where the probability of rain is greater than zero but less than 0.1, and again excluding the edge data. The speed bias is slightly positive and the RMS differences are near 1.5 m/s, and they tend to be slightly higher near nadir and close to the edge of the inner antenna, but the wind direction RMS is less than 20 degrees.

Figures 21-22 show the comparisons for the "nudged" retrievals, where the probability of rain is greater than 0.1 to 1.0, and excluding the edge data. Here again the contamination of the retrievals as a result of rain is obvious. The speed biases are well above 2.0 m/s and the RMS is above 4.0 m/s. Directional errors are in the 30-degree range.

Figures 23-24 show the comparisons for the cells where the probability of rain was not calculated or given. Most of this data comes from the outer edge of the swath. Occasionally, the probability of rain is not calculated for other portions of the swath. The direction errors are high for the outer edge, and there is a large variation in errors along the inner portion of the swath.

3) CONCLUSION

Based on this initial one-month evaluation, the "fast-delivery" QuikSCAT "nudged" ocean surface wind vector retrievals more than meet the specification for "operational" use at NCEP. This is especially true for wind speeds under most conditions, and also for wind directions provided that certain data are excluded from use. To insure that ocean surface wind vectors retrievals are of the highest quality, it is recommended that retrievals be excluded from: 1) from the outer 200km edges of the swath, or 2) from where the probability of rain is greater than 0.10, or 3) from where the probability of rain is not computed.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS:

The author thanks D. B. Rao for his encouragement to write this evaluation note in order to report the initial findings on the quality of the QuikSCAT retrievals in a timely fashion and for his constructive critical reviews and discussions during its preparation.

REFERENCES:

Gemmill, W.H., T.W. Yu, V. Krasnopolsky, C. Peters and P. Woiceshyn, 1999: NCEP Experience with "Real-Time" Ocean Surface Wind Retrievals from Satellites, Tecn. Note, OMB Contribution Number 164, NCEP/NoAA, 35pp.

Huddleston, J.M., and B.W.Stiles, 2000: Multidimensional Histogram (MUHD) Overlay, Product Description, Ver 1. Jet Prop. Lab, Cal Inst. Tech, 4pp.

Krasnopolsky, V.M., W. H. Gemmill, L.C. Breaker, 1999:A Multi-Parameter Empirical Ocean Algorithm for SSM/I Retrievals. *Canadian Jour of Remote Sensing*, 25, 486-503.

Rufenach, C., 1998: Comparison of four ERS-1 scatterometer wind retrieval algorithms with buoy measurements. *J. Atmos. Oceanic Technol.*, **15**, 304-313.

Stoffelen, A. and D. Anderson, 1997: Ambiguity removal and assimilation of scatterometer data. *Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc.*,**123**,491-518.

QuikSCAT VIEWING GEOMETERY

TWO ANTENNAE at 54 & 46 Degree INCIDENT ANGLES

SWATH 1800 Km

LIMITATIONS:

OUTER EDGES - ONLY ONE SCANNING ANTENNA

NADIR - SMALL LOOK ANGLES



Figure 1

FIGURE 2

SATELLITE OCEAN SURFACE WIND SPECIFICATIONS FOR VARIOUS PLATFORMS.

- POLAR ORBITER (~ 102 MINUTES)

- TWICE DAILY AREAL COVERAGE
(ONE ASCENDING & ONE DESCENDING ORBIT)

SATELLITE	DMSP - SSM/I f11,f13,f14,f15	ERS-1/2	QuikSCAT
SENSOR	PASSIVE MICROWAVE	ACTIVE MICROWAVE	ACTIVE MICROWAVE
ANTENNA/ MEASUREMENT	7 CHANNELS / BRIGHTNESS TEMPERATURE	THREE ANTENNA / SIGMA-0	TWO ANTENNA / SIGMA-0 (2-POL)
ANTENNA/ MODE	SCANNING	1-SIDED	SCANNING
FREQUENCY/ BANDS	19 (H,V), 22 V 37 (H,V), 85(H,V) GHz	5.3 GHz / C-band	14 6 - GHz / KU-band
SWATH	1392 km	500 km	1800 km
No. of CELLS	64	19	72
FOOTPRINT	25 km	50 km	25 km
SPEED RANGE	3-25 m/s	4-24 m/s	3-30 m/s
SPEED ACCURACY	2 m/s, & for > 20 m/s (10%)	2 m/s, & for > 20 m/s (10%)	2 m/s, & for > 20 m/s (10%)
DIRECTION ACCURACY	No Directions	+/- 20 DEG	+/- 20 DEG
ALGORITHM	GWS & NN3	CMOD4	NSCAT

OCEAN SURFACE WIND MATCH-UP STATISTICS

QSCAT FIX BUOY

SPEED m/s

ALL DATA

Number of data points= 8907

BIAS,RMS SPEED = -.01 1.60



Figure # 3

OCEAN SURFACE WIND MATCH-UP STATISTICS

QSCAT FIX BUOY

DIRECTION degrees

ALL DATA

Number of data points= 8005

BIAS,RMS DIRECTION = 4.0 24.2



Figure # 4

OCEAN SURFACE WIND MATCH-UP STATISTICS

QSCAT FIX BUOY

SPEED m/s

NO RAIN, NO EDGES

Number of data points= 5261

BIAS,RMS SPEED = -.21 1.24



Figure # 5

OCEAN SURFACE WIND MATCH-UP STATISTICS

QSCAT   FIX BUOY

DIRECTION degrees

NO RAIN, NO EDGES

Number of data points=   4555

BIAS,RMS DIRECTION =   3.6 22.9



Figure # 6

OCEAN SURFACE WIND MATCH-UP STATISTICS

QSCAT FIX BUOY

SPEED m/s

Light RAIN, NO EDGES

Number of data points= 2034

BIAS,RMS SPEED = .12 1.48



Figure # 7

OCEAN SURFACE WIND MATCH-UP STATISTICS

QSCAT FIX BUOY

DIRECTION degrees

Light RAIN, NO EDGES

Number of data points= 2005

BIAS,RMS DIRECTION = 5.3 20.1



Figure # 8

OCEAN SURFACE WIND MATCH-UP STATISTICS

QSCAT FIX BUOY

SPEED m/s

Moderate RAIN, NO EDGES

Number of data points= 126

BIAS,RMS SPEED = 3.79 6.56



Figure # 9

OCEAN SURFACE WIND MATCH-UP STATISTICS

QSCAT FIX BUOY

DIRECTION degrees

Moderate RAIN, NO EDGES

Number of data points= 123

BIAS,RMS DIRECTION = 1.4 31.6



Figure #10

OCEAN SURFACE WIND MATCH-UP STATISTICS

QSCAT FIX BUOY

SPEED m/s

RAIN FLAG NOT DETERMINED, and EDGES

Number of data points= 1486

BIAS,RMS SPEED = .18 1.80



Figure #11

OCEAN SURFACE WIND MATCH-UP STATISTICS

QSCAT FIX BUOY

DIRECTION degrees

RAIN FLAG NOT DETERMINED, and EDGES

Number of data points= 1322

BIAS,RMS DIRECTION = 3.7 32.3



Figure #12

QSCAT vs MODEL ANL -- by CELL NUMBER -
SPEED DIFFERENCES (m/s)
ALL DATA AVN ANL MLE

Number of data points 4230778

Swath RMS = 1.93
Swath BIAS = .25



Figure #13

QSCAT vs MODEL ANL -- by CELL NUMBER -
DIRECTION DIFFERENCES (Degrees)
ALL DATA AVN ANL MLE

Number of data points 4230778

Swath RMS =71.54

Swath BIAS = .19



Figure #14

QSCAT vs MODEL ANL -- by CELL NUMBER -
SPEED DIFFERENCES (m/s)
ALL DATA AVN ANL NUDGED

Number of data points 4230778

Swath RMS = 1.75

Swath BIAS = -.21



Figure #15

QSCAT vs MODEL ANL -- by CELL NUMBER -
DIRECTION DIFFERENCES (Degrees)
ALL DATA AVN ANL NUDGED

Number of data points 4230778

Swath RMS =22.68

Swath BIAS = -.91



Figure #16

QSCAT vs MODEL ANL -- by CELL NUMBER -
SPEED DIFFERENCES (m/s)

NO RAIN AVN ANL NUDGED

Number of data points 1570344

Swath RMS = [illegible]

Swath BIAS = -.43



Figure #17

QSCAT vs MODEL ANL -- by CELL NUMBER -
DIRECTION DIFFERENCES (Degrees)
NO RAIN AVN ANL NUDGED

Number of data points 1570344

Swath RMS =23.48

Swath BIAS = -.45



Figure #18

QSCAT vs MODEL ANL -- by CELL NUMBER -
SPEED DIFFERENCES (m/s)

Lt RAIN AVN ANL NUDGED

Number of data points 1686831

Swath RMS = 1.53

Swath BIAS = .12



Figure #19

QSCAT vs MODEL ANL -- by CELL NUMBER -
DIRECTION DIFFERENCES (Degrees)
Lt RAIN AVN ANL NUDGED

Number of data points 1686831

Swath RMS =18.04

Swath BIAS =-[illegible]



Figure #20

QSCAT vs MODEL ANL -- by CELL NUMBER -
SPEED DIFFERENCES (m/s)
MOD RAIN AVN ANL NUDGED

Number of data points 150725

Swath RMS = 4.57
Swath BIAS =2.69



Figure #21

QSCAT vs MODEL ANL -- by CELL NUMBER -
DIRECTION DIFFERENCES (Degrees)
MOD RAIN AVN ANL NUDGED

Number of data points 150725

Swath RMS =30.56

Swath BIAS = -.29



Figure #22

QSCAT vs MODEL ANL -- by CELL NUMBER -
SPEED DIFFERENCES (m/s)
NO R FLG AVN ANL NUDGED

Number of data points 822878

Swath RMS = 1.64

Swath BIAS = .04



Figure #23

QSCAT vs MODEL ANL -- by CELL NUMBER -
DIRECTION DIFFERENCES (Degrees)

NO R FLG AVN ANL NUDGED

Number of data points 822878

Swath RMS =27.92

Swath BIAS =-1.32



Figure #24

Table 1) Satellite vs Fixed Buoy Winds Speed and Direction Comparisons
April 11, 2000 - May 10, 2000

Collocation windows: time < +/- 3 hrs and space < 50km.
ONLY off-shore buoys > 100km
Satellite speed for direction > 3.5 m/s

SSM/I F11, F13, F14, F15 Wind Speed Neural Networks
NCEP Reprocessed wind vectors
QSCAT Wind Vectors, 1) no rain (Rain Probability = 0), no edge retrievals and
2) light rain (Rain Probability < 0.1), no edge retrievals.

	F11	F13	F14	F15	ERS2	QSCAT
Av Spd S	6.8	7.4	6.7	7.2	7.1	6.0 (9.1)
Av Spd B	6.5	6.9	7.4	7.2	7.5	6.2 (9.0)
Mx Spd S	15.5	18.3	19.2	19.6	20.2	14.6 (18.9)
Mx Spd B	18.1	18.1	18.1	17.7	17.7	14.8 (18.1)
Number of Satellite	2216	8155	6438	8098	1021	5216 (2034)

	F11	F13	F14	F15	ERS2	QSCAT
SPEED						
BIAS	0.30	0.52	-0.76	0.02	-0.36	-0.21(0.12)
RMS	1.86	1.70	1.88	1.67	1.80	1.24 (1.48)
Number	2216	8155	6438	8098	1021	5216 (2034)
DIRECT'n						
BIAS					4.8	3.6 (5.3)
RMS					24.9	22.9 (20.1)
Number of Satellite					970	4555 (2005)

Table 2). QuikSCAT vs Fixed Buoy Winds Speed and Direction Comparisons
April 11, 2000 - May 10, 2000

Collocation windows: time < +/- 3 hrs and space < 50km.
ONLY off-shore buoys > 100km
Satellite speed for direction > 3.5 m/s
Rain Probability (RP) (0 to 100% or not given)

	All Data	No rain, No edges RP = 0	Lt Rain No edges 0<RP<0.1	Mod Rain No edges 0.1<RP<1.	Edges or Msg Rain Prob	
Av Spd S	7.0	6.0	9.1	13.4	7.1	
Av Spd B	7.0	6.2	9.0	9.5	7.0	
Mx Spd S	34.1	14.6	18.9	34.1	29.7	
MX Spd B	18.1	14.8	18.0	18.1	18.1	
Number of QuikSCAT	8907	5261	2034	126	1486	

	All Data	No rain, No edges RP = 0	Lt Rain No edges 0<RP<0.1	Mod Rain No edges 0.1<RP<1.	Edges or Msg Rain Prob	
SPEED						
BIAS	-0.01	-0.21	0.12	3.79	0.18	
RMS	1.60	1.24	1.48	6.56	1.80	
Number	8907	5261	2034	126	1486	
DIRECT'n						
BIAS	4.0	3.6	4.8	1.4	3.7	
RMS	24.2	22.8	12.1	31.5	32.3	
Number	8006	4555	2005	124	1322	

Table 3). QuikSCAT Swath vs AVN analyses for Winds Speed and Direction Comparisons
April 11, 2000 - May 10, 2000

Collocation windows: time < +/- 0.75 hrs
Satellite speed for direction > 3.5 m/s
Rain Probability (RP) (0 to 100% or not given)
Summary over swath where valid

	All Data MLE	All Data Nudged	No rain, No edges RP = 0	Lt Rain No edges 0<RP<0.1	Mod Rain No edges 0.1<RP<1.	Edges or Msg Rain Prob
SPEED						
BIAS	0.05	-0.1	-0.4	0.12	2.69	.04
RMS	1.93	1.75	1.41	1.53	4.47	1.84
-------------	-------------	-------------	-------------	-------------	-------------	-------------
DIRECT'n						
BIAS	0.2	-0.9	-0.4	-1.1	-0.3	-1.3
RMS	71.5	22.7	23.5	18.0	30.6	27.9
Number	4230778	4230778	1570344	1686831	150725	822878

No. 21. Breaker, L. C., 1989: El Nino and Related Variability in Sea-Surface Temperature Along the Central California Coast. PACLIM Monograph of Climate Variability of the Eastern North Pacific and Western North America, AGU Geophysical Monograph 55, 133-140.

No. 22. Yu, T. W., D. C. Esteva, and R. L. Teboulle, 1991: A Feasibility Study on Operational Use of Geosat Wind and Wave Data at the National Meteorological Center. Technical Note/NMC Office Note No. 380, 28pp.

No. 23. Burroughs, L. D., 1989: Open Ocean Fog and Visibility Forecasting Guidance System. Technical Note/NMC Office Note No. 348, 18pp.

No. 24. Gerald, V. M., 1987: Synoptic Surface Marine Data Monitoring. Technical Note/NMC Office Note No. 335, 10pp.

No. 25. Breaker, L. C., 1990: Estimating and Removing Sensor Induced Correlation form AVHRR Data. Jour. Geophy. Res., 95, 9701-9711.

No. 26. Chen, H. S., 1990: Infinite Elements for Water Wave Radiation and Scattering. International Jour. for Numerical Methods in Fluids, 11, 555-569.

No. 27. Gemmill, W. H., T. W. Yu, and D. M. Feit, 1988: A Statistical Comparison of Methods for Determining Ocean Surface Winds. Weather and Forecasting, 3, 153-160.

No. 28. Rao. D. B., 1989: A Review of the Program of the Ocean Products Center. Weather and Forecasting, 427-443.

No. 29. Chen, H. S., 1989: Infinite Elements for Combined Diffraction and Refraction. *Proc. Seventh International Conference on Finite Element Methods Flow Problems*, Huntsville, Alabama, 653-658.

No. 30. Chao, Y. Y., 1989: An Operational Spectral Wave Forecasting Model for the Gulf of Mexico. *Proc. 2nd International Workshop on Wave Forecasting and Hindcasting*, 240-247.

No. 31. Esteva, D. C., 1989: Improving Global Wave Forecasting Incorporating Altimeter Data. *Proc. 2nd International Workshop on Wave Hindcasting and Forecasting,* Vancouver, B.C., April 25-28, 1989, 378-384.

No. 32. Richardson, W. S., J. M. Nault, and D. M. Feit, 1989: Computer-Worded Marine Forecasts. *Preprint, 6th Symp. on Coastal Ocean Management Coastal Zone 89*, 4075-4084.

No. 33. Chao, Y. Y., and T. L. Bertucci, 1989: A Columbia River Entrance Wave Forecasting Program Developed at the Ocean Products Center. Technical Note/NMC Office Note No. 361, 49pp.

No. 34. Burroughs, L. D., 1989: Forecasting Open Ocean Fog and Visibility. *Preprint, 11th Conference on Probability and Statistics*, Monterey, CA, 5pp.

No. 35. Rao, D. B., 1990: Local and Regional Scale Wave Models. *Proceeding (CMM/WMO) Technical Conference on Waves,* WMO Marine Meteorological and Related Oceanographic Activities Report No. 12, 125-138.

No. 36. Burroughs, L. D., 1991: Forecast Guidance for Santa Ana conditions. Technical Procedures Bulletin No. 391, 11pp.

No. 37. Burroughs, L. D., 1989: Ocean Products Center Products Review Summary. Technical Note/NMC Office Note No. 359, 29pp.

No. 38. Feit, D. M., 1989: Compendium of Marine Meteorological and Oceanographic Products of the Ocean Products Center (revision 1). NOAA Technical Memo NWS/NMC 68, 78pp

No. 39. Esteva, D. C., and Y. Y. Chao, 1991: The NOAA Ocean Wave Model Hindcast for LEWEX. Directional Ocean Wave Spectra, Johns Hopkins University Press, 163-166.

No. 40. Sanchez, B. V., D. B. Rao, and S. D. Steenrod, 1987: Tidal Estimation in the Atlantic and Indian Oceans, 3^{o} x 3^{o} Solution. NASA Technical Memorandum 87812, 18pp.

No. 41. Crosby, D. S., L. C. Breaker, and W. H. Gemmill, 1990: A Definition for Vector Correlation and its Application to Marine Surface Winds. Technical Note/NMC Office Note No. 365, 52pp.

No. 42. Feit, D. M., and W. S. Richardson, 1990: Expert System for Quality Control and Marine Forecasting Guidance. *Preprint, AES/CMOS 3rd Workshop on Operational Meteorology*, 6pp.

No. 43. Gerald, V. M., 1990: OPC Unified Marine Database Verification System. Technical Note/NMC Office Note No. 368, 14pp.

No. 44. Wohl, G. M., 1991: Sea Ice Edge Forecast Verification for the Bering Sea. National Weather Digest, 16, 6-12.

No. 45. Feit, D. M., and J. A. Alpert, 1990: An Operational Marine Fog Prediction Model. NMC Office Note No. 371, 18pp.

No. 46. Yu, T. W., and R. L. Teboulle, 1991: Recent Assimilation and Forecast Experiments at the National Meteorological Center Using SEASAT-A Scatterometer Winds. Technical Note/NMC Office Note No. 383, 45pp.

No. 47. Unassigned.

No. 48. Breaker, L. C., L. D. Burroughs, T. B. Stanley, and W. B. Campbell, 1992: Estimating Surface Currents in the Slope Water Region Between 37 and 41°N Using Satellite Feature Tracking. Technical Note, 47pp.

No. 49. Chao, Y. Y., 1990: The Gulf of Mexico Spectral Wave Forecast Model and Products. Technical Procedures Bulletin No. 381, 3pp.

No. 50. Chen, H. S., 1990: Wave Calculation Using WAM Model and NMC Wind. *Preprint, 8th ASCE Engineering Mechanical Conference*, 1, 368-372.

No. 51. Chao, Y. Y., 1991: On the Transformation of Wave Spectra by Current and Bathymetry. *Proc. 8th ASCE Engineering Mechanical Conference,* 1, 333-337.

No. 52. Unassigned

No. 53. Rao, D. B., 1991: Dynamical and Statistical Prediction of Marine Guidance Products. *Proc. IEEE Conference Oceans 91,* 3, 1177-1180.

No. 54. Gemmill, W. H., 1991: High-Resolution Regional Ocean Surface Wind Fields. *Proc. AMS 9th Conference on Numerical Weather Prediction*, Denver, CO, October 14-18, 1991, 190-191.

No. 55. Yu, T. W., and D. Deaven, 1991: Use of SSM/I Wind Speed Data in NMC's GDAS. *Proc., AMS 9th Conference on Numerical Weather Prediction*, Denver, CO, October 14-18, 1991, 416-417.

No. 56. Burroughs, L. D., and J. A. Alpert, 1993: Numerical Fog and Visibility Guidance in Coastal Regions. Technical Procedures Bulletin No. 398, 6pp.

No. 57. Chen, H. S., 1992: Taylor-Gelerkin Method for Wind Wave Propagation. *Proc. ASCE 9th Conf. on Eng. Mech,* College Station, TX, May 24-27, 1992, 79-90.

No. 58. Breaker, L. C., and W. H. Gemmill, and D. S. Crosby, 1992: A Technique for Vector Correlation and its Application to Marine Surface Winds. *AMS 12th Conf. on Probability and Statistics in the Atmospheric Sciences,* Toronto, Ontario, Canada, June 22-26, 1992.

No. 59. Yan, X.-H., and L. C. Breaker, 1993: Surface Circulation Estimation Using Image Processing and Computer Vision Methods Applied to Sequential Satellite Imagery. Photogrammetric Engineering and Remote Sensing, 59, 407-413.

No. 60. Wohl, G., 1992: Operational Demonstration of ERS-1 SAR Imagery at the Joint Ice Center. *Proc. MTS 92 - Global Ocean Partnership*, Washington, DC, October 19-21, 1992.

No. 61. Waters, M. P., C. M. Caruso, W. H. Gemmill, W. S. Richardson, and W. G. Pichel, 1992: An Interactive Information and Processing System for the Real-Time Quality Control of Marine Meteorological Oceanographic Data. *Pre-print 9th International Conference on Interactive Information and Processing System for Meteorology, Oceanography and Hydrology*, Anaheim, CA, January 17-22, 1993.

No. 62. Krasnopolsky, V. and L.C. Breaker,1994: The Problem of AVHRR Image Navigation Revisited. Int. Jour. of Remote Sensing, 15, 979-1008.

No. 63. Crosby, D. S., L. C. Breaker, and W. H. Gemmill, 1993: A Proposed Definition for Vector Correlation in Geophysics: Theory and Application. Jour. Atmospheric and Ocean Technology, 10, 355-367.

No. 64. Grumbine, R., 1993: The Thermodynamic Predictability of Sea Ice. Jour. of Glaciology, 40, 277-282, 1994.

No. 65. Chen, H. S., 1993: Global Wave Prediction Using the WAM Model and NMC Winds. Advances in Hydro-Science and Engineering, (Ed: Sam S.Y. Wang), Vol. I, Tsinghua Univ. Press, 1453-1460.

No. 66. Unassigned

No. 67. Breaker, L. C., and A. Bratkovich, 1993: Oceanic Processes Contributing to the Displacement of Oil Spilled Off San Francisco by the M/V Puerto Rican. Marine Environmental Research, 36, 153-184.

No. 68. Breaker, L. C., L. D. Burroughs, J. F. Culp, N. L. Gunasso, R. Teboulle, and C. R. Wong, 1993: Surface and Near-Surface Marine Observations During Hurricane Andrew. Technical Note/NMC Office Note No. 398, 41pp.

No. 69. Burroughs, L. D., and R. Nichols, 1993: The National Marine Verification Program - Concepts and Data Management, Technical Note/NMC Office Note No .393, 21pp.

No. 70. Gemmill, W. H., and R. Teboulle, 1993: The Operational Use of SSM/I Wind Speed Data over Oceans. *Pre-print 13th Conference on Weather Analysis and Forecasting*, AMS Vienna, VA., August 2-6, 1993, 237-238.

No. 71. Yu, T.-W., J. C. Derber, and R. N. Hoffman, 1993: Use of ERS-1 Scatterometer Backscattered Measurements in Atmospheric Analyses. *Pre-print 13th Conference on Weather Analyses and Forecasting*, AMS, Vienna, VA., August 2-6, 1993, 294-297.

No. 72. Unassigned

No. 73. Woiceshyn, P., T. W. Yu, W. H. Gemmill, 1993: Use of ERS-1 Scatterometer Data to Derive Ocean Surface Winds at NMC. *Pre-print 13th Conference on Weather Analyses and Forecasting*, AMS, Vienna, VA, August 2-6, 1993, 239-240.

No. 74. Grumbine, R. W., 1993: Sea Ice Prediction Physics. Technical Note/NMC Office Note No. 396, 44pp.

No. 75. Chalikov, D., 1993: The Parameterization of the Wave Boundary Layer. Jour. Phy. Oceanog., 25, 1333-1349.

No. 76. Tolman, H. L., 1993: Modeling Bottom Friction in Wind-Wave Models. In: *Ocean Wave Measurement and Analysis*, (Ed: O.T. Magoon and J.M. Hemsley), ASCE, 769-783.

No. 77. Breaker, L., and W. Broenkow, 1994: The Circulation of Monterey Bay and Related Processes. Oceanography and Marine Biology: An Annual Review, 32, 1-64.

No. 78. Chalikov, D., D. Esteva, M. Iredell and P. Long, 1993: Dynamic Coupling between the NMC Global Atmosphere and Spectral Wave Models. Technical Note/NMC Office Note No. 395, 62pp.

No. 79. Burroughs, L. D., 1993: National Marine Verification Program - Verification Statistics - Verification Statistics, Technical Note/NMC Office Note No. 400, 49 pp.

No. 80. Unassigned

No. 81. Chao, Y. Y., 1993: The Time Dependent Ray Method for Calculation of Wave Transformation on Water of Varying Depth and Current. *Proc. ASCE Wave 93 Conf.*, 671-679.

No. 82. Tolman, H. L., 1994: Wind-Waves and Moveable-Bed Bottom Friction. Jour. Phy. Oceanog. 24, 994-1009.

No. 83. Grumbine, R. W., 1994: Notes and Correspondence: A Sea Ice Albedo Experiment with the NMC Medium Range Forecast Model. Weather and Forecasting, 9, 453-456.

No. 84. Chao, Y.Y, 1993: The Gulf of Alaska Regional Wave Model. Technical Procedure Bulletin, No. 427, 10 pp.

No. 85. Chao, Y. Y., 1993: Implementation and Evaluation of the Gulf of Alaska Regional Wave Model. Technical Note, 30 pp.

No. 86. Unassigned

No. 87. Burroughs, L., 1994: Portfolio of Operational and Development Marine Meteorological and Oceanographic Products. Technical Note/NCEP Office Note No. 412, 52 pp.

No. 88. Tolman, H. L., and D. Chalikov, 1994: Development of a third-generation ocean wave model at NOAA-NMC. *Proc. Waves Physical and Numerical Modelling*, (ed: M. Isaacson and M.C. Quick), Univ. of British Columbia Press, Vancouver, Canada, 724-733.

No. 89. Peters, C., W. H. Gemmill, V. M. Gerald, and P. Woiceshyn, 1994: Evaluation of Empirical Transfer Functions for ERS-1 Scatterometer Data at NMC. Proc. *7th Conference on Satellite Meteorology and Oceanography*, June 6-10, 1994, Monterey, CA., pg. 550-552.

No. 90. Unassigned

No. 91. Yu, T-W., P. Woiceshyn, W. Gemmill, and C. Peters, 1994: Analysis & Forecast Experiments at NMC Using ERS-1 Scatterometer Wind Measurements. *Proc. 7th Conference on Satellite Meteorology and Oceanography*, June 6-10, 1994, Monterey, CA., pg. 600-601.

No. 92. Chen, H. S., 1994: Ocean Surface Waves. Technical Procedures Bulletin, No. 426, 17 pp.

No. 93. Breaker, L. C., V. Krasnopolsky, D. B. Rao, and X.-H. Yan, 1994: The Feasibility of Estimating Ocean Surface Currents on an Operational Basis using Satellite Feature Tracking Methods. Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society, 75, 2085-2095.

No. 94. Krasnopolsky V., L. C. Breaker, and W. H. Gemmill, 1994: Development of Single "All-Weather" Neural Network Algorithms for Estimating Ocean Surface Winds from the Special Sensor Microwave Imager. Technical Note, 66 pp.

No. 95. Breaker, L. C., D. S. Crosby and W. H. Gemmill, 1994: The application of a New Definition for Vector Correlation to Problems in Oceanography and Meteorology. Jour. of Applied Meteorology, 33, 1354-1365.

No. 96. Peters, C. A., V. M. Gerald, P. M. Woiceshyn, and W. H. Gemmill, 1994: Operational Processing of ERS-1 Scatterometer winds: A Documentation. Technical Note, 14pp

No. 97. Gemmill, W. H., P. M. Woiceshyn, C. A. Peters, and V. M. Gerald, 1994: A Preliminary Evaluation Scatterometer Wind Transfer Functions for ERS-1 Data. Technical Note, 35pp

No. 98. Chen, H. S., 1995: Evaluation of a Global Ocean Wave Model at NMC. Advances in Hydro-Science and Engineering (Ed: Sam S.Y. Wang), Vol. II, Tsinghua Univ. Press, 1453-1460.

No. 99. Unassigned.

No. 100. Rao, D. B. and C. Peters, 1994: Two-Dimensional Co-Oscillations in a Rectangular Bay: Possible Application to Water-Level Problems. Marine Geodesy, 18, 317-332.

No. 101. Breaker, L. C., L. D. Burroughs, Y. Y. Chao, J. F. Culp, N. L. Gunasso, R. Teboulle, and C. R. Wong, 1994: The Impact of Hurricane Andrew on the Near Surface Marine Environment in the Bahamas and the Gulf Stream. Weather and Forecasting, 9, 542-556.

No. 102. Tolman, H. L., 1995: Subgrid Modeling of Moveable-bed Bottom Friction in Wind Wave Models. Coastal Engineering, Vol 26, pp 57-75.

No. 103. Breaker, L. C., D. B. Gilhousen, and L. D. Burroughs, 1998: Preliminary Results from Long-Term Measurements of Atmospheric Moisture in the Marine Boundary Layer at Two Locations in the Gulf of Mexico. Jour. Atms. Oceanic Tech., 15, 661-676.

No. 104. Burroughs, L. D., and J. P. Dallavalle, 1997: Great Lakes Wind and Wave Guidance. Technical Procedures Bulletin No. 443 (see http://www.nws.noaa.gov/om).

No. 105. Burroughs, L. D., and J. P. Dallavalle, 1997: Great Lakes Storm Surge Guidance. Technical Procedures Bulletin No. 434, (see http://www.nws.noaa.gov/om).

No. 106. Shaffer, W. A., J. P. Dallavalle, and L. D. Burroughs, 1997: East Coast Extratropical Storm Surge and Beach Erosion Guidance. Technical Procedures Bulletin No. 436, (see http://www.nws.noaa.gov/om)

No. 107. Unassigned.

No. 108. Unassigned.

No. 109. Unassigned.

No. 110. Gemmill, W. H, and C. A. Peters, 1995: The Use of Satellite Derived Wind Data in High-Resolution Regional Ocean Surface Wind Fields. *Proc. Conference on Coastal Oceanic and Atmospheric Prediction*, January 28 - February 2, 1996, Atlanta, GA, 397-400.

OPC Contribution numbers change to OMB Contribution numbers

No. 111. Krasnopolsky, V. M, W. H. Gemmill, and L. C. Breaker, 1995: Improved SSM/I Wind Speed Retrievals at Higher Wind Speeds. Jour. of Geophy. Res., 100, 11033-11045.

No. 112. Unassigned

No. 113. Tolman, H. L., 1995: On the Selection of Propagation Schemes for a Spectral Wind-Wave Model. NCEP Office Note No. 411, 30 pp + figures.

No. 114. Grumbine, R. W., 1995: Virtual Floe Ice Drift Forecast Model Intercomparison. Weather and Forecasting, 13, 886-890.

No. 115. Unassigned

No. 116. Yu, T. W. and J. C. Derber, 1995: Assimilation Experiments with ERS-1 Winds: Part I - Use of Backscatter Measurements in the NMC Spectral Statistical Analysis System. Technical Note, 27pp.

No. 117. Yu, T. W., 1995: Assimilation Experiments with ERS1 Winds: Part II - Use of Vector Winds in NCEP Spectral Statistical Analysis System. Technical Note, 25pp.

No. 118. Grumbine, R. W., 1997: Sea Ice Drift Guidance. Technical Procedures Bulletin no. 435 (see http://www.nws.noaa.gov/om).

No. 119. Tolman, H. L., 1998: Effects of Observation Errors in Linear Regression and Bin-Average Analyses. Quarterly Jou. of the Royal Meteorological Society, 124, 897-917.

No. 120. Grumbine, R. W., 1996: Automated Passive Microwave Sea Ice Concentration Analysis at NCEP. Technical Note, 13pp

No. 121. Grumbine, R. W., 1996: Sea Ice Prediction Environment: Documentation. Technical Note, 11pp.

No. 122. Tolman, H. L and D. Chalikov, 1996: Source Terms in a Third-Generation Wind Wave Model. Jour. of Phys. Oceanog., 26, 2497-2518.

No. 123. Gemmill, W. H., V. Krasnopolsky, L. C. Breaker, and C. Peters, 1996: Developments to Improve Satellite Derived Ocean Surface Winds for use in Marine Analyses. *Pre-print Numerical Weather Prediction Conference,* Norfolk, VA, August 19-23, 1996.

No. 124. Breaker, L. C., D. B. Gilhousen, H. L. Tolman and L. D. Burroughs, 1996: Initial Results from Long-Term Measurements of Atmospheric Humidity and Related Parameters in the Marine Boundary Layer at Two Locations in the Gulf of Mexico. NCEP Office Note No. 414, 37pp.

No. 125. Yu, T. W., M. D. Iredell, and Y. Zhu, 1996: The Impact of ERS-1 Winds on NCEP Operational Numerical Weather Analyses and Forecast. *Pre-print Numerical Weather Prediction Conference*, Norfolk, VA, August 19-23, 1996, 276-277.

No. 126. Burroughs, L. D., 1996: Marine Meteorological and Oceanographic Guidance Products from the National Centers for Environmental Prediction. Mariners Weather Log, Vol. 40, No. 2, pp 1-4.

No. 127. Lobocki, L., 1996: Coastal Ocean Forecasting System (COFS) System Description and User Guides. Technical Note, 69pp.

No. 128. Unassigned

No. 129. Thiebaux, H.J., 1997: Data Sources and Baseline Evaluation for Regional Ocean Data Assimilation. *Research Activities in Atmospheric and Ocean Modeling, WMO/WGNE Report No. 25*, p.865.

No. 130. Yu, T.W., 1996: Applications of SSM/I Wind Speed Data to NCEP Regional Analyses. Technical Note, 20pp.

No. 131. Chalikov, D. and D. Sheinin, 1996: Direct Modeling of 1-D Nonlinear Potential Waves. Ocean Waves, Advances in Fluid Mechanics, Chapter 7, 207-258.

No. 132. Krasnopolsky, V.M., W.H. Gemmill, and L.C. Breaker, 1997: Ocean Surface Retrievals from the SSM/I Using Neural Networks. *Proc. Fourth Conf. on Remote Sensing of Marine and Coastal Environment*, Orlando, FL, 17-19 March, Vol. II, 164-173.

No. 133. Yu, T. W., 1996: The Effect of Drifting Buoy Data on NCEP Numerical Weather Forecast. Technical Note, 19pp

No. 134. Krasnopolsky, V. M., 1996: A Neural Network Forward Model for Direct Assimilation of SSM/I Brightness Temperatures into Atmospheric Models. *CAS/JSC Working Group on Numerical Experimentation*, Report No. 25, pp. 1.29 - 1.30, January 1997.

No. 135. Krasnopolsky, V. M., W. H. Gemmill, and L. C. Breaker, 1996: A New Neural Network Transfer for SSM/I Retrievals. *CAS/JSC Working Group on Numerical Experimentation,* Report No. 25, WMO/TD - No. 792, pp. 2.16 - 2.17, January 1997.

No. 136. Grumbine, R.W., 1997: Automated Ice Concentration Analysis. Technical Procedures Bulletin No.440, (see http://www.nws.noaa.gov/om)

No. 137. Krasnopolsky, V. M., W.H. Gemmill, and L.C. Breaker, 1996: A New Transfer Function for SSM/I Based on an Expanded Neural Network Architecture. Technical Note, 39 pp.

No. 138. Chalikov, D. C., L. C. Breaker, and L. Lobocki, 1996: Parameterization of Mixing in Upper Ocean. Technical Note, 40pp.

No. 139. Chalikov, D. C., and D. Sheinin, 1996: Numerical Modeling of Surface Waves Based on Principal Equations of Potential Wave Dynamics. Technical Note, 54pp

No. 140. Krasnopolsky, V. M., 1997: A Neural Network-Based Forward Model for Direct Assimilation of SSM/I Brightness Temperatures. Technical Note, 33 pp.

No. 141. Peters, C. A., 1997: Effects of Scatterometer Winds on the NCEP Global Model Analyses and Forecasts: Two Case Studies. Technical Note, 27pp.

No. 142. Kelley, J. G. W., F. Aikman, L. C. Breaker and G. L. Mellor, 1997: A Coastal Ocean Forecast System for the U.S. East Coast. Sea Technology, 38, 10-17.

No. 143. Tolman, H. L., L. C. Bender and W. L. Neu, 1998: Comments on "The Goddard Coastal Wave Model. Part I: Numerical Method. Jour. Phy. Oceanog., 28, 1287-1290.

No. 144. Tolman, H. L., W. L. Neu and L. C. Bender, 1998: Comments on "The Goddard Coastal Wave Model. Part II: Kinematics. Jour. Phy.Oceanog., 28, 1305-1308.

No. 145. Breaker, L. C., D. B. Gilhousen, H. L. Tolman, and L. D. Burroughs, 1998: Initial Results from Long-Term Measurements Atmospheric Humidity and Related Parameters in the Marine Boundary Layer at Two Locations in the Gulf of Mexico. Jour. of Marine Systems, 16, 199-217.

No. 146. Thiebaux, H.J., 1997: The Power of the Duality in Spatial-Temporal Estimation. Jour. Climate, 10, 567-573.

No. 147. Gemmill, W. H. and C. A. Peters, 1997: High-Resolution Ocean Surface Wind Analyses Using Satellite Derived Ocean Surface Winds: Analyses Validation using Synthetic Satellite Data. Technical Note, 19pp.

No. 148. Krasnopolsky, V. M., 1997: Neural Networks for Standard and Variational Satellite Retrievals. Technical Note, 43 pp.

No. 149. Chao, Y. Y., 1997: The U.S. East Coast-Gulf of Mexico Wave Forecasting Model. Technical Procedures Bulletin No. 446 (see http://www.nws.noaa.gov/om).

No. 150. Tolman, H. L., 1998: Validation of NCEP's Ocean Winds for the Use in Wind Wave Models. The Global Atmosphere and Ocean System, 6, 243-268.

No. 151. Tolman, H. L., 1997: User Manual and System Documentation of WAVEWATCH III, Version 1.15. Technical Note, 97 pp.

No. 152. Tolman, H. L., 1998: A New Global Wave Forecast System at NCEP. In: *Ocean Wave Measurements and Analysis, Vol. 2,* (Ed: B. L. Edge and J. M. Helmsley), ASCE, 777-786.

No. 153. Chalikov, D., 1998: Interactive Modeling of Surface Waves and Atmospheric Boundary Layer. In: *Ocean Wave Measurements and Analysis, Vol. 2*, (Ed: B. L. Edge and J. M. Helmsley), ASCE, 1525-1539.

No. 154. Krasnopolsky, V. M., W.H. Gemmill, and L.C. Breaker, 1999: A Multi-Parameter Empirical Ocean Algorithm for SSM/I Retrievals. Canadian Jour. of Remote Sensing, 25, 486-503.

No. 155. Kelley, J.G.W., H.J. Thiebaux, B. Balasubramaniyan, D. Behringer, and D. Chalikov, 1998: Implementation of a Nowcast/Data Assimilation Cycle in the Coastal Ocean Forecast System. *Proc. Marine Technology Society's Ocean Community Conf. '98*. November 15-18, 1998, Baltimore, MD.,230-234.

No. 156. Thiebaux, H.J., J.G.W. Kelley, D. Chalikov, D. Behringer, and B. Balasubramaniyan, 1998: Impact of Assimilating Observations into the Coastal Ocean Forecast System.Research Activities in Atmospheric and Ocean Modeling, WMO/WGNE Report No. 27, 8.43-8.44.

No. 157. Breaker, L. C., J. G. W. Kelley, L. D. Burroughs, J. L. Miller, B. Balusubramaniyan, and J. B. Zaitzeff, 1999: The Impact of a High Discharge Event on the Structure and Evolution of the Chesapeake Bay Plume Based on Model Results. Jour. Marine Environmental Engineering, 5, 311-349.

No. 158. Peters, C. A., 1998: NCEP Standards for Operational Codes and Implementation. Technical Note, 22pp.

No. 159. Krasnopolsky, V. M., W. H. Gemmill and L. C. Breaker, 1998: A Neural Network Multi-Parameter Algorithms for SSM/I Ocean Retrievals: Comparisons and Validations. *5th International Conference on Remote Sensing for Marine and Coastal Environment*, San Diego, CA, October 5-7, 1998. Vol. I, 36-43.

No. 160. Gemmill, W. H., V. M. Krasnopolsky, 1998: Weather Patterns over the Ocean Retrieved by Neural Network Multi-Parameter Algorithm from SSM/I. *5th International Conference on Remote Sensing for Marine and Coastal Environment*, San Diego, CA, October 5-7, 1998. Vol. I, 395-402

No. 161. Breaker, L. C., V. M. Krasnopolsky and E.M. Maturi, 1998: GOES-8 Imagery as a New Source of Data to Conduct Ocean Feature Tracking. *5th International Conference on Remote Sensing for Marine and Coastal Environment*, San Diego, CA, October 5-7, 1998. Vol. I, 501-508.

No. 162. Tolman, H. L. and N. Booij, 1998: Modeling Wind Waves Using Wavenumber-direction Spectra and a Variable Wavenumber Grid. Global Atmosphere and Ocean System, 6, 295-309.

No. 163. Breaker, L. C. and D. B. Rao, 1998: Experience Gained During the Implementation of NOAA's Coastal Ocean Forecast System. *Proceedings of the Ocean Community Conference 1998 of the Marine Technology Society*, 235-249.

No. 164. Gemmill, W. H., T. W. Yu, V. Krasnopolsky, C. Peters, and P. Woiceshyn, 1999: NCEP Experience With "Real-Time" Ocean Surface Wind Retrievals from Satellites. Technical Note, 32pp.

No. 165. Gemmill, W. H. and V.M. Krasnopolsky, 1999: The Use of SSM/I Data in Operational Marine Analysis. Weather and Forecasting, 14, 789-800.

No. 166. Tolman, H. L., 1999: User Manual and System Documentation of WAVEWATCH-III version 1.18. Technical Note, 110pp.

No. 167. Tolman, H. L., 1999: WAVEWATCH-III version 1.18: Generating GRIB Files. Technical Note, 7pp

No. 168. Tolman, H. L., 1999: WAVEWATCH-III version 1.18: Postprocessing Using NCAR Graphics. Technical Note, 10pp

No. 169. Yu, T. W., 1999: Impact on NCEP Numerical Weather Forecasts of Omitting Marine Ship and Fixed Buoy Reports. Technical Note, 15pp

No. 170. Peters, C. A., 1999: Experiments Using NSCAT Data in the NCEP Global Data Assimilation and Forecast System. Technical Note.

No. 171. Chao, Y. Y., L. D. Burroughs, and H. L. Tolman, 1999: Wave Forecasting for Alaskan Waters. Technical Procedures Bulletin No. 456 (see http://www.nws.noaa.gov/om).

No. 172 Chao, Y. Y., L. D. Burroughs, and H. L. Tolman, 1999: Wave Forecasting for the Western North Atlantic, Caribbean, and Gulf of Mexico. Technical Procedures Bulletin No. 459. (see http://www.nws.noaa.gov/om).

No. 173. Chen, H. S., L. D. Burroughs, and H. L. Tolman, 1999: Ocean Surface Waves. Technical Procedures Bulletin No. 453 (see http://www.noaa.nws.gov/om).

No. 174. Kelley, J. G. W., D. W. Behringer, and H. J. Thiebaux,1999: Description of the SST Data Assimilation System used in the NOAA Coastal Ocean Forecast System (COFS) for the U.S. East Coast Version 3.2. Technical Note, 49pp.

No. 175. Krasnopolsky, V. and W. H. Gemmill, 1999: Neural Network Multi-Parameter Algorithms to Retrieve Atmospheric and Ocean Parameters from Satellite Data. *Proc. 2nd Conference on Artificial Intelligence, 80th AMS Annual Meeting*. January 9-14, 2000, Long Beach CA, 73-77.

No. 176. Krasnopolsky, V. M., D. Chalikov, L. C. Breaker, and D. B. Rao, 2000: Application of Neural Networks for Efficient Calculation of Sea Water Density or Salinity from the UNESCO Equation State. *2nd Conference on Artificial Intelligence, 80th AMS Annual Meeting*. January 9-14, 2000, Long Beach CA, 27-31

No 177. Gemmill, W. H. and V. M. Krasnopolsky, 2000: Observing Weather Over the Oceans from SSM/I Using Neural Networks. *Proc. 10th Conf. on Satellite Meteorology and Oceanography*, January 9-14, 2000, Long Beach CA, 234-237.

No. 178. Breaker, L. C., B. Balasubramaniyan, A. Brown, L. D. Burroughs, Y. Y. Chao, R. Kelly, H. J. Thiebaux, P. Vukits, and K. Waters, 1999: Results from Phase 1 of the Coastal Marine Demonstration Project: The Coastal Ocean. Technical Note, 21pp

No. 179. Krasnopolsky, V. M., 1998: Neural Networks as a Generic Tool for Satellite Retrieval Algorithms Development and for Direct Assimilation of Satellite Data into Numerical Models. *Proc. AMS 1st Conf. on Artificial Intelligence*, January 11-16, 1998, Phoenix, AZ, 45-50.

No.180. Li, Xiofeng, W.G. Pichel, P. Clemente-Colon, and V. Krasnopolsky, 1998: Validation of Coastal Sea and Lake Surface Measurements Derived from NOAA/AVHRR Data. *Proc. 5th. International Conf. on Remote Sensing for Marine and Coastal Environment,* San Diego, CA, October 5-7, 1998, Vol. 1, 261-268.

No. 181. Krasnopolsky, V.M., 1999: Using NNs to Retrieve Multiple Geophysical Parameters from Satellite Data. *Proc. of 1999 International Joint Conf. on Neural Networks*, July 10-16 1999, Washington D.C. (Available on CD).

No. 182. Aikman, F., and Desiraju B. Rao, 1999: A NOAA Perspective on a Coastal Ocean Forecast System. Coastal Ocean Prediction, Coastal and Estuarine Studies, 56 (Ed: C.N.K. Mooers), AGU Publication, 467-499.

No. 183. Thiebaux, J., B. Katz, J. Kelley, L. Breaker, and B. Balasubramaniyan, 2000: National Ocean Partnership Project Advances Real-Time Coastal Ocean Forecasting. EOS, 81, pages 145 and 150.

No. 184. Thiebaux, J., D. Chalikov, J. Kelley, D. Behringer, and J. Cummings, 2000: Ocean Model Data Assimilation. *EOS*, 2000 Ocean Sciences Meeting (AGU), 80, 277.

No. 185. Grumbine, R. W., 2000: C++ for Ocean Modeling Branch Considerations. Technical Note, 23pp.

No. 186. Grumbine, R. W., 2000: OMB C++ Class Library Descriptions. http://polar.wwb.noaa.gov/omb/papers/tn186.

No. 187. Grumbine, R. W., 2000: Ocean Modeling Branch and the Web. Technical Note, 13pp.

No. 188. Gemmill, W. G., L. D. Burroughs, V. M. Gerald, and P. Woiceshyn, 2000: Ocean surface Wind Vectors Retrieved from Satellites with Scatterometers. Technical Procedures Bulletin No. 466 (see http://www.nws.noaa.gov/om).

No 189. Gemmill, W. G., L. D. Burroughs, V. M. Gerald, and V. Krasnopolsky, 2000: Ocean Surface Wind Speeds Retrieved from DMSP Satellites. Technical Procedures Bulletin No. 467 (see http://www.nws.noaa.gov/om).

No. 190. Breaker, L. C. and H. J. Thiebaux, 2000: A Status report on NOAA's Coastal Ocean Forecast System.*Research Activities in Atmospheric and Ocean Modeling, WMO/WGNE Report No. 30,* p 8.2-8.3.

No.191. Thiebaux, H. J., B. Katz, B. Balasubramaniyan, and J. G. W. Kelley, 2000: Real-Time Data Assimilation in a Coastal Ocean Forecast System. *Research Activities in Atmospheric and Ocean Modeling, WMO/WGNE Report No. 30,* p8.22-8.23.

No. 192. Krasnopolsky, V., D. Chalikov, and H. L. Tolman, 2000: A Neural Network Approach to Parameterizing Nonlinear Interactions in Wind Wave Models (to be presented at Conf. on Artificial Intelligence).

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA