Mr. Neil M. Barofsky Special Inspector General Office of the Special Inspector General Troubled Asset Relief Program 1500 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W., Suite 1064 Washington, D.C. 20220 March 4, 2009

Dear Mr. Barofsky:

Our response to your letter of February 6, 2009 is as follows.

When the TARP CPP was first offered to us in October 2008 we were profitable, well capitalized and although our non performing loans and assets were higher than what we had experienced in our past history, they compared favorably to our Florida peer group and the industry in general. Pre-TARP, our regulatory capital ratios at September 30, 2008 were as follows:

Total capital to risk weighted assets	14.9%
Tier 1 capital to risk weighted assets	13.6%
Tier 1 capital to average assets	11.1%

In addition to regulatory capital ratios, our common equity and common tangible equity ratios were 12.1% and 9.7%, respectively, as of the same date. Although earnings decreased year to year, we did have net income of \$3.4 million in 2008, compared to \$7.8 million in 2007, a decrease of approximately 56%. A summary of our non-performing loans and non-performing assets over the past twelve quarters has been attached as Exhibit A.

In October, we were encouraged to participate in the TARP CPP. We felt that it was an attractively priced source of capital, and, although we didn't need the capital, as stated above, we felt that we could use it to grow our deposits and loans either organically and/or through acquisition. As most everyone else in these uncertain times, we also had a significant feeling of uncertainty about the real estate market in particular and the overall economy in general. As such, we felt it prudent to accumulate excess capital as a precaution of any unknowns which may surface in the future.

Since November we have continued to grow loans and deposits. During December, January and February, we have originated approximately \$48 million of new loans, and net deposits have grown approximately \$300 million between the end of November and the end of February. Included in the deposit growth is our January 2009 purchase of approximately \$180 million of deposits from the FDIC pursuant to it closing the Ocala National Bank in Ocala, Florida. We have also identified approximately \$20 million of loans from the Ocala transaction which we expect to purchase from the FDIC during March.

We also have programs in place whereby we can help CenterState qualified borrowers who are having difficulty with their mortgage on their primary residence or their small business. We are committed to this policy because it makes good business sense, yet TARP enhances our ability to broaden this type commitment.

There were no actions taken that we could not have taken absent the infusion of the TARP capital. We had the necessary capital, and would have taken these actions whether we had TARP capital or not. However, as stated above, these are uncertain times, and as an abundance of caution we felt it prudent to maintain excess capital.

6(4)

The TARP capital is a segregated capital component of our shareholders' equity. The actual funds we received in exchange for the preferred stock and warrant is co-mingled with other institutional assets and is not segregated.

On February 3, 2009, the Compensation Committee met to discuss executive compensation requirements associated with the TARP CPP. The purpose of the meeting was to consider the report of the senior risk officer, ask questions, engage in discussion and arrive at a determination. Based on the senior risk officer's report and analysis, input from the Company's corporate attorney and from the Company's CEO, along with the Committee's own discussion and analysis, the Committee unanimously concluded that the SEO's incentive compensation arrangements do not encourage the SEOs to take unnecessary and excessive risks that would threaten the value of the institution. The Committee members executed the appropriate certification as required, and the CEO executed his certification that these events had taken place and submitted such certification to the U.S. Treasury.

During this same meeting, the Committee also noted that SEO compensation in excess of \$500,000 per year will not be tax deductible. The L(4), b(6) Committee is required to approve all SEO compensation. Clawback provisions under CPP were also discussed and acknowledged. The Committee acknowledged that companies participating in the CPP may not make "golden parachute payments" to an SEO during the period of Treasury's investment in the company. It was also acknowledged during this meeting, that

It is our understanding, that the new TARP CAP incorporates all the requirements of TARP CPP and adds additional requirements specifically prohibiting the payment of incentive compensation to the five highest paid "employees."

6(4)

I certify the accuracy of the information provided in this letter.

Sincerely,

Chairman of the Board.

Chief Executive Officer and President

CenterState Banks of Florida

## Summary of Credit Quality trends

(in thousands of dollars)	12/31/05	3/31/06	90/08/9	90/08/6	12/31/06	3/31/07	6/30/07	9/30/07	12/31/07	3/31/08	80/08/9	9/30/08	12/31/08
Total non performing loans (note 1) 1,510 non performing lns as % of total loans 0.29%	1,510 <b>0.29</b> %	1275	851 0.14%	1,154 0.18%	% <b>60.0</b>	1,260 <b>0.18</b> %	2,264	4,937 <b>0.59</b> %	2,264 4,937 4,074 <b>0.27% 0.59% 0.48%</b>	2.57	10,453 1.23%	13,098	19,913
Total non performing assets (note 2) non performing assets as % of total assets	1,549 IS	1,263 <b>0.13%</b>	901 <b>0.09%</b>	1,189 0.12%	645 <b>0.06</b> %	1,475	2,321 0.19%	5,247	4,827 <b>0.40</b> %	12,474 1.00%	13,089 <b>1.07</b> %	16,343 1.32%	24,835
Past due loans 30-89days (note 5) 30-89days as % of total loans	5,782	5,782 7,468 1.12% 1.24%	1,715 0.28%	2,320 <b>0.36%</b>	2,821 0.43%	3,645 <b>0.53</b> %	6,296 <b>0.76%</b>	9,666 1.15%	9,399	9,304 L12%	6,644 0.78%	8,789	19,346
Total past due loans (note 3)  Total past due as % of total loans	7,292 8,666 1.41% 1.44%	8,666 1.44%	2,566	3,474	3,106 <b>0.47%</b>	3,975 <b>0.58%</b>	7,727	12,744	10,939 1.30%	15,442 1.85%	14,499	21,112	37,193 4.17%
Total assets Total loans Impaired loans (note 4) Loans that are not impaired	871,521 516,658 6,346 510,312	1,004,713 599,884 <b>4,512</b> 595,372	1,028,630 621,638 <b>4,994</b> 616,644	1,024,690 637,684 <b>5,619</b> 632,065	1,077,102 657,963 <b>4,986</b> 652,977	1,086,818 684,141 5,237 678,904	1,240,984 826,215 7,510 818,705	1,225,586 840,341 10,577 829,764	1,217,430 841,405 11,803 829,602	1,248,599 833,743 <b>18,947</b> 814,796	1,222,140 849,058 19,523 829,535	1,234,722 876,307 <b>21,63</b> 7 854,670	1,333,143 892,001 24,191 867,810
ALLL = Allowance for Loan Losses													
Dollars ALLL -general reserve ALLL- specific reserve	5,474	6,420	6,508	6,862	6,983	7,182	9,047	9,145	10,016	10,058	10,434	11,204	11,536
ALLL - Total As % of loans	6,491	7,095	7,310	7,367	7,355	7,632	9,519	9,903	10,828	11,258	11,599	12,269	13,335
ireserve c reserve	1.07% 16.03%		1.06% 16.06%	1.09% 8.99%	1.07% 7.46%	1.06% 8.59%	1.11% 6.32%	1.10% 7.17%	1.21% 6.88%	1.23% 6.33%	1.26% 5.97%	1.31% 4.92%	1,33% 7.44%
ALLL - Total	1.26%	1.18%	1.18%	1.16%	1.12%		1.12% 1.15%	1.18%	E. St	1.35%	1.29% 1.35% 1.37%	1.40%	1.49%