





Gulf of Mexico Community-based Restoration Partnership Year XII

Proposal Guidelines (Submittal Due Date: August 30, 2012 – 5:00 PM CDT)

The **Gulf of Mexico Community-based Restoration Partnership** (GCRP) invites proposals for its **twelfth** round of citizen-driven habitat restoration projects. The partnership is seeking to fund **on-the-ground projects** to restore marine, estuarine, and riparian habitats to benefit living marine resources and to provide educational and social benefits by significantly involving the community.

The GCRP is a multi-year, regional partnership between the Gulf of Mexico Foundation, Inc. (GMF), the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) Community-based Restoration Program (CRP), the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Gulf of Mexico Program (GoMP), and the Gulf States and Caribbean Territories. The purpose of this partnership is to strengthen the conservation efforts of the NOAA CRP and EPA GoMP by supporting on-the-ground restoration activities and fostering local stewardship of ecologically significant areas.

Project Specifications:

Proposals will be accepted for projects that involve restoration, creation, or enhancement of coastal habitats. For projects in the Caribbean islands, the term "coastal" may be interpreted as "ridges to reefs" (please contact GMF with any concerns regarding project eligibility).

All projects must:

- Result in **on-the-ground** habitat restoration;
- Provide significant, long-term **benefit to "NOAA Trust Resources**" (please see the following section);
- **Involve the local community** through an educational or volunteer component tied to the restoration activities;
- Provide a **1:1 nonfederal match** to the partnership cash contribution (please see the "Funding" section); and
- Include a mechanism to **monitor and evaluate** the success/failure of the project (please see the "Minimum Monitoring/Evaluating Requirements" Section).

In addition, we encourage applicants to think about the relevance of Ecosystem Services Valuation (ESV) to their projects (see **Appendix E** for NOAA guidance). Applicants are also encouraged to consider implications of climate change when developing their projects. The preferred project duration is **one year**, with projects beginning **January 1**, **2012**; however, projects of shorter duration and projects taking up to 18 months for completion will also be considered.

Request for Proposals

On-the-ground restoration projects will be given priority. Recognizing that restoration is a multi-faceted effort, funding for on-the-ground projects that include other related activities will also be considered. These related activities could include limited pre-implementation activities, such as engineering and design and short-term baseline studies, or elements such as studies or workshops that directly support the restoration activities and/or public education about the project. Deliverables for engineering and design work may include, but are not limited to, engineering designs/plans, reports summarizing the biological and hydrologic data collected in the construction area, a draft of completed permit applications, and synthesized comments from those who review the engineering design.

Proposals emphasizing a single component, such as only outreach or program coordination are discouraged, as are applications that propose to expand an organization's day-to-day activities, or that primarily seek support for administration, salaries, overhead, and/or travel costs.

Gulf of Mexico and Caribbean proposals will be evaluated separately. In the Gulf of Mexico the EPA Gulf of Mexico Program has particular interest in projects taking place within Gulf Ecological Management Sites (GEMS). Please make sure to note whether or not the proposed project is located within one of these sites (**Appendix A**). For Caribbean projects, priority will be given to projects which are located within (or that benefit) Special Planning Areas (Puerto Rico) or Areas of Particular Concern (Virgin Islands) (**Appendix B**).

NOAA Trust Resources:

NOAA Trust Resources and the habitats that support them serve as the focus of this partnership. Applicants must clearly demonstrate that habitat restoration will result in a benefit to NOAA trust resources. These include living marine resources such as:

- Commercial and recreational fishery resources (marine finfish and shellfish and their habitats) managed under fisheries management plans;
- Protected, threatened and endangered marine species and their habitats (e.g., Gulf sturgeon, marine mammals, sea turtles, coral).

Funding:

Proposals will be evaluated and selected by the GCRP steering committee, which consists of Gulf of Mexico Foundation, NOAA Restoration Center, US EPA Gulf of Mexico Program, US Fish and Wildlife Service, and natural resource agency technical staff from each of the Gulf States and US Caribbean Territories. Subject to available funding, approximately **\$250,000** will be offered for Year 2013 projects. Project funding levels will typically fall within the range of **\$50,000** - **\$100,000**. Projects will not be reviewed that have a budget of less than **\$40,000** in requested funds (less than **\$80,000** total budget).

All projects **must** provide a 1:1 match of the grant amount. Matching funds **cannot be federal dollars**. Matching funds can be cash and/or in-kind, including one or more of the following (for a more detailed list of accepted match please reference **Appendix C**):

- In-kind donations, such as materials and earthmoving equipment;
- Technical assistance for restoration site selection, design, and evaluation;
- Land (must be purchased or put under easement during the award period);

- Workforce support or other in-kind services, especially those that promote citizens' hands-on involvement such as volunteers (see Appendix C for more details);
- Local stewardship and monitoring to sustain and evaluate the success of the restoration over time.

Additional federal funding or other contributions may be included in the project description to demonstrate that the project is part of a larger restoration effort. However, such federal contributions may not serve as matching contribution to the GCRP funds. All matching contributions must be documented and verified.

Minimum Monitoring/Evaluating Requirements:

Projects funded by the GCRP are required to evaluate the success of the habitat restoration efforts. The purpose of the monitoring is to detect early signs that the restoration is or is not on track, to gauge how well a restoration site is functioning, and to evaluate the ecological health of specific coastal habitats both before and after completion.

NOAA has established standard monitoring protocols to guide the evaluation of habitat restoration projects. **Appendix D**, **"NOAA Restoration Center Project Monitoring,"** outlines the framework for preparing an effective monitoring plan.

In addition, a manual, entitled **"Science-Based Restoration Monitoring of Coastal Habitats,"** was developed in accordance with the Estuary Restoration Act of 2000, Title I of the Estuaries and Clean Waters Act of 2000. This guidance manual provides technical assistance, outlines necessary steps, and provides tools for the development and implementation of sound scientific monitoring of coastal restoration projects. It is available on-line at http://coastalscience.noaa.gov/ecosystems/estuaries/restoration monitoring.

*Please note that a monitoring plan is required for all GCRP projects. Applicants should include the monitoring plan as a separate attachment within their proposal.

Applicants may also consult with Gulf of Mexico Foundation staff and the NOAA Restoration Center POC in their area (see table below) for technical assistance or in preparing a monitoring plan:

| GCRP Federal Points of Contact | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|
| Region | POC | Phone | Email |
| ТХ | Kristopher Benson Jamie Schubert | (409) 621-1200 | Kristopher.Benson@noaa.gov Jamie.Schubert@noaa.gov |
| LA | Mel Landry | (225) 578-7667 | Mel.Landry@noaa.gov |
| MS, AL, FL (Panhandle to Tampa Bay) | Meg Goecker | (251) 861-2141 (Ext. 7509) | Meg.Goecker@noaa.gov |
| FL (South of Tampa Bay to Everglades) | Marti McGuire | (727) 551-5785 | Marti.McGuire@noaa.gov |
| FL (Tampa Bay & Keys) | Sean Meehan | (727) 824-5330 | Sean.Meehan@noaa.gov |
| Caribbean (VI) | Daphne Macfarlan | (727) 824-5384 | Daphne.Macfarlan@noaa.gov |

| Caribbean (Puerto Rico) | Sean Griffin | (787) 890-0839 | Sean.Griffin@noaa.gov |
|----------------------------|--------------|----------------|-----------------------|
|----------------------------|--------------|----------------|-----------------------|

| GCRP GMF Point of Contact | | | |
|---------------------------|------------|----------------|-------------------------|
| Region | POC | Phone | Email |
| Gulf & Caribbean | Ryan Fikes | (361) 882-3939 | <u>Ryan@gulfmex.org</u> |

Proposal Requirements:

Proposals should be no more than five (5) pages in length, **not including Attachments.** Proposals must include all of the information requested in, and be formatted as shown in, **Attachment A.**

It is recommended that the applicant contact their respective State/Territory POC (see table below) for project review and comment prior to submission of a final proposal to the Gulf of Mexico Foundation. Applicants may include a letter of support from an appropriate state or territory agency as an attachment to their proposal. As an alternative the applicant may provide a letter from the State/Territory POC their involvement with the project and how the organization might help to contribute to the completion of the project.

These managers may also direct applicants to natural resource experts or other restoration partners to assist in project development, if necessary. If appropriate, please include with the application, letters showing support from any collaborating entities, such as refuge managers, preserve managers, county governments, etc. to ensure that a viable working relationship exists. Projects will be selected for funding following review by the GCRP Steering Committee.

| GCRP State/Territory Points of Contact | | | |
|--|------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Region | POC | Phone | Email |
| Texas | Cherie O'Brien | (281) 534-0132 | cherie.obrien@tpwd.state.tx.us |
| Louisiana | Keith Lovell | (225) 342-5052 | keith.lovell@la.gov |
| Mississippi | Rhonda Price | (228) 523-4150 | rhonda.price@dmr.ms.gov |
| Alabama | Carl Ferraro | (251) 621-1216 | carl.ferraro@dcnr.alabama.gov |
| Florida | Larry Nall | (850) 245-2094 | larry.nall@dep.state.fl.us |
| Puerto Rico | Craig Lilyestrom | (787) 999-2200 ext. 2689 | craig.lilyestrom@drna.gobierno.pr |
| U.S. Virgin Islands | Nick Drayton | (340) 693-1239 | ndrayton@uvi.edu |

Proposal Submission:

Proposals must be received by the Gulf of Mexico Foundation office <u>no later than 5:00</u> <u>p.m. CDT August 30, 2012.</u>

The GCRP Steering Committee extends throughout several US states and the US territories in the Caribbean, and all information sharing occurs via electronic means; therefore, applicants **must** submit application materials in an electronic format. Paper applications will not be accepted. Electronic versions of applications and all accompanying information must be received by the Gulf of Mexico Foundation office before the submission deadline.

Applications must be sent as **one** Adobe PDF or Microsoft Word compatible file. Low resolution photos/maps should be included in the proposal document, as well as cover pages and letters of support. Adherence to these standards makes electronic file sharing much more efficient and we appreciate your cooperation.

Please send the completed application package to: <u>info@gulfmex.org</u>. Please include in the Subject Heading your **institution name** and **"GCRP Project Proposal"** (eg, Ocean Conservancy GCRP Project Proposal). An e-mail acknowledging receipt of your package will be sent via return e-mail within 48 hours after all attachments are verified.

For questions about the application process, or if you do not receive a return email within 48 hours after submitting your proposal, please contact:

Ryan Fikes Deputy Director & Restoration Program Manager Gulf of Mexico Foundation, Inc. (361) 882-3939 office (361) 882-1262 fax ryan@gulfmex.org

For additional technical assistance, please contact the Gulf of Mexico Foundation, NOAA Restoration Center POC and/or State/Territory POC.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION CAN BE FOUND ONLINE AT:

Gulf of Mexico Foundation: <u>http://www.gulfmex.org/conservation-restoration/gulf-conservation-restoration-and-preservation/</u>

NOAA Restoration Center Community-based Restoration Program: http://www.habitat.noaa.gov/restoration/programs/crp.html

USEPA Gulf of Mexico Program/Gulf Ecological Management Sites Program: <u>http://www.epa.gov/gmpo/gem2.html</u>

Appendix A Gulf Ecological Management Sites (GEMS)

Alabama – 11 GEMS Sites

Bon Secour National Wildlife Refuge Cat Island Grand Bay National Wildlife Refuge Grand Bay Swamp Bioreserve Lillian Swamp Mobile-Tensaw River Delta Orange Beach Maritime Forest Perdido River Corridor Robinson Island South Mon Louis Island Salt Marsh Weeks Bay National Estuarine Research Reserve

Florida – 46 GEMS Sites

Alligator Harbor Aquatic Preserve Apalachicola Aquatic Preserve Apalachicola National Estuarine Research Reserve Big Bend Seagrasses Aquatic Preserve Boca Ceiga Bay Aquatic Preserve Caloosahatchee National Wildlife Refuge Cape Haze Cape Romano-Ten Thousand Islands Cedar Keys National Wildlife Refuge Charlotte Harbor Buffer Preserve Chassahowitzka National Wildlife Refuge Cockroach Bay Aquatic Preserve Coupon Bight **Crystal River Buffer** Crystal River National Wildlife Refuge Egmont Key National Wildlife Refuge Estero Bay Aquatic Preserve & Buffer Ft. Pickens Aquatic Preserve Gasparilla Sound-Charlotte Harbor Great White Heron National Wildlife Refuge Island Bay National Wildlife Refuge J.N. "Ding" Darling National Wildlife Refuge Key West National Wildlife Refuge

Lemon Bay Lignumvitae Key Lower Suwannee National Wildlife Refuge Matlacha Pass Matlacha Pass National Wildlife Refuge National Key Deer National Wildlife Refuge Passage Key National Wildlife Refuge Pine Island National Wildlife Refuge Pine Island Sound Pinellas County Aquatic Preserve Pinellas National Wildlife Refuge Rocky Bayou Aquatic Preserve Rookery Bay Aquatic Preserve Rookery Bay National Estuarine Research Reserve St. Andrews Bay Aquatic Preserve St. Joseph Bay Aquatic Preserve & Buffer St. Marks National Wildlife Refuge St. Martins Marsh Aquatic Preserve St. Vincent National Wildlife Refuge Ten Thousand Islands National Wildlife Refuge Terra Ceia Aquatic Preserve Yellow River Marsh Aquatic Preserve

Louisiana – 37 GEMS Sites

Atchafalaya Delta & Swamp Basin Atchafalaya Delta Wildlife Management Area Baptiste Collette Marsh Barataria Bay Bayou Bois Piquant Crevasse Swamp Bayou Mauvais Bois Bayou Sauvage National Wildlife Refuge Big Branch Marsh National Wildlife Refuge Big Oak Island Cameron Prairie National Wildlife Refuge Chandeleur Islands (Breton National Wildlife Refuge) Hackberry Beach Honey Island Swamp Isles Dernieres Jean Lafitte National Historic Park Johnsons Bayou Lacassine National Wildlife Refuge Little Pecan Island Mandalay National Wildlife Refuge Marsh Island Petit Bois Peveto Beach Queen Bess Island

Request for Proposals

Cheniere Au Tigre Cote Blanche Salt Dome Dahoon Savannah Delta National Wildlife Refuge East Jetty Woods Fearman Lake Marsh (LA Wildlife Refuge) Grande Terre Island River Pines Plantation Rockefeller Wildlife Refuge and Game Preserve Sabine National Wildlife Refuge Shell Keys National Wildlife Refuge Timbalier Island and East Timbalier Island Weeks Island White's Kitchen

<u>Mississippi – 21 GEMS Sites</u>

Bayou LaCroix Bayou Portage Bellefontain Marshes Biloxi River Marshes Cat Island Davis Bayou Deer Island Escatawpa River Marshes Grand Bay (incl. National Wildlife Refuge) Grand Bayou Graveline Bay Hancock County Marshes Horn Island (Gulf Islands National Seashore) Jourdan River Mississippi Sand Hill Crane National Wildlife Refuge Old Fort Bayou Pascagoula River Marshes Petit Bois Island (Gulf Islands National Seashore) Round Island Ship Island (Gulf Islands National Seashore) Wolf River Marshes

Texas – 24 GEMS Sites

Anahuac National Wildlife Refuge Aransas National Wildlife Refuge Armand Bayou Coastal Preserve and Nature Center Candy Abshier Wildlife Management Area Christmas Bay Coastal Preserve Clive Runnels Family Mad Island Marsh Preserve Flower Garden Banks National Marine Sanctuary Freeport Liberty Ship Reef Complex Guadalupe Delta Wildlife Management Area Laguna Atascosa National Wildlife Refuge Laguna Madre Lower Rio Grande Valley National Wildlife Refuge

Matagorda Island Wildlife Management Area McFaddin National Wildlife Refuge Murphree Wildlife Management Area Mustang Island State Park North Deer Island Sanctuary Padre Island National Seashore Scenic Galveston Inc. Nature Preserve Sea Rim State Park Shamrock Island Management Complex South Bay Coastal Preserve Texas Point National Wildlife Refuge Welder Flats Coastal Preserve

Appendix B Special Planning Areas & Areas of Particular Concern

Puerto Rico – 26 Special Planning Areas

- Arrecifes de Cordillera Bahia Bioluminicente de Vieques Bosque *Pterocarpus* Dorado Cabezas de San Juan Caño la Boquilla Caño Martín Peña Caño Tiburones Cueva de Indio Finca Seven Seas Gran Res. Nat. Corredor Ecol. del Noreste Isla Caja de Muerto La Parguera Laguna Cartagena
- Laguna Tortugero Mar Negro y Bahia de Jobos Mona y Monito Pantano Cibuco Piñones Reserva Nacional de Investigación Estuarina Bahia de Jobos Rio Espíritu Santo Reserva Tres Palmas Suroeste Sec. Boquerón Sur-Oeste-Sec La Parguera Torrecilla Alta Vieques

St. Thomas, USVI – 6 Areas of Particular Conern

Benner Bay – Mangrove Lagoon Botany Bay Magens Bay and Watershed Mandahl Bay St. Thomas Harbor and Waterfront Vessup Bay – East End

St. John, USVI – 3 Areas of Particular Conern

Chocolate Hole – Great Cruz Bay Coral Bay Enighed Pond – Cruz Bay

St. Croix, USVI – 9 Areas of Particular Conern

Christiansted Watershed East End Frederiksted Waterfront Great Pond and Great Pond Bay Salt River Bay and Watershed Sandy Point Southgate Pond – Cheney Bay Southshore Industrial Area St. Croix Coral Reef System

Appendix C Allowable Matching Funds for Federally Funded Projects



All contributions, including cash and third party in-kind, shall be accepted as part of an applicant's cost sharing or matching when such contributions meet **all** of the following criteria:

- 1) Are verifiable from the applicant's records.
- 2) Are not included as contributions for any other federally-assisted project or program.
- Are necessary and reasonable for proper and efficient accomplishment of project or program objectives.
- 4) Are allowable under applicable cost principles
- 5) Are not paid by the Federal Government under another award, except where authorized by Federal statute to be used for cost sharing or matching.
- 6) Conform to the provisions of the above-referenced regulations as applicable.

Examples of allowable match include, but are **not** limited to the following sources:

- Personnel/salary (can be in-kind for an employee or volunteer time. Keep in mind that there is an accepted hourly rate for volunteer time [http://www.independentsector.org/volunteer_time], but there are also professional volunteers that have a higher hourly value, such as commercial divers, scientists, engineers, etc.)
- 2) Fringe Benefits
- 3) Travel (related to the project, i.e. travel to and from project site in company vehicle)
- 4) Equipment (unless those costs are already included in the indirect costs, i.e. kayak usage)
- 5) Supplies (may include donated plants, items required for report preparation, etc.)
- 6) Contractual (contractual fees associated with a project may be used as grant funds as long as they are not paid with other federal funding or being utilized as match on another project)
- 7) Construction (the GCRP is a non-construction program, and therefore cannot be used as match)
- Other (Be creative! Topographic surveys, design fees, engineering, permitting, lodging, fuel, office rent, stipends, disposal fees, refreshments/food for volunteers, per diem, dive platforms, publication fees, conference registration, AND MORE!)
- 9) Indirect Costs (must be able to provide documentation for approved/negotiated IDC rate)



www.gulfmex.org

Request for Proposals

Appendix D NOAA Restoration Center Project Monitoring Information Sheet – Page 1 of 2

NOAA Restoration Center Project Monitoring

Sound Research for Successful Restoration

Why Monitor?

The Estuary Restoration Act (ERA) of 2000 directed NOAA to develop restoration monitoring protocols for all ERA-funded projects. NOAA's Restoration Center (RC) has embraced this mandate and will expand it to cover all NOAA-funded restoration projects. By requiring quantitative monitoring of hundreds of NOAA projects, and collectively analyzing results, we have the potential to improve restoration success nation-wide. Without this evaluation process we cannot learn from our successes or correct our failures.

Getting Started

To grow the Restoration Center's research efforts, quantitative monitoring is required on 25 percent of the 2005-funded projects, 50 percent of 2006 projects, and 75 percent of 2007 projects. NOAA's RC relies on local partners to monitor their restoration projects. Many of these groups are well versed in research techniques and have been monitoring for years – others are relatively new to the monitoring process. To assist both these groups, RC technical staff are available to work directly with partners to help them develop and implement sound monitoring plans.

Shared Knowledge, Shared Success

NOAA's Restoration Center strives to share research results with the larger restoration community through seminars, publications, our website (http://www.nmfs.noaa.gov/habitat/restoration/), and direct relationships with hundreds of grantees. Knowledge gained through the RC's applied research approach is based on hundreds of real world examples from around the country. Applying this knowledge leads to superior restoration techniques and healthier habitats and ecosystems. Together, we can use the results of these evaluation efforts to close the loop between today's monitoring information and tomorrow's restoration actions.

Monitoring Helps Us:

- Determine which restoration techniques produce the best results and why.
- Maximize restoration efficiency and cost effectiveness
- *Define* which factors are the best indicators of success.
- Suggest appropriate timeframes for determining success.



Volunteers conduct a transect survey of a restored oyster reef to evaluate project success.



Dr. Perry Gayaldo, NOAA Restoration Center • perry.gayaldo@noaa.gov www.nmfs.noaa.gov/habitat/restoration

Appendix D NOAA Restoration Center Project Monitoring Information Sheet – Page 1 of 2 DEVELOPING A MONITORING PLAN

Four main steps are required to develop an effective monitoring plan – a plan that meets NOAA's minimum monitoring requirements.

Step 1. Develop at least one broad goal for the project. This goal identifies the project's general intent.

Step 2. Develop at least two quantifiable objective statements related to the goal. These statements specify what you hope to achieve during the project period. One objective should relate to structure, which is how the habitat looks. The other objective should relate to function, which is how the habitat works.

Step 3. For each objective, identify a **parameter** to monitor. These parameters are measured before and after the restoration to determine if the objectives were achieved.

Step 4. Define a **target** value for each parameter. These targets represent the expected outcomes at the end of the project period (short-term goals). Falling short of a target does not mean that a project has failed, rather, that we need to further examine this type of project to improve the applied techniques.

What if the project "fails"?

The goal of monitoring and evaluation is to learn from project results. If a project misses its targets, it demonstrates a need to improve restoration techniques and helps prioritize research efforts.

How to define a target? To determine a target, first identify the ideal condition for each selected parameter (the reference value). Reference values may be obtained either directly from a reference site or from literature. Using the reference value, the current understanding of the restoration site, and the effectiveness of other similar restorations, estimate a realistic improvement to be achieved at the end of the project period (the target). Habitats can take decades to become fully restored; therefore, it is not expected that the restoration project will achieve the reference value during the short project period.

Monitoring Plan Example

Goal

-Restore a degraded salt marsh to a healthy state.

Objectives

-Increase the abundance of native salt marsh vegetation (structure).

-Improve the marsh's ability to provide habitat for desired fish species (function).

Parameters

-Percent cover of native species (structure).

-Population size of the desired fish species (function).

Targets

-Greater than 40% cover of native plant species (structure).

-10% increase in the desired fish population utilizing the salt marsh (function).

Working Together

NOAA Restoration Center staff can provide assistance to individuals interested in developing a monitoring and evaluation plan for their restoration project. More information on regional staff can be found at www.nmfs.noaa.gov/habitat/restoration/contact.html. The Restoration Center also offers an online monitoring planner, which can be found at www.habitat.noaa.gov/restoration/rmp/PUBLICSITE/index.cfm.



Dr. Perry Gayaldo, NOAA Restoration Center • perry.gayaldo@noaa.gov www.nmfs.noaa.gov/habitat/restoration



Appendix E NOAA Restoration Center Ecosystem Services Guidance

Ecosystem Services and Habitat Restoration

Ecosystem services are the direct or indirect contributions that ecosystems make to the wellbeing of human populations. Ecosystem services cannot be defined independently of human values. The Millennium Ecosystem Assessment (MEA 2005) sorted ecosystem services into four categories:

- 1. Supporting services (things necessary for the production of other ecosystem services),
- 2. Provisioning services (products gained from ecosystems),
- 3. Regulating services (benefits obtained from processes such as air or climate regulation),
- 4. Cultural services (nonmaterial benefits derived by humans)

| Ecosystem Services provided by rivers and wetlands | | |
|--|---|--|
| Recreational fishing | Flood control/storm protection | |
| Recreational hunting | Waste water treatment/water filtration | |
| Recreational wildlife viewing | Erosion control | |
| Recreational hiking/camping | Carbon (green house gas) sequestration | |
| Recreational boating | Passive use/existence value (eg endangered species) | |
| Commercial fishing | Cultural values (eg tribes) | |

The table below describes services from rivers and wetlands.

Why Ecosystem Service Valuation?

Because ecosystems and their services are traditionally undervalued, the end goal of ecosystem service valuation is to be able to demonstrate the tradeoffs in ecosystem services resulting from policy decisions. Ecosystem service values may be represented in monetary terms, but can also be represented by other quantitative or qualitative terms (public attitudes and preferences). In addition to monetary metrics, it is useful to think about incorporating some of these qualitative socio economic metrics into project selection and design.

For habitat restoration there are some associated economic issues that should be considered for incorporation into project goals. Some of these issues will require development of measurable indicators which will necessitate the collection of socio-economic data (this is not always easy!).

| Socio-Economic Issues | Possible types of data |
|--|--|
| Changes in property values | Property/housing sales data |
| Increased recreational expenditures by residents | Sales receipts |
| Commercial benefit | e.g. Increased fish landings/sales |
| Increased tourism | Numbers of visitors |
| Public cost reduction/Damage mitigation | Reduced maintenance costs, reduced insurance costs |

Please note the issues and the possible data elements listed above are rough guides. For any given project or project location it may or may not be possible to collect these types of data.

For further information please contact Peter Edwards (Peter.Edwards@noaa.gov), NMFS OHC

Attachment A Proposal Outline – Page 1 of 2

Please submit the following information using this format <u>and these headings</u>. Add the required information after each heading.

I. Applicant Information

- 1. Organization:
- 2. Address of Organization:
- 3. Organization Web Page Address:

II. Project Contact

- 1. Project Manager(s):
- 2. Title(s):
- 3. Address of Contact (if different from above):
- 4. Phone number:
- 5. Fax number:
- 6. E-mail address:
- 7. Organization web page address:
- 8. Congressional District(s) in which project is located:

III. Project Information

- 1. Project name:
- 2. Project site location (provide city-if applicable, county, & state; if multiple project sites exist, please list locations for all sites):
- 3. Latitude/Longitude coordinates (decimal degrees) of the project site :
- 4. Land Ownership (public/private/both):
- Is project located within a Gulf Ecological Management Site (Gulf), Area of Particular Concern (VI), or Special Planning Area (PR)? (See Appendix A & Appendix B): If so, name of GEMS:
- 6. Number of acres the project will directly restore (break down by habitat type):
- 7. Number of acres benefitted/protected by restoration (break down by habitat type):
- 8. Anticipated NOAA Trust Resource(s) (fish, shellfish, invertebrates, mammals, etc.) to benefit from restoration (Common and scientific name):
- 9. Identification of required federal, state, or local permits, and status of permits (whether permits have been applied for or received):
- 10. Anticipated number of volunteers (include estimated number of volunteer hours):
- 11. Project start date:
- 12. Project end date:
- 13. Include, as an attachment, a map showing the project location.

IV. Project Description

- 1. Concise project summary/abstract:
- 2. Describe the need for the project:
- 3. Is this project part of a larger effort? If so, please explain:
- 4. Describe the project objective(s) and specific measures of success for this project, including acreage of habitat restored/created and the type(s) of habitat to be restored/created:
- 5. Describe specific on-the-ground activities (methodology/scientific techniques) to be undertaken to achieve project objectives:
- 6. Provide details of the monitoring plan and provisions for long-term management of the project (may be included as an attachment):
- 7. Describe community involvement through an educational and/or volunteer component:

Attachment A Proposal Outline – Page 2 of 2

- 8. Describe indirect benefits that may result from this project (improved water quality, increased awareness/stewardship, etc.):
- 9. Describe project partners and each of their contributions (cash, in-kind, goods and services, etc):
- 10. Provide a project activity schedule:

V. Budget

- 1. Amount of Gulf of Mexico Community-based Restoration Partnership funds requested:
- Amount of Matching Contributions (specify sources and types of contributions, both inkind and cash; federal funds CANNOT be used for match; calculate volunteer hours at \$20.85/hr.):
- 3. Total Project Cost:
- 4. Budget narrative describing proposed use of Partnership and Match funds. Please describe expenditures in detail. For example, if you are including costs under Staff time, identify all individuals who will be working on the project, their title and role in the project, and how the cost for their time was calculated. Explanation of how fringe benefits and travel costs were calculated should also be provided. Each piece of equipment, type of supply, and contract should be listed separately:
- 5. Budgeted line item expenditures (please use the following budget format):

| Budget | | |
|---------------------------|-------------|---------------------------|
| | Partnership | Amount and |
| | Request | Source of Matching Funds* |
| Personnel | | |
| Staff | | |
| Volunteers | | |
| Fringe Benefits | | |
| Travel | | |
| Equipment** | | |
| Supplies | | |
| Contractual | | |
| Construction | | |
| Other (specify) | | |
| Total Direct Charges | | |
| Total Indirect Charges*** | | |
| TOTAL BUDGET | | |

* Indicate whether or not Matching Funds are confirmed or pending.

** Indicates items over \$5,000.

*** Indirect charges are limited to 15% of the Partnership Request.

VI. Supplemental Information (to be included as an attachment)

- 1. If available, include diagram(s) or photo(s) of project site depicting scale, position in landscape, and other details of project.
- 2. If available, include letter(s) of review from the appropriate manager and any letters of support form other sources.
- 3. Include documentation verifying that any required permits are being sought.